

Airborne dust control for floor housing systems for laying hens

G. Gustafsson¹ and E. von Wachenfelt¹

Abstract

Floor housing systems for laying hens are being re-established in Sweden. Compared to traditional cage systems, the air in floor housing systems may be more polluted with dust.

Investigations about how different factors affect concentration and generation of dust in a floor housing system for laying hens have therefore been carried out at JBT:s research station Alnarp Södergård. A climate chamber was equipped with a floor housing system. How age of hens, storage time of manure, ventilation rate and bedding materials affected concentration and release of dust were investigated during two production cycles.

The concentration and generation of dust as well as the efficiency of different dust reducing measures were investigated and analysed in order to improve the understanding of how different factors influence dust conditions in these housing systems.

Settling of dust was a more important mechanism in the mass balance of dust than ventilation rate which reduced the influence of ventilation rate as a dust reducing measure. A major part of the generated dust settled on different surfaces inside the building. The settling rate of dust was affected by the concentration of dust in the air. The settled amount of dust also stood in relation to the floor area of the stable. An increased ventilation rate had a limited effect on the concentration of total dust due to the importance of the settling of the dust.

Dust release was also investigated when using six different bedding materials, namely; gravel, clay pellets, peat, wood shavings, chopped straw and chopped paper. Clay pellets and peat resulted in lowest concentrations of dust.

Automatic spraying of small droplets of water reduced the dust concentration in four trials with different bedding materials (chopped paper, clay pellets, peat and wood shavings).

Spraying a mixture of rape seed oil in water was also effective with an automatic spraying system.

From our findings from the investigation we will recommend using clay pellets as bedding material and using a sprinkler system spraying water droplets frequently in floor housing systems for laying hens.

Keywords: laying hens, dust, climate, ventilation, bedding

1 Introduction

Hartung J. (1998) states that poultry house air has much higher dust concentrations than for other animals. Seedorf J. (2000) demonstrated that particle emission in fowl keeping was 22 times higher (3165 mg h⁻¹ per livestock unit) than for cattle keeping and four times higher than for pig keeping.

Regarding laying hens, floor housing systems are being re-established in Sweden since animal welfare legislation stipulates that systems for laying hens must include laying nests and perches and provide access to litter. Compared to traditional cage systems, the air in floor housing systems may be more polluted with dust because of high activity and more bedding material (Gustafsson G. et al. 1990, Hauser R. H. 1990, Lyngtveit T. 1992, Drost H. et al. 1992, 1993, Groot Koerkamp P. et al. 1993). The hygienic threshold limit value for dust for occupational safety and health (Swedish National Board of Occupational Safety and Health, 2000) of 5 mg m⁻³ is often exceeded during work operations in floor housing systems for laying hens. Whyte R. T. et al. (1993) reported that the average inspirable fraction breathed by poultry stockmen ranged from 2.1 to 28.5 mg m⁻³ for a complete working day.

In investigations about concentrations and emissions of airborne dust in Northern Europe (Takai H. et al. 1998) was it concluded that both inhalable and respirable dust concentrations were higher in percherries than in houses for caged layers. Ellen H. H. et al. (2000) reported that dust concentrations in perchery and aviary housing systems often were four to five times higher than in cage systems. Factors affecting dust concentrations are animal category, activity, bedding materials and season.

Whyte R. T. (2002) reported that average inhalable dust exposure by poultry stockmen for a complete working day in free range systems was 10.8 mg m⁻³ compared to 4.8 mg m⁻³ in cage systems.

Guarino M. et al. (1999) found that dust concentration in an enclosed laying house was significantly higher during periods with feed distribution and scraper cleaning than during the night.

Donham K. et al. (1999) reported a threshold concentration of 2.4 mg m⁻³ for human health inside poultry buildings.

In order to study the generation and concentrations of dust

¹ Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Agricultural Biosystems and Technology, Sweden

and their correlation to other factors a small scale poultry house (climate chamber) was equipped with a floor housing system. Between 333 and 392 hens were kept in the system during the investigations.

The objective of the investigations was to evaluate the influence of following factors on dust concentration and generation:

- * Age of hens
- * Storage of manure
- * Ventilation rate
- * Bedding materials
- * Fogging water droplets
- * Spraying a rape seed oil mixture

2 Mass balance of dust

The mean generation of dust can be described as:

$$p = q (C_o - C_i) + S A \quad (1)$$

where: p is the production of dust in mg h^{-1} ;

C_o and C_i are the total dust concentrations in air outlets and inlets in mg m^{-3} ;

S is the settling rate of dust on floor surfaces in $\text{mg m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$;

and A is the area of the floor in m^2 .


3 Materials and methods

How age of hens, storage time of manure, ventilation rate, bedding materials and spraying water droplets or an rape seed oil mixture affected generation and concentration of dust were investigated during two production cycles at JBT:s research station Alnarp Södergård.

3.1 Housing system

The investigations were carried out in a climate chamber equipped with a floor housing system, figure 1. The chamber was surrounded by a temperature controlled air space where the air temperature and supply air temperature to the chamber were varied between 0 and 16 °C.

The housing system contained a bedding area, a manure bin area with manure conveyors below a draining floor and laying nests which were placed close to one of the walls. The bedding area was 1.5 m wide where six different bedding materials were investigated successively, namely; gravel, clay pellets, peat, wood shavings, chopped straw and chopped paper. The rest part of the floor which was 3.0 m wide was elevated to a height of 0.6 m and equipped with a draining floor.



1. Climate controlled air space
2. Air inlet
- 3 Bedding
4. Draining floor
5. Conveyors
6. Nests
7. Air outlet

Figure 1:

The climate chamber equipped with a floor housing system

3.2 Ventilation and climate control

Mechanical ventilation was provided by a negative pressure system. Air inlets in the ceiling provided the chamber with supply air from the climate controlled area surrounding the chamber. In these studies an exhaust fan removed air at floor level close to the manure conveyors via a slit in a duct below the laying nests.

The temperature inside the chamber was kept at a constant level of 20 to 21 °C during each trial. The ventilation rate could thereby also be kept constant. The constant air temperature was maintained by controlling the amount of extra heat from heat pipes in the chamber.

The ventilation rate was manually regulated with a damper in an exhaust air duct.

3.3 Measurements

The ventilation rate was calculated from air velocities measured two times per trial in 5 positions of the cross section of the exhaust air duct (ϕ 400 mm) by using a hot wire anemometer (GGA- 65P, Alnor Instrument CO, Skokie, Illinois, USA).

The efficiencies of different treatments were determined by gravimetric measurements of sampled dust masses on 37 mm diameter dust filters (Millipore with an air flow rate of 1.9 l/min) in SKC cassettes located in the middle of the barn at 1.7 m height above the floor (breathing zone of humans) but also in the exhaust air. Each sampling period was 3 - 4 days. Sampling was done 15 minutes each hour controlled by a timer. Settled dust, which was sampled on five 0.230 m^2 settling plates located at a height of 2.0 m (height was chosen so that they could not be moved during work operations) was also gravimetrically determined. Each measurement was carried out over a period of

3 - 4 days in order to collect enough dust on the settling plates. Different treatments were compared to reference values measured before and after the treatments.

The generation of dust was determined according to equation 1.

3.4 Analyses

Different measures to reduce the generation and concentration of dust was analysed by using the following properties in the mass balance in equation 1: averages of total dust concentrations C_o measured in the exhaust air; average of settling rate of dust on settling plates S ; generation of dust p as defined by equation 1; Concentration in inlet air, C_i , was assumed neglectable compared to C_o .

3.5 Investigations

The influence of age of the hens on release of dust was investigated during two production cycles.

The influence of increasing storage time of manure in the bedding area was investigated during periods with daily manure removal from the conveyors.

The influence of ventilation rate was determined at constant air temperature (20 to 21 °C) at varying ventilation rates.

Six different bedding materials were investigated namely; gravel, clay pellets, peat, wood shavings, chopped straw and chopped paper at ventilation rates in the range of 1.04 – 1.13 $\text{m}^3 \text{hen}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$.

How different amounts of water which was fogged influenced dust concentration was investigated using four different bedding materials (chopped paper, clay pellets, peat and wood shavings). Full cone nozzles (Fulljet 5LVS) were used. The equipment for controlling the spraying time is presented in figure 2.




Figure 2.
Equipment for control of spraying time and intervals for the spraying nozzle

Spraying was done twice per hour during the light period 4.30 a.m. – 5.30 p.m.. Different amounts of water were investigated by varying the spraying time with a time relay.

The effect on dust concentration by fogging water droplets was compared with reference periods without any fogging.

The effect of spraying different amounts of a mixture of 10% rapeseed oil in water on dust concentration was also investigated. The mixture was supplied once per day with the same equipment as for water droplets.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Age of hens

It could not be proved that the age of the hens had any influence on the generation of dust.

Obviously the dependence of age in this type of housing system for layers differs with the generation of broilers were it has been reported that the generation of dust increases with age and weight of chickens (Gustafsson G. et al. 1990). An explanation may be that the weight of the hens is relatively constant during the production period.

4.2 Storage of manure

The storage time of manure in the bedding had no significant influence on the generation of dust. Accumulation of manure in the bedding seems therefore not to be the major source for the generation of dust.

4.3 Ventilation rate

The ventilation rate had a limited diluting effect on dust concentration, figure 3.




Figure 3:
Total dust concentrations at different ventilation rates in a trial with gravel as bedding material

Even if dust concentration decreased at increasing ventilation rate, it was not an ideal dilution depending on ventilation rate. The variations in dust concentrations were large which indicates that there were other factors as activ-

ity in the building environment which were more important for the dust concentration than ventilation rate. The ventilation rate did not either have any significant influence on the generation of dust. The average dust generation was $27.9 \text{ mg hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ when the ventilation rate was in the range of $0.9 - 3.4 \text{ m}^3 \text{ hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$. The investigations showed that it was a limited amount of the dust generated which was exhausted with the ventilation air, figure 4. The reason was that the major part of the dust produced settled on different surfaces. This result is in accordance with results earlier reported for swine and chickens (Nilsson C. et al. 1987, Gustafsson G. et al. 1990).




Figure 4
Ratio of exhausted dust at different ventilation rates in a trial with gravel as bedding material

4.4 Settling of dust

The settling rate of dust, S , was also analysed as function of the dust concentration in the air, see figure 5. The settling rate increased with increasing concentration.




Figure 5:
Settling rate of dust as function of dust concentration when gravel was bedding material

4.5 Bedding materials

Total dust concentrations with different bedding materials are presented in table 1 when the ventilation rates were in the range of $1.04 - 1.13 \text{ m}^3 \text{ hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$.

The level of concentration of dust was about the same for the bedding materials wood shavings, clay pellets, peat and chopped straw. Especially gravel resulted in higher concentrations, however, not statistically different.

Regarding the generation of dust was the picture about the same as for concentration of dust except for peat and chopped paper as bedding materials, table 2. The high dust production with peat depended on a high settling rate.

Table 1:
Total dust concentration (mg m^{-3}) with different bedding materials. Ventilation rate in the range of $1.04 - 1.13 \text{ m}^3 \text{ hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$

Bedding:	Average mg m^{-3}	Minimum mg m^{-3}	Maximum mg m^{-3}
Gravel	4.7	4.0	5.5
Wood shavings	2.3	2.1	2.4
Clay pellets	1.8	1.7	1.9
Peat	1.7	1.2	2.3
Chopped straw	2.1	1.8	2.3
Chopped paper	2.6	2.2	2.9

Table 2:
Total dust production ($\text{mg hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) with different bedding materials. Ventilation rate in the range of $1.04 - 1.13 \text{ m}^3 \text{ hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$

Bedding:	Average $\text{mg hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$	Minimum $\text{mg hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$	Maximum $\text{mg hen}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$
Gravel	27.4	26.6	28.3
Wood shavings	11.4	10.4	12.4
Clay pellets	8.5	7.6	9.8
Peat	21.7	12.1	35.3
Chopped straw	16.8	15.7	17.8
Chopped paper	22.7	18.5	25.5

4.6 Fogging water droplets

How different amounts of water which was fogged influenced dust concentration was investigated using four different bedding materials. The effect of fogging was analysed as relative values compared to the levels without any fogging. Fogging resulted in a considerable reduction of dust concentration in all trials. The reduction in dust concentration was improved when the amount of water increased, which is exemplified in figure 6.

Fogging water droplets is obviously an effective way of reducing dust concentration in this type of housing system. Von Wachenfelt E. (1999) has earlier reported up to 65% reduction by fogging water droplets in an aviary system for laying hens.




Figure 6:
Relative dust concentration (1.0 is reference level) at different amounts of water sprayed. Wood shavings was bedding material

4.7 Spraying a rape seed oil mixture

The effect of showering a mixture of 10 % rape seed oil in water on dust concentration was also investigated, figure 7. The mixture was showered with full cone nozzles located above the draining floor. Showering the oil mixture reduced the dust concentration with 30 to 50 %. The oil mixture had effect at as low amounts as $0.003 l m^{-2} day^{-1}$.




Figure 7:
Relative total dust concentration (1.0 is reference level) at different amounts of a sprayed oil mixture

5 Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the investigations:

- There were no ideal diluting effect of ventilation rate on dust concentration.
- A major part of the produced dust settled on different surfaces.
- Spraying water droplets or an oil mixture reduced dust concentration.
- The feather conditions were very good when water droplets or an oil mixture were sprayed.
- Bedding of clay pellets or peat generated the lowest concentrations.

- Gravel as bedding material generated the highest concentrations.

From our findings from the investigations we will recommend using clay pellets as bedding material and using a sprinkler system spraying water droplets frequently in floor housing systems for laying hens.

6 Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Swedish Farmers' Foundation for Research and the Swedish Board of Agriculture is gratefully acknowledged.

7 References

- Donham K., Cumro D. (1999). Setting maximum dust exposure levels for people and animals in livestock facilities. In: *Livestock Environment IV*. pp 93-110. American Society of Agricultural Engineers.
- Drost H., van den Drift D. W. (1992). Working conditions in an aviary system for laying hens. World's Poultry Congress, Amsterdam, the Netherlands 20- 24 September 1992. Proceedings Vol 2, pp 734-738.
- Drost H., van den Drift D. W. (1993). Health effects in relation to exposure to aerial components in aviary systems for layers. Proceedings XXV CIOSTA CIGR Congress, May 10-13, 1993, Wageningen, the Netherlands, pp 198-204.
- Ellen H. H., Bottcher R. W., von Wachenfelt E., Takai H. (2000). Dust levels and control methods in poultry houses. *Journal of Agricultural Safety and Health*, 6(4): 275-282.
- Groet Koerkamp P., Drost H. (1993). Air contamination in poultry production systems. Fourth European Symposium on Poultry Welfare. Edinburgh. Great Britain.
- Guarino M., Caroli A., Navarotto P. (1999). Dust concentration and mortality distribution in an enclosed laying house. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 42(4): 1127-1133.
- Gustafsson G., Mårtensson L. (1990). Gaser och damm i fjäderfästallar (Gases and dust in poultry houses). Report 68. Lund, Sweden: Swedish Univ. Agric. Sciences, Dep. of Farm Buildings. (In Swedish).
- Hartung J. (1998). Nature and amount of aerial pollutants from livestock buildings (In German). *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr.* 105(6):213-6.
- Hauser R. H. (1990). Stallhygienische faktoren und hygienische Eiqualität in alternativen haltungssystem fur legehennen. Diss. ETH No 9136. Eidgenössischen Technischen Hochschule Zurich.
- Lyngtveit T. (1992). Stovmalning og arbeidstudier i honehus med kompaktbur og aviarier. ITF report No 29. Norges landbrukshogskole, Institutt for Tekniske Fag. Ås.
- Nilsson C., Gustafsson G. (1987). Damm i slaktsvinstallar (Dust in fattening pig houses). Special report 149, 71 pp. Lund, Sweden: Swedish Univ. Agric. Sciences, Dep. of Farm Buildings. (In Swedish).
- Seedorf J. (2000). Emissionen von luftgetragenen Stauben und Mikroorganismen. *Landtechnik* 50-2, pp 182-183.
- Swedish National Board of Occupational Safety and Health. 2000. AFS (2000:3). Hygieniska gränsvärden och åtgärder mot luftföroreningar (Occupational exposure limit values and measures against air contaminants). Statue book of the Swedish National Board of Occupational Safety and Health. Solna, Sweden: Swedish Work Environment Authority. (In Swedish).
- Takai H., Pedersen S., Johnsen J. O., Metz J. H. M., Groot Koerkamp

- P. W. G., Uenk G. H., Phillips V. R., Holden M. R., Sneath R. W., Short J. L., White R. P., Hartung J., Seedorf J., Schröder M., Linkert K. H., Wathes C. M.** (1998). Concentrations and emission of airborne dust in livestock buildings in Northern Europe. *J. Agric. Engng. Res.*, 1(70), 59-77.
- Whyte R. T.** (2002). Occupational exposure of poultry stockmen in current barn systems for eggproduction in the United Kingdom. *British Poultry Science*, 43(3): 364-373.
- Whyte R. T., Williamson P. A., Lacey J.** (1993). Air pollutant burdens and respiratory impairment of poultry house stockmen. In: *Livestock Environment IV*. pp 709-717. American Society of Agricultural Engineers.
- Whyte R. T., Hartung J., Seedorf J., Schröder M., Linkert K. H., Wathes C. M.** (1998). Concentrations and emissions of airborne dust in livestock buildings in Northern Europe. *J. agric. Engng Res.* 70, 59-77.
- Von Wachenfelt E.** (1999). Dust reduction in alternative production systems for laying hens. *Proceedings: International symposium on dust control in animal production facilities*, pp 261- 264. Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Dep. of Agr. Engineering, Research Centre Bygholm, Horsens, Denmark.