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## i Executive summary

The ICES herring assessment working group (HAWG) met online for four days in May 2022 to assess the state of six herring stocks. Advice for two sprat stocks that have an advice schedule from $1^{\text {st }}$ July- $30^{\text {th }}$ June was prepared in April. HAWG also provided advice for eight sandeel stocks in February. The working group conducted update category 1 assessments for four of the herring stocks and category 3 assessments for 2 herring stocks. An analytical assessment was performed for the combined North Sea and Division 3.a sprat, and data limited assessment (ICES category 3) was conducted for English Channel sprat (spr.27.7de). Biennial advice is given for sprat in the Celtic Seas and West of Scotland with advice provided in 2021.

The North Sea autumn spawning herring (her.27.3a47d). SSB in 2021 was estimated at 1.35 mill tonnes while F2-6 in 2021 was estimated at 0.20, which is below FMSY. Recruitment in 2021 is the lowest since 2017 and within the low recruitment regime observed since 2015. Year classes since 2002 are estimated to be consistently weak with year classes 2014 and 2016 some of the weakest on record. ICES considers that the stock is still in a low productivity phase.

The Western Baltic spring-spawning herring (her.27.20-24) assessment was updated. The SSB and recruitment in 2021 are at low levels. SSB is estimated to be around 62800 tonnes which is below both $B_{p a}$ and $B_{\text {lim }}$. Recruitment has been low since 2006 and it has been further deteriorating with time. Fishing mortality decreased in 2018 and is now well below Fmsy $(0.31)$ at 0.15 . The stock has decreased consistently during the second half of the 2000s and given the continued low recruitments, the stock is not able to recover above Blim unless a drastic reduction in fishing effort is applied for several years.

The Celtic Sea autumn and winter spawning stock (her.27.irls) is estimated to be at a very low level. SSB is currently estimated to be increasing from the lowest level in the time-series and has been below $B_{\lim }\left(34000 \mathrm{t}\right.$ ) since 2016. Mean $\mathrm{F}_{(2-5}$ rings $)$ was estimated at 0.069 in 2021, having decreased from the peak of 1.2 in 2018. Recruitment has been consistently below average since 2013.

Irish Sea autumn spawning herring (her.27.nirs) assessment shows an increase in SSB in 2021 to 30792 tonnes which is the highest in the current time series. The stock has experienced large incoming year classes in recent years. Fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{4-6}$ ) has been stable between 0.2 and 0.21 since 2009 and is below FMSY ( 0.266 ).

6aN autumn spawning herring (her.27.6aN) were part of a combined assessment with herring in 6.a South and 7.b-c since 2015. Following a benchmark meeting in 2022, these two stocks are now assessed separately. This was made possible by the development of a genetically split acoustic survey index. The Malin Shelf herring estimate of SSB for autumn spawning herring in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ in 2021 is 43886 tonnes. Although estimates have increased from the minimum value in 2019, it should be noted that numbers of herring to the West of Scotland are low compared to historical estimates. Indicators show that fishing pressure on the stock is at or below Fmsy proxy (0.335) and the stock size index is above MSY $B_{\text {trigger proxy }}(14711 \mathrm{t}$ ).

Herring in 6.aS/7.b, c (her.27.6aS7bc) are now assessed separately from autumn spawning herring in 6 aN , following a benchmark in 2022. This was made possible by the development of a genetically split acoustic survey index. The survey index for herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ has been increasing since the lowest point in 2016 ( $36,707 \mathrm{t}$ ) and in 2021 was estimated to be 189,856 t , which is the second highest point in the current time series. Recent catches are among the lowest in the time series. Fishing pressure on the stock is at or below Fmsy proxy ( 0.034 ) and the stock size index is well above MSY Btrigger proxy (51 390 t).

North Sea and 3.a sprat (spr.27.3a4) were combined into a single assessment unit during the 2018 benchmark. Perception of the status of the stock is dominated by the dynamics in Subarea 4 where most of the catches occur. Fishing mortality in the last years has fluctuated at high levels between 0.6-2.2. Low recruitment the last two years have contributed to a decrease in SSB well below MSY Bescapement. The estimates for 2022 show an SSB of $100000 t$ which is below $B_{p a}$ (125 000 t ).

Catch advice for sprat in the English Channel (7.d, e) (spr.27.7de) was based on criteria for ICES category 3 stocks using the acoustic survey. The stock went through an interbenchmark in 2021 and a new basis for advice was recommended. The catch advice is now based on the latest biomass index multiplied by a constant harvest rate of $8.57 \%$. Since sprat is a short-lived species and given the timing of the survey in October, an advice period, valid from 1st July to 30st June in the following year, has been adopted for this stock starting in 2022.
Catch advice for sprat in the Celtic Seas and West of Scotland (spr.27.67a-cf-k) was given for 2022 and 2023 using the ICES category 5 based method where only landings data are available. The precautionary buffer was applied and a $20 \%$ decrease in catch is advised.

The HAWG reviewed the category 1 assessments performed on four sandeel stocks (SA 1r-3r, 4) and the category 3-6 assessments of four more sandeel stocks (SA 5r, 6, 7r, Div. 6a) and updated the related advice. Section 9 of this report contains the assessments of sandeel in Division 3.a and Subarea 4.

Standard issues such as benchmark planning, the quality and availability of data, availability of data through industry surveys and scientific advances particularly with respect to the use of genetics for stock discrimination were discussed.

All data and scripts used to perform the assessments and the forecast calculations are available at https://github.com/ICES-dk/wg HAWG and accessible to anyone.

## ii Expert group information

| Expert group name | Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of $\left.62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{HAWG})\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Expert group cycle | Annual |
| Year cycle started | 2022 |
| Reporting year in cycle | $1 / 1$ |
| Afra Egan, Ireland |  |
| Cecilie Kvamme, Norway |  |
| 25-27 January 2022, virtual meeting (13 participants) |  |
|  | March-May 2022, by correspondence (13 participants) |

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 HAWG 2022 Terms of Reference

2020/2/FRSG03 The Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (HAWG), chaired by Afra Egan, Ireland, and Cecilie Kvamme, Norway will meet: online $25^{\text {th }}-27^{\text {th }}$ January 2022 to:
a) Compile the catch data of sandeel in assessment areas $1 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{r}, 3 \mathrm{r}, 4,5 \mathrm{r}, 6$, and 7 r and address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups that are specific to sandeel stocks in the North Sea ecoregion;
and online $9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ May 2022 and the $18^{\text {th }}$ of May 2022 to:
b) address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups for all stocks assessed by HAWG.

The assessments will be carried out based on the Stock Annex. The assessments must be available for audit on the first day of the meeting.

Material and data relevant for the meeting must be available to the group on the dates specified in the 2022 ICES data call.

HAWG will report by $11^{\text {th }}$ February (sandeel), $30^{\text {th }}$ April (sprat) and $23^{\text {rd }}$ May (herring) 2022 for the attention of ACOM.

A summary of the HAWG stocks, assessment method and advice frequency is given in the table below.

| Stock Name | Stock Coord. | Assesss. Coord. | Assessment <br> Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sandeel in Divisions 4b-c, SA1r (central and southern <br> North Sea, Dogger Bank) | Denmark | Denmark | SMS-effort |
| Sandeel in Divisions 4b-c and SD20, SA2r (central and <br> southern North Sea) | Denmark | Denmark | SMS-effort |
| Sandeel in Divisions 4b-c and SD20, SA3r (northern and <br> central North Sea, Skagerrak) | Denmark / Norway | Denmark | SMS-effort |
| Sandeel in Divisions 4a-b, SA4 (northern and central <br> North Sea) | Denmark | Denmark | SMS-effort |
| Sandeel in Division 4a, SA5r (northern North Sea, Viking <br> and Bergen banks) | Denmark / Norway |  | No assessment |
| Sandeel in SD20-22, SA6 (Skagerrak, Kattegat and Belt <br> Sea) | Denmark | No assessment |  |
| Sandeel in Division 4a, SA7r (northern North Sea, Shet- <br> land) | Denmark / UK <br> (Scotland) | No assessment |  |
| Sandeel in Division 6a (West of Scotland) | ICES | No assessment |  |
| Herring in Subdivisions 20-24 (Western Baltic Spring <br> spawners) | Denmark | Senmark |  |
| Herring in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a and 7.d (North Sea <br> Autumn spawners) | Germany | The Netherlands | SAM |


| Stock Name | Stock Coord. | Assesss. Coord. | Assessment <br> Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Herring in Division 7.a South of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and 7.g-h and <br> 7.j-k (Celtic Sea and South of Ireland) | Ireland | Ireland | ASAP |
| Herring in Divisions 6.aN | UK (Scotland) | UK (Scotland) | Survey biomass in- <br> dex and CHR rule <br> for advice |
| Herring in Divisions 6.aS and 7.b and 7.c | Ireland | Ireland | Survey biomass <br> index and CHR <br> rule for advice |
| Herring in Division 7.a North of 52 ${ }^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea) | UK (Northern Ire- <br> land) | UK (Northern Ire- <br> land) | SAM |
| Sprat in Division 3.a (Skagerrak - Kattegat) and Subarea 4   <br> (North Sea) Denmark Denmark | SMS |  |  |
| Sprat in the Western Channel | UK (E\&W) | UK(E\&W) | Survey biomass |
| Sprat in the Celtic Seas | UK(E\&W) |  | No assessment |

### 1.2 Generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups

2021/2/FRSG01 The following ToRs apply to: AFWG, HAWG, NWWG, NIPAG, WGWIDE, WGBAST, WGBFAS, WGNSSK, WGCSE, WGDEEP, WGBIE, WGEEL, WGEF, WGHANSA and WGNAS.

## The working group should focus on:

a) Consider and comment on Ecosystem and Fisheries overviews where available;
b) For the aim of providing input for the Fisheries Overviews, consider and comment on the following for the fisheries relevant to the working group:
i) descriptions of ecosystem impacts on fisheries
ii) descriptions of developments and recent changes to the fisheries
iii) mixed fisheries considerations, and
iv) emerging issues of relevance for management of the fisheries;
c) Conduct an assessment on the stock(s) to be addressed in 2022 using the method (assessment, forecast or trends indicators) as described in the stock annex; - complete and document an audit of the calculations and results; and produce a brief report of the work carried out regarding the stock, providing summaries of the following where relevant:
i) Input data and examination of data quality; in the event of missing or inconsistent survey or catch information refer to the ACOM document for dealing with COVID19 pandemic disruption and the linked template that formulates how deviations from the stock annex are to be reported.
ii) Where misreporting of catches is significant, provide qualitative and where possible quantitative information and describe the methods used to obtain the information;
iii) For relevant stocks (i.e., all stocks with catches in the NEAFC Regulatory Area), estimate the percentage of the total catch that has been taken in the NEAFC Regulatory Area in 2021.
iv) For category 3 and 4 stocks requiring new advice in 2022, implement the methods recommended by WKLIFE X (e.g. SPiCT, rfb, chr, rb rules) to replace the former 2 over 3 advice rule ( 2 over 5 for elasmobranchs). MSY reference points or proxies for the category 3 and 4 stocks
v) Evaluate spawning stock biomass, total stock biomass, fishing mortality, catches (projected landings and discards) using the method described in the stock annex;

1) for category 1 and 2 stocks, in addition to the other relevant model diagnostics, the recommendations and decision tree formulated by WKFORBIAS (see Annex 2 of https://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication\ Reports/Ex-pert\ Group\ Report/Fisheries\ Resources\ Steering\ Group/2020/WKFORBIAS 2019.pdf) should be considered as guidance to determine whether an assessment remains sufficiently robust for providing advice.
2) If the assessment is deemed no longer suitable as basis for advice, consider whether it is possible and feasible to resolve the issue through an inter-benchmark. If this is not possible, consider providing advice using an appropriate Category 2 to 5 approach.;
vi) The state of the stocks against relevant reference points;

Consistent with ACOM's 2020 decision, the basis for Fpa should be Fp. 05.

1) 2. Where Fp. 05 for the current set of reference points is reported in the relevant benchmark report, replace the value and basis of Fpa with the information relevant for Fp. 05
1) 2. Where Fp. 05 for the current set of reference points is not reported in the relevant benchmark report, compute the Fp. 05 that is consistent with the current set of reference points and use as Fpa. A review/audit of the computations will be organized.
1) 3. Where Fp. 05 for the current set of reference points is not reported and cannot be computed, retain the existing basis for Fpa.
vii) Catch scenarios for the year(s) beyond the terminal year of the data for the stocks for which ICES has been requested to provide advice on fishing opportunities;
viii)Historical and analytical performance of the assessment and catch options with a succinct description of associated quality issues. For the analytical performance of category 1 and 2 age-structured assessments, report the mean Mohn's rho (assessment retrospective bias analysis) values for time series of recruitment, spawning stock biomass, and fishing mortality rate. The WG report should include a plot of this retrospective analysis. The values should be calculated in accordance with the "Guidance for completing ToR viii) of the Generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups - Retrospective bias in assessment" and reported using the ICES application for this purpose.
d) Produce a first draft of the advice on the stocks under considerations according to ACOM guidelines.
i. In the section 'Basis for the assessment' under input data match the survey names with the relevant "SurveyCode" listed ICES survey naming convention (restricted access) and add the "SurveyCode" to the advice sheet.
e) Review progress on benchmark issues and processes of relevance to the Expert Group.
i) update the benchmark issues lists for the individual stocks in SID;
ii) review progress on benchmark issues and identify potential benchmarks to be initiated in 2023 for conclusion in 2024;
iii) determine the prioritization score for benchmarks proposed for 2023-2024;
iv) as necessary, document generic issues to be addressed by the Benchmark Oversight Group (BOG)
f) Prepare the data calls for the next year's update assessment and for planned data evaluation workshops;
g) Identify research needs of relevance to the work of the Expert Group.
h) Review and update information regarding operational issues and research priorities on the Fisheries Resources Steering Group SharePoint site.
i) If not completed in 2020, complete the audit spread sheet 'Monitor and alert for changes in ecosystem/fisheries productivity' for the new assessments and data used for the stocks. Also note in the benchmark report how productivity, species interactions, habitat and distributional changes, including those related to climate-change, could be considered in the advice.

Information of the stocks to be considered by each Expert Group is available here.

### 1.3 Reviews of groups or projects important for the WG

HAWG was briefed throughout the meeting about other groups and projects that were of relevance to their work. Some of these briefings and/or groups are described below.

### 1.3.1 Meeting of the Chairs of Assessment Related Expert Groups (WGCHAIRS)

WGCHAIRS met online in January 2022 in preparation for the new year of advice and science working group activities. This was the second year WGCHAIRS was held remotely. The meeting was held over 4 days. The agenda on day 1 was tailored for new chairs. On day 2 the focus was for assessment groups chaired by ACOM leadership. A joint ACOM/SCICOM session was held on the third day and on the final day the focus was for SCICOM groups.

Under the ICES strategy, activities of advisory working groups such as HAWG are conducted under the umbrella of the Fisheries Resources Steering Group (FRSG) which became operational in 2019. Advisory expert groups maintain their prerogative of "closed groups" in the sense that members will be still nominated at a national level. An FRSG meeting was held on the $27^{\text {th }}$ of January to discuss TAF, the application of WKLIFE methods, stock assessment advances and initiatives as well as challenges groups may encounter related to the COVID disruption.

A number of presentations were given which were relevant to HAWG. The benchmark system and the role of the benchmark oversight group was explained. A benchmark is a peer review of data and methods that requires prior development, analysis, and documentation before it can proceed. Benchmark needs should be identified early, and a prioritization process followed. The
benchmark oversight group (BOG) provides support and have an overall coordination role. A benchmark planning checklist has been developed to help groups to prioritize issues and agree a timeline for each issue to be completed. If high priority issues are not completed, then the benchmark may be delayed to allow sufficient time to work on these tasks. The distinction between benchmarks and inter-benchmarks was also discussed.

Given that the use of the transparent assessment framework has slowed down, the benefits and value of TAF was explained and chairs shared their experiences on this. Work is ongoing towards providing ICES advice online. The new developments and the plan for future work was presented.
WGCHAIRS discussed gender equality, diversity, and inclusion in the ICES community. The gender diversity across several aspects of ICES work was presented, including the ASC participation, chairs of working groups, national representatives at ACOM and SCICOM, council delegates and executive committee members. It was highlighted that we should follow the ICES meeting etiquette and we are all accountable. We treat each other with respect, embrace diversity, include equally, communicate thoughtfully, avoid harassment, and promote wellbeing.

### 1.3.2 Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS)

The Working Group of International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS) met online on Teams $24^{\text {th }}-28^{\text {th }}$ January 2022. Among the core objectives of the Expert Group are combining and reviewing results of annual pelagic ecosystem surveys to provide indices for the stocks of herring, sprat, mackerel, boarfish, and blue whiting in the Northeast Atlantic, Norwegian Sea, North Sea, and Western Baltic; and to coordinate timing, coverage, and methodologies for the upcoming 2022 surveys.

Results of the surveys covered by WGIPS and coordination plans for the 2022 pelagic acoustic surveys are available from the WGIPS report (ICES 2022, WGIPS). The following text refers only to the surveys of relevance to HAWG.

North Sea, West of Scotland and Malin Shelf summer herring acoustic surveys (HERAS) in 2021: Six surveys were carried out during late June and July covering most of the continental shelf in the North Sea, West of Scotland, Malin Shelf, West of Ireland and Celtic Sea.

The estimate of North Sea Autumn Spawning herring spawning stock biomass is lower than in the previous year at 1.5 million tonnes (2020: 1.7 million tonnes) with a further decrease in the number of mature fish (2020: 8915 million fish, 2021: 8170 million fish).

The 2021 estimate of Western Baltic Spring Spawning herring 3+ group is 82000 tonnes and 639 million. Compared to the 2020 estimates of 103000 tonnes and 667 million fish, this equals a decrease of $20 \%$ in biomass.

The West of Scotland herring estimate (6.a.N) of SSB in 2021 is 147000 tonnes and 871 million individuals, which is a $\sim 7 \%$ decrease in both biomass and abundance compared to the 158000 tonnes and 943 million herring estimate in 2020.

The 2021 SSB estimate for the Malin Shelf area (6.a and 7.b, c combined) is 278000 tonnes and 1827 million individuals. This is higher than the 2020 estimates ( 226000 tonnes and 1435 million herring). There were again low numbers of herring found in the northern strata (to the north of Scotland and east to the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ line) in 2021, which is similar to 2020 . There were significant numbers of herring distributed south of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ again in 2021, including large numbers of immature herring.

For consistency, the survey results continue to be presented separately for sprat in the North Sea and Skagerrak-Kattegat although these two stocks were combined in a benchmark in 2018 (ICES 2018 WKSPRAT).

The total abundance of North Sea sprat (Subarea 4) in 2021 was estimated at 56200 million individuals and the biomass at 420000 tonnes. This is a decrease from last year, and around the longterm average of the time series, in terms of both abundance and biomass. The estimate is dominated by 1 -year-old sprat ( $75 \%$ in biomass). The estimate includes 0 -group sprat ( $2 \%$ in numbers, and $1 \%$ in biomass), which only occasionally is observed in the HERAS survey.
For Div. 3.a, the sprat abundance in 2021 is estimated at 623 million individuals and the biomass at 6200 tonnes. This is the second lowest estimate of the time series in terms of biomass, and well below the long-term average both in terms of abundance ( $70 \%$ below) and biomass ( $76 \%$ below). The estimate is dominated by 1 - and 2 -year-old sprat.

Irish Sea Acoustic Survey: The herring abundance for the Irish Sea and North Channel (7.a.N) during 27th August-11th September 2021 was reported by Northern Ireland. The herring stock estimate in the Irish Sea/North Channel area was estimated to be $99,589 \mathrm{t}$. The major contribution of ages to the total estimates is from age 1 and age 2 fish by number and weight. The herring were fairly widely distributed within mixed schools at low abundance, with a few distinct high abundance areas. The bulk of $1+$ herring in 2020 were observed west of the Isle of Man and off the off the east coast of Northern Ireland, with a fairly scattered lower abundance observed throughout the Irish Sea. The estimate of herring SSB of $64,271 \mathrm{t}$ is within the observed range for the time series and the biomass estimate of $98,277 \mathrm{t}$ for $1+$ ringers for 2021 also remains within the observed range since 2011. Sprat and 0-group herring were distributed around the periphery of the Irish Sea, with the most abundance of 0-group herring in the eastern side and in areas along the northern Irish coast to the west.

Irish Sea spawning acoustic survey: A series of additional acoustic surveys has been conducted since 2007 by Northern Ireland, following the annual pelagic acoustic survey (conducted during the beginning of September). The survey uses a stratified design similar to the Irish Sea Acoustic survey $[\mathrm{AC}(7 . \mathrm{aN})]$. Survey methodology, data processing and subsequent analysis is the same as for $\mathrm{AC}(7 . \mathrm{aN})$ and follows standard protocols for surveys coordinated by WGIPS. The survey is included in the assessment as an SSB index. The major contribution of ages to the total estimates is from ages 0 fish by number and 2 by weight. The herring were distributed within a few distinct high abundance areas to the west and east of the Isle of Man. The estimate of herring SSB of 70,859 t for the 2021 acoustic survey is a large increase from 47,933 t in 2020. The survey estimates are influenced by the timing of the spawning migration.

Celtic Sea herring acoustic survey (CSHAS): Herring and sprat abundance for the Celtic Sea in October 2021 was reported by the Marine Institute, Ireland. Geographical coverage was comparable to 2020. The core distribution areas were comprehensively covered, and the stock was considered contained within the Celtic Sea survey area.
The 2021 total standing stock estimate is $9,877 \mathrm{t}$ and 310 million individuals (CV 0.44) is an increase on the 2020 estimate ( $4,717 \mathrm{t}$ and a total abundance of $67,368,000$ individuals). The standing stock biomass however still remains in a low state. The stock is dominated by 3-wr fish representing $43 \%$ of the total biomass (TSB) and $11 \%$ of total abundance (TSN). Immature $0-\mathrm{wr}$ fish accounted for $33 \%$ of TSB and $81 \%$ of TSN.

The biomass of sprat (TSB) was 12,376 t and the TSN 3,018 mill individuals and an increase on the 2020 estimates ( $4,717 \mathrm{t}$ and 67.3 mill ind.). The nearshore distribution of sprat likely led to the stock not being fully contained within the survey area.

Pelagic ecosystem survey in Western Channel and eastern Celtic Sea (PELTIC): This survey was conducted by Cefas, UK, in the Western Channel and eastern Celtic Sea in Oct-Nov 2021. For the fifth year, the survey was extended beyond UK waters to also include the French waters of western English Channel and for the second time Cardigan Bay in the southern Irish Sea. The pelagic fish objectives of the survey were successfully completed. In total 2181 nautical miles
of acoustic sampling units were collected and supplemented with 41 valid trawls. Sprat were mainly found in Lyme Bay, showing a more offshore distribution than in 2020. The biomass in Lyme Bay, which is the core area sampled since 2013 and is relevant to the stock assessment of sprat in 7de, was $107,355 \mathrm{t}$ which is more than three times higher than the 2020 estimate of 33,798 t and the highest of the time series. This was comprised of $0-\mathrm{gr}$ sprat.

Baltic International Acoustic Survey (BIAS): This survey is conducted throughout the Baltic Sea during the months of September-October with participation of the different Baltic countries. BIAS is coordinated by the Working Group on Baltic International Fish Survey (WGBIFS). Germany is responsible for the survey covering the western Baltic and the Kattegat (SDs 21-24). The results of the German Autumn Acoustic Survey (GERAS) are presented to WGIPS and WGBIFS, whereas mainly the herring data are of interest for WGIPS and the sprat data for WGBIFS, respectively. The GERAS-index, which refers only to Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring (WBSSH), is used within the assessment of the Herring stock in Division 3a and subdivisions 2224 (see Chapter 3). Mixing with the adjacent central Baltic herring stock generally occurs in SD 24 and in 2021 also in SD 21-23. The GERAS-index is routinely adjusted to account for the mixing of the two stocks. The adjustment is based on growth parameters.

The 2021 GERAS-index was estimated to be $0.87 \times 10^{9}$ fish or about $31.1 \times 10^{3}$ tonnes in subdivisions 21-24. The biomass index in 2021 represents the lowest in the time series.

### 1.3.3 WGQUALITY, WGBIOP and WGCATCH

Operationalising the outputs from the former PGDATA (final report), now falls within the remit of the ICES working group on the Governance of Quality Management of Data and Advice (WGQuality), which held its first meeting in January 2021. Supporting the objectives of the ICES Advisory Plan, WGQuality work focusses on developing and promoting quality assurance within ICES advisory processes - from data management, data integration, data analysis, and data use, to the process of translating that data into ICES advice. It is affiliated to the Data Science and Technology Steering Group (DSTSG), which is also the parent group for WGBIOP and WGCATCH. These three groups work together to ensure the quality of data going into stock assessments and development of methods for identifying improvements in data quality, or collections of new data, that have the greatest impacts on the quality of advice.

WGBIOP focusses on the quality of biological parameters collected and used in assessments and advice. This includes age and maturity, but also other biological parameters. WGBIOP coordinates the practical implementation of quality assured and statistically sound development of methods, standards, and guidelines for the provision of accurate biological parameters for stock assessment purposes. The overall aim for WGBIOP is to review the status of current issues, achievements and developments of biological parameters and identify future needs in line with ICES requirements and the wider European environmental monitoring and management.

As biological parameters are among the main input data for most stock assessment and mixed fishery modelling, these activities are considered to have a very high priority. The main link between assessment working groups and WGBIOP is through the benchmark process. WGBIOP works in close association with the BSG (ICES benchmark steering group), reviewing all available issue lists, providing information on listed issues, identifying missing issues in relation to specific stocks and guiding the process to get issues related to biological parameters resolved. WGBIOP tries to align its scheduling of age and maturity calibration exchanges and workshops with the newly proposed ICES benchmark prioritization system. WGBIOP has a close working relationship with WGSMART (The Working Group on SmartDots Governance) and in cooperation will further develop the SmartDots tool as a platform for supporting the provision of quality assured data to the end-users.

The last WGBIOP (October 2021) reviewed the following activities falling within its remit and of interest for HAWG:

- There are no workshop or exchange planned for herring (Clupea harengus) and sprat (Sprattus sprattus) stocks assessed by HAWG. Prior to the benchmark of Sandeel (Ammodytes) 2022 an age reading exchange was conducted.
- A workshop on the identification of clupeid larvae (WKIDCLUP2) was conducted on 30 August - 3 September 2021 in Bremerhaven, Germany, to identify problem areas in clupeid identification. SmartDots was expanded with a fish larvae module specific for this workshop. The module allowed sharing of images of various clupeid larvae of different spawning areas (from the Portuguese coast to the Baltic) and other species co-occurring with the clupeid larvae. Within SmartDots each participant could measure, count myotomes and identify the larvae to species. This first test of the module was promising and will be further developed and used for fish larvae calibration exercises in the future. The results of this short workshop were promising as the agreement in larvae identification was higher compared to the 2014 workshop.

Other clupeid stocks

- An otolith exchange was held for sprat in the Baltic Sea and NEA mackerel, resulting in an overall agreement between readers of $59.0 \%$ and $64.7 \%$, respectively.

Planning of future workshops and exchanges

- WGBIOP is planning to organise a workshop in 2023 on the comparison between age reading methods of NSSH using scales and otoliths. WGIPS is requested to collect samples in 2022. The focus is on NSSH but could have implications for NSASH as well.

WGCATCH continues to document national fishery sampling schemes, establish best practice and guidelines on sampling and estimation procedures, and provide advice on other uses of fishery data. The group evaluates how new data collection regulations, or management measures (such as the landings obligation) will alter how data need to be collected and provide guidelines about biases and disruptions this may induce in time-series of commercial data. WGCATCH also develop and promote the use of a range of indicators of fishery data quality for different types of end-users. These include indicators to allow stock assessment and other ICES scientists to decide if data are of sufficient quality to be used, or how different datasets can be weighted in an assessment model according to their relative quality.

WGCATCH 2021 continued to focus on how to communicate relevant information about sampling design and estimation to ICES assessment working groups, how to get a better process around delivering quality catch data for benchmarks. In respect to estimation, the focus was and will be on how to incorporate none-responses in the estimation and estimation of rare event. The first will be explored intersessional and the latter will be explored in an ICES workshop in autumn 2022. In respect to the small-scale fisheries, WGCATCH 2021 updated and refined the risk assessment for transversal data quality methodology and continued to document the sampling effort on biology for this part of the fleet. Further, the group continued the close relation to WGBYC and the RDBES.

### 1.3.4 WGSAM

The Working Group on Multispecies Assessment Methods WGSAM provides estimates of natural mortality (M) for a number of fish stocks based on estimates from multispecies models. WGSAM provides M estimates for the following HAWG stocks: North Sea herring, North Sea sprat, sandeel SA1 and sandeel SA3. Predation mortality was updated in the 2021 assessment of these stocks based on the 2020 key run of the North Sea SMS model provided by WGSAM (ICES 2021). The 2020 key run is primarily an update of the 2017 key run by extension of the input data
and their update when the single species stock assessment input data were revised through benchmarks or inter-benchmarks.

In the SMS model, predators include both assessed species (i.e., cod, haddock, saithe, whiting, mackerel) and species with given input population size (North Sea horse mackerel, western horse mackerel, grey gurnard, starry ray, hake, fulmar, gannet, great black backed gull, guillemot, herring gull, kittiwake, puffin, razorbill, grey seal, and harbour porpoise). The assessed predators are parametrised using a combination of commercial and survey data (i.e., same input as for the single species assessments) except saithe and mackerel which are closely tuned to the ICES stock assessment by using number-at-age from the single species assessment models as input of SMS.

Main changes to input data since the 2017 key run include:

- Update of "single-species data" (catch-at-age numbers, mean weights, proportion mature, survey indices, etc.) with use of the most recent ICES assessment input data. The most important changes are:
- Whiting benchmark with mean weight at age in the sea derived from survey data, whereas mean weights from the catches were used previously. This gives lower mean weight at ages for the youngest ages and higher mean weights for the oldest ages compared to the 2017 key run
- Sprat benchmark with inclusion of subdivision 3a in the stock area and reestimation of historical catch data
- Mackerel benchmark with new stock size estimate
- Re-estimation of the hake stock within the North Sea
- Re-estimation of horse mackerel and their proportion of the stock within the North Sea

Comparison with previous values of predation mortalities suggest:

- Herring - the pattern in $M$ is in general consistent between the two key runs but some differences are estimated in the first and last part of the time series. Differences in most recent years are due to lower stock size of the predators cod and saithe, and by increased predation by whiting and hake.
- Sprat - the pattern in M is in general consistent between the two key runs, but the new estimates downscale the absolute values of predations mortality for all ages except age0.
- Sandeel - estimates of predation mortality are highly consistent for both the northern and the southern sandeel modelled stocks (i.e., current SMS considers sandeel as two units within the model, approx. corresponding to SA1 and SA3) between the new and previous key runs. Some marginal differences are visible for the southern sandeel with an upscale of M in the last part of the time series for all ages and a downward revision in the first part of the time series for age3+.

Overall, the model structure and main assumptions are consistent with the previous key run. Based on an internal review process, WGSAM considered the new key run appropriate in relation to the purpose of providing predation mortality estimates.

### 1.3.5 MIK surveys

## Down's herring recruitment information

In 2016, WKHERLARS evaluated the North Sea herring larvae surveys (ICES, 2016), and concluded that the current IBTS-MIK recruitment index does not contain information on the Downs spawning component. It was recommended to investigate the possibility to collect data to include information on Down's recruitment. In 2017, the effect of omitting one of the three IHLS
surveys, carried out on the Downs component, from the herring assessment was investigated. The omission resulted in a negligible effect, and it was, thus, decided to drop the Dutch IHLS participation in the second half of January. The vessel time and budget of this survey was instead used to conduct a Downs Recruitment Survey (DRS) in April.

The DRS was carried out in April 2018, 2019, 2021 and 2022. Due to COVID-19 measures it was not possible to carry out a DRS in April 2020. As herring larvae need to be caught at the same development stage as the IBTS-MIK, it was not possible to move the survey to a later date in 2020.

The DRS is carried out following the IBTS-MIK protocol, but sampling both day and night, instead of only at night. Comparative fishing trials to check for difference in catchability between day and night were done in 2021 and 2022.

HAWG has a positive view on the continuation of the Downs Recruitment Survey (DRS) but cannot include the survey in the advice based on only two years of a survey. HAWG foresees potential future use of the combined IBTS0-DRS-index for a complete NSAS recruitment index for the advice if the surveys are continued. Thus, HAWG supports the continuation of the exploratory surveys in April and have had a positive response from several laboratories. HAWG recommends that WGSINS investigate calculation of a Downs and combined North Sea herring recruitment index based on the combination of the IBTS-MIK and DRS data.

### 1.3.6 Stock separation of herring in surveys and catches

The mixing of herring stocks in surveys and catches is an issue in many of the stock assessments carried out in HAWG. Until 2022 only the mixing between North Sea herring and Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring (in the catches, in the HERAS and IBTS surveys) and between Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring and Central Baltic herring (limited to the GERAS survey) were routinely quantified and accounted for in the assessments. In 2022 the 6.a, 7.b-c stocks have been delineated based on the results of genetic stock identification for the first time, thus enabling separate assessments for the 6.a.S, 7.b.c stock and the 6.a.N autumn spawning stock. The development of operational methods to enable estimation of proportion contribution from different stock in catches and survey indices throughout the management areas for herring assessed by HAWG is a topic that HAWG continues to have high on the list of issues to solve to improve upon assessments. Several ICES workshops have been held to progress this topic, most recently WKMIXHER in 2018 and WKSIDAC in 2017. HAWG recommend another meeting of WKSIDAC in 2023. An update on progress of those projects dealing with stock identification and mixing of relevance to HAWG is provided below.

## Update on Stock Identification of 6.a, 7.b-c Herring

Atlantic herring west of Scotland and northwest of Ireland comprise at least two reproductively isolated biological populations. The 6.a.N herring spawn off Cape Wrath in northwest Scotland in Autumn (September/October) and the 6.a.S, 7.b-c herring spawn off Donegal in northwest Ireland in winter and early spring (November to March). The stocks are believed to form mixed feeding aggregations west of the Hebrides in summer, where they are targeted by the Malin Shelf Herring Acoustic Survey (MSHAS), conducted annually by the Marine Institute and Marine Scotland. The MSHAS survey index is a primary input into the stock assessments of the two stocks. Up to now it has not been possible to separate the data from the MSHAS into population/stock of origin, therefore only a combined index is available and hence a combined assessment (ICES, 2015). Based on the combined assessment, ICES has provided combined advice for the two areas and stocks since 2015 and has recommended a zero TAC for the last seven years. Scientific samples are obtained during the scientific monitoring fisheries in 6.a.S, 7.b-c and industry surveys in 6.a.N.

In response to the WKWEST (ICES, 2015) report a programme of stock identification research was developed (see summary in ICES HAWG, 2021). The programme initially relied on industry and national institute funding (2016-2018) before the European Commission's Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME) funded a 36-month project (2018-2020) entitled 'Herring in Divisions 6.a, 7.b and 7.c: Scientific Assessment of the Identity of the Southern and Northern Stocks through Genetic and Morphometric Analysis'. This project comprised an extensive review of the history of the existing stock delineations, comprehensive sampling for both genetics and morphometrics, genetic marker development, genetic screening of samples, the establishment of a genetic protocol for large scale sample screening, morphometric analyses and comparative analyses of both methods (see Farrell et al., 2021). One of the main conclusions of the EASME project was that morphometrics was not suitable to discriminate between mixed herring along the Malin Shelf. Although the use of body and otolith shape showed potential in discriminating between 6.a.N and 6.a.S stocks initially, the method was not powerful enough to discriminate mixed herring samples due to the complex temporal-spatial mixing of these two stocks along the Malin Shelf. The genetic markers and assignment methods constitute a tool that can be used for the assignment of herring caught in mixed survey and commercial catches in Division 6.a into their population of origin with a high level of accuracy ( $>90 \%$ ).

The results of this project together with the previous industry and institute funded programme component were compiled into a final project report (Farrell et al., 2021), which was reviewed by the Stock Identification Methods Working Group (SIMWG). The SIMWG concluded that 'the study should serve as an example of good practice for optimal use of existing resources and result reproducibility', 'the methodology is rigorous throughout' and 'there is no doubt in SIMWG that the (genetic) approaches presented can be used to':

1. Distinguish the 6 aS late winter spawners from the $6 a N$ autumn spawners;
2. Distinguish, more subtly, the spring-spawning contingent in $6 a N$ from $6 a S$ (even though the relatedness between these two is high);
3. Confirm essentially the 'North Sea nature' of the 6 aN autumn spawners;
4. Assess the mixed MSHAS catches (which appear primarily composed of $6 a S$ fish, with the proportion of autumn-spawning fish increasing as one moves north-east towards Cape Wrath and the Orkneys).

Subsequent to the completion of the EASME funded component of the 6.a stock identification programme and prior to the WKNSCS benchmark it was possible to undertake additional genetic analyses in order to fill any potential data gaps identified during the EASME project. As detailed in the 2021 HAWG report (ICES, 2021) a short-term project extension was developed with the existing project partners. During this extension additional spawning baseline samples were added to the baselines and using the same approaches as specified in Farrell et al. (2021) the 2020 and 2021 MSHAS samples were genetically assigned to their stock of origin. A detailed summary of the genetic approaches underpinning the splitting of the MSHAS data is provided in O'Malley et al. (2021), the full stock identification project report in Farrell et al. (2021) and a draft manuscript of the genetic baseline based on the updated baseline in Farrell et al. (in review),

In short, the baseline genetic analyses indicated that herring in ICES Division 6.a comprise at least three distinct populations; 6.a.S herring, 6.a.N autumn spawning herring and 6.a.N spring spawning herring. The $6 . a$.S herring are primarily a winter spawning population though there is a later spawning component present in the area also. These components are currently inseparable and for the purposes of stock assessment should be combined as $6 . a . S$ herring. No baseline spawning samples could be collected in Divisions 7.b or 7c therefore the relationship between the herring that spawn in this area and those that spawn in 6.a.S is unknown. The 6.a.N spring spawning herring are distinct from the 6.a.N autumn herring and spawn in the Minch in

February and March. This population is not currently subject to stock assessment or specific management measures. There is no historical or contemporary evidence to support the differentiation of 6.a.N autumn spawning herring and North Sea autumn spawning herring. The Downs herring were confirmed to be distinct from the North Sea autumn spawning herring though it could not be reliably discriminated from the Celtic Sea and Irish Sea samples with the current panel of markers. The Celtic Sea herring and Irish Sea herring are distinct from each other and from the populations in ICES Divisions 6.a however the current genetic marker panel is not optimised for their inclusion in the baseline assignment dataset. For the purposes of developing an assignment model only the populations confirmed as being present in Division 6.a were included in the baseline assignment dataset; 6.a.S, 6.a.N autumn and 6.a.N spring.
Across the eight years of MSHAS samples that were genetically assigned (2014-2021), there was a consistent pattern of a higher proportion of $6 . a . S$ herring in the samples than 6.a.N autumn spawning herring. The 6.a.S assigned fish were distributed across the survey area both south and north of the current stock delineation line of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, confirming that this geographic delineator for the collation of survey data is not appropriate. The highest proportions of $6 . a . S$ fish were observed in the hauls closest to the Irish coast. The highest proportions of 6.a.N autumn spawning fish were observed in the most northerly hauls adjacent to the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ stock delineator. Potential 6.a.N spring spawning herring comprised a significant proportion of the MSHAS hauls west of the Hebrides.

The assignment of non-baseline putatively mixed samples from Divisions 6.a,7.b-c collected outside of the MSHAS period also provided useful information. Analysis of a subset of the hauls on the Q1 2019 Scottish West Coast International Bottom Trawl Survey (SWC-IBTS) indicated a high degree of mixing of the 6.a populations within the hauls. Analysis of Q3 samples from the 6.a.N. industry acoustic survey indicated that juveniles in the northern Minch area most likely belonged to the 6.a.S or 6.a.N spring populations and samples from the Cape Wrath area were composed of a mix of the 6.a populations.

Analysis of the Q4 samples from the 6.a.S monitoring fishery indicated the samples comprised primarily $6 . a . S$ herring. Samples of herring from Lough Foyle were shown to be genetically and biologically 6.a.S herring, though they are currently defined as $6 . a . \mathrm{N}$ autumn spawning herring according to the ICES stock delineation. Non-spawning herring caught in Division 7.b assigned genetically to the 6.a.S population.

## Updates on tools to split herring populations

Atlantic herring has one of the, to date, best described genomes which has allowed for a genetic inventory of a broad representation of all major stock units in the Northeast Atlantic (Han et al. 2020; Bekkevold et al. unpublished). Based on recent work, robust genetic assays to split mixedstock aggregations have been developed and implemented (Bekkevold et al. unpublished; Farrell et al. in review). Work has e.g., demonstrated unprecedented accuracy in stock-splitting between North Sea autumn spawning herring, NSAS, her.27.3a47d, and Downs winter spawning herring, her.27.3a47d; between Western Baltic spring spawning herring, WBSSH, her.27.20-24, and NSAS; between WBSS and central Baltic Sea spring spawning herring, CBH (her.27.25-2932); between Faroese autumn spawning herring, FASH, and NSAS; and between Norwegian spring spawning herring, NSS, her.27.1-24a514a, and WBSS (Bekkevold et al. unpublished). The work has facilitated the development of a comprehensive genetic database of all main spawning components feeding in areas 4ab and 3a. Genetic splitting of NSAS and WBSS is now fully implemented in data from the Danish and Norwegian commercial catches and their parts of HERAS, and Danish IBTS. Currently, information about additionally occurring stocks in 4ab/3a, such as NSS, Baltic Sea Autumn Spawning herring and Baltic Sea spring spawning herring is not currently used, and these fish has been assigned as either NSAS or WBSS based on previously used methods. Genetic marker-based splitting has thus replaced the methods of vertebral count, otolith shape and
microstructure data. Splitting is limited to Danish, Swedish and Norwegian samples from commercial catches and scientific surveys in Skagerrak-Kattegat and the north-eastern North Sea. Applied splitting methods will become consistent between labs and countries as of 2022. The benefit of using genetic methods to identify stock components, in comparison with traditionally implemented phenotyping methods, has been demonstrated for different approaches (Berg et al. 2021; Farrell et al. in review, Bekkevold et al. unpublished).

## Updates on the analyses of the WKMixHer sample

The 2018 workshop on mixing of western and central Baltic herring stocks (WKMixHer) recommended coordinated sampling of spring spawning herring with the objective to further evaluate mixing of herring stocks in the western-central Baltic and to implement operational methods for separation.

Samples were collected by Sweden, Germany, Poland, and Lithuania during the 2019 and 2020 spawning peak on 7 coastal spawning grounds in the Hanö Bay, Bay of Lübeck, Greifswald Bay, Pomeranian Bay, Kolozbreg, Vistula Lagoon and Klaipéda (Figure 1.2.7.2).

Herring were collected at spawning time from spawning aggregations, resulting in samples from late March till early May as the spawning peak showed a seasonal progression through the region from west to east. Sampling was restricted to ripe and running individuals corresponding to maturity stages 5 to 7.592 individuals were sampled, covering ages 2-13 winter rings, and stock separation by growth function was applied. Otolith shapes were extracted, and preliminary analyses conducted on 449 of these herring (ages 4-7).

A Canonical Analysis of Principal Coordinates performed on the standardized wavelet coefficients from the otolith shapes showed that herring from the sampled locations group into two well distinct clusters, with a clear geographical longitudinal separation (Figure 1.2.7.3). Samples from part of the Polish coast in SD25 (station "SWI-31" and "ROW") group with the western Baltic cluster.

Among the classifiers tested (both traditional techniques and machine learning algorithms), Random Forest (with k-fold cross validation) provided the best overall accuracy in the discrimination between the two clusters based on otolith shape analysis with overall assignment accuracy of $\sim 70 \%$. When using the growth analysis on the WKMixHer samples (growth is currently used for separating western and central Baltic herring in SD22-24 in the GERAS survey) assignment accuracy to one of the two clusters yield $\sim 97 \%$.

Further work in progress:

- Combine otolith shape and growth analysis when conducting assignments;
- Adding genetic analysis to evaluate the number of components present and validate results from the otolith shape;
- Collect samples of spawning herring from the central part of the Polish coast to evaluate the gradient of differentiation along the southern Baltic coast.

Further information on this is work is available from Valerio Bartolino (valerio.bartolino@slu.se).


Figure 1.2.7.2. Map with sampling locations of spawning herring during spring 2019-2020. Colours correspond to the two clusters identifies in the Canonical Analysis of Principal Coordinates (See Figure 1.2.7.3).


Figure 1.2.7.3. Plot of the first and second Principal Components from the analysis of standardized Wavelet coefficients. The black labels show the centroid for each spawning location. TRA: Bay of Lübeck (GER), GAG: Bay of Greifswald (GER), SWI23: Pomeranian Bay (POL), SWI31: Kolobrzeg (POL), ROW: Rowy (POL), GDA: Gulf of Gdansk (POL), OBL Vistula lagoon (POL), LIT: Klaipėda (LTU), BR9 - BV9: Hanö Bay (SWE).

### 1.3.7 WKDLSSLS

The Workshop on Data Limited Stocks of Short-Lived Species 3 (WKDLSSLS3) held in 2021 built on the work of the previous two workshops in 2019 (WKDLSSLS) and 2020 (WKDLSSLS2) to further develop methods for stock assessment and catch advice for category 3-4 short-lived species. Work was carried out to evaluate the appropriateness of the management procedures based on direct use of abundance indices (for category 3 stocks). For sprat in 7d,e The effect of seasonal advice schedule (July-June) was investigated. During the stock's inter-benchmark, an annual MSE was not able to investigate within-year processes. A novel intra-annual MSE (Mildenberger et al., 2021) was parameterised for the stock, accounting for seasonal growth and exploitation. The timing and lag between events within the year (e.g., survey observation, implementation of advice, recruitment) affect the performance of Harvest Control Rules (HCR). WKDLSSLS3 concluded that the inter-benchmark decision of $8.57 \%$ Constant Harvest Rate (CHR) seems to be appropriate. The group examined the effect of applying an $80 \%$ uncertainty cap (UC) to the CHRs. The conclusion from this was an UC resulted in minimal risk reduction for CHR's below the $5 \%$ risk threshold. It did reduce risk for CHR's that are too high but could not bring them below the ICES risk threshold. The only significant difference between CHR and CHR+UC was a decrease in interannual variability in the stock. The group found that unconstrained CHRs appear robust to past fishing history, initial stock status and advice schedule but are sensitive to survey catchability. No recommendations from the WKDLSSLS were made in regard to applying a UC to CHR's.

### 1.3.8 WKNSCS - Benchmark workshop on North Sea and Celtic Sea stocks

The benchmark workshop on North Sea and Celtic Sea stocks (WKNSCS 2022) took place in February 2022 with a data meeting in November 2021. Five stocks were included in this benchmark including herring in $6 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$. The availability of the genetically split Malin Shelf Acoustic survey data allowed the two stocks to be assessed separately ( $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ and 6 aN ).

For herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ category 1 assessments were tried using SAM and ASAP. SAM had issues with survey catchability and model convergence as well as with the SSB and F trajectories. ASAP was very sensitive to the assumptions about fishery selectivity. Both models had poor retrospective performance with Mohns Rho values outside acceptable limits. While neither model reached the standard for a category 1 or 2 assessment, significant progress has been made with both approaches showing good promise for the future when more split data (survey and catch) is available. SPiCT was also configured for herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ but had issues with convergence and poor model diagnostics and was deemed unsuitable to provide category 3 advice.

A SAM assessment was configured for 6 aN . The group raised concerns over the catch data and its influence on the assessment presented. Catch data are assumed to be from $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring, but with a lack of genetic sampling this is not certain. Additionally there are underlying stock identity questions for $6 . a N$ herring relating to the relationship with populations in the North Sea that have not been resolved. The appropriateness of including the IBTS datasets in the SAM model was discussed. The inclusion or exclusion of these indices had an impact on the overall stock trajectory. SPiCT was also tested for 6 aN herring. With the short and variable nature of the biomass time series available, this SPiCT model was not considered to be suitable as a category 3 option.

Given that both stocks did not reach the required standard for a category 1 assessment at this benchmark, the new category 3 guidelines from ICES WKLIFEX (2021) were applied. Both stocks
applied method 2.2 constant harvest rate. This method uses that uses length, survey and catch data from 2014-2021.

Significant improvements have been made since the last benchmark that have increased the understanding of the stocks and should lay the groundwork for a higher category assessment in the future. Recommendations for future research and data requirements were made for both stocks.

### 1.3.9 Other activities relevant to HAWG

## Ichthyophonus

Ichthyophonus hoferi is a parasite found in fish. It has a low host-specificity, has been observed in more than 80 fish species, mostly marine, and is common in herring, haddock, and plaice. Ichthyophonus belong to the Class Mesomycetozoea, a group of micro-organisms residing between the fungi and animals (McVivar and Jones, 2013). Epidemics associated with high mortality have been reported several times for Atlantic herring: in 1991-1994 for herring in the North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat, and the Baltic Sea (Mellergaard and Spanggaard, 1997), and in 2008-2010 for Icelandic summer-spawning herring (Óskarsson and Pálsson, 2011). A time-series of the Norwegian data on Ichthyophonus was presented at HAWG 2017. The occurrence is usually below 1\%, except for the beginning of the 1990s, but high occurrences ( $22 \%$ ) were again observed again in the Norwegian IBTSQ1 2017 in the North Sea. Because of the high lethal level of this parasite and episodic outburst, HAWG 2017 decided to continue monitoring the level of Ichthyophonus infestation in the following years and Sweden extended the coverage of the sampling to the Skagerrak and Kattegat since IBTSQ3. In the 2018-2021 IBTSQ1 surveys, the occurrences of Ichthyophonus in the Norwegian part were again low: $4.4 \%,<1 \%, 1.2 \%, 0.6 \%$, and zero, respectively. In the Katte-gat-Skagerrak, the IBTS data suggests levels of incidence generally $<3 \%$ but occasionally ICES rectangles with $>20 \%$ infestation have been observed in some recent years 2017-2018. The level of infection is generally lower in IBTS Q3 compared to Q1, and it is found to be particularly low in 2021 in both the quarters and among all the ages. Swedish commercial samples from 2021 confirm low levels of infection ( $\leq 1 \%$ ) in both the Kattegat and Skagerrak and throughout all the quarters sampled based on visual inspection. It is relevant that all countries continue to screen herring for Ichthyophonus during the IBTS surveys (both Q1 and Q3) and HERAS, as well as for the commercial sampling.


Figure 1.2.14.3 Occurrence of Ichthyophonus hoferi in the Kattegat-Skagerrak from Swedish samples collected during the IBTSQ3 2020-2021. Left map with distribution of the proportion of infested herring and number of samples in each rectangle; right distribution of infestation among ages.

## Regional Database and Estimation System (RDBES)

The RDBES is still under development, but the part of the data model that stores population data, commercial effort, and landings statistics, are considered ready for production in 2022. The commercial sampling part of the data model is planned to be in production in 2023. In 2022, ICES will launch a data call including commercial effort statistic, landings statistics and sample data for all species.

In 2022, two workshops will be held in relation to the RDBES, WKRDB-INTRO and WKRDBESRAISE\&TAF (Workshop on Raising Data using the RDBES and TAF). The latter will be held in autumn and supports the migrating of present estimation routines to TAF. Furter, an ICES working grouping developing a R package from estimation with the RDBES format, main design-based, was formed in

Further information about the RDBES status and roadmap can be found in ICES (2020). The report from 2021 is still not published by ICES.

### 1.4 Commercial catch data collation, sampling, and terminology

### 1.4.1 Commercial catch and sampling: data collation and handling

## Input spreadsheet and initial data processing

Since 1999, the Working Group members have used a spreadsheet to provide all necessary landing and sampling data. These data were then further processed with the SALLOC-application (Patterson, 1998). This program gives the required standard outputs on sampling status and biological parameters. It documents any decisions made by the species co-ordinators for filling in
missing data and raising the catch information of one nation/quarter/area with information from another dataset.

Since 2015, ICES requested relevant countries within a data call to submit the national catches into InterCatch or to accessions@ices (via the standard exchange files). National catch data submission was due by 7 th March 2022. Not all countries delivered their data in due time.
"InterCatch is a web-based system for handling fish stock assessment data. National fish stock catches are imported to InterCatch. Stock coordinators then allocate sampled catches to unsampled catches, aggregate to stock level and download the output. The InterCatch stock output can then be used as input for the assessment models". Stock coordinators used InterCatch for the first time at the 2007 Herring Assessment Working Group. However, InterCatch does not provide the output as needed for the assessment of NSAS and WBSS. Both data collation methods are, therefore, still used in parallel.

Excel was used to allocate samples to catches for 6 .a following the same procedure outlined in WD01 to HAWG 2017.

More information on data handling transparency, data archiving and the current methods for compiling fisheries assessment data are given in the Stock Annex for each stock. Figure 1.5.1 shows the separation of areas as applied to the data in the archive.

### 1.4.2 Sampling

## Quality of sampling for the whole area

The level of catch sampling by area is given in the table below for all herring stocks covered by HAWG (in terms of fraction of catch sampled and number of age readings per 1000 tonnes catch). There is considerable variation between areas. Further details of the sampling quality and the level of samples can be found by stock in the respective sections in the report and the stock annexes.

| Area | Working Group Catch | Sampled Catch | Age Readings | Age Readings per 1000t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.a(E) | 88253 | 88740 | 2338 | 26 |
| 4.a(W) | 181445 | 143883 | 4618 | 25 |
| 4.b | 58826 | 39199 | 1074 | 18 |
| 4.c | 9188 | 5805 | 196 | 21 |
| 7.d | 26902 | 17509 | 305 | 11 |
| 7.a(N) | 7208 | 6329 | 1680 | 233 |
| 3.a | 13318 | 11520 | 2551 | 192 |
| SD22-24 | 1601 | 1360 | 2683 | 1675 |
| 7g, 7.j, 7aS | 745 | 745 | 1094 | 1468 |
| 6.aN | 1115 | 671 | 43 | 39 |
| 6.aS, 7.b and 7.c | 1821 | 1821 | 2037 | 1119 |

Given the diversity of the fleets harvesting most stocks assessed by HAWG, an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different métiers is more important to the quality of catch-atage data than a sufficient overall sampling level. The WG therefore recommends that all métiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including bycatches in the industrial fisheries), that catches landed abroad should be sampled, and information on these samples should be made available to the national laboratories and incorporated into the national InterCatch upload.

### 1.4.3 Terminology

The WG noted that for herring the use of "age", "winter rings", "rings" and "ringers" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings", "ringers", "winter ringers" or "wr" instead of "age" throughout the report. However, if the word "age" is used it is qualified in brackets with one of the ring designations. It should be observed that, for autumn and winter spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". Further elaboration on the rationale behind this, specific to each stock, can be found in the individual Stock Annexes. It is the responsibility of any user of age-based data for any of these herring stocks to consult the relevant annex and if in doubt consult a relevant member of the Working Group.

### 1.5 Methods Used

### 1.5.1 SAM

The Spate-space stock Assessment Model SAM described in described in Nielsen and Berg (2014) is currently used to assess several of the HAWG stocks. This model has the standard exponential decay equations to carry forth the Ns (with appropriate treatment of the plus-group), and the Baranov catch equation to calculate catch-at-age based on the Fs. The additional components of SAM are the introduction of process error down the cohort (additional error term in the exponential decay equations), and the random walk on Fs. The steps (or deviations) in the random walk process are treated as random effects that are "integrated out", so are not viewed as estimable parameters. The sigma parameter controls how large the random walk deviations are, and this parameter is estimated. SAM provides the option of correlated errors across ages for the random walks on F , where the correlation is an additional parameter estimated to be estimated. The current implementation of SAM is an R-package based on Template Model Builder (TMB) (Kristensen et al., 2016) and is maintained and available at https://github.com/fishfollower/SAM. At WKPELA 2018 a multi-fleet version of SAM was presented (ICES, 2018) and it is currently used for the assessment and forecasts of Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring, and to provide fleet specific selection patterns for short and medium-term forecasts for the North Sea herring.

SAM is currently run by HAWG via both the web browser at www.stockassessment.org and within the FLR (Fisheries Library in R) system (www.flr-project.org) which is an attempt to implement a framework for modelling integrated fisheries systems including population dynamics, fleet behaviour, stock assessment and management objectives. The stock assessment tools in FLR can also be used on their own in the WG context. The combination of the statistical and graphical tools in R with the stock assessment aids the exploration of input data and results.

### 1.5.2 ASAP

The ASAP 3 (http://nft.nefsc.noaa.gov) model has been used for Celtic Sea herring. ASAP (A Stock Assessment Program) is an age-structured stock assessment modelling program (Legault and Restrepo, 1998). ASAP is a variant of a statistical catch-at-age model that can integrate
annual catches and associated age compositions (by fleet), abundance indices and associated age compositions, annual maturity, fecundity, weight, and natural mortality-at-age. It is a forward projecting model that assumes separability of fishing mortality into year and age components but allows specification of various selectivity time blocks. It is also possible to include a BevertonHolt stock-recruit relationship and flexible enough to handle data poor stocks without age data (dynamic pool models) or with only new and post-recruit age or size groups.

### 1.5.3 SMS

SMS is a stochastic multispecies assessment model, including seasonality, used for sandeel in Division 3.a and Subarea 4, for sprat in the North Sea and 3.a. The model is run in single species mode for these stock assessments. Major difference with the other stock assessment models used by HAWG is the ability to assess in seasonal time-steps, necessary to distinguish the fishing season and off-season for both the sandeel and sprat stocks. Furthermore, it integrates catches, effort timeseries, maturity, weight, and natural mortality-at-age. The model allows to set separate selectivity year blocks to account for changes in the fishing fleet.

### 1.5.4 Short-term predictions

Short-term predictions for the North Sea used a code developed in R. The method was developed in 2009 and intensively compared to the MFDP approach. Celtic Sea herring and Irish Sea herring forecast used the standard projection routines developed under FLR package FLCore (version 2.6.0.20170228). For sprat in the North Sea, a forecast using the FLR framework is in use. North Sea herring is assessed using a fleet-wise projection method using native R and FLR routines (some maintenance of the code has been done this year mainly to improve readability and documentation).

The Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring uses an R-based multifleet forecast routine available at www.stockassessment.org.

### 1.5.5 Reference Points

The eqsim software (https://github.com/ices-tools-prod/msy) was used in recent benchmarks to estimate MSY reference points for herring stocks of HAWG.

For sprat in the North Sea (Division 4) and sandeel in management area 1-4, the ICES guide for setting management reference points for category 1 stocks is used to find Blim. MSY Bescapement is equal to $B_{p a}$ and is calculated as $B_{\lim } \times e^{0 \times 1.645}$. An upper level on the fishing mortality is implemented ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ ) if the difference between Blim and MSY Bescapement is not compatible with the ICES Fmsy criteria (i.e., that the average probability in the long-term of getting below Blim should be no more than $5 \%$ per year). $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ is calculated/optimized using a management strategy evaluation framework (MSE).

The 2018 benchmark (WKPELA 2018) of the North Sea herring, Western Baltic herring and Celtic Sea herring presented considerable challenges in the estimation of reference points and their calculation remains at times still controversial. An overview and critical discussion of those main challenges are provided in last year's report (ICES 2018, Section 1.2.6) and maintain their validity in the ongoing discussion on reference points.

New reference points were calculated for North Sea Herring during the 2021 inter-benchmark meeting (ICES, 2021). This resulted in a downward revision of the estimate of Blim and MSYB trigger and an upward revision of the estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy. }}$. Sensitivity testing revealed that the derivation of
reference points for herring in the North Sea is very sensitive to the choice of time periods and stock-recruitment models used.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ is defined as the exploitation rate reference point below which exploitation is considered to be sustainable, having accounted for assessment uncertainty. In 2020 a decision was made by ACOM to standardize the basis for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ whereby it is equal to the fishing mortality including the advice rule that, if applied as a target in the ICES MSY advice rule (AR) would lead to SSB $\geq \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ with a $95 \%$ probability (also known as Fp 05 ). The derivation of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ should include the expected stochastic variability in biology and fishery, as well as advice error.

Proxy reference points were derived for the category 3 stocks - herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ and 6 aN at the benchmark in 2022 (ICES, 2022). FproxyMsy for both stocks was calculated using data from 20142021. This will be updated annually as new data becomes available. MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ is derived from the split acoustic survey biomass index and is $1.4^{*}$ Ioss where Ioss is the lowest observed index value.

### 1.5.6 Repository setup for HAWG

To increase the efficiency and verifiability of the data and code used to perform the assessments as well as the short-term forecasts within HAWG a repository system was set up in 2009. Within this repository, all stocks own a subfolder where they store their data and code used to run the assessments presented in this report and used as base for the advice. At the same time, there is one common folder, used by all assessments, that ensures that the FLR libraries used are identical for all stocks, as well as the output generated to evaluate the performance of the assessment.

The repository was moved from google code to github in 2016 and is now available as a branch of the ICES github site. https://github.com/ICES-dk/wg HAWG. Contributing to the repository is not possible for outsiders as a password is required. Downloading data and code is possible to the public. The repository is maintained by members of the WG and the ICES Secretariat.

### 1.6 Ecosystem overview and considerations

General ecosystem overviews for the areas relevant to herring, sprat and sandeel stocks covered by the Herring Assessment Working Group for herring stocks south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (HAWG) are given for the Greater North Sea and Celtic Seas Ecoregions (ICES, 2020e, f).
A more detailed account specific to herring is documented in ICES HAWG (2015). A number of topics are covered in this section including the use of single species assessment and management, the use of ecosystem drivers, factors affecting early life-history stages, the effects of gravel extraction, variability of the biology and ecology of species and populations (including biological and environmental drivers), and disease.
It should be pointed out that while numerous studies have greatly improved our understanding on the effects of environmental forcing on the herring stock productivity and dynamics, further work is still required to move beyond simple correlative understanding and elucidate the underlying mechanisms. One specific case is the persistent decrease in mean weight-at-age for many of the herring stocks in the region (Figure 1.7.6). Furthermore, mechanisms to incorporate this understanding into the provision of management advice are limited. ICES could therefore benefit greatly from developments that unify these two aspects of its community.

ICES is reviewing the level of inclusion of ecosystem information into the single-species assessments that provide the base for the current advices to evaluate progresses toward ecosystembased fisheries management. The intent is to quantify whether and how the ICES assessments incorporated broader system-level considerations, from the inclusion of technical interactions
among fisheries (i.e., catch and bycatch of target and non-target species) to interactions with the physical environment (i.e., environmentally-driven recruitment, climate), and biological components (i.e. density-dependency, predation).

Following the ACOM request (March 2019), HAWG collected information and has updated this on where and how change in ecosystem productivity (either annually or over time-periods) is incorporated in its fish stock assessments, MSE operating models and management advice products for the following six categories (relevant variables in parentheses) below:

1. Stock assessments (weight-at-age [in stock or catch], length distribution, maturity, sex ratio)
2. Forecasts (recruitment over recent years - reflecting productivity changes, recent weight-at-age, maturity, natural mortality)
3. Natural mortality (predation, diseases, parasites) assessed and included as variable by year (including smoothed)
4. Stock distribution (changes caused by year class strength, predators, prey, habitat suitability/quality)
5. Mixed fisheries (catch and bycatch of target/non-target species)
6. Climate change (is this considered and how?)

Because the inclusion of system-level information may span from the use of qualitative background considerations to inclusion of quantitative information into analytical assessments, the following scoring system recently proposed by Marshall et al. (2019) has been applied:

- $\quad$ Score 0 - information unavailable / not used.
- Score 1 (Background) - productivity is mentioned in the report and/or considered in the output as background information.
- $\quad$ Score 2 (Qualitative) - applicable in two cases: i) when quantitative data/information on productivity change were included in the report, but not used in any analyses/models, or ii) explicit link between the productivity change and assessment parameters or output was established. For example, including numerical data from diet studies on the target species would receive a score of 2 , as would discussing a link between sea surface temperature and recruitment predictions.
- Score 3 (Quantitative) - productivity-related data were explicitly included in the assessment model through data inputs or estimated parameters.

| Stock code | Stock assessment |  |  |  |  | Short-term forecast |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | variable w@a | length distribution | variable <br> mat@a | estimated variable nat mort | estimated variable sex ratio | environ. driven recruitment | truncating recruitment time-series | recent or trend weight@a | recent or trend mat@a | recent or <br> trend nat mort |
| her.27.20-24 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| her.27.3a47d | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| her.27.6aS7bc | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| her.27.6aN | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| her.27.irls | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| her.27.nirs | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| san.sa.1r | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| san.sa.2r | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| san.sa.3r | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| san.sa. 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| san.sa.5r | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| san.sa. 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| san.sa.7r | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San.27.6a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| spr.27.3a4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| spr.27.67a-cf-k | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| spr.27.7de | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 1.7 Summary of relevant Mixed fisheries overview and considerations, species interaction effects and ecosystem drivers, Ecosystem effects of fisheries, and Effects of regulatory changes on the assessment or projections for all stocks.

Brief summaries are given here; more detailed information can be found in the relevant stock summaries.

## North Sea Autumn spawning herring (her.27.3a47d):

The North Sea herring fishery is a multinational fishery that seasonally targets herring in the North Sea and English Channel. An industrial fishery, which catches juvenile herring as a bycatch operates in the Skagerrak, Kattegat and in the central North Sea. Most fleets that execute the fishery on adult herring target other fish at other times of the year, both within and beyond the North Sea (e.g., mackerel Scomber scombrus, horse mackerel Trachurus trachurus and blue whiting Micromestistius poutasou). In addition, Western Baltic Spring spawners are also caught in this fishery at a certain time of the year in the northern North Sea to the west of the Norwegian coast. The fishery for human consumption has mostly single species catches, although some mixed herring and mackerel catches occur in the northern North Sea. The bycatch of sea mammals and birds is also very low, i.e., undetectable using observer programmes. There is less information readily available to assess the impact of the industrial fisheries that bycatch juvenile herring. The pelagic fisheries on herring and mackerel claim to be some of the "cleanest" fisheries in terms of bycatch, disturbance of the seabed and discarding. Herring like other pelagic forage fish has a central ecological role in the North Sea ecosystem, directly interacting with zooplankton, demersal fish, and other predators (sea mammals, elasmobranchs, and seabirds). Thus, a fishery on pelagic fish may impact on these other components via second order interactions. There is a paucity of knowledge of these interactions, and the inherent complexity in the system makes quantifying the impact of fisheries very difficult.

Another potential impact of the North Sea herring fishery is the removal of fish that could provide other "ecosystem services". The North Sea ecosystem needs a biomass of herring to graze the plankton and act as prey for other organisms. If herring biomass is very low other species, such as sandeel, may replace its role or the system may shift in a more dramatic way. Likewise, large numbers of herring can have a predatory impact on species with pelagic egg and larval stages.

The populations of herring constitute some of the highest biomass of forage fish in the North Sea and are thus an integral and important part of the ecosystem, particularly the pelagic components. North Sea herring has a complex sub-stock structure with different spawning components, producing offspring with different morphometric and physiological characteristics, different growth patterns and differing migration routes. Productivity of the spawning components varies. The three northern components (Autumn spawners) show similar recruitment trends and differ from the Downs component (Winter spawners), which appears to be influenced by different environmental drivers. Having their spawning and nursery areas near the coasts, means herring are particularly sensitive and vulnerable to anthropogenic impacts. The most serious of these is the ever-increasing pressure for marine sand and gravel extraction and the development of wind farms. Climate models predict a future increase in air and water temperature and a change in wind, cloud cover and precipitation. Analysis of early life stages' habitats and trends over time suggests that the projected changes in temperature may not widely affect the potential habitats but
may influence the productivity of the stock. Relatively major changes in wind patterns may affect the distribution of larvae and early stage of herring.

## Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring (her.27.20-24):

The Western Baltic herring fishery is a multinational fishery that seasonally targets herring in the eastern parts of the North Sea (Eastern 4.a and 4.b), the Skagerrak and Kattegat (Division 3.a) and Western Baltic (SD 22-24). The fishery for human consumption has mostly single-species catches, although in recent years some mackerel by-catch occurred in the trawl fishery for herring. In addition, North Sea herring are also caught within Division 3.a. The bycatch of sea mammals and birds is low enough to be below detection levels based on observer programmes. At present, there is a very limited and progressively decreasing industrial fishery in Division 3.a and hence a limited by-catch of juvenile herring. The pelagic fisheries on herring claim to be some of the "cleanest" fisheries in terms of by-catch, disturbance of the seabed and discarding. Pelagic fish interact with other components of the ecosystem, including demersal fish, zooplankton, and predators (sea mammals, elasmobranchs, and seabirds). Another potential impact of the Western Baltic herring fishery is the removal of fish that could provide other "ecosystem services." There is, however, no recent research on multispecies or ecosystem interactions in which the WBSS interact. Although a fishery on pelagic fish may affect these other components via second order interactions.

Dominant drivers of larval survival and year-class strength of recruitment are considered to be linked to oceanographic dispersal, sea temperatures and food availability in the critical phase when larvae start feeding actively. However, research on larval herring survival dynamics indicates that driving variables might not only vary at the population level and by region of spawning but also by larval developmental stage. Since WBSS herring relies on inshore, transitional waters for spawning and larval retention, the suit of environmental variables driving reproduction success potentially differs from other North Atlantic stocks recruiting from coastal shelf spawning areas.

## Herring in the Celtic Sea and 7.j (her.27.irls):

There are few documented reports of bycatch in the Celtic Sea herring fishery. Small quantities of non-target whitefish species were caught in the nets. Of the non-target species caught whiting was most frequent followed by mackerel and haddock. The only marine mammals recorded were grey seals (Halichoerus grypus). The seals were observed on a number of occasions feeding on herring when the net was being hauled and during towing. They appear to be able to avoid becoming entangled in the nets. Occasional entanglement of cetaceans may occur, but overall incidental catches are thought to be minimal.

Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last number of decades. There are indications that salinity is also increasing. Herring are found to be more abundant when the water is cooler while pilchards favour warmer water and tend to extend further east under these conditions. However, studies have been unable to demonstrate that changes in the environmental regime in the Celtic Sea have had any effect on productivity of this stock. Herring larval drift occurs between the Celtic Sea and the Irish Sea. The larvae remain in the Irish Sea for a period as juveniles before returning to the Celtic Sea. Catches of herring in the Irish Sea may therefore impact on recruitment into the Celtic Sea stock. The residence of Celtic Sea fish in the Irish Sea may have an influence on growth and maturity rates.

The spawning grounds for herring in the Celtic Sea are well known and are located inshore close to the coast. Spawning grounds tend to be vulnerable to anthropogenic influences such as dredging and sand and gravel extraction. Herring are an important component of the Celtic sea ecosystem. There is little information on the specific diet of this stock. Herring form part of the food source for larger gadoids such as hake. Recent research showed that fin whales Balaenoptera
physalus are an important component of the Celtic Sea ecosystem, with a high re-sighting rate indicating fidelity to the area. There is the suggestion that the peak in fin whale sightings in November may coincide with the inshore spawning migration of herring.

## Herring in 6.a North (her.26.6aN):

Herring are an important prey species in the ecosystem and also one of the dominant planktivorous fish. Herring fisheries tend to be clean with little bycatch of other fish. Herring represent an important prey item for many predators including cod and other large gadoids, dogfish and sharks, marine mammals and seabirds. Because of the trophic importance of herring puts its stocks under immense pressure from constant exploitation.
The benthic spawning behaviour of herring makes this species vulnerable to anthropogenic activity such as offshore oil and gas industries, gravel extraction and the construction of wind farms. There are many hypotheses as to the cause of the irregular cycles shown in the productivity of herring stocks (weights-at-age and recruitment), but in most cases it is thought that the environment plays a key role (through prey, predation and transport). The 6 .aN herring stock has shown a marked decline in productivity during the late 1970s and has remained at a low level since then.

## Herring in 6.a South and 7.b and 7.c (her.27.6aS7bc):

Sea surface temperatures from Malin head on the North coast of Ireland since 1958 indicate that since 1990 sea surface temperatures have displayed a sustained increasing trend, with winter temperatures $>6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and higher summer temperatures. Environmental conditions can cause significant fluctuations in abundance in a variety of marine species including fish. Oceanographic variation associated with temperature and salinity fluctuations appears to affect herring in the first year of life, probably during winter larval drift.

Productivity in this region is reasonably high on the shelf but drops rapidly west of the shelf break. This area is important for many pelagic fish species. The shelf edge is a spawning area for mackerel Scomber scombrus and blue whiting Micromesistius potassou. Preliminary examination of productivity shows that overall productivity in this area is currently lower than it was in the 1980s.

The spawning grounds for herring along the northwest coast are located in inshore areas close to the coast and tend to be vulnerable to anthropogenic influences such as dredging and sand and gravel extraction.

## Herring in the Irish Sea (her.27.nirs):

The targeted fishery for herring in the Irish Sea is considered to have limited bycatch of other species. Herring are preyed upon by many species but at present the extent of this is not quantified. The main fish predators on herring in the Irish Sea include spurdog (Squalus acanthias), whiting (Merlangius merlangus) (mainly 0-1 ring) and hake (Merluccius merluccius) (all age classes). Small clupeids are an important source of food for piscivorous seabirds and marine mammals which can occur seasonally in areas where herring aggregate. While small juvenile herring occur throughout the coastal waters of the western and eastern Irish Sea, their distribution overlaps extensively with sprat (Sprattus sprattus).

Stock discrimination techniques, tagging, and otolith microstructure and shape show that juveniles originating in the Celtic Sea are present in the Irish Sea. The majority of mixing between these populations occurs at winter rings 1-2. Over the period 2006 to 2010 interannual variation in the proportion of mixing was large, with between $15 \%$ and $60 \%$ observed in the wintering $1+$ biomass estimate during the study period. Further work on stock identity is ongoing. There are
irregular cycles in the productivity of herring stocks which are probably caused by changes in the environment (e.g., transport, prey, and predation).

## North Sea and 3a sprat (spr.27.3a4):

Sprat is a short-lived forage fish that is predated by a wide range of marine organisms, from predatory gadoids, through birds to marine mammals. Therefore, the dynamics of sprat populations are affected by the dynamics of other species through annually varying natural mortality rates. Because sprat interacts with many other components of the ecosystem (fish, zooplankton, and predators) the fishery may impact on these other components via these food web interactions. It is uncertain how many sprat migrate into and out of adjacent management areas, i.e. the English Channel (7.d and 7.e) and the western Baltic and the Sound (SD22-24), or how this may vary annually. Uncertain is also the boundary with local populations occurring along the Scandinavian Skagerrak coasts. While genetic information has supported the exclusion of sprat along the Norwegian coasts from the current assessment unit, similar information was insufficient for the Swedish coasts despite the fact that local populations likely exist. Young herring as a bycatch is acknowledged for this fishery with bycatch regulations in force. The bycatch of marine mammals and birds is considered to be very low (undetectable using observer programs).

## Sprat in the English Channel (7.d and 7.e) (spr.27.7de):

The fishery considered here is primarily in Lyme Bay with small trawlers targeting sprat with very little to no bycatch of other species. The relationship of the sprat in this area to the sprat stock or population in the adjacent areas is unknown: Sprat larvae most likely drift away from the main spawning area in Lyme Bay, but to which extent they expand westward into the Celtic Sea or eastern deep into the Eastern English Channel and the North Sea is unknown. The potential for mixed fisheries, if the fisheries are expanded to cover the whole of the English Channel, is unknown at present. It is acknowledged that sprat is prey for many species, and these will affect the natural mortality, however, this has not been quantified in this area. In addition, changes in the size of the sprat population through fishing will affect the available prey for a number of commercially exploited species.

## Sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregion ( 6 and 7 (excluding 7.d and 7.e)) (spr.27.67a-cf-k):

This ecoregion currently has fisheries in the Celtic Sea, northwest of Ireland and a variety of Scottish Sea lochs with the possibility of fisheries being revived in the Clyde. Generally, mixed fisheries are not an issue as sprat are targeted with very little to no other species caught as a bycatch. If a fishery was to be prosecuted in the Clyde and Irish Sea, then bycatch of young herring may become an issue due to the overlap in distribution between young herring and sprat. It is acknowledged that sprat are prey for many species and these will affect the natural mortality, however, this has not been quantified in this area. Since sprat preys on e.g., zooplankton and is preyed upon by many species fisheries for sprat can have effects on the ecosystem dynamics.

## Sandeel in the North Sea ecoregion (san.sa.1r-7r)

A mosaic of sandeel fishing grounds occur throughout different areas of the North Sea ecoregion. The grounds present different degrees of larval connectivity which has supported the division of sandeel in the North Sea into a number of more or less reproductively isolated subpopulations. Whereas the fishing grounds are assumed to remain relatively constant over time, the actual distribution of the fishery varies greatly from year to year in response to both changes in the availability of sandeel and changes in management between areas.

Sandeel is targeted by a highly seasonal industrial fishery which has experienced a progressive change towards fewer larger vessels owing most of the quota since the introduction of ITQ in 2004. Time and area restrictions and bycatch limits represent the main management measures.

Although the fishery has little bycatch of protected species, competition with other predators is a central aspect of the sandeel management within an ecosystem approach.

Sandeel play in fact an important role in the North Sea food web as they are a high quality, lipidrich food resource for many predatory fish, seabirds, and marine mammals. Concerns of local depletion exist, especially for those sandeel aggregations occurring at less than 100 km from seabird colonies as some bird species (i.e., black-legged kittiwake and sandwich tern) may be particularly affected whereas more mobile marine mammals and fish are likely to be less vulnerable to local sandeel depletion.

### 1.8 Stock overview

The WG was able to perform analytical assessments for 9 of the 17 stocks investigated. Results of the assessments are presented in the subsequent sections of the report and are summarized below and in figures 1.7.2-1.7.5.


Figure 1.7.1 ICES areas as used for the assessment of herring stocks south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Area names in italics indicate the area separation applied to the commercial catch and sampling data kept in long term storage. "Transfer area" refers to the transfer of Western Baltic Spring Spawners caught in the North Sea to the Baltic Assessment.

North Sea autumn spawning herring (her.27.3a47d) is the largest stock assessed by HAWG. The spawning-stock biomass was low in the late 1970s and the fishery was closed for a number of years. This stock began to recover until the mid-1990s when it appeared to decrease again. A management scheme was adopted to halt this decline. Based on the WG assessment the stock was classified as being at full reproductive capacity and harvested sustainably at Fmsy and under the management plan target for several years. Since 2019, no management plan is in place for North Sea Herring.

Western Baltic Spring Spawners (her.27.20-24) are distributed in the eastern part of the North Sea, the Skagerrak, the Kattegat and the subdivisions 22, 23 and 24. In the eastern part of North Sea and Division 3.a, the stock is considered to mix with North Sea autumn spawners and mixing with Central Baltic herring stock has been taken into account in the GERAS survey indices. Recent genetic work shows high mixing in the whole management units with other herring populations that is not currently taken into account in the assessment. The stock has decreased consistently since the late 2000s. The 2019 SSB ( 54388 t) and 2020 recruitment ( 550822 thousand) are record low. The estimate of SSB in 2021 ( 62765 t ) is considered low, below both $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$. Fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ ) was reduced from 0.58 in 2008 to 0.31 in 2011. It had then remained above $\mathrm{Fmsy}^{(0.31)}$ until 2015 (0.34-0.43) but showed an increase in 2016-2018 with an estimated $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ above 0.49 . $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ then decreased since 2019 below Fmsy from 0.30 to 0.15 in 2021, which is the lowest $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ on records. The 2023 advised catch of WBSS is $0 t$, which if applied by managers, will result in an increase in SSB from 71011 t in 2022 to 80978 t in 2023. The zero catch will not allow the stock to rebuild above $B_{\lim }(120000 \mathrm{t})$ by $2024(95882 \mathrm{t}$ ). A medium-term forecast to 2025 showed that SSB can increased to 111989 t if $\mathrm{F}=0$ in 2023-2024 but will still remain below Blim.

Herring in the Celtic Sea and 7.j (her.27.irls): The herring fisheries to the south of Ireland in the Celtic Sea and in Division 7.j have been considered to exploit the same stock. For the purpose of stock assessment and management, these areas have been combined since 1982. The stock has fluctuated over time. Low stock size was observed from the mid-70s to the early 80s. The SSB increased again before declining in the late 90s. From 2005 the stock increased when several strong cohorts (2004, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2013) entered the fishery and as they gained weight, they maintained the stock at a high level. The SSB has decreased since its peak in 2011 and is estimated to be $11680 t$ in 2020, which is well below $B_{p a}\left(\right.$ at $54000 t$ ) and $B_{\lim }(34000 t)$. Recruitment has been below average since 2013. An increase in recruitment can be seen in 2021 however the assessment is highly uncertain, and recruitment has been consistently overestimated in recent years. Fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{2}-5$ ) declined between 2003 and 2009 but started to rise again in 2010 due to increased catches. F decreased in 2020 in line with greatly reduced catches and is slightly higher in 2021. This year's assessment estimates a fishing mortality, $\mathrm{F}_{2-5}=0.069$ in 2021 which is well below all reference points (FMSY is 0.26 and $\mathrm{Flim}_{\text {is }} 0.45$ ). Short-term projections predict SSB to increase to 19349 t in 2022.

Herring in 6.aN (her.27.6aN): Off the west of Scotland, the herring stock is composed of two groups - one spawning during spring (February until April) in the Minch and the other during autumn (late August until October) off Cape Wrath. Fisheries have historically targeted both groups, and their relative contribution is believed to have varied over time. These stocks were assessed together with herring in 6.a.S, 7.b.c during 2015-2021. The development of a genetically split acoustic survey index for the Malin Shelf Herring Acoustic Survey (MSHAS) from 2014-2021 into the component stocks means that separate advice for $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawners and 6.a.S, 7.b.c is now possible. $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ spring spawners are not fully resolved by the present method and are not assessed. The Malin Shelf herring estimate of SSB for autumn spawning herring in 6.aN in 2021 is 43886 tonnes. Although estimates appear to be improving from the minimum value in 2019, it should be noted that numbers of herring to the West of Scotland are very low compared to historical estimates prior to the genetic split (ICES 2021a). Fishing pressure on the stock is at or below FmSY proxy $^{(0.335)}$ ) and the stock size index is above MSY Btrigger proxy (14 711 t ).

There is little information on terminal year recruitment in the catch-at-age data and there are as yet no recruitment indices from the surveys.

Herring in 6aS, 7b,c (her.27.6aS7bc): Herring to the northwest and west of Ireland in ICES Divisions 6.a.S, 7.b,c are primarily a winter spawning (Nov-Jan) stock, though later spawning in spring (Feb-Apr) also occurs. This stock was assessed together with herring in 6 aN from 20152021. Following a benchmark which took place in 2022 these two stocks are now assessed separately. This was made possible by the development of a genetically split acoustic survey index. The ability to split the summer acoustic survey (MSHAS) from 2014-2021 into the component stocks means that separate advice is now possible. The survey index for herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ has been increasing since the lowest point in 2016 ( $36,707 \mathrm{t}$ ) and in 2021 was estimated to be 189,856 t , which is the second highest point in the current time series. Recent catches are among the lowest in the time series. Fishing pressure on the stock is at or below Fmsy proxy ( 0.034 ) and the stock size index is above MSY B trigger proxy ( 51390 t ). There is little information on terminal year recruitment in the catch-at-age data and there are as yet no recruitment indices from the surveys. Recruitment of the 2018 year-class was good and this year class is now 3 winter ring and accounted for $58 \%$ of the catch numbers at age in 2021.

Herring in the Irish Sea (her.27.nirs): comprises two spawning groups (Manx and Mourne). This stock complex experienced a decline during the 1970s. In the mid-1980s the introduction of quotas resulted in a temporary increase, but the stock continued its decline from the late 1980s up to the early 2000s. During this time period the contribution of the Mourne spawning component declined. An increase in activity on the Mourne spawning area has been observed since 2006. In the past decade there have been problems in assessing the stock, partly as a consequence of the variability of spawning migrations and mixing with the Celtic Sea stock. A benchmark in 2017 resulted in a substantial revision of SSB perception leading to an increased SSB in the most recent period compared to pre-benchmark perceptions. In 2021, SSB and recruitment have been estimated at 30792 t and 196418 thousand respectively. $\mathrm{F}_{4-6}$ is estimated at 0.21 in 2021 with estimates of F stable since 2009. Under the MSY approach the stock is expected to show a decrease to 23076 t in 2023.

North Sea and 3a sprat (spr.27.3a4): The catches are dominated by age 1-2 fish. Due to the short life cycle and early maturation, most of the stock consists of mature fish. To undertake the assessment and fit with the natural life cycle of sprat the assessment model is shifted by six months so that an assessment year and advice runs from 1 July to 30 June each year, and thus provide in-year advice. Since the last benchmark (ICES 2018), sprat in Division 3.a and Subarea 4 are combined into a single assessment unit. The advice is based on the MSY escapement strategy with an additional precautionary $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap. }}$. The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ of 0.69 is used to ensure that after the fishery has been conducted, escapement biomass is preserved above $\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ with high probability. The estimates for 2022 show an SSB of $100000 t$ which is below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(125000 \mathrm{t})$. The ICES advise for the period 1 July 2022-30 June 2023 is that catches of sprat should not exceed $68690 t$ which represents a $36 \%$ decrease on the last year advice. The reduction is due to the decrease in stock size following the low recruitment observed in 2021.

Sprat in the English Channel (7.d and 7.e) (spr.27.7de): Consists of a small midwater trawl fleet targeting sprat primarily in the vicinity of Lyme Bay, western English Channel. The stock identity of sprat in the English Channel relative to sprat in the North Sea and Celtic Sea is unknown. This year, ICES has provided catch advice for sprat in divisions 7.d and 7.e (primarily in the vicinity of Lyme Bay) based on criteria for data limited stocks. Data available are catches, a time-series of LPUE (1988-2016) and one acoustic survey that has been carried out since 2013 in the area where the fishery occurs and further offshore, also including the waters north off the Cornish Peninsula and, from 2017, the French part of the Western English Channel. The 2021 survey also extended into Cardigan Bay. The advice provided is based on the application of a constant harvest rate of $8.57 \%$
to the 2021 acoustic survey biomass estimate. The advised catch of 9200 t for 2023 is $217.6 \%$ higher compared to last year. Since sprat is a short-lived species and given the timing of the survey (October), an advice period, valid from 1 July to 30 June in the following year, has been adopted for this stock starting in 2022. This will mitigate the problem of the lag between the survey information and the advice year which occurred previously. This has also been extended to the TAC which will also run from 1 July to 30 June. The fishing season for sprat runs from August to February.

Sprat in the Celtic Seas (spr.27.67a-cf-k): The stock structure of sprat populations in this ecoregion (subareas 6 and 7 (excluding 7.d and 7.e)) is not clear, and further work for the identification of management units for sprat is required. Most sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregion are caught by small pelagic vessels that also target herring, mainly Irish and Scottish vessels. The quality of information available for sprat is heterogeneous across this composite area. There is evidence from different survey sources of significant interannual variation in sprat abundance. Landed biomass, but not biological information on the catch, is available from 1970s in some areas (i.e., 6.a and 7.a), while Irish acoustic surveys started in 1991, with some gaps in the time-series provide sprat estimates but their validity to provide a reliable sprat index is questionable because they do not always cover the core of sprat distribution in the area. Acoustic estimates in the Irish Sea are more reliable. The state of the stock of sprat in the Celtic Seas ecoregion is uncertain. ICES advice a catch of no more than 2240 tonnes for 2022 and 2023 in this ecoregion based on the precautionary approach.

Sandeel in 4 (san-nsea): A decline in the sandeel population in recent years concurrent with a marked change in distribution has increased the concern about local depletion, of which there has been some evidence. Since 2010 this has been accounted for by dividing the North Sea into 7 management areas. Denmark and Norway are responsible for most of the fishery of sandeel in the North Sea. The catches are largely represented by age 1 fish. Analytical assessments are performed in four of the management areas (A1r-4) where most of the fishery takes place and data are available. Note that a benchmark in 2016 revised most of the area definitions.

A1: SSB has been above $B_{p a}(145000 \mathrm{t})$ in 2016-2018 and dropped to 71000 t in 2019, 61000 t in 2020, and 127000 t in 2021. The forecasting indicates that SSB will increase to a level above $B_{\lim }\left(110000 \mathrm{t}\right.$ ), but below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, in 2022. Recruitment in 2021 was below the geometric mean of the time-series, and lower than in 2020. Fishing mortality (F) has fluctuated, showing a declining trend since the mid-2000s followed by an increase in 2017 to approximately the long-term average where it remained relatively stable till 2020 for the last four years $(\sim 0.5)$ but dropped in 2021.

A2: SSB has been below Blim (56 000 t ) since 2004, with few exceptions. SSB increased in 2018 above $B_{p a}$ as the result of the exceptionally high 2016-year class and decreased again in 2019. SSB in 2021 is estimated at 35000 t The 2021 year class is estimated to be high above the long-term average.

A3: The stock has increased from the record low SSB in 2004 when it was half of $\operatorname{Blim}(80000 \mathrm{t})$ to above $B_{p a}(129000 \mathrm{t})$. SSB had a peak of more than 440000 t in 2018 and is estimated to 375000 t in 2021. The recruitments in 2016 and 2019 were among the five highest on record. Forecast indicates an SSB in 2022 of 210000 t . Fishing mortality (F) declined in the early 2000s and has been low until 2018. F has been increasing in the last couple of years.

A4: Fishing mortality (F) has been low since 2005 but increased in 2018 before decreasing again in 2019-2020 before increasing to a close-to record high level in 2021. SSB has fluctuated above precautionary reference points ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ ) since 2011 with the exception of 2015 and 2020. Recruitment was low in 2018, high in 2019 and around the long-term average in 2020. Recruitment in 2021 is expected to be slightly lower than in 2020.


Figure 1.7.2 WG estimates of catch/landings (yield) of the category 1 herring, sprat and sandeel stocks presented in HAWG 2022


Figure 1.7.3 Spawning-stock biomass estimates for the category 1 sprat, herring and sandeel stocks assessed at HAWG 2022.


Figure 1.7.4 Estimates of mean F for the category 1 sprat, herring and sandeel stocks assessed at HAWG 2022.


Figure 1.7.5 Estimates of recruitment for the category 1 sprat, herring and sandeel stocks assessed at HAWG 2022.

Given the marked decrease in the weight-at-age of several of the herring stocks assessed by HAWG, the time-series of the relative weight change are presented for comparative reasons (Figure 1.7.6).


Figure 1.7.6 Time-series of herring mean individual weight in the catch.

### 1.9 Mohn's rho and retrospective patterns in the assessments

The analysis of retrospective patterns is one of the core diagnostics of the analytical assessments performed by ICES working groups, including HAWG. Mohn's rho (Q) is the metric which is currently used to quantify retrospective patterns.

Mohn's rho ( Q ) is calculated as the relative difference between an estimate from an assessment with a truncated time-series and an estimate of the same quantity from an assessment using the exact same methodology over the full time-series. The average of the relative change over a series of years is calculated as ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{n} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}, d d=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}, d d=\mathrm{T}}} \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{n}}={ }_{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{y, d}$ is the assessment quantity, e.g. SSB or Fbar, for year $y$ from the assessment with terminal year $d, \mathrm{~T}$ is the terminal year of the most recent assessment (the year of the most recent catch-atage data), and n is the number of retrospective assessments used to calculate rho.

The two-year subscripts for quantity X refer to the year for the quantity and the terminal year of the assessment from which the quantity was derived. For example, for an assessment WG in 2018, using catch-at-age up to 2017, the relevant quantities for the first retrospective ( $i=1$ ) calculation are: $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=2016, d d=2017}$ which corresponds to the assessment quantity for 2016(T-i) derived from the assessment using the full time-series with terminal year 2017 (T); and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i},=\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}=2016, d d=2016}$ which is the estimate of the assessment quantity for the same year $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{i}=$ 2016) estimated from an assessment where the data are truncated to have terminal year 2016 (T-i).

Mohn's rho values have been uploaded at https://community.ices.dk/ExpertGroups/Lists/Retrobias/overview.aspx and they are included in this report in Table 1.8.1.

[^1]Table 1.8.1 Mohn's rho value calculated by HAWG on category 1 and 2 stocks with age-based fish stock assessments.

| Stock code | Terminal year of catch data | Number of retrospective assessments used (n) | Fbar rho value | SSB rho: <br> was the inter- mediate year used as the terminal year? | SSB <br> rho value | Recruitment rho: was the intermediate year used as the terminal year? | Recruitment rho value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| her.27.20-24 | 2021 | 5 | -0.140 | No | 0.208 | No | 0.111 |
| her.27.3a47d* | 2021 | 5 | -9.498 | No | 7.305 | No | -10.269 |
| her.27.irls | 2021 | 5 | -0.41 | No | 1.34 | No | 3.02 |
| her.27.nirs | 2021 | 5 | -0.159 | No | 0.093 | No | -0.309 |
| san.sa.1r | 2021 | 5 | -0.10 | No | 0.43 | No | 0.87 |
| san.sa.2r | 2021 | 5 | -0.13 | No | 0.55 | No | 0.37 |
| san.sa.3r | 2021 | 5 | 0.20 | No | -0.12 | No | 0.01 |
| san.sa. 4 | 2021 | 5 | -0.16 | No | 0.54 | No | 1.12 |
| spr.27.3a4 | 2021 | 5 | -0.05 | Yes | 0.25 | No | 0.27 |

### 1.10 Transparent Assessment Framework (TAF)

TAF (https://taf.ices.dk) is a framework to organize all ICES stock assessments. Using a standard sequence of R scripts, it makes the data, analysis, and results available online, and documents how the data were pre-processed. Among the key benefits of this structured and open approach are improved quality assurance and peer review of ICES stock assessments. Furthermore, a fully scripted TAF assessment is easy to update and rerun later, with a new year of data.

The following HAWG scripts are now available on TAF (https://taf.ices.dk/app/stock\#!/):
7. North Sea herring (her.27.3a47d) update single-fleet SAM assessment, multi-fleet model run required for the forecast, and the forecast analysis (Update in progress 2021)
8. Herring west of Scotland (her.27.6aN) WKLIFE method 2.2 chr (prepared at the benchmark in 2022)
9. Herring west of Scotland and Ireland (her.27.6aS7bc) WKLIFE method 2.2 chr (prepared at the benchmark in 2022)
10. Herring south of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ Irish Sea, Celtic Sea, and southwest of Ireland (her.27.irls) ASAP assessment (Update in progress 2022)
11. Sprat in 7d, e Category 3, biomass trends (Last updated 2018)
12. Sandeel in area 1r (san.sa.1r) SMS assessment (Last updated 2019)
13. Sandeel in area 5 r (san.sa.5r) category 5.4 analysis (Last updated 2019)
14. Sandeel in area 6 (san.sa.6) category 5.2 analysis (Last updated 2019)
15. Sandeel in area 7 r (san.sa.7r) category 5.3 analysis (Last updated 2019)

A draft TAF workflow is currently being tested by HAWG members. This involves checking the code and providing feedback. A score will be given which reflects the cleanliness, readability and if the code is easy to understand.

## WKREPTAF

The TAF Reporting Workshop (WKREPTAF) met in January 2021 and explored the reporting process for ICES expert groups (with special focus on stock assessment groups) and how this could become simpler, less time consuming, and of better quality. The workshop focussed on how to expand TAF to facilitate the reporting process within working groups. The workshop concluded that 1 . Script-based reports (i.e. markdown) would allow stock assessment groups to automate the process of inserting and formatting tables and figures in the report. 2. The data to be held within TAF can be documented within the report sections of the current ICES report in a standardized manner. With more data becoming available in TAF, there is the opportunity to more easily link ecosystem considerations and mixed fisheries considerations within stock specific chapters. 3 . The transition from conventional reporting to script-based reports would benefit from agreeing on standardized stock assessment inputs for TAF. 4. The script-based reports open up the opportunity to directly incorporate information from the regional database (RDBES), DATRAS, Stock Information Database and Stock Assessment Graph database (SAG). 5. Training in TAF and markdown reporting are essential for the ICES community (ICES, 2021, WKREPTAF).

### 1.11 Benchmark process

HAWG has made some strategic decisions regarding the future benchmarking of its stocks listed in the table below.

| Stock | Assessment category | Latest benchmark | Benchmark or Interbechmark in the next 12 months | Further planning | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NSAS herring | 1 | $2018$ <br> Interbenchmark 2021 | No | Exploration of M scaling methodologies, model configuration, new $M$ values | Issue list available |
| WBSS herring | 1.2 | 2018 | Perhaps | Revise fleet definition in the 3.a catches, make the assumption on Winter spawners consistent between Danish and Swedish catches, revise the mean weight at age in the transfer area | Issue list and roadmap for next benchmark available, likely need for a benchmark in 2024 or 2025 |
| 6 aN herring | 3 | 2022 | No | Continue genetic sampling on the acoustic survey. Start genetic sampling of the catches. Further investigate additional survey indices. Explore stock identity issues. Further work on model development. | Issue list in prep |
| 6.aS, 7.bc herring | 3 | 2022 | No | Continue genetic sampling on the survey. Start genetic sampling the catch. Further investigate survey indices. Further work on model development. | Issue list in prep |
| Celtic Sea herring | 1 | 2015 <br> Interbenchmark 2018 | No | Mixing with Irish Sea herring, recruitment signal | Issue list available |
| 7.aN herring | 1 | 2017 | No | Explore stock mixing, recruitment signal and $F$ in the assessment | Issue list available |
| Sprat NS.3a | 1 | 2018 | No | Consider stock component, local components in 3a, boundary with the Baltic | Issue list available |
| Sprat 7.de | 3 | $2018$ <br> Interbenchmark 2021 | No | Consider stock components, review advice guidance for short lived species | Issue list available |
| Sprat Celtic | 5 | 2013 | No | Research roadmap to review and plan sprat work in 2022 | Issue list available |
| Sandeel areas $1 r-4$ | 1 | 2016 | Yes | Update reference points for sandeel area 3 based on the new $M$ estimates | Issue list available |

# 2 Herring (Clupea harengus) in Subarea 4 and divisions 3.a and 7.d, autumn spawners 

### 2.1 Introduction

The WG noted that the use of "age", "winter rings", "rings" and "ringers" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings", "ringers", "winter ringers" or "wr" instead of "age" throughout this section. However, if the word "age" is used it is qualified in brackets with one of the ring designations. It should be observed that, for autumn and winter spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings", which is not the case for spring spawners. Further elaboration on the rationale behind this, specific to the North Sea autumn spawners, Western Baltic spring spawners and the mixed stock catches, can be found in the Stock Annexes. It is the responsibility of any user of age based data for any of these herring stocks to consult the relevant annex and if in doubt consult a relevant member of the Working Group.

### 2.1.1 ICES advice and management applicable to 2021 and 2022

There is currently no agreed EU-Norway management plan (Anon, 2019) although a Working Group has been set up by Norway, UK, and the European Union to recommend a way of optimally and sustainably utilizing the North Sea autumn spawning herring stock. Until new agreed management strategies will become available, the MSY approach is used as the basis of ICES advice.

The final TAC adopted by the management bodies for 2021 was 364107 tonnes for Area 4 and Division 7.d, where no more than 34793 t should be caught in Division 4.c and 7.d. For 2022, the total TAC is 453802 t ( 427628 t for the A-Fleet), including a TAC of 47039 t for Division 4.c and 7.d.

The bycatch TAC for the B-Fleet in the North Sea (and Division 2.a) was 750 t in 2021 and has increased by $6 \%$ to 8174 t in 2022. As North Sea autumn spawners are also caught in Division 3.a, regulations for the fleets operating in this area have to be considered for the management of the WBSS stock (see Section 3). Catches of spring-spawning herring in the Thames estuary are in general low and not included in the TAC. For a definition of the different fleets harvesting North Sea herring see the Stock Annex and Section 2.7.2.

### 2.1.2 Catches in 2021

Total landings and estimated catches are given in the Table 2.1.1 for the North Sea and for each Division in tables 2.1.2 to 2.1.5. Total Working Group (WG) catches per statistical rectangle and quarter are shown in figures 2.1.1 (a-d), the total for the year in Figure 2.1.1(e). Each nation provided most of their catch data by statistical rectangle. Some catch figures in tables 2.1.1-2.1.5 are provided by WG members and may or may not reflect national catch statistics. These figures can therefore not be used for legal purposes.
The total WG catch of all herring caught in the North Sea amounted to 364615 t in 2021. Official catches by the human consumption fishery were 355665 t , relatively close to the TAC for the human consumption fishery ( 356357 t ). The effect of quota banking and borrowing is unknown by the WG.

As in previous years, the vast majority of catches are taken in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter in Division 4.a (W).
In the southern North Sea and the eastern Channel, the total catch sums to 36091 t . The separate TAC for this area was 34793 t , so the TAC in Division 4.c and 7.d was fully taken (but due to catch regulations, $50 \%$ of the TAC could have been taken in Division 4.b).

Information on bycatches in the industrial fishery is provided by Denmark and Sweden. While the Norwegian bycatches are included in the A-fleet figure for Norway, catches taken in the small-meshed fishery by Denmark and Sweden are accounted to a separate EU quota (B-fleet).

Landings of herring taken as bycatch in the small-meshed fishery were 8788 tonnes in 2021. The bycatch ceiling for the B-Fleet was 7750 t . Since the introduction of yearly bycatch ceilings in 1996, these ceilings have fully been taken in 2014, 2016 and since 2020.

The total North Sea TAC and catch estimates for the years 2016 to 2021 are shown in the table below (adapted from Table 2.1.6).

| Year | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAC HC ( ${ }^{\text {OOO }}$ t) | 518 | 482 | 601 | 385 | 385 | 356 |
| "Official" landings HC ('000 t) * | 545 | 485 | 594 | 439 | 415 | 356 |
| Working Group catch HC ('000 t) | 545 | 485 | 594 | 440 | 417 | 356 |
| Excess of landings over TAC HC ('000 t) | 27 | 3 | -7 | 55 | 32 | 0 |
| Bycatch ceiling ('000 t) ** | 13 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 8 |
| Reported bycatches ('000 t) *** | 15 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 9 |
| Working Group catch North Sea ('000 t) | 560 | 492 | 602 | 446 | 427 | 365 |

HC = human consumption fishery

* Landings might be provided by WG members to HAWG; they may differ from the official catches and cannot be used for management purposes. Norwegian bycatches included in this figure.
** bycatch ceiling for EU industrial fleets only, Norwegian bycatches included in the HC figure.
*** prior to 2019 provided by Denmark only. Since 2019 by Denmark and Sweden.


### 2.1.3 Regulations and their effects

In 2022, half of the EU quota for Division 3a (HER/03A.) can be taken in UK waters of the North Sea (HER/*4-UK) and 21038 tonnes of the EU quota can be taken in 4 b (HER/*4b-EU). In total, the transfer of 3.a quota into the North Sea is getting close to $100 \%$. Catches in Division 3a are limited to 1136 tonnes.

In the North Sea, Norway can take up to 2700 tonnes of its quota in UK and EU waters in divisions 4.a and $4 . b$ (HER/*4AB-C). 2700 tonnes of the EU quota can be taken within Norwegian waters south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (HER/* $\left.4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S} 62\right)$.

Half of the EU and UK quotas for divisions 4.c and 7.d can be taken in Division 4.b (HER/*04B.).
Also $50 \%$ of the EU bycatch quota in the small-meshed fishery in 3.a can be fished in EU waters in 4 (HER/*4-EU-BC).

In 2014, an agreed record between EU and Norway was applied, enabling an interannual quota flexibility of $10 \%$ of the TAC. Each party could transfer non-utilized quota of up to $10 \%$ of its quota into the next year, where it is added to the quota allocated to the party concerned in the
following year (or borrow $10 \%$ of the TAC, to be subtracted the following year). This interannual flexibility was changed in 2015 due to the Russian embargo on EU fishing products, so that $25 \%$ of the TAC could be transferred into the next year, while up to $10 \%$ could be borrowed. Subsequent year, the quota flexibility has been set to $10 \%$ again. Since 2021, this interannual quota flexibility is in place also for UK herring quotas.

At HAWG 2022, the effect of quota swaps and banking and borrowing could not be assessed by the WG.

Since 2015, a landing obligation is in place for the European pelagic fleets operating in the North Sea and the Baltic. All catches of (quota) regulated species have to be landed into port. Since 2020, the landing obligation also applies to all demersal fisheries although some exemptions have been agreed in the regional discard plans.

### 2.1.4 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns.

There have been no major changes to fishing technology of the fleets that target North Sea herring.

Having implemented the Brexit, access into UK waters was partly restricted in 2021. Norwegian vessels were not allowed to fish in UK waters and vice versa. This may have resulted in an increase in fishing effort in some rectangle in 4.a, along the Norwegian-UK maritime border. However, this effect is not clearly analysed yet. For 2022, there are mutual agreements put into place.

As in preceding years, the herring fishery concentrated in the north-western part of the North Sea, around the Fladen Ground area (figures 2.1.1 a-e). The majority of catches are taken in Subdivision $4 . \mathrm{aW}$, in the order of $50 \%$ of the total. Subdivision $4 . \mathrm{aE}$ provided $24 \%$ of the catches in 2021 and catches in Division 4.b contributed 16\%.

The bycatch ceiling for the small-meshed fishery (B-Fleet) has fully been taken in 2021. Catches were distributed in $4 . \mathrm{aW}(41 \%)$ and $4 . \mathrm{b}(58 \%)$. Only $1 \%$ were caught in 4.c. In former years, most of the catches in the B-Fleet were taken in Division 4.b ( $70 \%$ in 2019).

After a substantial decline in misreporting since 2009, misreporting is regarded as a minor problem in the herring fishery.

### 2.2 Biological composition of the catch

Biological information (numbers, weight, catch (SOP) at age and relative age composition) on the catch as obtained by sampling of commercial catches is given in tables 2.2.1-2.2.5. Data are given for the whole year and by quarter. Except in cases where the necessary data are missing, data are displayed separately by area for herring caught in the North Sea, for Western Baltic spring spawners (only in 4.aE), and for the total NSAS stock, including catches in Division 3.a.

Biological information on the NSAS caught in Division 3.a was obtained using splitting procedures described in Section 3.2 and in the Stock Annex.

The tables are laid out as follows:

- Table 2.2.6: Total catches of NSAS (SOP figures), mean weights- and numbers-at-age by fleet
- Table 2.2.7: Data on catch numbers-at-age and SOP catches for the period 2006-2021 (herring caught in the North Sea)
- Table 2.2.8: WBSS taken in the North Sea (see below)
- Table 2.2.9: NSAS caught in Division 3.a
- Table 2.2.10: Total numbers of NSAS
- Table 2.2.11: Mean weights-at-age, separately for the different Divisions where NSAS are caught, for the period 2011-2021.

Note that SOP catch estimates may deviate in some instances slightly from the WG catch used for the assessment.

### 2.2.1 Catch in numbers-at-age

The total number of herring taken in the North Sea is 2.6 billion fish and NSAS amounts to 2.65 billion fish in 2021. The proportion of 0-and 1-ringers of herring taken in the North Sea is $24 \%$ of the total catch in numbers (Table 2.2.5), compared to $49 \%$ in 2020. Most of these young herring are still taken in the B-Fleet in Division 4.b. Here, 0-ringers amount to $52 \%$ of the total catch in numbers in 4.b.

The proportion of $3+$ winter ring herring has re-increased to $62 \%$ of the total catch in numbers taken in the North Sea (compared to $39 \%$ in 2020).

In terms of biomass, the contribution of different age-groups is relatively homogeneous in 2021 (each 2-, 3-, 5- ,7- and 8-ringers contributed 13 to 19\%).

Western Baltic (WBSS) and local Division 3.a spring spawners are taken in the eastern North Sea during summer feeding migration (see Stock Annex and Section 3.2.2). These catches are included in Table 2.1.1 and listed as WBSS. Table 2.2.8 specifies the estimated catch numbers of WBSS caught in the North Sea, which are transferred from the North Sea assessment to the assessment of Division 3.a/Western Baltic in 2006-2021. After splitting the herring caught in the North Sea and 3.a between stocks, the total catch of North Sea Autumn spawners amounts to 365351 tonnes.

| Area | Allocated | Unallocated | BMS/Discard | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.a West | 181381 |  | 64 | 181445 |
| 4.a East | 88235 |  | 18 | 88253 |
| 4.b | 58826 |  |  | 58826 |
| 4.c/7.d | 35992 |  | 99 | 36091 |
| Total catch in the North Sea |  |  |  | 364615 |
| Autumn spawners caught in Division 3.a (SOP) |  |  |  | 4243 |
| Baltic spring spawners caught in the North Sea (SOP) |  |  |  | -3 505 |
| Total catch NSAS used for the assessment |  |  |  | 365351 |

### 2.2.2 Other Spring-spawning herring in the North Sea

Norwegian spring spawners and local fjord-type spring-spawning herring are taken in Division 4.a (East) close to the Norwegian coast under a separate TAC. These catches are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figures given in tables 2.1.1-2.1.6 but are listed separately in the respective catch tables. Along with the reduction in biomass of these spring-spawning herring in recent years, the catches have decreased in recent years. In 2021, they have been zero.

Blackwater herring are caught in the Thames estuary under a separate quota and included in the catch figure for England and Wales. In recent years, these catches have been relatively small. The TAC 2021 was set at 10 tonnes and reported catches amount to 2 tonnes.

### 2.2.3 Data revisions

No data revisions were applied in this year's assessment.

### 2.2.4 Quality of catch and biological data

Annual misreporting and unallocation of catches are regarded as a minor issue in the North Sea herring fishery. In 2021, no unallocated catches were reported.

Since 2015, a landing obligation is in place for pelagic fleets operating in the North Sea and the Baltic. All catches have to be landed into port. Reported catches in the BMS category (below minimum landing size, including any fish lost or damaged during processing procedures) were 96 tonnes in 2021. Some countries stated these to be zero, and other countries have not reported any catches in this category. In accordance with the landing obligation, no discards were reported in the 2021 North Sea herring fishery. However, discards occurred in demersal fisheries not targeting on herring. These discards were 67 tonnes in 2021.

The sampling of commercial landings covers $81 \%$ of the total catch.
More important than a sufficient overall sampling level is an appropriate spread of sampling effort over the different métiers (here defined as each combination of fleet/nation/area and quarter). Of 108 different reported métiers, 31 were sampled in 2021. The sampling level of more than 1 sample per 1000 t catch has been met for only 17 métiers. With regards to age readings, 20 métiers appear to be sampled sufficiently ( $>25$ fish aged per 1000 t catch).

However, some of the métiers yielded very little catch. In 69 métiers, the catch is below 1000 t . The total catch in these métiers sums to 11595 t , so the remaining 39 métiers represent 352956 t of the working group catch ( $97 \%$ ). Of these 39 métiers, 16 were sampled. 8 métiers have more than 1 sample per 1000 t catch and also 11 métiers more than 25 age readings per 1000 t catch.

According to the DCF regulations, some catches were landed into and sampled by other nations.
The WG recommends that all métiers with substantial catch should be sampled (including bycatches in the industrial fisheries), and that catches landed abroad should be sampled and their biological data be made available to the national laboratories (see Section 1.5).

### 2.3 Fishery independent information

### 2.3.1 Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea (HERAS), West of Scotland 6.a (N) and the Malin Shelf area (MSHAS) in June-July 2021

Six national surveys were carried out during late June and July covering most of the continental shelf in the North Sea, West of Scotland, and the Malin Shelf. The survey methods and full results are given in the report of the Working Group for International Pelagic Surveys (WGIPS; ICES 2021). The vessels, areas and dates of cruises are given in Table 2.3.1.1 and in Figure 2.3.1.1.

The global survey results provide spatial distributions of herring, abundance by number and biomass-at-age by strata and distributions of mean weight- and proportion mature-at-age for the assessment (Table 2.3.1.2).

The time-series of abundance of North Sea autumn spawning herring is given in Table 2.3.1.3. The 2021 estimate of North Sea autumn spawning herring SSB (spawning-stock biomass) is lower than in the previous year at 1.5 million tonnes (2020: 1.7 million tonnes) with a further decrease in the number of mature fish (2020: 8915 million fish, 2021:8 170 million fish). The mean weight of mature fish is lower than last year at 183.7 g , contributing stronger than the concurrent decrease in numbers to the decrease in biomass. The spawning stock is dominated by fish of age 2, 3 and 7 wr . In the 2020 survey 2, 5 and 6 wr dominated.

Distribution of herring in the North Sea area (Figure 2.3.1.2) is similar to that seen since 2017 and does not extend as far south as was the norm in the years prior to 2017. Abundance of NSAS herring was slightly lower compared to recent surveys in the North Sea area.
The abundance of immature fish in the stock has increased by 57\% since last year from 14851 million in 2020 to million 23311 in 2021. While prior to 20202 winter ring fish contributed substantially to the abundance of immature fish, the maturity level in this age group was as in the previous year comparatively high ( $59 \%$ mature in $2019,75 \%$ mature in $2020,74 \%$ mature in 2021).
At $74 \%$, the proportion mature at 2 winter rings in 2021 is again at the high end in the time series - compared to e.g., the all-time low of $37 \%$ in 2018. Maturities for ages 3 and above were again comparable to the long-term average with $99 \%$ of 3 winter ringers and $100 \%$ maturity for all ages 4 and above (Table 5.2). Since 2015, observed maturities are reported for all age groups. Previously maturity had been fixed at $100 \%$ for ages above 4 wr .

### 2.3.2 International Herring Larvae Surveys in the North Sea (IHLS)

Six survey areas were covered within the framework of the International Herring Larval Surveys in the North Sea during the sampling period 2021-2022. They monitored the abundance and distribution of newly hatched herring larvae in the Orkney/Shetlands area, in the Buchan area and the central North Sea (CNS) in September and in the southern North Sea (SNS) in December 2021 and January 2022 (Figures 2.3.2.1-2.3.2.3).
The survey around the Orkneys revealed higher quantities of newly hatched larvae, compared to relatively low numbers in the two preceding years. In the Buchan and the central North Sea, larvae hatched in lower quantities, and concentrated in two areas, while the remaining stations contributed only low numbers of larvae (Figure 2.3.2.1).

The two surveys in the southern North Sea showed comparable quantities. However, the survey in December was influenced by some hot spots, yielding high numbers of larvae. This pattern is not uncommon when compared to the survey history, thus all stations were included in further calculations.

As in former years, the abundance of young larvae is high when hatching started in December, but their spatial distribution is limited. With progressing spawning season, also the spatial distribution gets broader.

No survey was planned for the second half of January 2022. Instead, an additional MIK sampling is scheduled for March-April 2022 in the German Bight and Skagerrak/Kattegat area. This sampling should shade light on the foraging and recruitment of herring larvae originating in the Downs stock component. This survey is described in section 2.11.

During the most recent benchmark of the North Sea herring assessment (ICES, WKPELA 2018), it was decided to use the Larvae Abundance Index (LAI) as direct input into the assessment model and to resolve spatial stock dynamics inside the model.

### 2.3.3 International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS-Q1)

During the International Bottom Trawl Survey in the first quarter (Q1 IBTS), night-time catches are conducted with the MIK net, a fine meshed $(1600 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ 2-m-midwater ring net (ICES 2017) providing abundance estimates for large herring larvae (0-ringers) of the autumn spawning stock components. In addition, the Q1 IBTS also provides the time series for the 1-ringer herring abundance index in the North Sea from GOV catches carried out during daytime. For more details on the times series, the reader is referred to the previous reports of the working group.

### 2.3.3.1 2.3.3.1 The 0-ringer abundance (IBTSO survey)

The total abundance of 0-ringers in the survey area from the MIK sampling is used as a recruitment index for the stock. Since 2017, this 0-ringer index (also called MIK index) time series is calculated with a new algorithm, which excludes larvae of Downs origin more rigorously. This is done by excluding the smaller larvae - presumably of Downs origin - from the analyses in certain parts of the survey area. Index values are calculated as described in detail in the Stock Annex. (Note that this new time-series based on the new algorithm only dates back to 1992, and that all French data before 2008 are excluded because of data quality issues). The results of the calculation can be found in Table 2.3.3.1. The index from the 2022 survey (corresponding to the 2021 year-class) is 47.8 . This is one of the lowest values in the time-series, with only 4 other yearclasses being even lower (2003, 2007, $2014 \& 2016$ ).

The 2022 MIK-IBTS survey was faced with numerous challenges. Most importantly, very severe weather conditions prevailed throughout most of the survey period. In addition, several cases of Covid-19 on RV Walther Herwig III delayed the German survey for 15 days, and the

Scottish survey had to be cancelled after 5 days due to mechanical issues on RV Scotia. Furthermore, minor technical issues also occurred on the Dutch RV Tridens and the Danish RV Dana, resulting in the need to go back to harbor for some minor repairs. All these various issues had severe impacts on the MIK sampling, and only 433 depth-integrated hauls were completed with the MIK-net, which is 250 MIK hauls less than in 2021. For the 2022 MIK 0-ringer index (corresponding to the 2021 year-class), all hauls north of $51^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ were used, in total 410 hauls, which is 253 less than in 2020.

As a total of 714 MIK hauls were planned according to the 2022 NSIBTS Q1 program (the target is 4 hauls per ICES rectangle), only approximately $60 \%$ of the planned MIK-stations were sampled. However, there has been an increase in the number of MIK hauls throughout the timeseries, and the 433 MIK hauls achieved in 2022 are still more hauls than were conducted in the early years of the time-series. Besides, thanks to intensive coordination between participants during the survey and more decent weather in the final part of the survey period, at least 1 MIK haul could be conducted in most ICES rectangles and the majority of rectangles was covered with 2 or more hauls. Nevertheless, 24 rectangles were not covered at all by the MIK sampling, but these were mainly located in the north-western parts of the survey area, which usually only yield low numbers of herring larvae. Thus, the majority of the main herring larvae distribution area could be covered.

In order to investigate whether the poor sampling coverage may have had an influence on the 0ringer index from the 2022 survey, two data tests were conducted. In the first test, the entire 0 ringer index time-series from 1992 to 2021 was re-calculated without the 24 rectangles which were not covered in 2022 and compared to the existing, normal 0-ringer time-series. For most years, the deviances between the two time-series were max. $5 \%$ or less, except for one year with a deviance of about $10 \%$. Furthermore, when plotting the two time-series together in the same figure, it became evident that the overall time-series trends were not affected at all and the discrepancy between the two time-series was negligible. In the second test, the entire time-series
since 1992 was calculated with only 1 and 2 randomly chosen MIK hauls per rectangle, conducting 100 different runs per year. For the test with only 1 random MIK haul per rectangle a relatively high variability of the index values was observed, whereas the test with 2 random hauls per rectangle only resulted in a low variability of the index. The overall trends, however, were not seriously affected in both runs. Thus, as the majority of rectangles was covered by at least 2 or more MIK hauls, the impact of the poor MIK sampling coverage during the 2022 survey on the resulting 0 -ringer index seems negligible. In summary, despite the encountered issues and low overall number of MIK hauls, it can be assumed that the 2022 MIK survey provides a representative 0-ringer index.
Figure 2.3.3.1.1 shows the size distribution of MIK larvae in 2022. Herring larvae measured between 7 and 44 mm standard length (SL). Again, and as in most years, the smallest larvae <12 mm were the most numerous and the larvae between 7 to 11 mm made up almost $50 \%$ of the total number of larvae. Larger larvae $>18 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL were rarer, making up about $10 \%$ of all larvae, and were caught in lower densities than last year. An interesting feature in the 2022 length distribution is the peak at 15 mm SL. Figure 2.3.3.1.2 illustrates the spatial distribution of 0 -ringers in 2020, 2021 and 2022. The smallest larvae were chiefly caught in 7.d and in the Southern Bight. The large larvae appeared in moderate to high quantities in both the central, western and southern parts of the North Sea. In the southeastern and eastern part of the North Sea, the potential nurseries, abundance of large herring larvae was lower than last year.

### 2.3.3.2 The 1-ringer herring abundances (IBTS-1)

The 1-ringer recruitment estimate (IBTS-1 index) is based on GOV catches in the entire survey area. The time series for year classes 1991 to 2020 is shown in Table 2.3.3.2. The index from the 2022 survey (corresponding to the 2020 year-class) is 806 . This is less than half of the long-term average of the time series, and only 3 other year-classes were even lower (1997, $2014 \& 2016$ ).
Figure 2.3.3.2.1 illustrates the spatial distribution of 1-ringers as estimated by trawling in January/February 2020, 2021, and 2022. As in previous years, the majority of the 1-ringers of the 2020 year-class were found in the Kattegat/Skagerrak area, however at much lower abundance. In addition, 1-ringers were also found in the south-eastern parts of the North Sea as well as in the Moray Firth.
After a longer period where the trajectories of 1-ringer abundance and 0-ringer index seemed to be uncoupled (year-classes 2003-2012), the two trajectories again corresponded well for the yearclasses 2013 - 2018 but weakened for the 2019 year-class (Fig. 2.3.3.2.2). The 0-ringer and 1-ringer data for the 2020 year-class correspond better than for the 2019 year-class, but the 1-ringer value seems rather low compared to the 0 -ringer value.

This leads to the question if there may be an issue with the 1-ringer index for the 2020 year-class, which could e.g., be related to the various challenges during the 2022 survey described above (see section 2.3.3.1). Due to these challenges, a total number of 33 ICES rectangles were not covered by GOV hauls in 2022. However, the uncovered rectangles are mainly located in the northwestern North Sea, which is an area that usually did not yield relevant catches of 1-ringers in previous years. Besides, the ICES rectangles in the north-western areas that actually were covered did not yield relevant catches of 1-ringers during the 2022 survey, indicating that the unsampled rectangles would not have yielded any relevant catches either. Thus, the poor spatial coverage in these areas in 2022 does not seem to have an influence on the 1-ringer index, which is mainly driven by catches in Kattegat, Skagerrak and the German Bight, i.e. areas that were decently covered in 2022.

However, the adverse weather conditions during much of the 2022 survey may have had a more general influence on the catchability of 1-ringers, e.g., by reducing the schooling effect due to low visibility in the water. Besides, it should be kept in mind that the 1-ringer index is based on
hauls with a GOV Trawl, i.e., a bottom trawl which might not be ideal to catch pelagic species like herring. Furthermore, differences in vertical net opening between participating vessels in the Q1 IBTS may also have an influence on catchability. Germany did e.g., report a relatively high vertical net opening while Norway reports a relatively low vertical net opening, which may result in higher and lower catchability of pelagic species, respectively. As Germany only conducted 10 out of their 67 planned GOV hauls in 2022, this may have had an effect on the total numbers of 1-ringer herring, but it is not clear if such an effect did indeed occur, nor can its magnitude be estimated. However, it should be kept in mind that the 1-ringer abundance from the 2022 survey, corresponding to the 2020 year-class, may be underestimated.

### 2.4 Mean weights-at-age, maturity-at-age, and natural mortality

### 2.4.1 Mean weights-at-age

Table 2.4.1.1 shows the historic mean weights-at-age (winter ringers, wr) in the North Sea stock during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter in divisions 4 and 3.a from the North Sea acoustic survey (HERAS) as well as the mean weights-at-age in the catch from 1996 to 2020 for comparison. The data for 2020 were sourced from tables 2.3.1.2. and 2.2.2. In the third quarter (timing of the HERAS survey) most fish are approaching their peak weights just prior to spawning.
The general trend towards smaller mean weight at age observed in recent years in the acoustic survey and, but less pronounced, in the catch in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter (Figure 2.4.1.1), seems to be turned since 2020. This is especially the case for age 2 and 3 Almost all ages, in both the acoustic survey and the catch, had higher mean weight at age compared to 2019, with the only exception of 5-wr fish in the catch and 8-wr in the catch and the survey.

### 2.4.2 Maturity ogive

The percentages at age of North Sea autumn spawning herring that were considered mature in 2021 were estimated from the North Sea acoustic survey (Table 2.4.2.1). The method and justification for the use of values derived from a single year's data were described fully in ICES (1996/ACFM:10). While $5+$ group herring were considered fully mature in the period prior to 2015, WGIPS reported maturity stage for all groups up to 7+ separately in the most recent years.

Maturity of 2 winter ringers was at an all-time low in 2018 at $37 \%$. In 2019, the proportion mature at 2 winter rings was at $59 \%$, still low when compared to the long term. In 2020 and 2022, 2 winter ringers were to $75 \%$ and $74 \%$ mature respectively, much more in line with previous years. Maturities for winter ringers 3 (989) and 4 ( $100 \%$ ) are also comparable to the long-term average. $100 \%$ maturity was achieved by age 4 .

### 2.4.3 Natural mortality

One of the improvements of the 2012 benchmark of the North Sea herring stock (ICES WKPELA, 2012) was the integration of fundamental links between the North Sea ecosystem and the NSAS stock dynamics.

From 2012 onwards, the assessment of NSAS includes variable estimates of natural mortality (M) at age derived directly from a multispecies stock assessment model, the SMS model, used in WGSAM (Lewy and Vinther, 2004; ICES 2011). The input data to the assessment are the smoothed values of the raw SMS model annual $M$ values, which are variable both at-age and over the time. Natural mortality in years outside the time-period covered by the model are filled
and estimated for each age as a five-year running mean in the forward direction and in the reverse direction for years prior. The $M$ estimates are variable along the time period covered by the assessment and are the result of predator-prey overlap and diet composition. The trends in total M of NSAS are a result of the contribution of each of the predators to the predation mortality of the NSAS stock. The time-series of M adopted at the benchmark in 2012 was from the 2011 key run of the SMS model covering the period 1963-2010 (ICES WGSAM, 2011). Since 2012, the M time-series were updated following the latest key runs of the SMS model (ICES WGSAM, 2014; 2016, 2021).

During the 2018 benchmark (ICES WKPELA, 2018), it was decided to use the new M time-series from the 2017 SMS model key run (ICES WGSAM, 2018). However, because of the substantial impact the absolute level of M has on the assessment, an age and year independent offset is applied. This offset is calculated using a likelihood profiling of the assessment model which allows one to find the $M$ that best fits the input data to the assessment. However, for the profiling performed during WKPELA2018, a benchmark interim model specification was used. In practice, the assessment profiling should have been performed using the WKPELA2018 final model configuration to ensure consistency in the derivation of additive rescaling. This discrepancy was only discovered at HAWG2021 and has consequence in the scaling of the assessment. In order to correct this discrepancy but also update the natural mortality for the NSAS assessment with the latest SMS model key run (ICES WGSAM, 2021), a dedicated inter-benchmark was held (IBPNSherring2021, ICES, 2021).

The latest natural mortality vector from WGSAM (ICES WGSAM, 2021) spans the 1974-2019 period. Values outside this year range is computed using a three year moving average.

### 2.5 Recruitment

Information on the development in North Sea herring recruitment comes from the International Bottom Trawl Surveys, from which IBTS0 and IBTS-1 indices are derived. Further, the SAM assessment provides estimates of the recruitment of herring in which information from the catch and from all fishery independent indices is incorporated. Of importance is the fact that IBTS0 allows the assessment model to estimate recruitment levels in the assessment year. This is subsequently used in the short-term forecast for the intermediate year. The recruitment trends from the assessment are dealt with in Section 2.6.

### 2.5.1 Relationship between 0-ringer and 1-ringer recruitment indices

The estimation of 0-ringer abundance (IBTS0 index) predicts the year-class strength one year before the strength is estimated from abundance of 1-ringers (IBTS-1 index). The relationship between year-class estimates from the two indices is illustrated in Figure 2.5.1.1 and is described by the fitted linear regression.

The time series of 0- and 1-ringer abundance from the Q1 IBTS survey exists since the 1977 yearclass. For more than a decade until the mid-1990s, there has been very good agreement between the indices in their description of temporal trends in recruitment, with the 0 -ringer index explaining more than $70 \%$ of the variability of the respective 1-ringer abundance. It has to be borne in mind that the IBTS 0-ringer (or MIK) index only reflects recruitment in the autumn spawning components. Hence, once the contribution of the winter spawning Downs component to the total North Sea herring stock increased and of the autumn spawning components decreased, the relationship between the two indices started to erode. This was particularly true during the first decade of the 21st century (for the year-classes 2003-2012), but also already for the 1995 yearclass, when the predicted trends in recruitment deviated between the two indices.

Since 2017, the MIK index time series is calculated with a new algorithm, which only dates back to 1992 and excludes larvae of Downs origin more rigorously. The correlation between 0 - and 1ringer indices utilizing the newly calculated MIK index time series is much weaker, explaining only 26 \% recruitment variability (Figure 2.5.1.1). However, starting with the 2013 year-class, there was once again good agreement between the trends of the two indices. In the 2014 MIK survey, the 2013 year-class was recorded as the largest 0 -ringer abundance since 2002, and the strength of this year-class was confirmed in 2015 with one of the largest 1-ringer abundances. This was the first strong year-class observed since 2002. Since then, the IBTS 1-ringer index followed the ups and downs of the MIK 0-ringer index for the respective year-classes until the 2018 year-class (Figure 2.3.3.2.2). For the 2019 year-class, the relationship between the MIK 0-ringer and the IBTS 1-ringer index decreased again.

The most recent data that can be compared between 0-ringers and 1-ringers are for the 2020 yearclass, corresponding to the 0-ringers from the 2021 MIK survey and the 1-ringers from the 2022 GOV survey. Generally, the 0-ringer and 1-ringer data for the 2020 year-class correspond better than for the 2019 year-class, but in contrast to the vast majority of other years in the time-series, the 1 -ringer value is rather low in relation to the 0 -ringer value (Figure 2.3.3.2.2). This may reflect some severe mass mortality among the larger herring larvae or young juveniles, in particular if one bears in mind that there are increasing numbers of mackerel in the North Sea during summer in recent years, which may prey heavily on the 0 -group herring. However, this could also be a "sampling artefact" related to adverse weather conditions and several other challenges during the 2022 Q1 IBTS, which may have affected the catchability of 1-ringers of the 2020 year-class, but it is not clear if such an effect did indeed occur, nor can its magnitude be estimated (for further details see section 2.3.3). However, it should be kept in mind that the 1-ringer abundance from the 2022 survey, corresponding to the 2020 year-class, may be underestimated.

### 2.6 Assessment of North Sea herring

### 2.6.1 Data exploration and preliminary results

The tool for the assessment of North Sea herring is FLSAM, an implementation of the State-space assessment model (www.stockassessment.org, Nielsen and Berg 2014), embedded inside the FLR library (Kell et al., 2007).

Acoustic (HERAS ages 1-8+), bottom trawl (IBTS-Q1 age 1, IBTS-Q3 age 2-5), IBTS0 and larval index (LAI) indices are available for the assessment of North Sea autumn spawning herring. The surveys and the years for which they are available are given in Table 2.6.1.1. The input data and the performance of the assessment have been scrutinised to check for potential problems.

The proportion mature of 2,3 and $4-\mathrm{wr}$ individuals are $74 \%, 99 \%$, and $100 \%$ respectively. The historical proportion mature at age are given in Table 2.6.1.2 and plotted in Figure 2.6.1.1. The maturity for age 2 is substantially higher compared to the lowest point in 2018. This is following a consistent decrease of proportion mature at this age since 2015. Other biological inputs to the assessment are presented in Figures 2.6.1.2-2.6.1.4 and Tables 2.6.1.3-2.6.1.5. Catch at age are given in Table 2.6.1.6 and the proportions plotted in Figure 2.6.1.5.

The numbers-at-age over all ages in the HERAS acoustic survey are given in Table 2.6.1.7 and the proportions are plotted in Figure 2.6.1.6. Overall, the age composition of the stock sampled by the HERAS acoustic survey in 2021 is similar to previous years. For this survey, the internal consistency of the index remains high, as it has been for a long period (Figure 2.6.1.7). However, as explored at HAWG 2020 (ICES 2020), the index consistency has decreased in recent years. Other survey indices are presented in Tables 2.6.1.8-2.6.1.14. The internal consistency of the IBTSQ3 (the other multi-age index) is shown in Figure 2.6.1.8 and presents good cohort tracking.

### 2.6.2 NS herring assessment

In accordance with the settings described in the Stock Annex, the final assessment of North Sea herring was carried out by fitting the state space model (SAM, in the FLR environment). The input data are presented in Table 2.6.1.2-2.6.1.14 and model settings are given in Table 2.6.2.7. Estimated parameters and model outputs are given in Table 2.6.2.1-2.6.2.6.

A summary of assessment outputs is shown in Figure 2.6.2.1 (SSB, F averaged over age 2-6 and recruitment). The spawning stock at spawning time in 2021 is estimated at approximately 1.35 million tonnes and still decreasing of the stock observed since 2016. As for recruitment, the 2022 estimates are substantially lower than estimated during 2021. Recruitment of the 2020- and 2021year classes are estimated to be weak. Mean F2-6 in 2020 is estimated at approximately 0.20 .

The SAM model fits the catch and the surveys well and residuals are random and small for all ages (figures 2.6.2.2-2.6.2.5). Only a small block of positive residuals can be observed for age 7 catch data over the years 2000-2006, while at age 8 for catch data, a similar block of negative residuals can be observed (figures 2.6.1.13 and 2.6.1.14). This likely indicates a trade-off in model fit to either the age 7 or age $8+$ catch information. There is a methodological need however to link age 7 and age $8+$ together in the stock assessment model. The residuals are very small and are not considered an issue for the performance of the assessment. The fitting of the LAI index is poor due to the intrinsic noise to the larvae survey. However, this survey is the only one able to provide information on the strength of the different spawning components. Given the low impact of this survey on the overall assessment, this is not considered an issue.
The estimated observation variances and survey catchabilities are given in Tables 2.6.2.1-2.6.2.2 and plotted in Figures 2.6.2.6-2.6.2.8. Overall, the assessment is informed best by catch data and HERAS over the core ages of the stock (ages 2-6). With the updated assessment model from the latest inter-benchmark (ICES 2021), the catchability of the HERAS survey is close to 1, in line with the expectation for this survey that covers the stock in its entirety.
A feature of the assessment model is the estimation of an observation variance parameter for each dataset (Table 2.6.2.1, Figure 2.6.2.6). Overall, all data sources are associated with low observation variances. The catch-at-ages 1-5 stands out as the most precise data source while the LAI indices, IBTSQ3 age 0 and HERAS age 1 to be the noisiest data. The uncertainty associated with the parameter estimated is low for most data sources where only the CV of the catch-at-age 0 is some- what high (Figure 2.6.2.7). However, the CV quantities do not indicate a lack of convergence of the assessment model.

The analytical retrospective analysis (Table 2.6.2.5, Figure 2.6.2.9) has mean Mohn's rho values with a 5-year peel of: $-9 \%$ ( Fbar ), $-10 \%$ (rec), and $7 \%$ (SSB).

Figure 2.6.1.49 shows the model uncertainty plot, representing the parametric uncertainty of the fit of the assessment model in terminal F and SSB.

Further data screening of the input data on mature - immature biomass ratios, survey CPUEs, proportion of catch numbers- and weights-at-age and proportion of IBTS and acoustic survey ages have been executed, as well as correlation coefficient analyses for the acoustic and IBTS survey and assessment parameters (Figure 2.6.2.10-2.6.2.12).

### 2.6.3 Exploratory Assessment for NS herring

An exploratory assessment using fleet disaggregated data for (1) catches-at-age (2) weight in the catch-at-age was carried out (Figure 2.6.3.1). It is important to note that fleet B and D are combined because of their similarity. More details on the model configuration exploration are provided in the 2018 benchmark report (ICES WKPELA, 2018). Tables for the multifleet
assessment and results (including fleet wise fishing mortalities) are given in Table 2.6.3.1-2.6.3.7. Figure 2.6.3.2 shows a comparison between the single fleet and multi-fleet stock trajectory results and these are very consistent.

Of particular relevance when running the SAM model using a multifleet configuration is the fishing mortality-at-age that is outputted for each fleet. The subsequent catch residuals for each fleet are shown in Figure 2.6.2.3 to Figure 2.6.2.5. The observation variance is shown in Figure 2.6.2.6, with high levels for fleet B and D. Expectedly, the model is driven by catch data from the fleet A which represents most of the overall catches. The model uncertainty and the correlation coefficients between the estimated parameters are shown in Figure 2.6.2.7 and 2.6.2.8 respectively.

The analytical retrospective for the multi-fleet model is shown in in Figure 2.6.2.9 and is slightly higher than for the single fleet model. The fishing selectivity for the A fleet are shown in Figure 2.6.3.10 and present similar patterns to the single fleet model. This is expected as fleet A is the main fleet harvesting the stock. The development of selectivity patterns for the other fleets (C and B and D combined) are presented in Figure 2.6.3.11 and 2.6.3.12.

### 2.6.4 State of the Stock

Based on the most recent estimates of SSB and fishing mortality, ICES classifies the stock as is being harvested sustainably. Fishing mortality is below the estimated FMSY (0.31).

The SSB in autumn 2021 was estimated at 1.35 million tonnes, which is above $B_{p a}(0.96$ million $t)$ and MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}(1.23$ million $t)$.

Since the strong 2013-year class, recruitment of herring has been low. The 2020-year class is estimated at $84 \%$ and the 2021-year class at $76 \%$ of the 10 year geometric mean recruitment.

Contrary to recent years' assessments, fishing mortality on older ages is now estimated somewhat lower.

### 2.7 Short-term predictions

Short-term predictions for the years 2021, 2022, and 2023 were done with code developed in the R programming language. During HAWG 2019, a modification to the code was made because the 2015 EU-Norway management rule is no longer in force and because the ICES advice for WBSS herring resulted in a zero catch advice. During HAWG 2020 a further modification to the code was made to allow for a combined scaling of the A and B fleets (see below).

The various assumptions for the short-term predictions for both the stock and the four different fleets are given in tables 2.7.1 and 2.7.2 respectively. The reference points are presented in Table 2.7.3.

In the short-term predictions, recruitment is assumed constant at 23 billion for the years 2023 and 2024 following the same recruitment regime since 2002 (weighted mean of the past 10 year classes, weighted by the uncertainty in the estimate). The recruitment estimate of the 2021 year class, obtained from the assessment (informed by the 2022 IBTS0 survey) served as the estimate for 2022.

For the intermediate year (2022), no overshoot for the A fleet was assumed. Negotiations between the EU, Norway, and UK for 2022 resulted in the allowance of $100 \%$ of the C-fleet and D-fleet TACs in the Kattegat-Skagerrak area to be taken in the North Sea. The arrangement is very different to the previous year's arrangements. The expected catches of NSAS herring during 2022 were estimated as follows:

- A-fleet: fleet TAC (427628t) + what is transferred from the C-fleet in 3a to the North Sea $(23885 \mathrm{t})$ scaled by the 3-year average proportion of NSAS in A-fleet catch $(98.6 \%$, 20192021)
- B-fleet: fleet TAC (8 174 t$)+$ a $50 \%$ transfer from the D-fleet TAC (6 659 t ) in 3a to the North Sea scaled with the fleet uptake in 2021 (78\%)
- C-fleet: catches corresponding to 1136 t catch in 3.a scaled by the 3-year average proportion of NSAS in the C-fleet catch ( $35.5 \%$, 2019-2021)
- D-fleet: catches set at $0 t$ because considered negligible compared to the other fleets

The expected catches of Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring caught under the North Sea TAC are deducted from the expected A fleet catches in the intermediate year. In the projected year 2023, for most of the scenarios, the C and D fleet outtake was set to 0 in agreement with the 0 -catch advice for WBSS for 2023. The catch scenarios with a zero catch advice for WBSS are presented in Table 2.7.4.

For the catch options with a TAC status quo for the C and D fleets, the fraction of North Sea Autumn Spawning (NSAS) herring caught in 3.a by the $C$ and $D$ fleet was used to derive $C$ and D fleet NSAS catches, based on projected TACs in 3.a for these fleets. The catch scenarios assuming a status quo in C-D fleet catches are presented in Table 2.7.5.

In the absence of an agreed management plan for NSAS herring, it has not been possible to derive fleet-based fishing mortalities for the prediction year. Therefore, the ICES MSY Advice Rule (MSY AR) has been used as the basis for the advice. With the reference points derived at IBPNSherring 2021 (ICES, 2021), the MSY AR stipulates a fishing mortality of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.31$ when the stock is above MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}(1232828$ tonnes) and a linear decline in F when the stock is below MSY Btrigger. With the forecasted values in 2023, the SSB is calculated below MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ which results in a target $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr}) 2-6}=0.281$ (Figure 2.7.1.1).

There is no specific allowance in the ICES MSY AR for multiple fishing mortality targets, such as the fishing mortality for 0 and 1 WR herring, which were previously integral part of the management plans for NSAS herring. In the forecast, the combined selection pattern for the A and B fleets are scaled together to achieve the different targets of the forecast scenarios. Therefore, the fishing mortalities of the A and B fleets are both variable across the scenarios.

## All predictions are for North Sea autumn spawning herring only.

### 2.7.1 Comments on the short-term projections

While the HAWG 2021 forecast (REF) suggested that the steep decline of the stock since 2016 has stalled, the new assessment and forecast in HAWG 2022 concludes that the stock is still declining. Expanding the (deterministic) short term forecast for a limited number of years, suggested that the decline in stock size may halt around 2024-2025. Choosing a lower target fishing mortality than Fmsy=0.31, is expected to lead to a quicker recovery of the stock to above MSY Btrigger.

### 2.7.2 Exploratory short-term projections

A direct comparison of the forecast results with the last two assessments (2021 and 2020) is given in Figure 2.7.2.2 for the catches at age and Figure 2.7.2.3 as proportions. Overall, it is predicted that the contribution of old ages will be lessened in 2023 relative to 2022.

To explore the sensitivity of the short-term projection to the particular situation for North Sea herring (stock mainly consisting of older fish that are highly selected for), HAWG 2021 again carried out and extended short-term projection using the MSY AR projection, using the same recruitment and the same fishing patterns by fleet for the years 2024-2028 (Figure 2.7.2.4). This
projection resulted catch of $\sim 375000$ tonnes by 2026. It should be noted that this does not constitute a real evaluation of the MSY AR rule because the fishing mortality was not adapted according to the rule, but simply kept constant during the years of the projection.

### 2.8 Medium term predictions and HCR simulations

No medium-term prediction or HCR simulations were carried out during the Working Group. A new management strategy evaluation was carried out in 2019 (ICES WKNSMSE, 2019), following an EU-Norway request (EU-Norway, 20182). However, to date there is no agreement of management plan between EU, Norway, and UK.

### 2.9 Precautionary and Limit Reference Points and FMSY targets

The precautionary reference points for this stock were originally adopted in 1998 and updated in 2012, 2016 and 2018.

New reference points were calculated during the 2021 interbenchmark meeting (ICES WKNSHERRING, 2021) which resulted in a downward estimate of Blim and MSYBtrigger and an upward estimate of Fmsy. Sensitivity testing revealed that the derivation of reference points for herring in the North Sea is very sensitive to the choice of time periods and stock-recruitment models used. Reference points out of the 2018 benchmark and the 2021 interbenchmark are presented in table 2.9.1. The derivation of reference points and the history of the reference points for North Sea herring are further described in the Stock Annex.

Overall, in light of the 2021 assessment, the fishing pressure remains below Fmsy while the SSB is above MSY BTrigger.

### 2.10 Quality of the assessment

The data used within the assessment, the assessment methods and settings were carefully scrutinized during the 2018 benchmark (ICES WKPELA, 2018) and 2021 inter-benchmark (ICES, 2021). These are described in the North Sea Herring Stock Annex (a list of links to the Stock Annexes can be found in Annex 4). The changes made during the 2021 inter-benchmark overall improved the assessment model. Sensitivity testing revealed that the derivation of reference points for herring in the North Sea is very sensitive to the choice of time periods and stock-recruitment models used.

### 2.11 North Sea herring spawning components

The North Sea autumn-spawning herring stock is generally understood as representing a complex of multiple spawning components (Cushing, 1955; Harden Jones, 1968; Iles and Sinclair, 1982; Heath et al., 1997). Monitoring and maintaining the diversity of local populations is widely viewed as critical to the successful management of marine fish stocks.

### 2.11.1 International Herring Larval Survey

The spawning component abundance index (SCAI: Payne, 2010) was developed to characterize the relative dynamics of the individual North Sea spawning components.

The dynamics of the components are documented in Table 2.3.2.1 and can be observed in Figure 2.11.1.

Prior to 2002 there were large differences in the contributions of each of the components to the total SSB with northern components (Orkney/Shetland and Buchan) being the major contributetors. Since 2002 there has been a more even contribution from each of the four components with some interannual variability. However, the Downs component may be underrepresented in some years due late spawning and Orkney-Shetland due to a lack of sampling due to vessel constraints in 2016-2019.

### 2.11.2 IBTSO Larval Index

The ring net hauls for 0-ringers during the IBTS in the North Sea and eastern English Channel also include Downs herring larvae. These larvae are, however, too small to have passed their critical period of high and highly variable mortality. Their abundance cannot be used for recruitment prediction. These small larvae (separated as $<19 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) have been excluded from the standard estimation of 0-ringer recruitment (IBTS0 index).

### 2.11.3 Component considerations

The Downs TAC was set up to conserve the spawning aggregation of Downs herring. Uncertainties concerning the status of, and recruitment to, this component of the North Sea herring stock are high, and HAWG is not aware of any evidence to suggest that this measure is inappropriate. HAWG therefore recommends that the 4.c-7.d TAC be maintained at $11 \%$ of the total North Sea TAC (as recommended by ICES). Any new management approach should provide an appropri-ate-ate balance of F across stock components and be similarly conservative until the uncertainty about contribution of the Downs and other components to the catch in all fisheries in the North Sea is reduced.

### 2.12 Ecosystem considerations

The status as of 2015 can be found in ICES HAWG (2015) and the stock annex.

### 2.13 Changes in the environment

For several herring stocks in the working group, the mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the stock has been decreasing since the early 1980s. This applies to the Celtic Sea herring, Irish Sea herring and North Sea Autumn Spawning herring. No real pattern is observed for Western Baltic

Spring-spawning herring and an increase in mean weight is seen in the combined Malin Shelf herring.

Decreases in mean weight in the catch could drive the recent increase in selectivity of the fisheries for older ages. The fisheries often target certain weight classes of herring which could be of an older age in the recent years.

The North Sea Autumn Spawning herring stock has, since 2002, produced a series of below average year classes, a situation which has not been observed previously (Payne et al., 2009): the most recent year class also appears to represent a continuation of this trend. This low recruitment has occurred despite a spawning-stock biomass that is well above the Blim of 800000 tonnes (where impaired recruitment is expected to set in) (Figure 2.13.1).

Stock productivity, as represented by the number of recruits-per-spawner from the assessment, has been low for the last decade (Figure 2.13.2). Although there have been changes during this low productivity regime, at no point has this metric approached the levels seen during the 1990s. The most recent recruits-per-spawner is amongst the lowest observed during the recent period.

Year-class strength in this stock is determined during the larvae phase (Dickey-Collas and Nash, 2005; Payne et al., 2009). Updating these analyses with the most recent datasets suggests that the trend of reduced larval survival between the early (as indicated by the SSB/LAI index) and the late (as indicated by the IBTS0 index) larval stages has continued in the most recent years (Figure 2.13.3). (It should be noted that the switch from the SCAI calculation to the LAI calculation inside the assessment model, has caused a higher variability of the larvae survival relationship between SSB/LAI and IBTS0 indices). The most recent observation continues the trend of relatively poor survival.

The IBTS0 index is regarded by the working group as not being representative of recruitment to the Downs spawning component, as observations of small larvae in this region are removed from the index calculation. A more appropriate metric is therefore to base the metric of larval survival on the abundance of larvae from the three northern components (i.e., excluding the Downs). However, this refined metric shows a very similar trend (Figure 2.13.4) with continued poor survival.

All indicators therefore suggest that the stock remains in the low productivity regime observed in previous years.

Table 2.1.1. Herring caught in the North Sea. Total catch (tonnes) by country, 2017-2021. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 13 | 32 | 60 | 119 | 47 |
| Denmark * | 110318 | 132231 | 91680 | 95615 | 62943 |
| Faroe Islands | 442 | 497 | 614 | 804 | 0 |
| France | 28801 | 31505 | 25288 | 19768 | 25070 |
| Germany | 43707 | 51636 | 37699 | 29439 | 25741 |
| Netherlands | 84914 | 111302 | 79465 | 75036 | 66402 |
| Norway | 134132 | 162594 | 128614 | 115879 | 95061 |
| Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 466 |
| Sweden * | 18518 | 19408 | 13184 | 13149 | 18765 |
| Ireland | 868 | 515 | 3 | 235 | 414 |
| UK (England) | 16997 | 19591 | 12685 | 16241 | 13174 |
| UK (Scotland) | 49514 | 66005 | 50771 | 49692 | 51194 |
| UK (N.Ireland) | 3469 | 6916 | 3938 | 2681 | 5176 |
| Unallocated landings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total landings | 491693 | 602232 | 444001 | 424800 | 364453 |
| Discards/BMS | - | 96 | 1630 | 2522 | 162 |
| Total catch | 491693 | 602328 | 445631 | 427321 | 364615 |

Estimates of the parts of the catches which have been allocated to spring-spawning stocks

| WBSS | 632 | 2164 | 8832 | 6802 | 3505 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thames estuary ** | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 |
| Norw. Spring Spawners *** | 83 | 310 | 5 | 88 | 0 |

* Including any bycatches in the industrial fishery
** Landings from the Thames estuary area are included in the North Sea catch figure for UK (England).
*** These catches (including some local fjord-type Spring Spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area.

Table 2.1.2. Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division 4.a (West). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark * | 76277 | 90763 | 54820 | 56676 | 37970 |
| Faroe Islands | 405 | 496 | 611 | 794 | 0 |
| France | 11064 | 14745 | 13344 | 7688 | 13795 |
| Germany | 32736 | 35884 | 19851 | 16694 | 16590 |
| Lithuania | - | - | - | 2789 | 466 |
| Netherlands | 55832 | 56990 | 44071 | 50363 | 48510 |
| Norway | 57744 | 78647 | 53254 | 35674 | 7119 |
| Sweden | 12447 | 14132 | 8557 | 7718 | 11100 |
| Ireland | 868 | 515 | 3 | 235 | 414 |
| UK (England) | 12072 | 12313 | 5640 | $\begin{aligned} & 1143 \\ & a \end{aligned}$ | 9487 |
| UK (Scotland) | 49012 | 64424 | 50771 | 42581 | 33416 |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 3469 | 5582 | 3938 | 2681 | 2514 |
| Total Landings | 311926 | 374491 | 254860 | 235330 | 181381 |
| Discards/BMS | - | - | - | 284 | 64 |
| Total catch | 311926 | 374491 | 254860 | 235613 | 181445 |

[^2]Table 2.1.3. Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division 4.a (East). These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark * | 3928 | 751 | 0 | 62 | 18 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | 74216 | 73452 | 64592 | 58535 | 87756 |
| Sweden | 705 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 479 |
| Total landings | 78849 | 74580 | 64692 | 58597 | 88253 |
| Discards/BMS | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total catch | 78849 | 74580 | 64692 | 58597 | 88253 |
| Norw. Spring Spawners ** | 85 | 310 | 5 | 88 | 0 |

* Including any bycatches in the industrial fishery.
** These catches (including some fjord-type spring spawners) are taken by Norway under a separate quota south of $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and are not included in the Norwegian North Sea catch figure for this area.

Table 2.1.4. Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division 4.b. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2017 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 |  |
| Denmark* | 30045 | 4067 | 36750 | 38842 | 24903 |  |
| Faroe Islands | 37 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 |  |
| France | 7423 | 6090 | 1359 | 5092 | 1569 |  |
| Germany | 2048 | 4964 | 8568 | 4197 | 3869 |  |
| Netherlands | 15739 | 34491 | 20700 | 8814 | 691 |  |
| UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | 1334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2662 |
| Norway | 2172 | 10495 | 10768 | 21671 | 186 |  |
| Sweden* | 5366 | 4899 | 4627 | 5431 | 7166 |  |
| UK (England) | 2435 | 3262 | 2750 | 919 | 4 |  |
| UK (Scotland) | 502 | 1581 | $0-$ | 7082 | 17775 |  |
| Unallocated landings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total landings | 65767 | 107794 | 85525 | 95422 | 58826 |  |
| Discards | - | 1 | 800 | - | - |  |
| Total catch | 65767 | 107795 | 86325 | 95422 | 58826 |  |

* Including any bycatches in the industrial fishery.

Table 2.1.5. Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in tonnes in Division 4.c and 7.d. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for legal purposes.

| Country | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 13 | 32 | 60 | 108 | 46 |
| Denmark* | 68 | 40 | 110 | 36 | 53 |
| France | 10314 | 10670 | 10585 | 6988 | 9705 |
| Germany | 8923 | $\begin{aligned} & 1078 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 9280 | 8548 | 5282 |
| Netherlands | 13343 | 19821 | 14594 | 15859 | 17202 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| UK (England) | 2490 | 4016 | 4295 | 3883 | 3682 |
| UK (Scotland) | - | - | - | 30 | 2 |
| Unallocated landings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total landings | 35151 | 45367 | 38924 | 35451 | 35992 |
| Discards/BMS | - | 95 | 830 | 2238 | 99 |
| Total catch | 35151 | 45462 | 39754 | 37689 | 36091 |
| Coastal spring spawners included above** | - | 10 | - | - | 2 |

* Including any bycatches in the industrial fishery
** Landings from the Thames estuary area are included in the North Sea catch figure for UK (England).
*** Negative unallocated catches due to misreporting into other areas.

Table 2.1.6 ("The Wonderful Table"): Herring caught in the North Sea. Catch in thousand tonnes in Subarea 4, Division 7.d and Division 3.a.

| Year | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subarea 4 and Division 7.d: TAC (4 and 7.d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agreed Divisions 4.a,b | 149.0 | 173.5 | 360.4 | 427.7 | 418.3 | 396.3 | 461.2 | 428.7 | 534.5 | 342.7 | 342.7 | 321.6 | 380.6 |
| Agreed Div. 4.c, 7.d | 15.3 | 26.5 | 44.6 | 50.3 | 51.7 | 49.0 | 57.0 | 53.0 | 66.0 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 34.8 | 47.0 |
| Bycatch ceiling in the small mesh fishery * | 13.6 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 13.1 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 9.7 | 13.2 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 8.2 |
| CATCH (4 and 7.d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National catch Divisions 4.a,b ** | 148.1 | 191.7 | 387.2 | 453.8 | 465.9 | 439 | 514.0 | 456.5 | 556.9 | 405.1 | 389.3 | 328.5 |  |
| Unallocated catch Divisions 4.a,b | 0.0 | 0.0 | -3.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Discard/slipping Divisions 4.a, ${ }^{* * *}$ | 0.0 | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0.1 | - | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |
| Total catch Divisions 4.a,b \# | 148.1 | 191.7 | 384.2 | 453.9 | 469.2 | 440.5 | 514.1 | 456.5 | 556.9 | 405.9 | 389.6 | 328.5 |  |
| National catch Divisions 4.c, 7.d ** | 26.5 | 26.7 | 37.1 | 44.7 | 38.2 | 41.1 | 45.8 | 35.2 | 45.4 | 38.9 | 35.5 | 36.0 |  |
| Unallocated catch Divisions 4.c,7.d | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Discard/slipping Divisions 4.c, 7.d ${ }^{* * *}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 |  |
| Total catch Divisions 4.c, 7.d | 26.5 | 26.7 | 40.4 | 44.7 | 38.2 | 41.1 | 45.8 | 35.2 | 45.5 | 39.8 | 37.7 | 36.1 |  |
| Total catch 4 and 7.d as used by ICES \# | 174.6 | 218.4 | 424.6 | 498.5 | 507.5 | 481.6 | 559.9 | 491.7 | 602.3 | 445.6 | 427.3 | 364.6 |  |
| CATCH BY FLEET/STOCK (4 and 7.d) \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Sea autumn spawners directed fisheries (Fleet A) | 164.8 | 209.2 | 411.8 | 489.9 | 490.5 | 471.5 | 543.6 | 484.1 | 591.7 | 440.5 | 417.5 | 352.3 |  |
| North Sea autumn spawners industrial (Fleet B) | 9.1 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 8.1 | 14.0 | 7.9 | 14.5 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 9.9 | 8.8 |  |


| Year | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Sea autumn spawners in 4 and 7.d total | 173.9 | 218.1 | 422.5 | 498.1 | 504.5 | 479.4 | 558.1 | 491.1 | 600.2 | 436.8 | 420.5 | 361.1 |  |
| Baltic-3.a-type spring spawners in 4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 3.5 |  |
| Coastal-type spring spawners | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Norw. Spring Spawners caught under a separate quota in 4 \#\#\# | 56.9 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Division 3.a: TAC (3.a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agreed herring TAC | 33.9 | 30.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 46.8 | 43.6 | 51.1 | 50.7 | 48.4 | 29.3 | 24.5 | 21.6 | 25.0 |
| Bycatch ceiling in the small mesh fishery | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| CATCH (3.a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National catch | 37.3 | 20.0 | 27.7 | 31.2 | 28.9 | 27.8 | 29.9 | 26.8 | 23.3 | 14.9 | 17.8 | 13.3 |  |
| Catch as used by ICES | 37.3 | 20.0 | 27.7 | 31.2 | 28.9 | 27.8 | 29.9 | 26.8 | 23.3 | 14.9 | 17.8 | 13.3 |  |
| CATCH BY FLEET/STOCK (3.a) \#\# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autumn spawners human consumption (Fleet C ) | 12.0 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 11.8 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 4.1 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 4.1 |  |
| Autumn spawners mixed clupeoid (Fleet D) | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |
| Autumn spawners in 3.a total | 13.8 | 8.4 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 4.2 |  |
| Spring spawners human consumption (Fleet C) | 23.0 | 10.8 | 14.5 | 16.6 | 15.4 | 11.3 | 23.3 | 19.0 | 19.7 | 8.8 | 10.9 | 9.0 |  |
| Spring spawners mixed clupeoid (Fleet D) | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |
| Spring spawners in 3.a total | 23.5 | 11.6 | 15.5 | 17.9 | 16.1 | 13.1 | 24.4 | 19.2 | 19.9 | 8.8 | 11.4 | 9.1 |  |
| North Sea autumn spawners Total as used by ICES | 187.6 | 226.5 | 434.6 | 511.4 | 517.3 | 494.1 | 563.6 | 498.7 | 603.5 | 442.9 | 426.9 | 365.4 |  |

Table 2.2.1. North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea and Division 3.a in 2021. Catch in numbers (millions) at age (CANUM), by quarter and division.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.a } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4.aE } \\ \text { all } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4.aE } \\ \text { WBBS } \end{array}$ | 4.aE NSAS only | 4.aW | 4.b | $4 . \mathrm{C}$ | 7.d | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 4.a \& } \\ \text { 4.b } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 4.c \& } \\ \text { 7.d } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{gathered}$ | Herring caught in the North Sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 124.7 | 390.6 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 515.3 | 11.8 | 534.1 | 527.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 15.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 22.9 | 72.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 96.7 | 0.1 | 112.4 | 97.2 |
| 2 | 36.3 | 122.2 | 1.1 | 121. | 227.7 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 365.3 | 5.7 | 407. | 372.1 |
| 3 | 2.8 | 96.7 | 2.8 | 93.9 | 206.4 | 82.2 | 11.2 | 23.3 | 382.5 | 34.5 | 419.8 | 419.8 |
| 4 | 1.5 | 51.2 | 7.3 | 43.9 | 79.2 | 19.4 | 13.5 | 21.7 | 142.5 | 35.2 | 179.2 | 185.0 |
| 5 | 0.8 | 86.4 | 4.5 | 81.9 | 117.7 | 17.6 | 13.1 | 34.9 | 217.1 | 48.0 | 265.9 | 269.6 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 26.9 | 1.9 | 25.1 | 67.3 | 9.2 | 4.4 | 11.8 | 101.6 | 16.1 | 118.2 | 119.6 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 60.5 | 1.1 | 59.4 | 170.3 | 54.4 | 9.2 | 27. | 284.0 | 36. | 320.8 | 321.7 |
| 8 | 0.1 | 54.9 | 1.8 | 53.2 | 89.3 | 43.7 | 3.8 | 20. | 186.1 | 24. | 210. | 211.9 |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 24.6 | 0.5 | 24.1 | 33.4 | 13.7 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 71.2 | 9.7 | 80.9 | 81.3 |
| Sum | 64.8 | 524.8 | 21.3 | 503.5 | 1138.7 | 720.0 | 68.2 | 153.7 | 2362.3 | 221.9 | 2648.9 | 2605.5 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 43.6 | 18.3 |
| 3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 19.1 | 1.4 | 21.3 | 20.6 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 4.4 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Sum | 28.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.9 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 13.8 | 59.1 | 18.3 | 106.2 | 77.5 |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| 2 | 2.6 | 117.7 | 1.0 | 116. | 60.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 177.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 8 0 .}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 . 7}$ |
| 3 | 0.1 | 89.8 | 2.6 | 87.2 | 46.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 135.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 0}$ |
| 4 | 0.0 | 45.1 | 6.8 | 38.3 | 13.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 1}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 4.1 | 60.1 | 13.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{7 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1}$ |
| 6 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 1.7 | 19.9 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 8}$ |  |
| 7 | 0.0 | 37.1 | 1.0 | 36.0 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 3}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 36.7 | 1.4 | 35.4 | 7.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 14.7 | 0.4 | 14.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 6 . 3}$ |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 280.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 289.6 | 1.3 | $\mathbf{2 9 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 0 . 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6}$ |
| 2 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 130.5 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 148.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 5 6 .}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 . 6}$ |
| 3 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 122.5 | 76.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 203.7 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 0 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 . 9}$ |
| 4 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 48.9 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{7 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 5}$ |
| 5 | 0.4 | 16.9 | 0.3 | 16.6 | 93.1 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 124.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 . 7}$ |
| 6 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 54.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 6}$ |
| 7 | 0.1 | 19.3 | 0.1 | 19.2 | 129.8 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 194.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 9 4 .}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 5}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.1 | 15.3 | 71.5 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 126.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 6 .}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 . 9}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 27.0 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 1}$ |  |
| Sum | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 9 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 2}$ |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 113.5 | 74.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 187.5 | 10.5 | $\mathbf{2 0 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 . 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 31.5 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6}$ |
| 2 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 18.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 20.9 | 5.7 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ |
| 3 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 18.0 | 4.6 | 10.8 | 22.2 | 24.7 | 33.0 | $\mathbf{5 7 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 7}$ |
| 4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 12.5 | 1.4 | 13.0 | 20.4 | 15.2 | 33.4 | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 7}$ |
| 5 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 12.3 | 28.3 | 16.9 | 40.5 | $\mathbf{5 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 5}$ |
| 6 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 10.1 | 6.8 | 13.7 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ |
| 7 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 23.4 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 24. | 35.9 | 32. | $\mathbf{6 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 0}$ |
| 8 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 19. | 11.9 | 23. | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 4}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 9.6 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 9 . 3}$ |

Table 2.2.2. North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea and Division 3.a in 2021. Mean weight-at-age (kg) in the catch (WECA), by quarter and division.

|  | 3.a | 4.aE | 4.aE | 4.aW | 4.b | 4.c | 7.d | 4.a \& | 4.c \& | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | NSAS | all | WBSS |  |  |  |  | 4.b | 7.d | NSAS |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | all |  |  |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.047 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.079 | 0.040 | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.041 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.082 |
| 2 | 0.071 | 0.136 | 0.138 | 0.138 | 0.133 | 0.143 | 0.100 | 0.134 | 0.101 | 0.130 | 0.136 |
| 3 | 0.116 | 0.149 | 0.149 | 0.160 | 0.157 | 0.168 | 0.125 | 0.155 | 0.139 | 0.155 | 0.155 |
| 4 | 0.159 | 0.162 | 0.160 | 0.174 | 0.173 | 0.189 | 0.141 | 0.169 | 0.159 | 0.171 | 0.170 |
| 5 | 0.174 | 0.178 | 0.168 | 0.195 | 0.199 | 0.210 | 0.173 | 0.191 | 0.183 | 0.189 | 0.189 |
| 6 | 0.192 | 0.180 | 0.174 | 0.216 | 0.214 | 0.225 | 0.189 | 0.205 | 0.199 | 0.214 | 0.213 |
| 7 | 0.206 | 0.200 | 0.181 | 0.218 | 0.225 | 0.231 | 0.192 | 0.220 | 0.202 | 0.219 | 0.219 |
| 8 | 0.186 | 0.203 | 0.194 | 0.239 | 0.226 | 0.241 | 0.188 | 0.219 | 0.196 | 0.238 | 0.237 |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.220 | 0.205 | 0.246 | 0.240 | 0.253 | 0.205 | 0.233 | 0.211 | 0.247 | 0.246 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.025 | 0.116 | 0.116 | 0.016 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ |
| 2 | 0.055 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.080 | 0.084 | 0.112 | 0.100 | 0.084 | 0.112 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 0}$ |
| 3 | 0.073 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.117 | 0.109 | 0.140 | 0.093 | 0.109 | 0.104 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 2}$ |
| 4 | 0.107 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.116 | 0.135 | 0.162 | 0.107 | 0.135 | 0.121 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 4}$ |
| 5 | 0.081 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.106 | 0.160 | 0.183 | 0.120 | 0.160 | 0.127 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 1}$ |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.142 | 0.166 | 0.182 | 0.140 | 0.166 | 0.152 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.149 | 0.182 | 0.204 | 0.138 | 0.182 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ |
| 8 | 0.155 | 0.182 | 0.182 | 0.160 | 0.198 | 0.216 | 0.145 | 0.198 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 9}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.193 | 0.193 | 0.180 | 0.190 | 0.223 | 0.173 | 0.190 | 0.222 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 2}$ |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.030 | 0.116 | 0.116 | 0.044 | 0.074 | 0.034 | 0.000 | 0.081 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 3}$ |
| 2 | 0.058 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.140 | 0.126 | 0.128 | 0.100 | 0.131 | 0.128 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 0}$ |
| 3 | 0.073 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.151 | 0.142 | 0.162 | 0.093 | 0.144 | 0.111 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 6}$ |
| 4 | 0.096 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.167 | 0.162 | 0.171 | 0.107 | 0.157 | 0.126 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 3}$ |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.176 | 0.163 | 0.184 | 0.119 | 0.163 | 0.136 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 2}$ |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.168 | 0.168 | 0.191 | 0.184 | 0.190 | 0.146 | 0.171 | 0.168 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 5}$ |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.206 | 0.189 | 0.223 | 0.138 | 0.179 | 0.197 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 8}$ |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.182 | 0.182 | 0.215 | 0.207 | 0.238 | 0.142 | 0.187 | 0.197 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 3}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.193 | 0.193 | 0.230 | 0.206 | 0.251 | 0.173 | 0.194 | 0.235 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 7}$ |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.054 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 0.075 | 0.069 | 0.038 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 6}$ |
| 2 | 0.125 | 0.187 | 0.187 | 0.145 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.000 | 0.144 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 5}$ |  |
| 3 | 0.134 | 0.202 | 0.202 | 0.168 | 0.170 | 0.168 | 0.000 | 0.171 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 6}$ |  |
| 4 | 0.159 | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.184 | 0.179 | 0.189 | 0.000 | 0.181 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 0}$ |
| 5 | 0.172 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.213 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.000 | 0.208 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 4}$ |
| 6 | 0.196 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.229 | 0.219 | 0.225 | 0.000 | 0.220 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 2}$ |  |
| 7 | 0.206 | 0.241 | 0.241 | 0.237 | 0.233 | 0.229 | 0.000 | 0.234 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 0}$ |
| 8 | 0.201 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 0.251 | 0.231 | 0.241 | 0.000 | 0.233 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 8}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.263 | 0.263 | 0.275 | 0.248 | 0.252 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 1}$ |  |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 0.011 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.049 | 0.154 | 0.154 | 0.080 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 9}$ |
| 2 | 0.105 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.123 | 0.130 | 0.146 | 0.153 | 0.134 | 0.153 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 4}$ |  |
| 3 | 0.145 | 0.190 | 0.190 | 0.154 | 0.153 | 0.168 | 0.126 | 0.156 | 0.139 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 5}$ |  |
| 4 | 0.167 | 0.201 | 0.201 | 0.163 | 0.172 | 0.187 | 0.142 | 0.174 | 0.160 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ |
| 5 | 0.177 | 0.210 | 0.210 | 0.167 | 0.200 | 0.231 | 0.176 | 0.203 | 0.193 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6 2}$ |
| 6 | 0.186 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.182 | 0.217 | 0.224 | 0.199 | 0.216 | 0.205 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 8}$ |  |
| 7 | 0.205 | 0.226 | 0.226 | 0.188 | 0.209 | 0.237 | 0.206 | 0.211 | 0.213 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 3}$ |
| 8 | 0.185 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.239 | 0.191 | 0.210 | 0.198 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 2}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.000 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 0.252 | 0.219 | 0.256 | 0.207 | 0.229 | 0.213 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 6}$ |

Table 2.2.3. North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2021. Mean length-at-age ( $\mathbf{c m}$ ) in the catch, by quarter and division.

|  | 3.a | 4.aE | 4.aW | 4.aW | 4.b | $4 . c$ | 7.d | 4.a \& | 4.c \& | 4.b |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NSAS | all | WBSS |  |  |  |  | all |  | Herring <br> caught in the <br> North Sea |  |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 7.7 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 23.0 | n.d. | 20.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| 2 | n.d. | 24.2 | n.d. | 24.8 | 24.8 | 25.1 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 24.3 |
| 3 | n.d. | 25.1 | n.d. | 25.5 | 26.1 | 26.8 | 24.6 | 25.9 | 25.3 |
| 4 | n.d. | 25.8 | n.d. | 26.2 | 26.9 | 27.9 | 25.5 | 26.5 | 26.4 |
| 5 | n.d. | 26.6 | n.d. | 27.6 | 27.9 | 28.7 | 27.1 | 27.4 | 27.6 |
| 6 | n.d. | 26.9 | n.d. | 28.4 | 28.8 | 29.4 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 28.3 |
| 7 | n.d. | 27.9 | n.d. | 28.4 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 28.2 | 28.8 | 28.5 |
| 8 | n.d. | 28.0 | n.d. | 29.5 | 29.1 | 29.8 | 28.3 | 28.8 | 28.6 |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 28.9 | n.d. | 30.1 | 29.9 | 30.5 | 28.3 | 29.5 | 28.6 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 6.9 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 22.8 | n.d. | 12.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.4 |
| 2 | n.d. | 24.1 | n.d. | 21.7 | 22.0 | 23.3 | 24.3 | 22.0 | 23.3 | 22.7 |
| 3 | n.d. | 24.9 | n.d. | 25.0 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 24.9 | 24.3 | 25.0 | 25.3 |
| 4 | n.d. | 25.5 | n.d. | 25.1 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 25.4 |
| 5 | n.d. | 26.0 | n.d. | 24.9 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 27.5 | 26.7 | 25.6 |
| 6 | n.d. | 26.4 | n.d. | 27.0 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 28.1 | 27.8 | 28.0 | 27.3 |
| 7 | n.d. | 26.9 | n.d. | 27.4 | 28.6 | 28.8 | 27.9 | 28.6 | 28.3 | 27.6 |
| 8 | n.d. | 27.2 | n.d. | 28.0 | 29.3 | 29.4 | 28.8 | 29.3 | 29.0 | 28.6 |
| 9+ | n.d. | 27.9 | n.d. | 29.2 | 29.3 | 29.8 | 30.0 | 29.3 | 29.8 | 29.2 |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 6.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{6 . 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | n.d. | 22.8 | n.d. | 14.8 | 19.6 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ |
| 2 | n.d. | 24.1 | n.d. | 24.2 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 23.9 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5}$ |
| 3 | n.d. | 24.9 | n.d. | 24.8 | 25.1 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 25.1 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | n.d. | 25.5 | n.d. | 25.6 | 26.1 | 26.4 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 26.0 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ |
| 5 | n.d. | 26.0 | n.d. | 26.1 | 26.4 | 27.0 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 26.6 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 9}$ |
| 6 | n.d. | 26.4 | n.d. | 26.9 | 27.3 | 27.2 | 28.3 | 26.6 | 27.8 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ |
| 7 | n.d. | 26.9 | n.d. | 27.6 | 27.6 | 28.7 | 27.8 | 27.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2}$ |
| 8 | n.d. | 27.2 | n.d. | 28.0 | 28.2 | 29.3 | 28.6 | 27.4 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 5}$ |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 27.9 | n.d. | 28.8 | 28.2 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 27.9 | 30.0 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1}$ |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 7.8 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 7.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 24.7 | n.d. | 19.9 | 19.2 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 19.4 |
| 2 | n.d. | 26.4 | n.d. | 25.4 | 25.5 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 25.2 |
| 3 | n.d. | 27.2 | n.d. | 26.0 | 26.7 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 26.1 |
| 4 | n.d. | 27.8 | n.d. | 26.7 | 26.9 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 |
| 5 | n.d. | 28.3 | n.d. | 28.4 | 28.1 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 0.0 | 28.3 |
| 6 | n.d. | 28.7 | n.d. | 29.0 | 28.9 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 28.9 |
| 7 | n.d. | 29.2 | n.d. | 28.8 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 29.0 |
| 8 | n.d. | 29.5 | n.d. | 29.8 | 29.2 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 29.9 |
| 9+ | n.d. | 30.2 | n.d. | 30.7 | 30.0 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 30.5 |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | n.d. | 0.0 | n.d. | 7.8 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 9.9 | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | n.d. | 25.9 | n.d. | 20.8 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6}$ |
| 2 | n.d. | 27.4 | n.d. | 24.3 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 26.2 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ |
| 3 | n.d. | 28.1 | n.d. | 25.7 | 26.8 | 26.7 | 24.5 | 26.9 | 25.3 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2}$ |
| 4 | n.d. | 28.7 | n.d. | 26.1 | 27.7 | 28.1 | 25.5 | 27.8 | 26.5 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2}$ |
| 5 | n.d. | 29.3 | n.d. | 26.7 | 28.9 | 30.0 | 27.2 | 29.0 | 28.0 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0}$ |
| 6 | n.d. | 29.6 | n.d. | 27.7 | 29.8 | 30.0 | 27.9 | 29.7 | 28.4 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6}$ |
| 7 | n.d. | 30.1 | n.d. | 28.1 | 29.3 | 30.2 | 28.3 | 29.4 | 28.8 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 1}$ |
| 8 | n.d. | 30.5 | n.d. | 29.7 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 28.3 | 29.3 | 28.5 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 3}$ |
| $9+$ | n.d. | 31.3 | n.d. | 29.1 | 29.7 | 30.7 | 28.2 | 30.3 | 28.5 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 3}$ |

Table 2.2.4. North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea and Division 3.a in 2021. Catches (tonnes) at-age (SOP figures), by quarter and division.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 3.a } \\ \text { NSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4.aE } \\ \text { all } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4.aE } \\ \text { WBSS } \end{array}$ | $4 . \mathrm{aE}$ <br> NSAS <br> only | 4.aW | 4.b | $4 . \mathrm{c}$ | 7.d | 4.a \& 4.b NSAS | $\begin{array}{r} 4 . c \& \\ 7 . d \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { NSAS } \end{aligned}$ | Herring caught in the North Sea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 4.9 |
| 2 | 2.6 | 16.6 | 0.2 | 16.4 | 31.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 50.1 | 0.6 | 53.2 | 50.8 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 14.4 | 0.4 | 14.0 | 32.9 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 59.8 | 4.8 | 64.9 | 65.0 |
| 4 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 13.8 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 24.2 | 5.6 | 30.1 | 31.0 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 15.4 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 23.0 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 41.1 | 8.8 | 50.0 | 50.6 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 14.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 21.0 | 3.2 | 24.3 | 24.5 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.2 | 11.9 | 37.1 | 12.2 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 61.3 | 7.4 | 68.7 | 68.9 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 10.8 | 21.3 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 42.0 | 4.7 | 46.7 | 47.0 |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 16.8 | 2.0 | 18.8 | 18.9 |
| Sum | 4.2 | 88.3 | 3.5 | 84.8 | 184.6 | 55.3 | 11.6 | 25.6 | 324.7 | 37.2 | 366.1 | 365.3 |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Sum | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 9.3 |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.2 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 15.6 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |  |
| 3 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.4 | 12.7 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2}$ |
| 5 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 9.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ |  |
| 6 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |  |
| Sum | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ |  |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ |
| 2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7}$ |
| 3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.6 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 5}$ |
| 4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ |
| 5 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 19.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ |
| 7 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 30.8 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 0}$ |
| 8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 17.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0}$ |
| $9+$ | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ |
| Sum | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7 . 1}$ |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| 3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 10.9 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| 7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 |
| 8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 7.2 |
| 9+ | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Sum | 0.5 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 17.7 | 5.5 | 10.9 | 24.2 | 26.8 | 35.0 | 62.9 | 62.5 |

Table 2.2.5. North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS), and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) caught in the North Sea in 2020. Percentage age composition (based on numbers, 3+ group summarized), by quarter and division.

|  | $3 . a$ | 4.aE | 4.aE | 4.aE | 4.aW | 4.b | $4 . c$ | 7.d | 4.9 \& | $4 . c$ \& | Total | Herring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NSAS | all | WBSS | NSAS |  |  |  |  | 4.b | 7.d | NSAS | caught in the |
| WR |  |  |  | only |  |  |  |  | NSAS |  |  | North Sea |

Quarters: 1-4

| 0 | 10.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 54.2\% | 17.4\% | 0.0\% | 21.8\% | 5.3\% | 20.2\% | 20.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 24.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.7\% |
| 2 | 56.1\% | 23.3\% | 5.1\% | 24.1\% | 20.0\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 15.5\% | 2.6\% | 15.4 | 14.3 |
| 3 | 4.3\% | 18.4\% | 13.1\% | 18.6\% | 18.1\% | 11.4\% | 16.4\% | 15.2\% | 16.2\% | 15.5\% | 15.8\% | 16.1\% |
| 4 | 2.3\% | 9.8\% | 34.4\% | 8.7\% | 7.0\% | 2.7\% | 19.8\% | 14.1\% | 6.0\% | 15.9\% | 6.8\% | 7.1\% |
| 5 | 1.2\% | 16.5\% | 21.0\% | 16.3\% | 10.3\% | 2.4\% | 19.2\% | 22.7\% | 9.2\% | 21.6\% | 10.0\% | 10.3\% |
| 6 | 0.7\% | 5.1\% | 8.8\% | 5.0\% | 5.9\% | 1.3\% | 6.4\% | 7.7\% | 4.3\% | 7.3\% | 4.5\% | 4.6\% |
| 7 | 0.2\% | 11.5\% | 5.2\% | 11.8\% | 15.0\% | 7.5\% | 13.4\% | 17.9 | 12.0\% | 16.5 | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| 8 | 0.2\% | 10.5\% | 8.3\% | 10.6\% | 7.8\% | 6.1\% | 5.5\% | 13.2 | 7.9\% | 10.8 | 7.9\% | 8.1\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 2.3\% | 4.8\% | 2.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 5.5\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Sum 3+ | 9.0\% | 76.5\% | 93.0\% | 75.8\% | 67.0\% | 33.3\% | 82.6\% | 96.2\% | 58.6\% | 92.0\% | 60.2\% | 61.8\% |

Quarter: 1

| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 100.0 | 0.0\% | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 2 | 88.2\% | 27.5\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 30.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 30.8\% | 0.2\% | 41.1\% | 23.6 |
| 3 | 2.7\% | 21.0\% | 18.1\% | 0.0\% | 32.4\% | 5.5\% | 7.5\% | 7.9\% | 32.3\% | 7.8\% | 20.1\% | 26.5\% |
| 4 | 0.2\% | 10.5\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.1\% | 10.0\% | 9.3\% | 7.0\% | 9.5\% | 5.6\% | 7.6\% |
| 5 | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 34.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | 18.5\% | 47.9\% | 3.2\% | 40.6\% | 8.8\% | 12.1\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 5.1\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 0.7\% | 15.2\% | 12.3 | 5.5\% | 13.0 | 5.3\% | 7.3\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.0\% | 2.8\% | 41.1\% | 18.7 | 10.9\% | 24.2 | 10.3 | 14.1 |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 17.7\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 2.2\% | 5.5\% | 4.0\% | 6.2\% | 4.4\% | 4.2\% | 5.8\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% |
| Sum 3+ | 2.9\% | 72.2\% | 86.5\% | 0.0\% | 69.1\% | 14.0\% | 99.3\% | 100.0\% | 68.9\% | 99.8\% | 56.3\% | 76.2\% |

Quarter: 2

| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 78.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 52.1\% | 6.1\% | 6.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 29.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% |
| 2 | 68.2\% | 27.5\% | 5.2\% | 28.5\% | 37.2\% | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 30.7\% | 0.1\% | 29.0 | 28.1 |
| 3 | 2.5\% | 21.0\% | 13.3\% | 21.3\% | 28.9\% | 2.3\% | 3.9\% | 9.4\% | 23.3\% | 3.3\% | 21.8\% | 21.6\% |
| 4 | 0.3\% | 10.5\% | 35.0\% | 9.4\% | 8.4\% | 0.5\% | 5.2\% | 10.6\% | 9.0\% | 3.9\% | 8.4\% | 9.3\% |
| 5 | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 21.3\% | 14.7\% | 8.4\% | 0.5\% | 19.6\% | 45.5\% | 12.8\% | 16.1\% | 11.9\% | 12.3\% |
| 6 | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 8.8\% | 4.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.1\% | 13.9\% | 11.6 | 4.3\% | 6.1\% | 4.0\% | 4.2\% |
| 7 | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 5.3\% | 8.8\% | 6.5\% | 1.5\% | 49.3\% | 18.5 | 8.2\% | 15.6 | 7.6\% | 7.6\% |
| 8 | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 7.1\% | 8.6\% | 4.8\% | 1.5\% | 6.9\% | 4.3\% | 7.6\% | 2.6\% | 7.0\% | 7.1\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 2.0\% | 3.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 2.8\% | 0.2\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Sum 3+ | 2.8\% | 72.2\% | 92.9\% | 71.2\% | 61.1\% | 6.8\% | 99.6\% | 100.0\% | 68.0\% | 47.8\% | 63.4\% | 64.7\% |

Quarter: 3

| 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 49.7\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 21.8\% | 100.0\% | 21.5\% | 21.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 47.8\% | 0.1\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 9.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% |
| 2 | 35.4\% | 4.0\% | 5.2\% | 0.0\% | 18.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.2 | 0.0\% | 11.5 | 11.2 |
| 3 | 8.0\% | 6.3\% | 13.2\% | 0.0\% | 17.7\% | 13.6 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.0\% | 15.2 | 15.3 |
| 4 | 5.0\% | 6.2\% | 35.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.4\% |
| 5 | 2.1\% | 22.2\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 13.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 9.4\% |
| 6 | 1.3\% | 5.4\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% |
| 7 | 0.3\% | 25.3\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 18.8\% | 8.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14.6 | 0.0\% | 14.4 | 14.6 |
| 8 | 0.1\% | 20.2\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 9.5\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |
| Sum 3+ | 16.8\% | 95.9\% | 92.9\% | 0.0\% | 79.3\% | 38.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 62.7\% | 0.0\% | 61.9\% | 62.7\% |

Quarter: 4

| 0 | 64.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 67.3\% | 16.9\% | 0.0\% | 52.5\% | 5.2\% | 36.0\% | 35.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 8.2\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 8.8\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 5.6\% |
| 2 | 6.9\% | 7.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 5.9\% | 2.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% |
| 3 | 1.8\% | 10.2\% | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | 7.9\% | 4.2\% | 17.4\% | 15.9\% | 6.9\% | 16.3\% | 10.2\% | 10.3\% |
| 4 | 3.6\% | 6.6\% | 2.8\% | 6.7\% | 5.5\% | 1.3\% | 20.9\% | 14.6\% | 4.3\% | 16.5\% | 8.6\% | 8.7\% |
| 5 | 3.2\% | 25.7\% | 0.0\% | 26.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.4\% | 19.7\% | 20.2\% | 4.7\% | 20.1\% | 10.1\% | 10.3\% |
| 6 | 1.6\% | 6.1\% | 10.2\% | 6.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.2\% | 5.9\% | 7.2\% | 1.9\% | 6.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% |
| 7 | 0.7\% | 20.5\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% | 10.3\% | 7.5\% | 11.7\% | 17.8 | 10.1\% | 15.9 | 12.0 | 12.2 |
| 8 | 0.9\% | 13.8\% | 70.6\% | 12.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 5.7\% | 14.1 | 3.3\% | 11.5 | 6.2\% | 6.3\% |
| 9+ | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 16.4\% | 9.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 6.1\% | 1.6\% | 4.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% |
| Sum 3+ | 12.0\% | 92.8\% | 100.0\% | 92.6\% | 33.6\% | 20.3\% | 83.1\% | 95.9\% | 32.8\% | 91.9\% | 53.4\% | 54.2\% |

Table 2.2.6. Total catch of herring caught in the North Sea and Division 3.a: North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS). Catch in numbers (millions) at mean weight-at-age ( $\mathbf{k g}$ ) by fleet, and SOP catches (' $\mathbf{0 0 0} \mathrm{t}$ ). SOP catch might deviate from reported catch as used for the assessment. A fleet figure includes unsampled bycatch in the industrial fishery.

| 2020 | Fleet A |  | Fleet B |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter rings | Numbers | Mean weight | Numbers | Mean weight Mean | Numbers | Mean weight Mean | Numbers | Mean weight | Numbers | Mean weight Mean |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.004 | 527.2 | 0.008 | 6.9 | 0.011 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 534.1 | 0.008 |
| 1 | 10.1 | 0.074 | 86.6 | 0.033 | 13.9 | 0.048 | 1.8 | 0.045 | 112.4 | 0.039 |
| 2 | 367.4 | 0.136 | 3.6 | 0.116 | 36.0 | 0.071 | 0.3 | 0.067 | 407.4 | 0.130 |
| 3 | 414.8 | 0.156 | 2.2 | 0.135 | 2.8 | 0.116 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 419.8 | 0.155 |
| 4 | 176.1 | 0.171 | 1.6 | 0.163 | 1.5 | 0.159 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 179.2 | 0.171 |
| 5 | 264.4 | 0.189 | 0.7 | 0.174 | 0.8 | 0.173 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 265.9 | 0.189 |
| 6 | 117.6 | 0.214 | 0.2 | 0.162 | 0.5 | 0.193 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 118.2 | 0.213 |
| 7 | 318.2 | 0.219 | 2.4 | 0.181 | 0.1 | 0.206 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 320.8 | 0.219 |
| 8 | 209.3 | 0.238 | 0.8 | 0.189 | 0.1 | 0.186 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 210.2 | 0.238 |
| 9+ | 80.6 | 0.247 | 0.3 | 0.193 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 80.9 | 0.247 |
| TOTAL | 1'958.5 |  | 625.6 |  | 62.6 |  | 2.1 |  | 2'648.9 |  |
| SOP catch |  | 359.8 |  | 8,7 |  | 4,1 |  | 0.1 |  | 372.7 |

Table 2.2.7. Catch-at-age (numbers in millions) of North Sea herring, 2006-2021.

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}+$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | 844 | 72 | 354 | 309 | 475 | 1017 | 257 | 252 | 65 | 44 | 3689 |
| 2007 | 553 | 46 | 142 | 413 | 284 | 307 | 628 | 147 | 133 | 23 | 2677 |
| 2008 | 713 | 148 | 260 | 183 | 199 | 137 | 118 | 215 | 74 | 43 | 2090 |
| 2009 | 533 | 98 | 253 | 108 | 96 | 88 | 40 | 58 | 112 | 34 | 1421 |
| 2010 | 526 | 84 | 243 | 234 | 124 | 84 | 63 | 34 | 59 | 56 | 1508 |
| 2011 | 575 | 124 | 306 | 271 | 218 | 130 | 63 | 52 | 60 | 66 | 1865 |
| 2012 | 627 | 110 | 412 | 671 | 403 | 306 | 151 | 104 | 89 | 109 | 2982 |
| 2013 | 461 | 327 | 239 | 482 | 571 | 422 | 327 | 145 | 153 | 160 | 3287 |
| 2014 | 1104 | 309 | 303 | 380 | 616 | 487 | 284 | 192 | 92 | 123 | 3890 |
| 2015 | 508 | 225 | 454 | 241 | 282 | 456 | 431 | 270 | 167 | 170 | 3204 |
| 2016 | 1450 | 86 | 578 | 813 | 293 | 280 | 368 | 307 | 186 | 173 | 4534 |
| 2017 | 462 | 133 | 74 | 1075 | 836 | 222 | 146 | 176 | 107 | 115 | 3345 |
|  | 54 | 178 | 200 | 1179 | 852 | 225 | 146 | 144 | 189 | 4491 |  |


| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | 513 | 35 | 34 | 292 | 197 | 740 | 542 | 140 | 85 | 138 | 2717 |
| 2020 | 2048 | 86 | 505 | 210 | 290 | 146 | 515 | 349 | 69 | 108 | 4324 |
| 2021 | 527 | 97 | 372 | 420 | 185 | 270 | 120 | 322 | 212 | 81 | 2606 |

Table 2.2.8. Catch-at-age (numbers in millions) of WBSS Herring taken in the North Sea, and transferred to the assessment of the spring-spawning stock in 3.a, 2006-2021.

| Year/rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 14.0 | 22.4 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 62.2 |
| 2007 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 6.3 |
| 2008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 17.2 |
| 2010 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.8 |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 9.4 |
| 2013 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.2 |
| 2014 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 16.8 |
| 2015 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 11.7 |
| 2016 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 10.6 |
| 2017 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.0 |
| 2018 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 11.0 |
| 2019 | 5.3 | 30.6 | 53.0 | 16.2 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 114.9 |
| 2020 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 40.2 |
| 2021 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 21.3 |

Table 2.2.9. Catch-at-age (numbers in millions) of NSAS taken in 3.a, and transferred to the assessment of NSAS, 20062021.

| Year/rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 35.1 | 150.1 | 50.2 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 253.3 |
| 2007 | 67.7 | 189.3 | 76.9 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 338.7 |
| 2008 | 85.7 | 86.6 | 72.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 247.0 |
| 2009 | 116.8 | 77.5 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 202.0 |
| 2010 | 48.6 | 197.0 | 43.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 289.6 |
| 2011 | 203.8 | 35.4 | 61.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 304.6 |
| 2012 | 145.8 | 174.9 | 43.7 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 368.0 |
| 2013 | 0.9 | 86.2 | 85.8 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 175.9 |
| 2014 | 284.7 | 61.1 | 80.2 | 5.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 433.3 |
| 2015 | 30.7 | 169.6 | 97.6 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 313.6 |
| 2016 | 133.3 | 23.3 | 47.6 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 211.3 |
| 2017 | 0.1 | 76.0 | 34.4 | 6.9 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 121.8 |
| 2018 | 14.5 | 19.2 | 28.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 66.5 |
| 2019 | 23.7 | 101.3 | 19.8 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 149.8 |
| 2020 | 79.4 | 26.6 | 44.2 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 159.3 |
| 2021 | 6.9 | 15.7 | 36.3 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 64.8 |

Table 2.2.10. Catch-at-age (numbers in millions) of the total NSAS stock 2006-2021.

| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 879 | 222 | 401 | 311 | 465 | 999 | 253 | 249 | 63 | 44 | 3885 |
| 2007 | 621 | 236 | 219 | 412 | 283 | 308 | 628 | 147 | 132 | 23 | 3009 |
| 2008 | 798 | 235 | 332 | 185 | 199 | 137 | 118 | 215 | 74 | 43 | 2336 |
| 2009 | 650 | 176 | 259 | 107 | 93 | 86 | 38 | 53 | 110 | 33 | 1606 |
| 2010 | 575 | 281 | 287 | 233 | 123 | 83 | 63 | 34 | 59 | 55 | 1794 |
| 2011 | 779 | 160 | 368 | 274 | 218 | 130 | 63 | 52 | 60 | 65 | 2168 |
| 2013 | 773 | 285 | 455 | 673 | 404 | 306 | 150 | 104 | 88 | 102 | 3341 |
| 2014 | 462 | 413 | 325 | 484 | 571 | 422 | 327 | 145 | 152 | 160 | 3461 |
|  | 1389 | 371 | 383 | 386 | 617 | 488 | 285 | 192 | 92 | 123 | 4323 |


| Year/rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 538 | 395 | 552 | 248 | 283 | 461 | 432 | 271 | 168 | 170 | 3517 |
| 2016 | 1584 | 109 | 625 | 819 | 293 | 280 | 368 | 307 | 186 | 173 | 4745 |
| 2017 | 462 | 209 | 109 | 1080 | 838 | 223 | 146 | 176 | 107 | 115 | 3463 |
| 2018 | 1337 | 73 | 206 | 201 | 1179 | 849 | 224 | 145 | 144 | 188 | 4546 |
| 2019 | 537 | 137 | 54 | 296 | 197 | 740 | 542 | 140 | 85 | 138 | 2866 |
| 2020 | 2127 | 112 | 549 | 215 | 292 | 146 | 515 | 349 | 69 | 108 | 4483 |
| 2021 | 534 | 112 | 407 | 420 | 179 | 266 | 118 | 321 | 210 | 81 | 2649 |

Table 2.2.11. Comparison of mean weight (kg) at age (rings) in the catch of adult North Sea herring (by Division) and NSAS caught in Division 3.a in 2010-2020

| Division | Year | Age (Rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| 3.a | 2011 | 0.084 | 0.114 | 0.134 | 0.191 | 0.193 | 0.234 | 0.248 | - |
|  | 2012 | 0.067 | 0.124 | 0.169 | 0.175 | 0.200 | 0.221 | 0.216 | - |
|  | 2013 | 0.075 | 0.134 | 0.160 | 0.201 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |
|  | 2014 | 0.074 | 0.109 | 0.162 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.221 | 0.228 | - |
|  | 2015 | 0.068 | 0.133 | 0.157 | 0.180 | 0.196 | 0.197 | 0.215 | - |
|  | 2016 | 0.059 | 0.123 | 0.149 | 0.157 | 0.208 | 0.211 | 0.235 | - |
|  | 2017 | 0.068 | 0.103 | 0.139 | 0.173 | 0.171 | 0.185 | 0.162 | - |
|  | 2018 | 0.058 | 0.103 | 0.156 | 0.179 | 0.190 | 0.187 | 0.203 | - |
|  | 2019 | 0.062 | 0.085 | 0.116 | 0.118 | 0.164 | 0.202 | 0.159 | - |
|  | 2020 | 0.066 | 0.139 | 0.168 | 0.175 | 0.199 | 0.216 | 0.000 | - |
|  | 2021 | 0.071 | 0.116 | 0.159 | 0.174 | 0.192 | 0.206 | 0.186 | - |
| 4.a(E) | 2011 | 0.142 | 0.162 | 0.180 | 0.204 | 0.215 | 0.209 | 0.216 | 0.222 |
|  | 2012 | 0.146 | 0.185 | 0.195 | 0.203 | 0.216 | 0.225 | 0.225 | 0.232 |
|  | 2013 | 0.129 | 0.147 | 0.184 | 0.191 | 0.205 | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.228 |
|  | 2014 | 0.146 | 0.161 | 0.167 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.216 | 0.227 | 0.224 |
|  | 2015 | 0.127 | 0.148 | 0.163 | 0.178 | 0.191 | 0.203 | 0.212 | 0.227 |
|  | 2016 | 0.129 | 0.153 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.195 | 0.205 | 0.216 | 0.229 |
|  | 2017 | 0.132 | 0.154 | 0.170 | 0.182 | 0.193 | 0.198 | 0.203 | 0.209 |


| Division |  | Age (Rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
|  | 2018 | 0.125 | 0.152 | 0.173 | 0.188 | 0.201 | 0.212 | 0.219 | 0.230 |
|  | 2019 | 0.134 | 0.155 | 0.173 | 0.212 | 0.204 | 0.209 | 0.220 | 0.250 |
|  | 2020 | 0.126 | 0.144 | 0.158 | 0.169 | 0.180 | 0.191 | 0.197 | 0.210 |
|  | 2021 | 0.126 | 0.149 | 0.162 | 0.178 | 0.180 | 0.200 | 0.203 | 0.220 |
| 4.a(W) | 2011 | 0.141 | 0.161 | 0.185 | 0.195 | 0.216 | 0.223 | 0.220 | 0.243 |
|  | 2012 | 0.132 | 0.184 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.226 | 0.240 | 0.242 | 0.254 |
|  | 2013 | 0.139 | 0.158 | 0.201 | 0.197 | 0.218 | 0.234 | 0.234 | 0.251 |
|  | 2014 | 0.143 | 0.172 | 0.184 | 0.215 | 0.212 | 0.227 | 0.246 | 0.242 |
|  | 2015 | 0.124 | 0.158 | 0.198 | 0.211 | 0.233 | 0.228 | 0.239 | 0.252 |
|  | 2016 | 0.138 | 0.161 | 0.189 | 0.215 | 0.227 | 0.242 | 0.233 | 0.250 |
|  | 2017 | 0.120 | 0.160 | 0.177 | 0.192 | 0.218 | 0.226 | 0.236 | 0.236 |
|  | 2018 | 0.114 | 0.156 | 0.188 | 0.193 | 0.220 | 0.241 | 0.250 | 0.258 |
|  | 2019 | 0.134 | 0.154 | 0.174 | 0.205 | 0.206 | 0.220 | 0.246 | 0.248 |
|  | 2020 | 0.138 | 0.160 | 0.174 | 0.195 | 0.216 | 0.218 | 0.239 | 0.246 |
|  | 2021 | 0.138 | 0.160 | 0.174 | 0.195 | 0.216 | 0.218 | 0.239 | 0.246 |
| 4.b | 2011 | 0.145 | 0.162 | 0.187 | 0.206 | 0.235 | 0.234 | 0.240 | 0.268 |
|  | 2012 | 0.131 | 0.141 | 0.178 | 0.209 | 0.214 | 0.245 | 0.250 | 0.258 |
|  | 2013 | 0.125 | 0.162 | 0.205 | 0.206 | 0.228 | 0.251 | 0.261 | 0.246 |
|  | 2014 | 0.133 | 0.187 | 0.208 | 0.233 | 0.240 | 0.249 | 0.256 | 0.277 |
|  | 2015 | 0.140 | 0.162 | 0.189 | 0.203 | 0.208 | 0.216 | 0.227 | 0.250 |
|  | 2016 | 0.126 | 0.161 | 0.192 | 0.211 | 0.218 | 0.236 | 0.236 | 0.253 |
|  | 2017 | 0.095 | 0.157 | 0.184 | 0.194 | 0.230 | 0.240 | 0.249 | 0.263 |
|  | 2018 | 0.117 | 0.138 | 0.192 | 0.211 | 0.237 | 0.248 | 0.246 | 0.258 |
|  | 2019 | 0.148 | 0.163 | 0.163 | 0.210 | 0.229 | 0.251 | 0.244 | 0.253 |
|  | 2020 | 0.150 | 0.174 | 0.186 | 0.212 | 0.234 | 0.241 | 0.252 | 0.265 |
|  | 2021 | 0.133 | 0.157 | 0.173 | 0.199 | 0.214 | 0.225 | 0.226 | 0.240 |

Table 2.2.11 continued: Comparison of mean weight (kg) at age (rings) in the catch of adult North Sea herring (by Division) and NSAS caught in Division 3.a in 2011-2021.

| Division | Year | Age (Rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| 4.a \& 4.b | 2011 | 0.142 | 0.161 | 0.184 | 0.198 | 0.220 | 0.224 | 0.224 | 0.243 |
|  | 2012 | 0.132 | 0.171 | 0.185 | 0.207 | 0.222 | 0.239 | 0.243 | 0.248 |
|  | 2013 | 0.132 | 0.158 | 0.198 | 0.198 | 0.217 | 0.234 | 0.235 | 0.244 |
|  | 2014 | 0.138 | 0.174 | 0.187 | 0.216 | 0.213 | 0.227 | 0.246 | 0.243 |
|  | 2015 | 0.129 | 0.157 | 0.190 | 0.203 | 0.223 | 0.219 | 0.228 | 0.245 |
|  | 2016 | 0.134 | 0.159 | 0.185 | 0.210 | 0.218 | 0.235 | 0.226 | 0.242 |
|  | 2017 | 0.116 | 0.159 | 0.176 | 0.190 | 0.217 | 0.223 | 0.231 | 0.230 |
|  | 2018 | 0.117 | 0.152 | 0.187 | 0.195 | 0.220 | 0.238 | 0.245 | 0.254 |
|  | 2019 | 0.136 | 0.153 | 0.173 | 0.208 | 0.210 | 0.220 | 0.239 | 0.251 |
|  | 2020 | 0.136 | 0.159 | 0.173 | 0.192 | 0.215 | 0.221 | 0.238 | 0.249 |
|  | 2021 | 0.134 | 0.155 | 0.169 | 0.191 | 0.205 | 0.220 | 0.219 | 0.233 |
| 4.c \& 7.d | 2011 | 0.122 | 0.154 | 0.179 | 0.189 | 0.195 | 0.205 | 0.209 | 0.217 |
|  | 2012 | 0.119 | 0.165 | 0.186 | 0.202 | 0.212 | 0.234 | 0.209 | 0.226 |
|  | 2013 | 0.126 | 0.144 | 0.180 | 0.196 | 0.206 | 0.216 | 0.218 | 0.226 |
|  | 2014 | 0.119 | 0.148 | 0.166 | 0.183 | 0.208 | 0.222 | 0.227 | 0.233 |
|  | 2015 | 0.114 | 0.127 | 0.154 | 0.157 | 0.183 | 0.197 | 0.204 | 0.210 |
|  | 2016 | 0.114 | 0.127 | 0.137 | 0.166 | 0.177 | 0.199 | 0.193 | 0.216 |
|  | 2017 | 0.100 | 0.122 | 0.146 | 0.165 | 0.186 | 0.193 | 0.220 | 0.241 |
|  | 2018 | 0.113 | 0.116 | 0.144 | 0.156 | 0.164 | 0.189 | 0.196 | 0.209 |
|  | 2019 | 0.118 | 0.126 | 0.153 | 0.165 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.203 | 0.223 |
|  | 2020 | 0.116 | 0.127 | 0.153 | 0.177 | 0.188 | 0.199 | 0.229 | 0.216 |
|  | 2021 | 0.100 | 0.125 | 0.141 | 0.173 | 0.189 | 0.192 | 0.188 | 0.205 |
| Total | 2011 | 0.141 | 0.160 | 0.183 | 0.197 | 0.217 | 0.221 | 0.223 | 0.240 |
| North Sea | 2012 | 0.130 | 0.171 | 0.185 | 0.206 | 0.222 | 0.239 | 0.239 | 0.247 |
| Catch | 2013 | 0.131 | 0.156 | 0.198 | 0.198 | 0.215 | 0.233 | 0.234 | 0.241 |
|  | 2014 | 0.137 | 0.173 | 0.186 | 0.215 | 0.212 | 0.226 | 0.244 | 0.241 |
|  | 2015 | 0.123 | 0.154 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.221 | 0.217 | 0.226 | 0.243 |
|  | 2016 | 0.132 | 0.155 | 0.180 | 0.206 | 0.215 | 0.231 | 0.221 | 0.239 |



Table 2.2.12. Sampling of commercial landings of North Sea herring (Division 4 and 7.d) in 2021 by quarter. Sampled catch means the proportion of the reported catch to which sampling was applied. Métiers are each reported combination of nation/fleet/area/quarter.

| Country (fleet) | Q | Métiers (n) | Métiers sampled | Sam. <br> Catch (\%) | Official <br> Catch | Samples | Fish aged | Fish measured | >1 sample per 1 kt catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 8 | 0 | 0\% | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Denmark <br> (A) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 100\% | 6387 | 5 | 134 | 646 | n |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 3264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 37390 | 30 | 777 | 3244 | n |
|  | 4 | 2 | 1 | 75\% | 7500 | 3 | 80 | 390 | n |
| total |  | 8 | 4 | 91\% | 54541 | 38 | 991 | 4280 | n |
| Denmark (B) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 94\% | 3767 | 33 | 367 | 761 | y |
|  | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 3800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 12 | 2 | 42\% | 8403 | 33 | 367 | 761 | y |
| France | 1 | 2 | 1 | 15\% | 1884 | 3 | 73 | 601 | y |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 100\% | 3363 | 12 | 299 | 2502 | y |
|  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 11815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 8075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |


| Country <br> (fleet) |  | Métiers (n) | Métiers sampled | Sam. <br> Catch (\%) | Official Catch | Samples | Fish aged | Fish measured | >1 sample per 1 kt catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| total |  | 13 | 2 | 14\% | 25136 | 15 | 372 | 3103 | n |
| Germany | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 4893 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 86\% | 11155 | 29 | 247 | 11355 | $y$ |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 77\% | 9748 | 11 | 337 | 1295 | y |
| total |  | 7 | 3 | 66\% | 25797 | 40 | 584 | 12650 | y |
| Ireland | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 411 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 414 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Netherlands | 1 | 2 | 1 | 56\% | 1241 | 3 | 75 | 419 | y |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 483 | 2 | 49 | 278 | y |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 100\% | 44047 | 35 | 870 | 5213 | n |
|  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 97\% | 20630 | 11 | 273 | 1585 | n |
| total |  | 9 | 6 | 98\% | 66402 | 51 | 1267 | 7495 | n |
| Norway | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 100\% | 70882 | 42 | 1999 | 2707 | n |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 18574 | 10 | 406 | 515 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 99\% | 5590 | 4 | 159 | 721 | n |
| total |  | 9 | 6 | 100\% | 95061 | 56 | 2564 | 3943 | n |
| UK (Scot) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100\% | 2553 | 3 | 99 | 421 | y |
|  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | 47905 | 29 | 1213 | 4462 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 705 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 7 | 3 | 99\% | 51194 | 32 | 1312 | 4883 | n |
| Sweden | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 3605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 14224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 9 | 0 | 0\% | 18380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Sweden (B) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |


| Country (fleet) | Q | Métiers (n) | Métiers sampled | Sam. <br> Catch (\%) | Official Catch | Samples | Fish aged | Fish measured | >1 sample per 1 kt catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| UK (NI) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 4975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
|  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 4 | 0 | 0\% | 5176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| Lithuania | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 466 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| total |  | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 466 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |
| UK (E+W) | 1 | 3 | 1 | 99\% | 294 | 1 | 24 | 100 | y |
|  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 99\% | 923 | 1 | 125 | 896 | y |
|  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 100\% | 8099 | 5 | 875 | 3753 | n |
|  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 19\% | 3898 | 2 | 50 | 208 | n |
| total |  | 15 | 5 | 76\% | 13214 | 9 | 1074 | 4957 | n |
| Period total | $1$ | $19$ | 4 | 75\% | 10219 | 12 | 306 | 1766 | y |
| Period total | 2 | $25$ | 6 | 86\% | 90490 | 60 | 2571 | 6804 | n |
| Period total | $3$ | $26$ | 11 | 84\% | 202091 | 171 | 4755 | 29303 | n |
| Period total | $4$ | $38$ | 10 | 64\% | 61815 | 31 | 899 | 4199 | n |
| Total 2021 |  | 108 | 31 | 81\% | 364615 | 274 | 8531 | 42072 | n |
| Human Cons Only |  | 92 | 29 | 82\% | 355827 | 241 | 8164 | 41311 | n |
| Total 2019 |  | 104 | 29 | 83\% | 445633 | 376 | 7781 | 57198 | n |
| Total 2020 |  | 117 | 28 | 82\% | 427321 | 347 | 8226 | 66700 | n |
| HC 2020 |  | 101 | 26 | 83\% | 417457 | 320 | 7909 | 65583 | n |

2.3.1.1. North Sea herring. Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea (HERAS) in June-July 2021. Vessels, areas and cruise dates.

| Vessel | Period | Contributing to Stocks | Strata |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Celtic Explorer (IRL) | 30 June - 20 July | MSHAS, WoS | $2,3,4,5,6$ |
| EIGB |  |  |  |
| Scotia (SCO) | 7-26 July | MSHAS,WoS, NSAS, Sprat NS | 1,91 (north of $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ), 111, 121 |
| MXHR6 |  |  |  |
| Johan Hjort (NOR) | 25 June - 12 July | NSAS, WBSS, Sprat NS | 11, 141 |
| LDGJ |  |  |  |
| Tridens (NED) | 26 June - 12 July | NSAS, Sprat NS | 81,91 (south of $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ), 101 |
| PBVO |  |  |  |
| Solea (GER) | 30 June - 20 July | NSAS, Sprat NS | 51, 61, 71, 131 |
| DBFH |  |  |  |
| Dana (DEN) | 21 June - 06 July | NSAS, WBSS, Sprat NS, Sprat 3.a | 21, 31, 41, 42, 151, 152 |
| OXBH |  |  |  |

Table 2.3.1.2. North Sea herring. Acoustic Surveys in the North Sea (HERAS) in June-July 2021. Total numbers (millions of fish) and biomass (thousands of tonnes) of North Sea autumn spawning herring in the area surveyed in the pelagic acoustic surveys, with mean weight and mean length by age ring.

| Age (ring) | Numbers | Biomass | Maturity | Weight (g) | Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 17500 | 78 | 0.00 | 4.4 | 8.5 |
| 1 | 5196 | 248 | 0.02 | 47.7 | 17.9 |
| 2 | 2803 | 340 | 0.74 | 121.3 | 23.9 |
| 3 | 1800 | 299 | 0.99 | 165.8 | 26.4 |
| 4 | 773 | 148 | 1.00 | 191.0 | 27.4 |
| 5 | 877 | 178 | 1.00 | 203.4 | 27.9 |
| 6 | 915 | 202 | 1.00 | 220.8 | 28.7 |
| 7 | 1021 | 238 | 1.00 | 233.1 | 29.0 |
| 8 | 388 | 93 | 1.00 | 240.0 | 29.2 |
| 9+ | 208 | 57 | 1.00 | 272.1 | 30.4 |
| Immature | 23311 | 379 |  | 16.2 | 10.9 |
| Mature | 8170 | 1501 |  | 183.7 | 27.0 |
| Total | 31481 | 1880 | 0.26 | 59.7 | 15.1 |

Table 2.3.1.3. Estimates of North Sea autumn spawners (millions) at age from acoustic surveys, 1986-2021. For 1986 the estimates are the sum of those from the Division 4.a summer survey, the Division 4.b autumn survey, and the divisions 4.c, 7.d winter survey. The 1987 to 2019 estimates are from summer surveys in divisions 4.a, b, c, and 3.a excluding estimates of Western Baltic spring spawners. For 1999 and 2000 the Kattegat was excluded from the results because it was not surveyed. Total numbers include 0-ringers from 2008 onwards.

| Years / <br> Age (rings) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ | Total | SSB ('000t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1639 | 3206 | 1637 | 833 | 135 | 36 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 7542 | 942 |
| 1987 | 13736 | 4303 | 955 | 657 | 368 | 77 | 38 | 11 | 20 | 20165 | 817 |
| 1988 | 6431 | 4202 | 1732 | 528 | 349 | 174 | 43 | 23 | 14 | 13496 | 897 |
| 1989 | 6333 | 3726 | 3751 | 1612 | 488 | 281 | 120 | 44 | 22 | 16377 | 1637 |
| 1990 | 6249 | 2971 | 3530 | 3370 | 1349 | 395 | 211 | 134 | 43 | 18262 | 2174 |
| 1991 | 3182 | 2834 | 1501 | 2102 | 1984 | 748 | 262 | 112 | 56 | 12781 | 1874 |
| 1992 | 6351 | 4179 | 1633 | 1397 | 1510 | 1311 | 474 | 155 | 163 | 17173 | 1545 |
| 1993 | 10399 | 3710 | 1855 | 909 | 795 | 788 | 546 | 178 | 116 | 19326 | 1216 |
| 1994 | 3646 | 3280 | 957 | 429 | 363 | 321 | 238 | 220 | 132 | 13003 | 1035 |
| 1995 | 4202 | 3799 | 2056 | 656 | 272 | 175 | 135 | 110 | 84 | 11220 | 1082 |
| 1996 | 6198 | 4557 | 2824 | 1087 | 311 | 99 | 83 | 133 | 206 | 18786 | 1446 |
| 1997 | 9416 | 6363 | 3287 | 1696 | 692 | 259 | 79 | 78 | 158 | 22028 | 1780 |
| 1998 | 4449 | 5747 | 2520 | 1625 | 982 | 445 | 170 | 45 | 121 | 16104 | 1792 |
| 1999 | 5087 | 3078 | 4725 | 1116 | 506 | 314 | 139 | 54 | 87 | 15107 | 1534 |
| 2000 | 24735 | 2922 | 2156 | 3139 | 1006 | 483 | 266 | 120 | 97 | 34928 | 1833 |
| 2001 | 6837 | 12290 | 3083 | 1462 | 1676 | 450 | 170 | 98 | 59 | 26124 | 2622 |
| 2002 | 23055 | 4875 | 8220 | 1390 | 795 | 1031 | 244 | 121 | 150 | 39881 | 2948 |
| 2003 | 9829 | 18949 | 3081 | 4189 | 675 | 495 | 568 | 146 | 178 | 38110 | 2999 |
| 2004 | 5183 | 3415 | 9191 | 2167 | 2590 | 317 | 328 | 342 | 186 | 23722 | 2584 |
| 2005 | 3113 | 1890 | 3436 | 5609 | 1211 | 1172 | 140 | 127 | 107 | 16805 | 1868 |
| 2006 | 6823 | 3772 | 1997 | 2098 | 4175 | 618 | 562 | 84 | 70 | 20199 | 2130 |
| 2007 | 6261 | 2750 | 1848 | 898 | 806 | 1323 | 243 | 152 | 65 | 14346 | 1203 |
| 2008 | 3714 | 2853 | 1709 | 1485 | 809 | 712 | 1749 | 185 | 270 | 20355 | 1784 |
| 2009 | 4655 | 5632 | 2553 | 1023 | 1077 | 674 | 638 | 1142 | 578 | 31526 | 2591 |
| 2010 | 14577 | 4237 | 4216 | 2453 | 1246 | 1332 | 688 | 1110 | 1619 | 43705 | 3027 |
| 2011 | 10119 | 4166 | 2534 | 2173 | 1016 | 651 | 688 | 440 | 1207 | 25524 | 2431 |


| Years / | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | Total | SSB ('000t) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age (rings) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| 2012 | 7437 | 4718 | 4067 | 1738 | 1209 | 593 | 247 | 218 | 478 | 23641 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 6388 | 2683 | 3031 | 2895 | 1546 | 849 | 464 | 250 | 592 | 36484 |
| 2014 | 11634 | 4918 | 2827 | 2939 | 1791 | 1236 | 669 | 211 | 250 | 61339 |
| 2015 | 6714 | 9495 | 2831 | 1591 | 1549 | 926 | 520 | 275 | 221 | 24508 |
| 2016 | 9034 | 12011 | 5832 | 1273 | 822 | 909 | 395 | 220 | 146 | 51686 |
| 2017 | 3054 | 1761 | 6095 | 3142 | 787 | 365 | 298 | 153 | 140 | 30055 |
| 2018 | 9938 | 4254 | 1692 | 5150 | 2440 | 719 | 529 | 293 | 111 | 32606 |
| 2019 | 10146 | 1303 | 2345 | 1212 | 3506 | 1657 | 395 | 252 | 172 | 25560 |
| 2020 | 7130 | 2736 | 1156 | 1371 | 1674 | 1666 | 504 | 164 | 188 | 23766 |
| 2021 | 5196 | 2803 | 1800 | 773 | 877 | 915 | 1021 | 388 | 208 | 31481 |
| 15017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.3.2.1. North Sea herring - LAI time-series of herring larval abundance <10 mm long (<11 mm for the SNS), by standard sampling area and time periods. The numbers of larvae are expressed as mean number per ICES rectangle * $10^{9}$.

|  | Orkney/Shetland |  | Buchan |  | Central North Sea |  |  | Southern North Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period/ Year | $1-15$ <br> Sep. | $16-30$ <br> Sep. | $1-15$ <br> Sep. | 16-30 <br> Sep. | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $16-30$ <br> Sep. | $1-15$ <br> Oct. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-31 } \\ \text { Dec. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-15 } \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-31 } \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1972 | 1133 | 4583 | 30 |  | 165 | 88 | 134 | 2 | 46 |  |
| 1973 | 2029 | 822 | 3 | 4 | 492 | 830 | 1213 |  |  | 1 |
| 1974 | 758 | 421 | 101 | 284 | 81 |  | 1184 |  | 10 |  |
| 1975 | 371 | 50 | 312 |  |  | 90 | 77 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 1976 | 545 | 81 |  | 1 | 64 | 108 |  |  | 3 |  |
| 1977 | 1133 | 221 | 124 | 32 | 520 | 262 | 89 | 1 |  |  |
| 1978 | 3047 | 50 |  | 162 | 1406 | 81 | 269 | 33 | 3 |  |
| 1979 | 2882 | 2362 | 197 | 10 | 662 | 131 | 507 |  | 111 | 89 |
| 1980 | 3534 | 720 | 21 | 1 | 317 | 188 | 9 | 247 | 129 | 40 |
| 1981 | 3667 | 277 | 3 | 12 | 903 | 235 | 119 | 1456 |  | 70 |
| 1982 | 2353 | 1116 | 340 | 257 | 86 | 64 | 1077 | 710 | 275 | 54 |
| 1983 | 2579 | 812 | 3647 | 768 | 1459 | 281 | 63 | 71 | 243 | 58 |
| 1984 | 1795 | 1912 | 2327 | 1853 | 688 | 2404 | 824 | 523 | 185 | 39 |


| Period/ Year | Orkney/Shetland |  | Buchan |  | Central North Sea |  |  | Southern North Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1-15$ <br> Sep. | 16-30 <br> Sep. | $1-15$ <br> Sep. | $16-30$ <br> Sep. | $1-15$ <br> Sep. | 16-30 <br> Sep. | $1-15$ <br> Oct. | 16-31 <br> Dec. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-15 } \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-31 } \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1985 | 5632 | 3432 | 2521 | 1812 | 130 | 13039 | 1794 | 1851 | 407 | 38 |
| 1986 | 3529 | 1842 | 3278 | 341 | 1611 | 6112 | 188 | 780 | 123 | 18 |
| 1987 | 7409 | 1848 | 2551 | 670 | 799 | 4927 | 1992 | 934 | 297 | 146 |
| 1988 | 7538 | 8832 | 6812 | 5248 | 5533 | 3808 | 1960 | 1679 | 162 | 112 |
| 1989 | 11477 | 5725 | 5879 | 692 | 1442 | 5010 | 2364 | 1514 | 2120 | 512 |
| 1990 |  | 10144 | 4590 | 2045 | 19955 | 1239 | 975 | 2552 | 1204 |  |
| 1991 | 1021 | 2397 |  | 2032 | 4823 | 2110 | 1249 | 4400 | 873 |  |
| 1992 | 189 | 4917 |  | 822 | 10 | 165 | 163 | 176 | 1616 |  |
| 1993 |  | 66 |  | 174 |  | 685 | 85 | 1358 | 1103 |  |
| 1994 | 26 | 1179 |  |  |  | 1464 | 44 | 537 | 595 |  |
| 1995 |  | 8688 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 74 | 230 | 164 |
| 1996 |  | 809 |  | 184 |  | 564 |  | 337 | 675 | 691 |
| 1997 |  | 3611 |  | 23 |  |  |  | 9374 | 918 | 355 |
| 1998 |  | 8528 |  | 1490 | 205 | 66 |  | 1522 | 953 | 170 |
| 1999 |  | 4064 |  | 185 |  | 134 | 181 | 804 | 1260 | 344 |
| 2000 |  | 3352 | 28 | 83 |  | 376 |  | 7346 | 338 | 106 |
| 2001 |  | 11918 |  | 164 |  | 1604 |  | 971 | 5531 | 909 |
| 2002 |  | 6669 |  | 1038 |  |  | 3291 | 2008 | 260 | 925 |
| 2003 |  | 3199 |  | 2263 |  | 12018 | 3277 | 12048 | 3109 | 1116 |
| 2004 |  | 7055 |  | 3884 |  | 5545 |  | 7055 | 2052 | 4175 |
| 2005 |  | 3380 |  | 1364 |  | 5614 |  | 498 | 3999 | 4822 |
| 2006 | 6311 | 2312 |  | 280 |  | 2259 |  | 10858 | 2700 | 2106 |
| 2007 |  | 1753 |  | 1304 |  | 291 |  | 4443 | 2439 | 3854 |
| 2008 | 4978 | 6875 |  | 533 |  | 11201 |  | 8426 | 2317 | 4008 |
| 2009 |  | 7543 |  | 4629 |  | 4219 |  | 15295 | 14712 | 1689 |
| 2010 |  | 2362 |  | 1493 |  | 2317 |  | 7493 | 13230 | 8073 |
| 2011 |  | 3831 |  | 2839 |  | 17766 |  | 5461 | 6160 | 1215 |


|  | Orkney/Shetland |  | Buchan |  | Central North Sea |  |  | Southern North Sea |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period/ Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-15 } \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | 16-30 <br> Sep. | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $16-30$ <br> Sep. | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \\ & \text { Sep. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-30 } \\ \text { Sep. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-15 } \\ & \text { Oct. } \end{aligned}$ | 16-31 <br> Dec. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1-15 } \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16-31 } \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2012 |  | 19552 |  | 5856 |  | 517 |  | 22768 | 11103 | 3285 |
| 2013 |  | 21282 |  | 8618 |  | 7354 |  | 5 | 9314 | 2957 |
| 2014 |  | 6604 |  | 5033 |  | 1149 |  |  |  | 1851 |
| 2015 |  | 9631 |  | 3496 |  | 3424 |  | 2011 | 1200 | 645 |
| 2016 |  |  |  | 3872 |  | 3288 |  | 20710 | 1442 | 1545 |
| 2017 |  |  |  | 5833 |  | 3965 |  | 10553 | 5880 |  |
| 2018 |  | 102 |  | 1740 |  | 1509 |  | 1140 |  |  |
| 2019 | 2488 |  | 5654 | 3794 |  | 10605 |  | 14082 | 5258 |  |
| 2020 |  | 3208 |  | 3418 |  | 7663 |  | 4077 | 9704 |  |
| 2021 |  | 6651 |  | 1413 |  | 3282 |  | 8899 | 8764 |  |

Table 2.3.3.2. North Sea herring - International herring larvae surveys summary 2021/2022.
International Herring Larvae Surveys (IHLS)

| Nation: | Vessel: | Dates |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Germany | Dana \#09-21 | 20 September - 01 October 2021 |
| Netherlands | Tridens 2 | 20 September - 29 September 2021 |
| Netherlands | Tridens 2 | 20 December - 23 December 2021 |
| Germany | WH \#452 | 05 January - 13 January 2022 |


| Cruise | North Sea IHLS monitor the abundance and distribution of newly hatched herring larvae at the main spawning grounds of autumn spawning herring along the Scottish and English coast in September and on the Downs spawning ground in the English Channel in December and January. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gear details: | Gulf-type high speed plankton sampler catches are taken during day and night time. Mesh size of the net is 280 microns. The sampler is equipped with a CTD for measurements of actual sampler depth, salinity and temperature profiles as well as internal and external flowmeters determining the filtered water volume. <br> Samples are taken in a V-shape manner, e.g. from the sea surface down to near the seabed ( 5 m above the bottom) and back to the surface. |
| Notes from survey (e.g. problems, additional work etc.): | All six survey areas could be sampled as scheduled. The survey around the Orkneys revealed higher quantities of newly hatched larvae, compared to relatively low numbers in the two preceding years. In the Buchan and the central North Sea, newly hatched larvae concentrated in two areas. There are some issues with larvae patchiness in the Downs area. One station yielded $>90 \%$ of the total catch in December. However, such a pattern has been seen also in the history of the survey time-series. Thus, all stations were included in further calculations. |


|  | The estimated larvae abundance indices could be used in the assessment of North Sea autumn <br> spawning herring. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of fish species <br> recorded and notes on <br> any rare species or un- | In total, 413 plankton samples were taken during the IHLS surveys between September 2020. They contained 118,968 herring larvae. |
| usual catches: |  |

## Stations fished

| ICES Divi- <br> sions | Strat. | Gear | Tows planned | Valid | Add. | Inv. | \% stations fished | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a,b | N/A | Gulf | 274 | 274 | 0 | 0 | $100 \%$ | Extra hauls taken when abundance was dense. |
| 7d | N/A | Gulf | 141 | 139 | 0 | 0 | $100 \%$ | Extra hauls taken when abundance was dense. |
| total | N/A | Gulf | 415 | 413 | 0 | 0 | $100 \%$ |  |

Table 2.3.3.1. North Sea herring. Density and abundance estimates of 0-ringers caught in February during the IBTS. Values given for the 1991 to 2021 year classes by areas are density estimates in numbers per square metre according to the new index calculation algorithm. Total abundance is found by multiplying density by area and summing up. Data for the period 1976 to 1990, calculated with the old algorithm, are stored in the stock annex.

| Area | Northwest | Northeast | Central west | Central east | Southwest | Southeast | Division 3.a | South'Bight | IBTS-0 <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area $\mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}} \times 10^{9}$ | 83 | 34 | 86 | 102 | 37 | 93 | 31 | 31 |  |
| Year class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no. in $10^{9}$ |
| 1991 | 0.227 | 0.074 | 0.364 | 0.444 | 0.466 | 0.329 | 0.330 | 0.259 | 164.0 |
| 1992 | 0.191 | 0.037 | 0.576 | 0.387 | 0.638 | 0.300 | 0.359 | 0.871 | 195.8 |
| 1993 | 0.574 | 0.231 | 0.545 | 0.178 | 0.117 | 0.140 | 0.223 | 0.322 | 155.1 |
| 1994 | 0.131 | 0.023 | 0.438 | 0.359 | 0.360 | 0.174 | 0.503 | 1.277 | 170.5 |
| 1995 | 0.222 | 0.053 | 0.644 | 0.069 | 0.246 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.424 | 107.0 |
| 1996 | 0.026 | 0.003 | 0.878 | 0.099 | 0.443 | 0.298 | 0.040 | 0.034 | 134.5 |
| 1997 | 0.039 | 0.021 | 0.295 | 0.059 | 0.181 | 0.035 | 0.021 | 0.186 | 51.7 |
| 1998 | 0.095 | 0.054 | 1.074 | 0.543 | 0.994 | 0.296 | 0.242 | 0.839 | 255.5 |
| 1999 | 0.042 | 0.011 | 0.725 | 0.149 | 0.316 | 0.141 | 0.105 | 0.043 | 111.1 |
| 2000 | 0.237 | 0.005 | 0.764 | 0.161 | 0.813 | 0.790 | 0.065 | 4.354 | 342.0 |
| 2001 | 0.076 | 0.018 | 0.528 | 0.456 | 0.487 | 0.301 | 0.261 | NA | 152.9 |
| 2002 | 0.117 | 0.031 | 0.241 | 0.030 | 0.127 | 0.058 | 0.003 | 0.841 | 70.9 |
| 2003 | 0.044 | 0.004 | 0.248 | 0.068 | 0.119 | 0.019 | 0.036 | 0.145 | 43.9 |
| 2004 | 0.016 | 0.008 | 0.205 | 0.097 | 0.511 | 0.228 | 0.053 | 0.399 | 83.3 |
| 2005 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.315 | 0.079 | 0.291 | 0.154 | 0.011 | 0.068 | 64.5 |
| 2006 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.213 | 0.038 | 0.133 | 0.020 | 0.065 | 0.698 | 52.9 |
| 2007 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.185 | 0.031 | 0.084 | 0.058 | 0.019 | 0.320 | 39.5 |
| 2008 | 0.145 | 0.138 | 0.281 | 0.253 | 0.158 | 0.139 | 0.160 | 0.279 | 99.2 |
| 2009 | 0.073 | 0.074 | 0.194 | 0.052 | 0.390 | 0.291 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 73.5 |


| Area | Northwest | Northeast | Central west | Central east | Southwest | Southeast | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Division } \\ & \text { 3.a } \end{aligned}$ | South'Bight | IBTS-0 index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area $\mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}} \times 10^{9}$ | 83 | 34 | 86 | 102 | 37 | 93 | 31 | 31 |  |
| Year class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no. in $10^{9}$ |
| 2010 | 0.025 | 0.004 | 0.595 | 0.063 | 0.188 | 0.082 | NA | 0.096 | 77.6 |
| 2011 | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.312 | 0.132 | 0.214 | 0.129 | 0.076 | 0.059 | 65.1 |
| 2012 | 0.022 | 0.003 | 0.193 | 0.072 | 0.144 | 0.257 | 0.005 | 0.195 | 61.2 |
| 2013 | 0.132 | 0.151 | 0.240 | 0.253 | 0.389 | 0.313 | 0.037 | 0.213 | 113.8 |
| 2014 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.150 | 0.047 | 0.038 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.038 | 21.7 |
| 2015 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.136 | 0.059 | 0.083 | 0.324 | 0.002 | 0.927 | 81.2 |
| 2016 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.143 | 0.020 | 0.082 | 0.035 | 0.020 | 0.196 | 27.8 |
| 2017 | 0.111 | 0.001 | 0.395 | 0.181 | 0.397 | 0.260 | 0.031 | 0.019 | 102.1 |
| 2018 | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.290 | 0.103 | 0.112 | 0.029 | 0.083 | 0.144 | 51.6 |
| 2019 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 0.159 | 0.141 | 0.166 | 0.244 | 0.065 | 0.066 | 62.4 |
| 2020 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.449 | 0.079 | 0.328 | 0.256 | 0.055 | 0.304 | 95.2 |
| 2021 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.109 | 0.050 | 0.251 | 0.102 | 0.031 | 0.412 | 47.8 |

Table 2.3.3.2. North Sea herring. Indices of 1-ringers from the IBTS $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter for the 1995 to 2020 year classes (the data for the 1977 to 1994 year classes can be found in the stock annex). Estimation of the small sized component (possibly Downs herring) in different areas. " North Sea" = total area of sampling minus 3.a.

| Year class | Year of sampling | All 1-ringers in total area (IBTS-1 index) (no/hour) | Small<13cm 1ringers in total area (no/hour) | Proportion of small in total area vs. all sizes | Small<13cm 1ringers in North Sea (no/hour) | Proportion of small in North Sea vs. all sizes | Proportion of small in 3.a vs.small in total area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 1997 | 4403 | 1356 | 0.31 | 1089 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 1996 | 1998 | 2276 | 1322 | 0.58 | 1399 | 0.61 | 0.02 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 753 | 152 | 0.2 | 149 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 3304 | 1068 | 0.32 | 939 | 0.28 | 0.18 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 2499 | 328 | 0.13 | 307 | 0.12 | 0.13 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 3881 | 1520 | 0.39 | 1436 | 0.37 | 0.12 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 2837 | 664 | 0.23 | 180 | 0.06 | 0.75 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 979 | 665 | 0.68 | 710 | 0.73 | 0.01 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 1015 | 341 | 0.34 | 357 | 0.35 | 0.02 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 900 | 115 | 0.13 | 121 | 0.13 | 0.02 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 1322 | 303 | 0.23 | 304 | 0.23 | 0.07 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 1792 | 417 | 0.23 | 444 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 2339 | 734 | 0.31 | 623 | 0.27 | 0.21 |


| Year <br> class | Year of sampling | All 1-ringers in total area (IBTS-1 index) (no/hour) | Small<13cm 1ringers in total area (no/hour) | Proportion of small in total area vs. all sizes | Small<13cm 1ringers in North Sea (no/hour) | Proportion of small in North Sea vs. all sizes | Proportion of small in 3.a vs.small in total area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 2010 | 1206 | 279 | 0.23 | 286 | 0.24 | 0.05 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 2939 | 1331 | 0.45 | 1407 | 0.48 | 0.02 |
| 2010 | 2012 | 1353 | 279 | 0.21 | 288 | 0.21 | 0.04 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 1665 | 747 | 0.45 | 796 | 0.48 | 0.01 |
| 2012 | 2014 | 2615 | 1297 | 0.5 | 1245 | 0.48 | 0.11 |
| 2013 | 2015 | 3918 | 1808 | 0.46 | 1105 | 0.28 | 0.43 |
| 2014 | 2016 | 783 | 368 | 0.47 | 364 | 0.47 | 0.08 |
| 2015 | 2017 | 2396 | 1306 | 0.54 | 1008 | 0.42 | 0.28 |
| 2016 | 2018 | 778 | 406 | 0.52 | 424 | 0.55 | 0.03 |
| 2017 | 2019 | 1543 | 432 | 0.28 | 397 | 0.26 | 0.15 |
| 2018 | 2020 | 1021 | 168 | 0.16 | 150 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| 2019 | 2021 | 3128 | 487 | 0.16 | 256 | 0.08 | 0.51 |
| 2020 | 2022 | 806 | 401 | 0.50 | 396 | 0.49 | 0.08 |

Table 2.4.1.1. North Sea herring. Mean stock weight-at-age (wr) in the third quarter, in divisions 4.a, 4.b and 3.a. Mean catch weight-at-age for the same quarter and area is included for comparison. AS = acoustic survey, 3Q = catch.

| age | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS |
| 1996 | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.018 | 0.054 | 0.112 | 0.130 | 0.156 | 0.199 | 0.188 | 0.227 | 0.204 | 0.234 | 0.212 | 0.274 | 0.261 | 0.301 | 0.281 | 0.327 |
| 1997 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.044 | 0.049 | 0.108 | 0.123 | 0.148 | 0.183 | 0.195 | 0.230 | 0.227 | 0.237 | 0.226 | 0.257 | 0.235 | 0.280 | 0.255 | 0.310 |
| 1998 | 0.021 | 0.006 | 0.051 | 0.047 | 0.114 | 0.116 | 0.145 | 0.187 | 0.183 | 0.241 | 0.219 | 0.264 | 0.238 | 0.284 | 0.247 | 0.287 | 0.288 | 0.308 |
| 1999 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.045 | 0.051 | 0.115 | 0.116 | 0.151 | 0.179 | 0.171 | 0.226 | 0.207 | 0.256 | 0.233 | 0.273 | 0.245 | 0.276 | 0.268 | 0.278 |
| 2000 | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.033 | 0.051 | 0.113 | 0.116 | 0.157 | 0.184 | 0.179 | 0.221 | 0.201 | 0.248 | 0.216 | 0.279 | 0.246 | 0.286 | 0.273 | 0.284 |
| 2001 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.048 | 0.051 | 0.118 | 0.122 | 0.149 | 0.172 | 0.177 | 0.210 | 0.198 | 0.233 | 0.213 | 0.255 | 0.238 | 0.275 | 0.270 | 0.274 |
| 2002 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.037 | 0.047 | 0.118 | 0.128 | 0.153 | 0.172 | 0.170 | 0.205 | 0.199 | 0.228 | 0.214 | 0.248 | 0.228 | 0.270 | 0.250 | 0.287 |
| 2003 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.037 | 0.047 | 0.104 | 0.123 | 0.158 | 0.173 | 0.174 | 0.202 | 0.184 | 0.222 | 0.205 | 0.242 | 0.222 | 0.266 | 0.237 | 0.285 |
| 2004 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.036 | 0.042 | 0.100 | 0.119 | 0.138 | 0.165 | 0.183 | 0.203 | 0.201 | 0.223 | 0.216 | 0.248 | 0.228 | 0.268 | 0.255 | 0.280 |
| 2005 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.044 | 0.041 | 0.099 | 0.118 | 0.153 | 0.164 | 0.166 | 0.198 | 0.208 | 0.225 | 0.223 | 0.248 | 0.240 | 0.265 | 0.265 | 0.285 |
| 2006 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.049 | 0.041 | 0.117 | 0.126 | 0.144 | 0.155 | 0.172 | 0.191 | 0.181 | 0.216 | 0.220 | 0.242 | 0.237 | 0.252 | 0.246 | 0.270 |
| 2007 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.064 | 0.051 | 0.121 | 0.128 | 0.151 | 0.161 | 0.163 | 0.180 | 0.193 | 0.207 | 0.190 | 0.224 | 0.223 | 0.238 | 0.237 | 0.256 |
| 2008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.054 | 0.058 | 0.129 | 0.130 | 0.180 | 0.164 | 0.181 | 0.181 | 0.183 | 0.195 | 0.216 | 0.218 | 0.216 | 0.226 | 0.262 | 0.256 |
| 2009 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.051 | 0.061 | 0.144 | 0.137 | 0.181 | 0.181 | 0.216 | 0.197 | 0.216 | 0.210 | 0.239 | 0.223 | 0.243 | 0.234 | 0.253 | 0.256 |
| 2010 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.057 | 0.052 | 0.129 | 0.142 | 0.167 | 0.190 | 0.191 | 0.216 | 0.220 | 0.224 | 0.219 | 0.234 | 0.216 | 0.240 | 0.238 | 0.261 |
| 2011 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.041 | 0.043 | 0.132 | 0.146 | 0.159 | 0.187 | 0.183 | 0.225 | 0.197 | 0.240 | 0.217 | 0.244 | 0.221 | 0.251 | 0.232 | 0.257 |
| 2012 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.046 | 0.040 | 0.124 | 0.138 | 0.171 | 0.182 | 0.185 | 0.211 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.222 | 0.241 | 0.239 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.253 |
| 2013 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.047 | 0.040 | 0.116 | 0.136 | 0.156 | 0.175 | 0.198 | 0.209 | 0.198 | 0.221 | 0.215 | 0.242 | 0.233 | 0.249 | 0.238 | 0.252 |


| age | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE- } \\ & \text { RAS } \end{aligned}$ | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS | catch | HE- <br> RAS |
| 2014 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.052 | 0.043 | 0.124 | 0.129 | 0.172 | 0.177 | 0.186 | 0.204 | 0.215 | 0.216 | 0.212 | 0.229 | 0.226 | 0.241 | 0.243 | 0.247 |
| 2015 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.026 | 0.044 | 0.114 | 0.127 | 0.154 | 0.161 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.212 | 0.221 | 0.225 | 0.217 | 0.229 | 0.235 | 0.239 |
| 2016 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.027 | 0.043 | 0.127 | 0.121 | 0.155 | 0.160 | 0.180 | 0.189 | 0.206 | 0.216 | 0.215 | 0.224 | 0.231 | 0.224 | 0.230 | 0.234 |
| 2017 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.038 | 0.043 | 0.099 | 0.111 | 0.156 | 0.153 | 0.173 | 0.183 | 0.188 | 0.207 | 0.215 | 0.227 | 0.220 | 0.227 | 0.231 | 0.229 |
| 2018 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.039 | 0.040 | 0.109 | 0.101 | 0.145 | 0.153 | 0.184 | 0.186 | 0.191 | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.229 | 0.234 | 0.239 | 0.246 | 0.247 |
| 2019 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.121 | 0.099 | 0.147 | 0.148 | 0.169 | 0.177 | 0.204 | 0.209 | 0.208 | 0.226 | 0.220 | 0.238 | 0.243 | 0.254 |
| 2020 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.071 | 0.041 | 0.130 | 0.107 | 0.155 | 0.150 | 0.171 | 0.182 | 0.189 | 0.217 | 0.214 | 0.229 | 0.219 | 0.242 | 0.243 | 0.264 |
| 2021 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.040 | 0.043 | 0.128 | 0.117 | 0.155 | 0.156 | 0.166 | 0.181 | 0.189 | 0.210 | 0.203 | 0.227 | 0.219 | 0.240 | 0.224 | 0.255 |

Table 2.4.2.1. North Sea herring. Percentage maturity at 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7+ ring for autumn spawning herring in the North Sea. The values are derived from the acoustic survey for 1988 to 2021. In the period 1988-2014, maturity of age 5+ were set to $100 \%$.

| Year \ Ring | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | 65.6 | 87.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1989 | 78.7 | 93.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1990 | 72.6 | 97.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1991 | 63.8 | 98.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1992 | 51.3 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1993 | 47.1 | 62.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1994 | 72.1 | 85.8 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1995 | 72.6 | 95.4 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1996 | 60.5 | 97.5 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1997 | 64.0 | 94.2 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1998 | 64.0 | 89.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1999 | 81.0 | 91.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2000 | 66.0 | 96.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2001 | 77.0 | 92.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2002 | 86.0 | 97.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2003 | 43.0 | 93.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2004 | 69.8 | 64.9 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2005 | 76.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2006 | 66.0 | 88.0 | 98.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2007 | 71.0 | 92.0 | 93.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2008 | 86.0 | 98.0 | 99.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2009 | 89.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2010 | 45.0 | 90.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2011 | 87.0 | 84.0 | 99.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2012 | 91.0 | 99.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2013 | 83.0 | 96.0 | 98.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2014 | 85.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Year \Ring | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 +}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 70.0 | 90.0 | 96.0 | 98.0 | 99.0 | 100 |
| 2016 | 71.0 | 89.0 | 95.0 | 97.0 | 98.0 | 100 |
| 2017 | 55.0 | 96.0 | 97.0 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 100 |
| 2018 | 37.0 | 91.0 | 98.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2020 | 75.0 | 97.0 | 99.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2021 | 75.0 | 99.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 2.6.1.1. North Sea herring. Years of duration of survey and years used in the assessment.

| Survey | Age range | Years survey has been running | Years used in assessment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAI (Larvae survey) | SSB | $1972-2021$ | $1973-2021$ |
| IBTS 1st Quarter (Trawl survey) | 1 wr | $1971-2022$ | $1984-2022$ |
| IBTS 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter (Trawl survey) | $0-5 \mathrm{wr}$ | $1991-2021$ | $1998-2021$ |
| Acoustic (+trawl) | 1 wr | $1995-2021$ |  |
| $1984-2021$ | $1999-2021$ |  |  |
| IBTSO | 0 wr | $1977-2022$ | $1992-2022$ |

Table 2.6.1.2 North Sea herring input data. Maturity at age.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $------------------~$ | ---- | --- | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0.73 | 0.93 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0.61 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0.64 | 0.89 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0.69 | 0.91 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 0.93 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.65 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0.66 | 0.88 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0.86 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0.85 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0.71 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.91 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0.59 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0.74 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

Table 2.6.1.3 North Sea herring input data. Natural mortality at age.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-----------------------------------------------------~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1948 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1949 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1950 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1951 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1952 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1953 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1954 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1955 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1956 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1957 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1958 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1959 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |
| 1960 | 0.712 | 0.497 | 0.303 | 0.273 | 0.252 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.216 | 0.216 |  |  |

```
1961}00.712 0.497 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216
1962}00.712 0.497 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216
1963}00.712 0.498 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216
1964}00.712 0.497 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216 
1965}00.712 0.497 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216 
1966}0.7120.497 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216 
1967}00.712 0.498 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216 
1968}00.713 0.5 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.221 0.215 0.215 
1969}00.712 0.495 0.302 0.272 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216
```



```
1971}00.712 0.498 0.303 0.273 0.252 0.232 0.222 0.216 0.216
```



```
1973}00.715 0.509 0.305 0.274 0.25 0.231 0.219 0.213 0.212
1974
1975}00.71 0.493 0.302 0.273 0.253 0.233 0.223 0.217 0.218
1976}00.712 0.512 0.306 0.275 0.251 0.231 0.219 0.213 0.212 
1977}00.718 0.527 0.31 0.276 0.248 0.228 0.216 0.208 0.207 
1978}00.725 0.541 0.312 0.276 0.245 0.225 0.212 0.203 0.202
```



```
1980}00.74
1981}00.758 0.565 0.313 0.272 0.234 0.215 0.201 0.191 0.187
```



```
1983}0.791 0.569 0.309 0.264 0.225 0.207 0.193 0.184 0.178
1984}00.818 0.566 0.306 0.258 0.22 0.202 0.189 0.18 0.173 
1985}00.839 0.562 0.301 0.252 0.215 0.198 0.185 0.176 0.169
1986}00.849 0.553 0.294 0.244 0.208 0.191 0.18 0.172 0.164
1987}00.856 0.541 0.284 0.233 0.201 0.184 0.174 0.168 0.159 
1988}00.858 0.53 0.277 0.225 0.196 0.179 0.169 0.164 0.155
1989}00.853 0.522 0.274 0.222 0.195 0.178 0.167 0.162 0.152
1990}00.842 0.513 0.272 0.22 0.196 0.178 0.165 0.159 0.151
1991}00.832 0.506 0.271 0.219 0.197 0.178 0.163 0.158 0.15
1992
1993}0.803 0.493 0.277 0.225 0.198 0.18 0.162 0.156 0.15
1994}00.791 0.488 0.28 0.228 0.199 0.181 0.162 0.155 0.15
1995
1996}00.7720.479 0.285 0.229 0.196 0.179 0.16 0.153 0.149
1997}0.7
1998}0.7790.495 0.293 0.235 0.197 0.178 0.161 0.154 0.15
1999}0.787 0.506 0.299 0.239 0.2 0.179 0.163 0.155 0.152
2000
llllllllllll
2002}00.833 0.575 0.326 0.263 0.224 0.196 0.18 0.169 0.164
llllllllll
2004}00.862 0.594 0.338 0.279 0.245 0.216 0.199 0.186 0.178
2005}00.8750.598 0.342 0.284 0.253 0.224 0.207 0.194 0.184
llllllllllll
2007}00.
```



```
2009}00.9
2010}00.9
2011}00.905 0.531 0.315 0.265 0.241 0.227 0.215 0.209 0.2
2012}00.895 0.522 0.31 0.262 0.24 0.226 0.215 0.21 0.202
2013}00.881 0.512 0.306 0.26 0.238 0.225 0.214 0.211 0.203
2014}00.863 0.503 0.302 0.258 0.236 0.225 0.214 0.211 0.203 
2015}00.8
llllllllllll
2017}00.781 0.481 0.291 0.256 0.233 0.223 0.212 0.21 0.204
2018}00.74
2019}00.704 0.469 0.286 0.258 0.235 0.224 0.211 0.209 0.205
2020}00.7700.481 0.292 0.256 0.234 0.224 0.212 0.21 0.204
llllllllllll
```

Table 2.6.1.4 North Sea herring input data. Stock weight at age.


```
2014 0.005667 0.04333 0.1287 0.1767 0.2037 0.2157 0.2287 0.2413 0.2466
2015
2016}00.005 0.04333 0.121 0.1603 0.1887 0.216 0.2243 0.2243 0.2337
2017}0.004167 0.04287 0.1109 0.1532 0.183 0.2071 0.2265 0.2271 0.2292
1018}00.004567 0.03997 0.1013 0.153 0.1858 0.215 0.2292 0.2388 0.2468
2019}00.004 0.04023 0.099 0.1485 0.1774 0.209 0.2261 0.2379 0.2541
2020}00.0041 0.04073 0.1072 0.1495 0.1816 0.2168 0.2291 0.2424 0.2642
llllllllll
```

Table 2.6.1.5 North Sea herring input data. Catch weight at age.

| Year | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | - 7 | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.122 | 0.14 | 0.156 | 0.171 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.242 |
| 1948 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.122 | 0.14 | 0.156 | 0.171 | 0.185 | 0.197 | 0.242 |
| 1949 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.128 | 0.145 | 0.161 | 0.176 | 0.189 | 0.201 | 0.2435 |
| 1950 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.128 | 0.151 | 0.166 | 0.18 | 0.193 | 0.204 | 0.245 |
| 1951 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.134 | 0.157 | 0.176 | 0.189 | 0.201 | 0.211 | 0.2475 |
| 1952 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.137 | 0.165 | 0.183 | 0.199 | 0.21 | 0.219 | 0.251 |
| 1953 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.137 | 0.167 | 0.19 | 0.205 | 0.218 | 0.226 | 0.254 |
| 1954 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.139 | 0.169 | 0.193 | 0.211 | 0.223 | 0.233 | 0.2565 |
| 1955 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.195 | 0.214 | 0.228 | 0.238 | 0.2595 |
| 1956 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.172 | 0.197 | 0.216 | 0.231 | 0.242 | 0.261 |
| 1957 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.141 | 0.173 | 0.198 | 0.218 | 0.233 | 0.244 | 0.2625 |
| 1958 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.141 | 0.174 | 0.199 | 0.219 | 0.234 | 0.245 | 0.2635 |
| 1959 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.143 | 0.176 | 0.201 | 0.221 | 0.236 | 0.247 | 0.2645 |
| 1960 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1961 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1962 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1963 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1964 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1965 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1966 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1967 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1968 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1969 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1970 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1971 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1972 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1973 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1974 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1975 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1976 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1977 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1978 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1979 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1980 | 0.015 | 0.05 | 0.126 | 0.176 | 0.211 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1981 | 0.007 | 0.049 | 0.118 | 0.142 | 0.189 | 0.211 | 0.222 | 0.267 | 0.271 |
| 1982 | 0.01 | 0.059 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.179 | 0.217 | 0.238 | 0.265 | 0.2742 |
| 1983 | 0.01 | 0.059 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.179 | 0.217 | 0.238 | 0.265 | 0.2745 |
| 1984 | 0.01 | 0.059 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.179 | 0.217 | 0.238 | 0.265 | 0.2746 |
| 1985 | 0.009 | 0.036 | 0.128 | 0.164 | 0.194 | 0.211 | 0.22 | 0.258 | 0.2821 |
| 1986 | 0.006 | 0.067 | 0.121 | 0.153 | 0.182 | 0.208 | 0.221 | 0.238 | 0.2572 |
| 1987 | 0.011 | 0.035 | 0.099 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.211 | 0.234 | 0.258 | 0.2881 |
| 1988 | 0.011 | 0.055 | 0.111 | 0.145 | 0.174 | 0.197 | 0.216 | 0.237 | 0.2566 |
| 1989 | 0.017 | 0.043 | 0.115 | 0.153 | 0.173 | 0.208 | 0.231 | 0.247 | 0.2631 |
| 1990 | 0.019 | 0.055 | 0.114 | 0.149 | 0.177 | 0.193 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.2608 |
| 1991 | 0.017 | 0.058 | 0.13 | 0.166 | 0.184 | 0.203 | 0.217 | 0.235 | 0.263 |
| 1992 | 0.01 | 0.053 | 0.102 | 0.175 | 0.189 | 0.207 | 0.223 | 0.237 | 0.2632 |
| 1993 | 0.01 | 0.033 | 0.115 | 0.145 | 0.189 | 0.204 | 0.228 | 0.244 | 0.2735 |
| 1994 | 0.006 | 0.056 | 0.13 | 0.159 | 0.181 | 0.214 | 0.24 | 0.255 | 0.2762 |
| 1995 | 0.009 | 0.042 | 0.13 | 0.169 | 0.198 | 0.207 | 0.243 | 0.247 | 0.2809 |
| 1996 | 0.015 | 0.018 | 0.112 | 0.156 | 0.188 | 0.204 | 0.212 | 0.261 | 0.2815 |
| 1997 | 0.015 | 0.044 | 0.108 | 0.148 | 0.195 | 0.227 | 0.226 | 0.235 | 0.2549 |
| 1998 | 0.021 | 0.051 | 0.114 | 0.145 | 0.183 | 0.219 | 0.238 | 0.247 | 0.2879 |
| 1999 | 0.009 | 0.045 | 0.115 | 0.151 | 0.171 | 0.207 | 0.233 | 0.245 | 0.2677 |
| 2000 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.113 | 0.157 | 0.179 | 0.201 | 0.216 | 0.246 | 0.2731 |
| 2001 | 0.012 | 0.048 | 0.118 | 0.149 | 0.177 | 0.198 | 0.213 | 0.238 | 0.2697 |

```
2002}00.012 0.037 0.118 0.153 0.17 0.199 0.214 0.228 0.2504
2003}00.014 0.037 0.104 0.158 0.174 0.184 0.205 0.222 0.2366 
2004}00.014 0.036 0.1 0.138 0.183 0.201 0.216 0.228 0.2545
2005}00.011 0.044 0.099 0.153 0.166 0.208 0.223 0.24 0.2654
2006}00.01 0.049 0.117 0.144 0.172 0.181 0.22 0.237 0.246
2007}00.0124 0.0638 0.1214 0.1513 0.1634 0.1933 0.19 0.2232 0.2375
2008}00.0079 0.0535 0.1288 0.1796 0.1812 0.1832 0.2157 0.2161 0.2621
2009}00.0094 0.0514 0.144 0.1811 0.2158 0.2162 0.239 0.2428 0.2533
2010}00.007
2011}00.008 0.0413 0.1317 0.1593 0.1831 0.197 0.2167 0.2211 0.2319 
2012 0.0106 0.0463 0.1243 0.1706 0.1854 0.2058 0.2215 0.2387 0.2427
2013}00.0077 0.0468 0.1162 0.1563 0.1977 0.198 0.2154 0.2334 0.2378
2014}00.007
2015}00.008
12016}00.0071 0.0265 0.1267 0.1549 0.1803 0.2059 0.2151 0.2313 0.2299
2017}00.009 0.038 0.099 0.156 0.173 0.188 0.215 0.22 0.2305
2018}00.0054 0.0394 0.1085 0.1451 0.1838 0.1914 0.2151 0.2342 0.2456
2019}00.0064 0.0395 0.121 0.1465 0.1688 0.2036 0.2081 0.2195 0.2435
2020}00.004 0.0706 0.1303 0.1553 0.1707 0.1888 0.2135 0.219 0.2435
2021
```

Table 2.6.1.6 North Sea herring input data. Catch at age.


| 1990 | 1302800 | 3020000 | 899300 | 779100 | 861000 | 387500 | 80200 | 54400 | 40700 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 2386600 | 2138900 | 1132800 | 556700 | 548900 | 501200 | 205300 | 39300 | 38600 |
| 1992 | 10331300 | 2303100 | 1284900 | 442700 | 361500 | 360500 | 375600 | 152400 | 62500 |
| 1993 | 10265400 | 3826800 | 1176300 | 609000 | 305500 | 215600 | 226000 | 188000 | 129000 |
| 1994 | 4498900 | 1785200 | 1783200 | 489100 | 347600 | 109000 | 91800 | 76400 | 116600 |
| 1995 | 7438469 | 1664874 | 1444061 | 816703 | 231794 | 118536 | 55128 | 41409 | 98200 |
| 1996 | 2311226 | 1606393 | 642084 | 525601 | 172099 | 57586 | 22534 | 9264 | 21143 |
| 1997 | 431175 | 479702 | 687920 | 446909 | 284920 | 109178 | 31389 | 11832 | 24467 |
| 1998 | 259526 | 977680 | 1220105 | 537932 | 276333 | 175817 | 88927 | 15232 | 20550 |
| 1999 | 1566349 | 303520 | 616354 | 1058716 | 294066 | 135648 | 69299 | 27998 | 12228 |
| 2000 | 1105085 | 1171677 | 622853 | 463170 | 646814 | 213466 | 82481 | 35706 | 17087 |
| 2001 | 1832691 | 614469 | 842635 | 485628 | 278884 | 321743 | 90918 | 38252 | 20602 |
| 2002 | 730279 | 837557 | 579592 | 970577 | 292205 | 140701 | 174570 | 48908 | 43322 |
| 2003 | 369074 | 617021 | 1221992 | 529386 | 835552 | 244780 | 107751 | 123291 | 46715 |
| 2004 | 715597 | 206648 | 447918 | 1366155 | 543376 | 753231 | 169324 | 104945 | 97142 |
| 2005 | 1015554 | 715547 | 355453 | 485746 | 1318647 | 479961 | 576154 | 115212 | 146808 |
| 2006 | 878637 | 222111 | 401087 | 310602 | 464620 | 997782 | 252150 | 247042 | 106412 |
| 2007 | 621005 | 235553 | 219115 | 417452 | 285746 | 309454 | 629187 | 147830 | 156750 |
| 2008 | 798284 | 235022 | 331772 | 184771 | 199069 | 137529 | 118349 | 215542 | 117258 |
| 2009 | 650043 | 175923 | 259434 | 106738 | 93321 | 86137 | 37951 | 53130 | 143131 |
| 2010 | 574895 | 280728 | 293887 | 236804 | 126241 | 83893 | 61542 | 33305 | 113675 |
| 2011 | 778927 | 159504 | 367820 | 275016 | 218711 | 130127 | 62938 | 52081 | 125734 |
| 2012 | 773241 | 284906 | 455259 | 673465 | 404265 | 306234 | 152577 | 104461 | 205427 |
| 2013 | 461571 | 413000 | 324920 | 485185 | 571269 | 422765 | 327213 | 145330 | 313638 |
| 2014 | 1388685 | 370590 | 382990 | 386131 | 616563 | 487582 | 284562 | 191729 | 214513 |
| 2015 | 538228 | 394878 | 551802 | 247555 | 282813 | 461041 | 432034 | 271280 | 337811 |
| 2016 | 1583568 | 109135 | 625483 | 818585 | 293372 | 280451 | 367844 | 307347 | 359076 |
| 2017 | 462148 | 209356 | 108706 | 1079854 | 837770 | 222790 | 145511 | 175533 | 221296 |
| 2018 | 1337404 | 73260 | 206232 | 200527 | 1178604 | 848961 | 223637 | 144999 | 332482 |
| 2019 | 649197 | 172202 | 105505 | 307520 | 198443 | 730016 | 528327 | 133409 | 217686 |
| 2020 | 2127371 | 112088 | 549256 | 215250 | 291883 | 145821 | 515402 | 349435 | 176646 |
| 2021 | 534073 | 112447 | 407388 | 419770 | 179190 | 265946 | 118167 | 320792 | 291104 |

Table 2.6.1.7 North Sea herring input data. HERAS survey index at age.

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}2020 & 7130000 & 2736000 & 1156000 & 1371000 & 1674000 & 1666000 & 504000 & 352000 \\ 2021 & 5196000 & 2803000 & 1800000 & 773000 & 877000 & 915000 & 1021000 & 596000\end{array}$

Table 2.6.1.8 North Sea herring input data. IBTSO survey index at age.
Year Value
1992163
1993195.8
1994155.7
1995171.2
1996105.6
1997133.5
199851.72
1999255.2
2000110.6
2001341.5
2002150.7
200372.44
200443.11
200568.73
200667.28
200750.76
200839.49

2009 92.36
201056.53
201177.62
201265.1
201361.55
2014113.7
201521.76
201681.71
201727.83
2018102.2
201951.63
202062.39
202195.24
202247.8

Table 2.6.1.9 North Sea herring input data. IBTSQ1 survey index at age. This index is normalized Using the data from DATRAS following the method described in the stock annex

Year Value
19841052162
19851438636
19861654723
19873131778
19881487032
19891590320
1990748258
19911072699
19921115786
19931818610
19942693135
19952102680
19961232257
1997810884
19981446955
1999704653
20002045844
20011567634
20021728823
20031327143
2004762760
2005905552
2006725340
2007859629
2008713383
2009705677
2010856535
20111493897
2012780486
2013488298
20141620037
20151898773
2016547781
20171340025
2018667212
2019958262
20201128416
20211210091
2022630186

Table 2.6.1.10 North Sea herring input data. IBTSQ3 survey index at age. This index is normalized Using the data from DATRAS following the method described in the stock annex

| Year | 01 | 12 | 34 | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 199 | 727669 | 463847 | 311107 | 92832 | 24483 | 11615 |
| 1999 | 4630197 | 296398 | 208814 | 124016 | 51023 | 18470 |
| 2000 | 1766609 | 771168 | 264562 | 119618 | 70400 | 18078 |
| 2001 | 1866630 | 321968 | 221010 | 95618 | 42999 | 26435 |
| 2002 | 2218582 | 1964691 | 441635 | 348862 | 82343 | 329 |
| 2003 | 894458 | 478345 | 562350 | 150629 | 113711 | 19506 |
| 004 | 2134639 | 393302 | 288331 | 424585 | 97809 | 5175 |
| 005 | 1081092 | 387909 | 113423 | 83421 | 99818 | 31971 |
| 2006 | 1018646 | 292225 | 191814 | 78830 | 46527 | 539 |
| 2007 | 2221506 | 137614 | 94241 | 101137 | 50850 | 31366 |
| 2008 | 567239 | 155700 | 114972 | 60800 | 36224 | 19573 |
| 2009 | 2799984 | 204902 | 95646 | 64972 | 27949 | 12674 |
| 2010 | 1333303 | 512783 | 176419 | 83611 | 37534 | 15920 |
| 2011 | 824623 | 324510 | 176616 | 100918 | 51010 | 22176 |
| 2012 | 769386 | 212991 | 91167 | 68462 | 39084 | 22588 |
| 2013 | 1803294 | 268269 | 142736 | 125246 | 86498 | 4066 |
| 2014 | 7408163 | 446599 | 195329 | 90049 | 81147 | 46104 |
| 2015 | 517000 | 734980 | 353384 | 128905 | 68406 | 46758 |
| 2016 | 1700293 | 176710 | 368183 | 214059 | 69038 | 43720 |
| 2017 | 855004 | 280153 | 76755 | 198683 | 129606 | 41777 |
| 2018 | 1918412 | 326201 | 113774 | 48347 | 86827 | 39799 |
| 2019 | 1441818 | 136877 | 65129 | 41782 | 23046 | 36679 |
| 2020 | 1013689 | 316659 | 263582 | 74298 | 65070 | 26556 |
| 2021 | 771966 | 279941 | 106525 | 71502 | 24587 | 17024 |

Table 2.6.1.11 North Sea herring input data. LAI index from the IHLS larvae survey for the Southern North Sea component (Downs). The columns correspond to survey time windows: $0=16-31 \mathrm{Dec}, 1=01-15 \mathrm{Jan}, 2=16-31 \mathrm{Jan}$.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -------------------- |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 2 | 46 | 0 |
| 1973 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 1974 | -1 | 10 | -1 |
| 1975 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 1976 | -1 | 3 | -1 |
| 1977 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 1978 | 33 | 3 | -1 |
| 1979 | -1 | 111 | 89 |
| 1980 | 247 | 129 | 40 |
| 1981 | 1456 | -1 | 70 |
| 1982 | 710 | 275 | 54 |
| 1983 | 71 | 243 | 58 |
| 1984 | 523 | 185 | 39 |
| 1985 | 1851 | 407 | 38 |
| 1986 | 780 | 123 | 18 |
| 1987 | 934 | 297 | 146 |
| 1988 | 1679 | 162 | 112 |
| 1989 | 1514 | 2120 | 512 |
| 1990 | 2552 | 1204 | -1 |
| 1991 | 4400 | 873 | -1 |
| 1992 | 176 | 1616 | -1 |
| 1993 | 1358 | 1103 | -1 |
| 1994 | 537 | 595 | -1 |
| 1995 | 74 | 230 | 164 |
| 1996 | 337 | 675 | 691 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |


| 1997 | 9374 | 918 | 355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 1522 | 953 | 170 |
| 1999 | 804 | 1260 | 344 |
| 2000 | 7346 | 338 | 106 |
| 2001 | 971 | 5531 | 909 |
| 2002 | 2008 | 260 | 925 |
| 2003 | 12048 | 3109 | 1116 |
| 2004 | 6528 | 2052 | 4175 |
| 2005 | 498 | 3999 | 4822 |
| 2006 | 10858 | 2700 | 2106 |
| 2007 | 4443 | 2439 | 3854 |
| 2008 | 8426 | 2317 | 4008 |
| 2009 | 15295 | 14712 | 1689 |
| 2010 | 7493 | 13230 | 8073 |
| 2011 | 5461 | 6160 | 1215 |
| 2012 | 22768 | 11103 | 3285 |
| 2013 | 5 | 9314 | 2957 |
| 2014 | -1 | -1 | 1851 |
| 2015 | 2011 | 1200 | 645 |
| 2016 | 20710 | 1442 | 1545 |
| 2017 | 10553 | 5880 | -1 |
| 2018 | 1140 | -1 | -1 |
| 2019 | 14082 | 5258 | -1 |
| 2020 | 4077 | 9704 | -1 |
| 2021 | 8899 | 8764 | -1 |

Table 2.6.1.12 North Sea herring input data. LAI index from the IHLS larvae survey for the Central North Sea component (Banks). The columns correspond to survey time windows in: 0=01-15Sep, 1=16-30Sep, 2=01-15Oct, 3=16-31Oct.

| Year | 0 |  | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 165 | 88 | 1342 | 22 |
| 1973 | 492 | 830 | 1213 | 152 |
| 1974 | 81 | -1 11 | $1184-1$ | -1 |
| 1975 | -1 | 90 | 77 | 6 |
| 1976 | 64 | 108 | 010 | 10 |
| 1977 | 520 | 262 | 89 | 3 |
| 1978 | 1406 | 81 | 269 | 2 |
| 1979 | 662 | 131 | 507 | 7 |
| 1980 | 317 | 188 | 913 |  |
| 1981 | 903 | 235 | 119 | 0 |
| 1982 | 86 | 641 | 107723 | 23 |
| 1983 | 1459 | 281 | 63-1 | -1 |
| 1984 | 688 | 2404 | 824 | 433 |
| 1985 | 130 | 13039 | 1794 | 215 |
| 1986 | 1611 | 6112 | 2188 | 86 |
| 1987 | 799 | 4927 | 1992 | 2113 |
| 1988 | 5533 | 3808 | 81960 | 60206 |
| 1989 | 1442 | 5010 | 02364 | 64 |
| 1990 | 19965 | 1239 | 39975 | $75-1$ |
| 1991 | 4823 | 2110 | 101249 | -1 |
| 1992 | 10 | 165 | $163-1$ | -1 |
| 1993 | -1 | 685 | $85-1$ | -1 |
| 1994 | -1 | 1464 | $44-1$ | -1 |
| 1995 | -1 | -1 4 | $43-1$ |  |
| 1996 | -1 | 564 | -1 -1 |  |
| 1997 | -1 | -1 -1 | -1 -1 |  |
| 1998 | 205 | 66 | -1 -1 | -1 |
| 1999 | -1 | 134 | $181-1$ | -1 |
| 2000 | -1 | 376 | $\begin{array}{lll}-1 & -1\end{array}$ |  |


| 2001 | -1 | 1604 | -1 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | -1 | -1 | 3291 | -1 |
| 2003 | -1 | 12018 | 3277 | -1 |
| 2004 | -1 | 5545 | -1 | -1 |
| 2005 | -1 | 5614 | -1 | -1 |
| 2006 | -1 | 2259 | -1 | -1 |
| 2007 | -1 | 291 | -1 | -1 |
| 2008 | -1 | 11201 | -1 | -1 |
| 2009 | -1 | 4219 | -1 | -1 |
| 2010 | -1 | 2317 | -1 | -1 |
| 2011 | -1 | 17766 | -1 | -1 |
| 2012 | -1 | 517 | -1 | -1 |
| 2013 | -1 | 7354 | -1 | -1 |
| 2014 | -1 | 1149 | -1 | -1 |
| 2015 | -1 | 3424 | -1 | -1 |
| 2016 | -1 | 3288 | -1 | -1 |
| 2017 | -1 | 3965 | -1 | -1 |
| 2018 | -1 | 1509 | -1 | -1 |
| 2019 | -1 | 10605 | -1 | -1 |
| 2020 | -1 | 7663 | -1 | -1 |
| 2021 | -1 | 3282 | -1 | -1 |

Table 2.6.1.13 North Sea herring input data. LAI index from the IHLS larvae survey for the Bunchan component. The columns corresponds to survey time windows in: $0=01-15 \mathrm{Sep}, 1=16-30 \mathrm{Sep}$.

| Year | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -------------1 |  |  |
| 1972 | 30 | 0 |
| 1973 | 3 | 4 |
| 1974 | 101 | 284 |
| 1975 | 312 | -1 |
| 1976 | 0 | 1 |
| 1977 | 124 | 32 |
| 1978 | -1 | 162 |
| 1979 | 197 | 10 |
| 1980 | 21 | 1 |
| 1981 | 3 | 12 |
| 1982 | 340 | 257 |
| 1983 | 3647 | 768 |
| 1984 | 2327 | 1853 |
| 1985 | 2521 | 1812 |
| 1986 | 3278 | 341 |
| 1987 | 2551 | 670 |
| 1988 | 6812 | 5248 |
| 1989 | 5879 | 692 |
| 1990 | 4590 | 2045 |
| 1991 | -1 | 2032 |
| 1992 | -1 | 822 |
| 1993 | -1 | 174 |
| 1994 | -1 | -1 |
| 1995 | -1 | -1 |
| 1996 | -1 | 184 |
| 1997 | -1 | 23 |
| 1998 | -1 | 1490 |
| 1999 | -1 | 185 |
| 2000 | 28 | 155 |
| 2001 | -1 | 164 |
| 2002 | -1 | 1038 |
| 2003 | -1 | 2263 |
| 2004 | -1 | 3884 |
| 2005 | -1 | 1364 |
| 2006 | -1 | 280 |
| 2007 | -1 | 1304 |
| 2008 | -1 | 533 |
| 2009 | -1 | 4629 |
| 2010 | -1 | 1493 |
| 2011 | -1 | 2839 |
| 2012 | -1 | 5856 |
|  |  |  |


| 2013 | -1 | 8618 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | -1 | 5033 |
| 2015 | -1 | 3496 |
| 2016 | -1 | 3872 |
| 2017 | -1 | 5833 |
| 2018 | -1 | 1740 |
| 2019 | 5654 | 3794 |
| 2020 | -1 | 3418 |
| 2021 | -1 | 1413 |

Table 2.6.1.14 North Sea herring input data. LAI index from the IHLS larvae survey for the Orkney/Shetland component. The columns correspond to survey time windows in: $\mathbf{0 = 0 1 - 1 5 S e p , 1 = 1 6 - 3 0 S e p . ~}$

| Year | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -------------- |  |  |
| 1972 | 1133 | 4583 |
| 1973 | 2029 | 822 |
| 1974 | 758 | 421 |
| 1975 | 371 | 50 |
| 1976 | 545 | 81 |
| 1977 | 1133 | 221 |
| 1978 | 3047 | 50 |
| 1979 | 2882 | 2362 |
| 1980 | 3534 | 720 |
| 1981 | 3667 | 277 |
| 1982 | 2353 | 1116 |
| 1983 | 2579 | 812 |
| 1984 | 1795 | 1912 |
| 1985 | 5632 | 3432 |
| 1986 | 3529 | 1842 |
| 1987 | 7409 | 1848 |
| 1988 | 7538 | 8832 |
| 1989 | 11477 | 5725 |
| 1990 | -1 | 10144 |
| 1991 | 1021 | 2397 |
| 1992 | 189 | 4917 |
| 1993 | -1 | 66 |
| 1994 | 26 | 1179 |
| 1995 | -1 | 8688 |
| 1996 | -1 | 809 |
| 1997 | -1 | 3611 |
| 1998 | -1 | 8528 |
| 1999 | -1 | 4064 |
| 2000 | -1 | 3972 |
| 2001 | -1 | 11918 |
| 2002 | -1 | 6669 |
| 2003 | -1 | 3199 |
| 2004 | -1 | 7055 |
| 2005 | -1 | 3380 |
| 2006 | 6311 | 2312 |
| 2007 | -1 | 1753 |
| 2008 | 4978 | 6875 |
| 2010 | -1 | 7543 |
| -1 | 2362 |  |
| 1 | 3831 |  |


| 2012 | -1 | 19552 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | -1 | 21282 |
| 2014 | -1 | 6604 |
| 2015 | -1 | 9631 |
| 2016 | -1 | -1 |
| 2017 | -1 | -1 |
| 2018 | -1 | 102 |
| 2019 | 2488 | -1 |
| 2020 | -1 | 3208 |
| 2021 | -1 | 6651 |

Table 2.6.2.1 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. observation variance per data source and at age.
fleet age value CV lbnd ubnd

| catch unique | 0 | 0.426 | 0.1286 | 0.3311 | 0.5482 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| catch unique | 1 | 0.426 | 0.1286 | 0.3311 | 0.5482 |
| catch unique | 2 | 0.1285 | 0.1601 | 0.09391 | 0.1759 |
| catch unique | 3 | 0.1285 | 0.1601 | 0.09391 | 0.1759 |
| catch unique | 4 | 0.1285 | 0.1601 | 0.09391 | 0.1759 |
| catch unique | 5 | 0.1285 | 0.1601 | 0.09391 | 0.1759 |
| catch unique | 6 | 0.1285 | 0.1601 | 0.09391 | 0.1759 |
| catch unique | 7 | 0.1911 | 0.1889 | 0.132 | 0.2768 |
| catch unique | 8 | 0.1911 | 0.1889 | 0.132 | 0.2768 |
| HERAS | 1 | 0.468 | 0.1516 | 0.3477 | 0.6299 |
| HERAS | 2 | 0.2597 | 0.1486 | 0.1941 | 0.3475 |
| HERAS | 3 | 0.1579 | 0.1908 | 0.1086 | 0.2294 |
| HERAS | 4 | 0.2202 | 0.1021 | 0.1803 | 0.269 |
| HERAS | 5 | 0.2202 | 0.1021 | 0.1803 | 0.269 |
| HERAS | 6 | 0.2202 | 0.1021 | 0.1803 | 0.269 |
| HERAS | 7 | 0.2925 | 0.126 | 0.2285 | 0.3745 |
| HERAS | 8 | 0.2925 | 0.126 | 0.2285 | 0.3745 |
| IBTS-Q1 | 1 | 0.282 | 0.1482 | 0.2109 | 0.3771 |
| IBTSO | 0 | 0.348 | 0.163 | 0.2529 | 0.479 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 0 | 0.477 | 0.1333 | 0.3673 | 0.6194 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 1 | 0.477 | 0.1333 | 0.3673 | 0.6194 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 2 | 0.3176 | 0.09655 | 0.2628 | 0.3837 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 3 | 0.3176 | 0.09655 | 0.2628 | 0.3837 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 4 | 0.3176 | 0.09655 | 0.2628 | 0.3837 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 5 | 0.3176 | 0.09655 | 0.2628 | 0.3837 |
| LAI-ORSH | 0 | 1.183 | 0.04349 | 1.087 | 1.289 |
| LAI-BUN | 0 | 1.183 | 0.04349 | 1.087 | 1.289 |
| LAI-CNS | 0 | 1.183 | 0.04349 | 1.087 | 1.289 |
| LAI-SNS | 0 | 1.183 | 0.04349 | 1.087 | 1.289 |

Table 2.6.2.2 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. Catchabilities at age.

| fleet ag |  | value | CV lb | lbnd ubnd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERAS | 1 | 0.968 | 0.0667 | 0.8494 | 1.103 |
| HERAS | 2 | 0.968 | 0.0667 | 0.8494 | 1.103 |
| HERAS | 3 | 1.090 | 0.05781 | 0.973 | 1.221 |
| HERAS | 4 | 1.090 | 0.05781 | 0.973 | 1.221 |
| HERAS | 5 | 1.090 | 0.05781 | 0.973 | 1.221 |
| HERAS | 6 | 1.090 | 0.05781 | 0.973 | 1.221 |
| HERAS | 7 | 1.090 | 0.05781 | 0.973 | 1.221 |
| HERAS | 8 | 1.090 | 0.05781 | 0.973 | 1.221 |
| IBTS-Q1 | 1 | 0.1052 | 0.06811 | 0.09205 | 0.1202 |
| IBTSO | 03 | 3.346e-06 | 0.08816 | 2.815e-06 | 3.978e-06 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 0 | 0.09625 | 0.1183 | 0.07633 | 0.1214 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 1 | 0.04721 | 0.1143 | 0.03773 | 0.05907 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 2 | 0.0414 | 0.08635 | 0.03496 | 0.04904 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 3 | 0.03787 | 0.08574 | 0.03201 | 0.0448 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 4 | 0.03189 | 0.08713 | 0.02688 | 0.03782 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 5 | 0.02489 | 0.08829 | 0.02094 | 0.02959 |
| LAI-ORSH | 0 | 0.01649 | 90.1076 | 0.01335 | 0.02036 |
| LAI-BUN | 0 | 0.01649 | 0.1076 | 0.01335 | 0.02036 |
| LAI-CNS | 0 | 0.01649 | 0.1076 | 0.01335 | 0.02036 |
| LAI-SNS | 0 | 0.01649 | 0.1076 | 0.01335 | 0.02036 |

Table 2.6.2.3 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. Numbers at age.


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 57 | 26274204 | 8706425 |  | 1547580 | 778002 |  |  |  |
|  | 37 | 18959787 | 10050459 | 4592095 | 1338574 | 807741 | 390630 |  |  |
| 1989 | 2 | 12888931 | 6934775 | 5554904 | 2664252 |  | 404046 | 194334 | 90504 |
| 1990 | 27 |  | 44 |  | 3642063 | 15 | 376025 |  | 163601 |
| 199 | 29 | 105 | 4149228 |  |  | 2162410 | 885567 |  |  |
| 1992 | $5.2 \mathrm{e}+07$ | 028 | 450 | 1795 | 133 | 134 | 1302252 | 525554 | 246915 |
|  | 5468 | 16674 | 3745112 | 01 | 945253 |  | 744835 | 42 | 408663 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131883 |  |
| 1999 | 56283 |  | 5546 | 5401 | 16 | 7600 | 4392 | 228270 | 152 |
|  | 395 | 224 | 54 | 2934597 | 3177196 | 104 | 76998 | 272387 |  |
|  | 680 |  | 1125 | 354253 | 1718236 | 178252 | 557881 | 281973 |  |
| 200 | 356 | 29 | 7893 | 8118569 |  |  | 08 | 325320 |  |
|  | 20 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 574388 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 204 |  |  | 3854986 | 6490639 | 1774794 | 61 | 271667 | 408701 |
|  | 20 |  |  |  | 3997 | 4119341 | 865663 | 725118 | 284594 |
|  | 24 |  |  | 2900 | 1556 | 1374907 | 231 | 447827 | 466839 |
|  | 21 | 868 |  | 2108 | , |  | 8720241 | 1481509 |  |
|  | 354 | 85 | 5 | 2615 | 1422456 | 1132023 | 679065 | 634139 | 1623528 |
|  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2440 |  | 6649073 |  | 246 | 12 | 720823 |  |  |
|  | 2266 |  |  |  | 2625737 |  | 041 |  | 192615 |
|  | 30 | 84 | 45 | 408 | 343 | 19 | 119 |  |  |
|  | 486 | 136 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 130 | 19 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 235 | 5086 | 1169 | 68 | 18 | 12 | 68489 | 6948 | 715163 |
|  | 1415 | 86 |  | 8319615 | 81 | 1230894 | 38039 | 5 | 592739 |
| 2018 | 2412 |  | 4172 | 1916534 | 932 | 00924 | 808623 | 27 | 91 |
| 2019 | 21552 | 9393 | 2451 | 2687129 | 430 | 3721252 | 2062891 | 4361 | 575063 |
| 2020 | 233 | 88 | 56 | 1583206 | 181 | 104 | 2261921 | 10647 |  |
| 2021 | 18346 | 9669912 | 4556242 | 2793510 | 1035442 | 1208272 | 711464 | 1233519 | 851830 |
| 2022 | 1661967 | 7202844 | 5519567 | 2894602 | 168 | 618927 | 712826 | 430366 | 1067022 |

Table 2.6.2.4 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. Harvest at age.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1947 | 0.0001206 | 0.001042 | 0.03891 | 0.09561 | 0.1111 | 0.1482 | 0.2434 | 0.2704 | 0.2704 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | $9.736 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0.0008166 | 0.03313 | 0.08715 | 0.1057 | 0.1398 | 0.2099 | 0.2397 | 0.2397 |
| 1949 | 0.0002424 | 0.002305 | 0.04996 | 0.1097 | 0.1258 | 0.1592 | 0.2562 | 0.3052 | 0.3052 |
| 1950 | 0.0005909 | 0.006347 | 0.07413 | 0.1365 | 0.1486 | 0.1642 | 0.2185 | 0.2374 | 0.2374 |
| 1951 | 0.001797 | 0.02248 | 0.1299 | 0.2017 | 0.2129 | 0.2094 | 0.2351 | 0.2272 | 0.2272 |
| 1952 | 0.003093 | 0.04168 | 0.1607 | 0.211 | 0.2196 | 0.2257 | 0.2829 | 0.3073 | 0.3073 |
| 1953 | 0.004633 | 0.06601 | 0.1906 | 0.2331 | 0.2279 | 0.2338 | 0.2827 | 0.2987 | 0.2987 |
| 1954 | 0.006567 | 0.1009 | 0.2341 | 0.2751 | 0.2575 | 0.272 | 0.3645 | 0.3791 | 0.3791 |
| 1955 | 0.007049 | 0.1204 | 0.2509 | 0.2664 | 0.2351 | 0.24 | 0.2699 | 0.2344 | 0.2344 |
| 1956 | 0.007242 | 0.1352 | 0.2753 | 0.2687 | 0.2291 | 0.2312 | 0.2458 | 0.2389 | 0.2389 |
| 1957 | 0.008021 | 0.1485 | 0.286 | 0.2765 | 0.2419 | 0.2609 | 0.2859 | 0.2725 | 0.2725 |
| 1958 | 0.008706 | 0.1502 | 0.2943 | 0.2765 | 0.2306 | 0.2369 | 0.204 | 0.173 | 0.173 |
| 1959 | 0.01471 | 0.2124 | 0.3497 | 0.3145 | 0.27 | 0.2705 | 0.291 | 0.2882 | 0.2882 |
| 1960 | 0.01674 | 0.191 | 0.3089 | 0.2577 | 0.215 | 0.2119 | 0.2385 | 0.2688 | 0.2688 |
| 1961 | 0.01917 | 0.1963 | 0.3272 | 0.294 | 0.2545 | 0.2406 | 0.2528 | 0.2375 | 0.2375 |
| 1962 | 0.01236 | 0.131 | 0.2748 | 0.3155 | 0.3005 | 0.306 | 0.3768 | 0.3493 | 0.3493 |
| 1963 | 0.01233 | 0.1166 | 0.2353 | 0.2256 | 0.1804 | 0.1694 | 0.131 | 0.144 | 0.144 |
| 1964 | 0.01852 | 0.1941 | 0.3409 | 0.3404 | 0.288 | 0.2733 | 0.2255 | 0.2171 | 0.2171 |
| 1965 | 0.02425 | 0.2885 | 0.5223 | 0.5824 | 0.5233 | 0.5198 | 0.5044 | 0.5123 | 0.5123 |
| 1966 | 0.0245 | 0.2534 | 0.4919 | 0.5609 | 0.4967 | 0.5119 | 0.4133 | 0.5122 | 0.5122 |
| 1967 | 0.02927 | 0.2897 | 0.5693 | 0.7359 | 0.6712 | 0.7091 | 0.7666 | 0.9543 | 0.9543 |
| 1968 | 0.04943 | 0.535 | 0.9886 | 1.287 | 1.002 | 0.9658 | 1.153 | 1.216 | 1.216 |
| 1969 | 0.02798 | 0.2983 | 0.6933 | 0.8832 | 0.8042 | 0.8527 | 1.191 | 1.068 | 1.068 |
| 1970 | 0.04683 | 0.4224 | 0.8143 | 1.019 | 0.9319 | 0.8556 | 1.178 | 0.9108 | 0.9108 |
| 1971 | 0.06892 | 0.5655 | 0.8859 | 1.088 | 1.073 | 1.133 | 2.916 | 1.722 | 1.722 |
| 1972 | 0.0689 | 0.4572 | 0.6979 | 0.7291 | 0.6012 | 0.5316 | 0.5386 | 0.318 | 0.318 |



Table 2.6.2.5 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. Analytical retrospective (Mohn's Rho).

| year | ssb | fbar | rec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $------------------------------~$ |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 12.91 | -14.32 | 14.82 |
| 2012 | 22.71 | -29.56 | 26.8 |
| 2013 | 20.77 | -27.18 | 18.02 |
| 2014 | 13.21 | -16.09 | 5.518 |
| 2015 | 11.72 | -12.95 | 3.548 |
| 2016 | 10.13 | -10.44 | -20.31 |
| 2017 | 18.29 | -25.61 | -4.868 |
| 2018 | 11.12 | -13.43 | -13.14 |
| 2019 | 4.783 | -7.027 | -12.93 |
| 2020 | -0.4952 | -0.4827 | -10.37 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| av_5y | 7.305 | -9.498 | -10.27 |

## Table 2.6.2.6 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. Assessment summary.

Year Rec Rec_lo Rec_hi TSB TSB_lo TSB_hi SSB SSB_lo SSB_hi Catch Catch_lo Catch_hi Fbar Fbar_lo Fbar_hi Landings SOP
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1947 & 34933554 & 19714707 & 61900650 & 8576788 & 6512605 & 11295219 & 5285579 & 3810441 & 7331788 & 851394 & 730285 & 992587 & 0.1275 & 0.08932 & 0.1819 & 581760 & 1.461\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1948 & 33243309 & 19786422 & 55852320 & 7388921 & 5652180 & 9659309 & 4498149 & 3272582 & 6182684 & 660189 & 575156 & 757795 & 0.1151 & 0.08188 & 0.1619 & 502100 & 1.333\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1949 & 27876772 & 16764967 & 46353471 & 6810234 & 5282618 & 8779603 & 4068639 & 2993728 & 5529503 & 724543 & 631895 & 830775 & 0.1402 & 0.1008 & 0.1949 & 508500 & 1.45\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1950 & 39549436 & 24255781 & 64485983 & 6431334 & 5067687 & 8161919 & 3813584 & 2861275 & 5082848 & 648230 & 576651 & 728695 & 0.1484 & 0.1095 & 0.2012 & 491700 & 1.307\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1951 & 38332357 & 23699211 & 6.2 e+07 & 6287798 & 5037726 & 7848067 & 3376462 & 2565481 & 4443804 & 775407 & 694440 & 865815 & 0.1978 & 0.1497 & 0.2613 & 600400 & 1.324\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1952 & 38183068 & 23776916 & 61317735 & 6040922 & 4875702 & 7484612 & 3193191 & 2444769 & 4170729 & 835136 & 750997 & 928701 & 0.22 & 0.1673 & 0.2893 & 664400 & 1.272\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll} \\ 1953 & 43326920 & 27815630 & 67488027 & 5816252 & 4715276 & 7174296 & 2960946 & 2271310 & 3859977 & 836261 & 751752 & 930269 & 0.2336 & 0.1785 & 0.3059 & 698500 & 1.198\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1954 & 40294149 & 25958593 & 62546474 & 5670449 & 4611746 & 6972194 & 2705410 & 2062689 & 3548400 & 948972 & 847912 & 1062077 & 0.2806 & 0.213 & 0.3697 & 762900 & 1.251\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1955 & 34319467 & 22244721 & 52948552 & 5414280 & 4398895 & 6664042 & 2715493 & 2080562 & 3544188 & 844032 & 747356 & 953214 & 0.2525 & 0.1922 & 0.3316 & 806400 & 1.06\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1956 & 25365753 & 16429985 & 39161412 & 5052878 & 4117322 & 6201015 & 2622714 & 2013775 & 3415790 & 832719 & 737944 & 939667 & 0.25 & 0.1914 & 0.3266 & 675200 & 1.271\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}1957 & 57941798 & 37216611 & 90208427 & 4947768 & 4044996 & 6052021 & 2376734 & 1824592 & 3095960 & 785195 & 700322 & 880352 & 0.2702 & 0.2065 & 0.3536 & 682900 & 1.158\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1958 & 24823836 & 16214946 & 3.8 e+07 & 4951743 & 4030397 & 6083707 & 2017862 & 1550502 & 2626096 & 733374 & 623420 & 862722 & 0.2485 & 0.1916 & 0.3223 & 670500 & 1.167\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1959 & 28315691 & 18005602 & 44529385 & 5522135 & 4541749 & 6714148 & 2920802 & 2258668 & 3777042 & 1166274 & 1002624 & 1356635 & 0.2991 & 0.2311 & 0.3872 & 784500 & 1.519\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1962 & 28426460 & 18682408 & 43252649 & 4467036 & 3710703 & 5377529 & 1768353 & 1374072 & 2275770 & 727198 & 629814 & 839640 & 0.3147 & 0.2482 & 0.3991 & 627800 & 1.171\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}1963 & 34277972 & 22650190 & 51875033 & 5164800 & 4320178 & 6174551 & 2784064 & 2229825 & 3476064 & 595439 & 509533 & 695828 & 0.1884 & 0.1521 & 0.2333 & 716000 & 0.8602\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1964 & 34446126 & 22907288 & 51797295 & 5109984 & 4419237 & 5908697 & 2515254 & 2081115 & 3039959 & 902315 & 783335 & 1039368 & 0.2936 & 0.2443 & 0.3529 & 871200 & 1.066\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1965 & 17177153 & 11414094 & 25850024 & 4607510 & 4067501 & 5219213 & 1989648 & 1675745 & 2362351 & 1299992 & 1140647 & 1481596 & 0.5304 & 0.4485 & 0.6274 & 1168800 & 1.15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1966 & 18451668 & 12338825 & 27592908 & 3457613 & 3065711 & 3899615 & 1592304 & 1351658 & 1875794 & 933131 & 828545 & 1050920 & 0.4949 & 0.4219 & 0.5806 & 895500 & 1.071\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}1967 & 25572686 & 17013798 & 38437171 & 2678503 & 2387164 & 3005398 & 957998 & 822337 & 1116038 & 836664 & 742667 & 942559 & 0.6904 & 0.5973 & 0.7981 & 695500 & 1.176\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1968 & 21982231 & 14748061 & 32764882 & 2266832 & 1989204 & 2583208 & 523533 & 448185 & 611548 & 906941 & 774428 & 1062128 & 1.079 & 0.9505 & 1.225 & 717800 & 1.255\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1969 & 12706227 & 8408837 & 19199824 & 1688584 & 1457715 & 1956018 & 478525 & 392552 & 583327 & 503434 & 427093 & 593421 & 0.8848 & 0.7722 & 1.014 & 546700 & 0.9674\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1970 & 21921266 & 14512163 & 33113043 & 1660625 & 1440182 & 1914810 & 455974 & 373764 & 556266 & 548051 & 468827 & 640661 & 0.9598 & 0.8427 & 1.093 & 563100 & 0.9657\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1971 & 17176447 & 11500502 & 25653692 & 1468756 & 1248833 & 1727408 & 286537 & 236666 & 346916 & 525590 & 424540 & 650693 & 1.419 & 1.256 & 1.604 & 520100 & 1.075\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1972 & 12632049 & 8396307 & 1.9 e+07 & 1321327 & 1133850 & 1539802 & 328789 & 271220 & 398579 & 392358 & 317543 & 484799 & 0.6197 & 0.5359 & 0.7166 & 497500 & 0.9197\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1973 & 6847350 & 4564992 & 10270819 & 1105924 & 966310 & 1265710 & 279135 & 232988 & 334422 & 444151 & 371972 & 530336 & 0.9461 & 0.8306 & 1.078 & 484000 & 0.9575\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1974 & 10823529 & 7100393 & 16498915 & 776456 & 674870 & 893334 & 191486 & 160775 & 228064 & 271535 & 232284 & 317417 & 0.9044 & 0.7914 & 1.034 & 275100 & 0.968\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1975 & 2561527 & 1667370 & 3935192 & 611797 & 511176 & 732223 & 105622 & 87307 & 127779 & 269111 & 213655 & 338960 & 1.189 & 1.025 & 1.379 & 312800 & 0.9343\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1976 & 3325786 & 2097481 & 5273397 & 450493 & 375936 & 539836 & 143885 & 109186 & 189611 & 158173 & 133100 & 187968 & 0.8738 & 0.6841 & 1.116 & 174800 & 0.953\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1977 & 4383451 & 2700203 & 7116001 & 317785 & 250486 & 403165 & 109516 & 79724 & 150441 & 51938 & 43857 & 61509 & 0.3322 & 0.2424 & 0.4553 & 46000 & 1.198\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1978 & 4276395 & 2606804 & 7015316 & 377528 & 289078 & 493041 & 136468 & 100197 & 185870 & 45596 & 26303 & 79039 & 0.2279 & 0.143 & 0.3632 & 11000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1979 & 7834683 & 4951098 & 12397706 & 496249 & 394135 & 624820 & 186007 & 142742 & 242387 & 59108 & 33509 & 104261 & 0.1877 & 0.1165 & 0.3023 & 25100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1980 & 12618730 & 8456379 & 18829852 & 667953 & 548659 & 813183 & 209548 & 167415 & 262285 & 80070 & 62695 & 102261 & 0.1661 & 0.1317 & 0.2096 & 70764 & 1.094\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1981 & 27336674 & 18395329 & 40624103 & 1090375 & 891211 & 1334047 & 269982 & 216354 & 336903 & 147151 & 113020 & 191589 & 0.2524 & 0.2014 & 0.3161 & 174879 & 1.008\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1982 & 46487835 & 31348781 & 68937891 & 1706265 & 1384933 & 2102151 & 383091 & 310940 & 471984 & 238674 & 173599 & 328141 & 0.192 & 0.1558 & 0.2366 & 275079 & 0.9786\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1983 & 46119251 & 31793569 & 66899860 & 2347934 & 1949408 & 2827931 & 547774 & 447921 & 669888 & 383898 & 285267 & 516632 & 0.271 & 0.2234 & 0.3287 & 387202 & 1.077\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1984 & 46255876 & 31962845 & 66940414 & 3114638 & 2648438 & 3662903 & 901656 & 736690 & 1103562 & 477340 & 386744 & 589158 & 0.3542 & 0.2949 & 0.4253 & 428631 & 1.054\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}1985 & 55006798 & 37917617 & 79797942 & 3548570 & 3047802 & 4131616 & 989672 & 817413 & 1198233 & 636843 & 541921 & 748392 & 0.4517 & 0.3769 & 0.5412 & 613780 & 1.042\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1986 & 66844177 & 45922405 & 97297692 & 3955160 & 3376781 & 4632604 & 1029021 & 855136 & 1238263 & 716702 & 577083 & 890100 & 0.4171 & 0.3477 & 0.5003 & 671488 & 1.137\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1987 & 57661607 & 39673313 & 83805981 & 3942296 & 3392562 & 4581111 & 1207748 & 1004741 & 1451771 & 764493 & 627288 & 931709 & 0.3962 & 0.3319 & 0.4728 & 792058 & 1.017\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1988 & 37652038 & 25974716 & 54579076 & 3826700 & 3332815 & 4393772 & 1541445 & 1287040 & 1846136 & 879558 & 723253 & 1069643 & 0.3824 & 0.3223 & 0.4539 & 887686 & 1.164\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1989 & 29611643 & 20436111 & 42906861 & 3469840 & 3077328 & 3912418 & 1598124 & 1370868 & 1863053 & 808260 & 697083 & 937170 & 0.3706 & 0.3157 & 0.435 & 787899 & 1.034\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1990 & 27465205 & 18894393 & 39923881 & 3469308 & 3075697 & 3913292 & 1748337 & 1503453 & 2033109 & 632743 & 550472 & 727310 & 0.2895 & 0.2454 & 0.3414 & 645229 & 1.052\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1991 & 29856193 & 20572305 & 43329721 & 3332392 & 2958663 & 3753331 & 1551746 & 1339736 & 1797306 & 683584 & 587303 & 795650 & 0.3129 & 0.2658 & 0.3683 & 658008 & 1.02\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1992 & 5.2 e+07 & 37359725 & 72383331 & 3303140 & 2925184 & 3729931 & 1180619 & 1016213 & 1371624 & 707534 & 603801 & 829089 & 0.3728 & 0.3164 & 0.4393 & 716799 & 0.995\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1993 & 54689598 & 39114535 & 76466515 & 3070263 & 2684876 & 3510969 & 839871 & 715566 & 985770 & 708460 & 596273 & 841754 & 0.4367 & 0.3692 & 0.5164 & 671397 & 1.023\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1994 & 42327104 & 30161861 & 59398980 & 2962976 & 2559298 & 3430326 & 892915 & 759487 & 1049783 & 713172 & 574512 & 885298 & 0.4335 & 0.3663 & 0.513 & 568234 & 1.05\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1995 & 43745924 & 31080084 & 61573383 & 2787853 & 2413852 & 3219801 & 924912 & 780587 & 1095922 & 612227 & 518744 & 722558 & 0.4027 & 0.3372 & 0.4811 & 579371 & 1.008\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1996 & 35378362 & 25205039 & 49657868 & 2742054 & 2357713 & 3189049 & 1085584 & 917372 & 1284639 & 267798 & 233000 & 307791 & 0.1983 & 0.1646 & 0.2388 & 275098 & 0.9987\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1997 & 28927930 & 20553933 & 40713625 & 2831966 & 2452377 & 3270310 & 1252705 & 1063560 & 1475489 & 275865 & 243199 & 312919 & 0.1869 & 0.1555 & 0.2245 & 264313 & 1.001\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1998 & 18436245 & 13370771 & 25420760 & 3112829 & 2716010 & 3567625 & 1432476 & 1227208 & 1672079 & 377052 & 333100 & 426804 & 0.2259 & 0.1886 & 0.2707 & 391628 & 1.002\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1999 & 56283952 & 40815555 & 77614606 & 3164390 & 2774768 & 3608721 & 1530535 & 1311863 & 1785656 & 353352 & 312772 & 399197 & 0.2059 & 0.1728 & 0.2454 & 363163 & 1\end{array}$ 2000395465822886052954189309377156832831554332639155247313318801809602381332 2001680686604906531094432143424271636939844872962194713616717442267896372273 $20023567358925885695491624785065178439727458345312406200 \quad 20651512803571395188$ $200320127944146662992762347453397944653374 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll} & 6127469 & 2368340 & 2045541 & 2742078 & 484773\end{array}$ 200423308892169446973206339046752904120377 5304936 2334587 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2005 & 20454449 & 14975215 & 27938463 & 3873255 & 3432543 & 4370551 & 2108713 & 1814999 & 2449957 & 663286\end{array}$ 20062076342815148108284603163255288288323713675348172208214845141997668511608 2007245913281779853333976587271690523985483077517136988711770151594364398270 20082149876515520784297792232757036241201931514061461007125723716978031257392 $20093547526325650484490631803220317 \quad 2802121 \quad 370092618086261553415 \quad 2105767165536$ 201027173952197350173741692638545173357642 4424921 $19206661645128 \quad 2242352 \quad 186468$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}2011 & 24406725 & 17795559 & 33473982 & 3853478 & 3382970 & 4389425 & 2257511 & 1958276 & 2602471 & 228763\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}2012 & 22665108 & 16510193 & 31114543 & 3778821 & 3339167 & 4276361 & 2303866 & 2 e+06 & 2654129 & 431402\end{array}$
 2014486164273486744067786939392059434700734429607208331118091652398999507606 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}2015 & 13098673 & 9423319 & 18207517 & 4102674 & 3596183 & 4680501 & 1936797 & 1678528 & 2234804 & 486387\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}2016 & 23503414 & 17105641 & 32294052 & 4060267 & 3554844 & 4637551 & 2232275 & 1923541 & 2590560 & 550065\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}2017 & 14150971 & 10235594 & 19564080 & 3525551 & 3093115 & 4018444 & 2064512 & 1770303 & 2407617 & 466630\end{array}$ $20182412400817514666332274533347823 \quad 2947549380245318526871584320 \quad 2166513550382$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}2019 & 21552500 & 15552764 & 29866735 & 2853541 & 2514411 & 3238412 & 1589952 & 1361873 & 1856230 & 427794\end{array}$ $202023368085166362123282402127642242419085 \quad 3158605149991212800281757567415594$ $202118346146125421762683594025735072205925 \quad 3002340135280911290111620970360261$ 202216619677909516930369274244479519438953074767133403410099131762178330994
$\begin{array}{llllllll}337657 & 430657 & 0.214 & 0.1793 & 0.2553 & 388157 & 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}330833 & 418902 & 0.1806 & 0.1512 & 0.2158 & 374065 & 0.990\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}350972 & 444973 & 0.1689 & 0.1415 & 0.2016 & 394709 & 0.9974\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}434072 & 541395 & 0.1944 & 0.1634 & 0.2312 & 482281 & 1.015\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}528307 & 655574 & 0.2424 & 0.2034 & 0.2889 & 587698 & 0.9985\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}595140 & 739236 & 0.2905 & 0.2444 & 0.3452 & 663813 & 1.003\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}459065 & 570166 & 0.2493 & 0.2095 & 0.2968 & 514597 & 0.995\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}357308 & 443928 & 0.2266 & 0.1897 & 0.2708 & 406482 & 1.006\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}230133 & 287880 & 0.1366 & 0.1144 & 0.1631 & 257870 & 1.004\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}147955 & 185207 & 0.0717 & 0.05979 & 0.08597 & 168443 & 1.002\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}166989 & 208219 & 0.07783 & 0.06506 & 0.09311 & 187611 & 1.003\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}165830 & 254252 & 0.1007 & 0.08471 & 0.1197 & 226478 & 0.9938\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}388561 & 478966 & 0.182 & 0.1534 & 0.216 & 434710 & 1.011\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}449764 & 552815 & 0.2147 & 0.1811 & 0.2547 & 511416 & 1.001\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}457758 & 562884 & 0.2073 & 0.1747 & 0.2459 & 517356 & 1.003\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}437680 & 540513 & 0.214 & 0.1794 & 0.2553 & 494099 & 1.002\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}495669 & 610431 & 0.2327 & 0.1949 & 0.2777 & 563610 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}416208 & 523162 & 0.1934 & 0.1624 & 0.2303 & 498437 & 1.001\end{array}$ $488579620001 \quad 0.2203 \quad 0.1849$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}381970 & 479115 & 0.1886 & 0.1575 & 0.2258 & 442138 & 1.002\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}371484 & 464941 & 0.1964 & 0.1636 & 0.2357 & 426900 & 1.003\end{array}$ $3197304059310.19790 .1608 \quad 0.2436 \quad 3653561$ $\begin{array}{lllll}181739 & 602826 & 0.198 & 0.1027 & 0.3817\end{array}$

## Table 2.6.2.7 North Sea herring single fleet assessment. SAM model control object.

```
An object of class "FLSAM.control"
Slot "name":
[1] "North Sea Herring"
Slot "desc":
[1] "Imported from a VPA file. ( ./bootstrap/data/index.txt ). Mon May 02 09:53:59 2022"
Slot "range":
    min maxplusgroup minyear maxyear minfbar maxfbar
    0
Slot "fleets":
catch unique HERAS IBTS-Q1 IBTSO IBTS-Q3 LAI-ORSH
    0
    LAI-BUN LAI-CNS LAI-SNS
        6 6 6
Slot "plus.group":
plusgroup
    TRUE
Slot "states":
        age
fleet 012345678
    catch unique 0 1 2 3 45677
    HERAS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    IBTS-Q1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    IBTSO -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    IBTS-Q3 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    LAI-ORSH -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    LAI-BUN -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    LAI-CNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    LAI-SNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "logN.vars":
012345678
0111111111
Slot "logP.vars":
[1]012
Slot "catchabilities":
        age
fleet 012345678
    catch unique -1-1-1-1-1-1-1 -1-1
    HERAS -1 11222 2 2 2
    IBTS-Q1 -1 3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    IBTSO 0-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    IBTS-Q3 4 5 6 7 8 9-1-1-1
    LAI-ORSH 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
    LAI-BUN 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
```

```
LAI-CNS 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
```

Slot "power.law.exps":
age
fleet $\quad 012345678$
catch unique -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
HERAS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "f.vars":
age
fleet $\quad 012345678$
catch unique 001111222
HERAS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "obs.vars":
age
fleet 012345678
catch unique 001111122
HERAS -134566677
IBTS-Q1 -1 8-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO 9-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 1010111111 11-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH 12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN $\quad 12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1$
LAI-CNS $12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1$
LAI-SNS $12-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1$
Slot "srr":
[1] 0
Slot "scaleNoYears":
[1] 0
Slot "scaleYears":
[1] NA
Slot "scalePars":
age
years 012345678
Slot "cor.F":
[1] 2
Slot "cor.obs":
age
fleet $\quad 0-1$ 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8

# catch unique NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 

HERAS -1 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
IBTS-Q1 $\quad-1$-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1

IBTS-Q3 $0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0-1-1-1$
LAI-ORSH $\quad-1$-1
LAI-BUN $\quad-1$-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 - 1

LAI-SNS $\quad-1 \quad-1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}$

Slot "cor.obs.Flag":
[1] ID ID ID ID AR ID ID ID ID
Levels: ID AR US

Slot "biomassTreat":
[1] -1-1-1-1-1-1 -1-1-1
Slot "timeout":
[1] 3600

Slot "likFlag":
[1] LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN
Levels: LN ALN

Slot "fixVarToWeight":
[1] FALSE
Slot "simulate":
[1] FALSE
Slot "residuals":
[1] TRUE
Slot "sumFleets":
logical(0)

Table 2.6.3.1 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. observation variance per data source and at age.

| at age value |  | CV Ibnd ubnd |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| catch A | 11.223 | 0. | 0.864 | 1.73 |
|  | 20.1679 | 0.1157 | 38 | 0.2106 |
|  | 167 | 0.1157 | 0.1338 | 0.2106 |
|  | 0.1679 | 0.1157 | 0.1 |  |
| catch A | 0.1679 | 0.1157 | 0.1338 | 0.2106 |
| A | 0.1679 | 0.1157 | 0.1338 | 0.2106 |
|  | 0.176 | 0.2219 |  |  |
| catch A | 80.176 | 0.2219 | 0.1 |  |
| tch BD | 00.4282 | 837 | . 298 |  |
| ca | 0.3473 | 0.2 | 0.2022 | 2 |
| catch BD | . 428 | 0.09082 | 1.195 | 06 |
|  | . 428 | 0.09082 | 1.195 | . 706 |
| catch BD | 428 | 0.09082 | 1.195 | 706 |
| catch BD | 51.428 | 0.09082 | 1.195 | 06 |
|  | 0.762 | 0.1897 | 0.52 | 1.105 |
|  | 0.5315 | 0.1606 | 0.38 |  |
|  | 30.6717 | 0.09392 | 0.5588 | 0.807 |
|  | 0.6717 | 0.09392 | 588 |  |
| catch C | 50.6717 | 0.09 | 8 |  |
| catch C | 60.6717 | 0.09392 | 0.5588 |  |
|  | 9 | 0.151 | 0.3502 |  |
|  | 0.2 | 0.1518 | 0.186 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.1541 | 0.1976 | 0.1046 | 0.227 |
|  | 40.2267 | 102 | . 185 |  |
|  | 50.2267 | 2 | . 18 |  |
|  | 6 | 0.102 | 0.1856 |  |
|  | 70.3102 | 0.1215 | 0.2445 |  |
| HERAS | 80.3102 | 0.1215 | 0.2445 | 0.3935 |
| IBTS-Q1 | 0.2893 | 0.1443 | 0.2181 | 0.3838 |
| IBTSO | 00.3499 | 0.1616 | 0.2549 | 0.4803 |
| BTS-Q3 | 00.4802 | 0.1318 | 0.3709 | - |


| IBTS-Q3 | 1 | 0.4802 | 0.1318 | 0.3709 | 0.6217 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IBTS-Q3 | 2 | 0.3123 | 0.09664 | 0.2584 | 0.3774 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 3 | 0.3123 | 0.09664 | 0.2584 | 0.3774 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 4 | 0.3123 | 0.09664 | 0.2584 | 0.3774 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 5 | 0.3123 | 0.09664 | 0.2584 | 0.3774 |
| LAI-ORSH | 0 | 1.185 | 0.04353 | 1.088 | 1.291 |
| LAI-BUN | 0 | 1.185 | 0.04353 | 1.088 | 1.291 |
| LAI-CNS | 0 | 1.185 | 0.04353 | 1.088 | 1.291 |
| LAI-SNS | 0 | 1.185 | 0.04353 | 1.088 | 1.291 |

Table 2.6.3.2 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. Catchabilities at age.

| fleet age | value | CV | lbnd | ubnd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-------------------------------------------~$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| HERAS | 1 | 0.974 | 0.06472 | 0.858 | 1.106 |
| HERAS | 2 | 0.974 | 0.06472 | 0.858 | 1.106 |
| HERAS | 3 | 1.096 | 0.05653 | 0.981 | 1.224 |
| HERAS | 4 | 1.096 | 0.05653 | 0.981 | 1.224 |
| HERAS | 5 | 1.096 | 0.05653 | 0.981 | 1.224 |
| HERAS | 6 | 1.096 | 0.05653 | 0.981 | 1.224 |
| HERAS | 7 | 1.096 | 0.05653 | 0.981 | 1.224 |
| HERAS | 8 | 1.096 | 0.05653 | 0.981 | 1.224 |
| IBTS-Q1 | 1 | 0.1061 | 0.06743 | 0.093 | 0.1211 |
| IBTSO | 0 | $3.4 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 0.08689 | $2.867 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $4.031 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| IBTS-Q3 | 0 | 0.09727 | 0.1175 | 0.07725 | 0.1225 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 1 | 0.04763 | 0.1139 | 0.0381 | 0.05955 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 2 | 0.04176 | 0.08427 | 0.0354 | 0.04926 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 3 | 0.03804 | 0.0838 | 0.03228 | 0.04483 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 4 | 0.03183 | 0.08528 | 0.02693 | 0.03762 |
| IBTS-Q3 | 5 | 0.02509 | 0.08652 | 0.02118 | 0.02973 |
| LAI-ORSH | 0 | 0.01648 | 0.1074 | 0.01335 | 0.02034 |
| LAI-BUN | 0 | 0.01648 | 0.1074 | 0.01335 | 0.02034 |
| LAI-CNS | 0 | 0.01648 | 0.1074 | 0.01335 | 0.02034 |
| LAI-SNS | 0 | 0.01648 | 0.1074 | 0.01335 | 0.02034 |

Table 2.6.3.3 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. Numbers at age.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^3]| 1974 | 10626801 | 2775191 | 1545086 | 752559 | 267336 | 97046 | 40158113 | 1135753 | 5336 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 2595192 | 5059081 | 903094 | 438887 | 2298087 | 7960428 | 28455118 | 1867593 | 33 |
| 1976 | 3292902 | 880028 | 1766813 | 211617 | 4360 | 60425 | 692 | 27 |  |
| 1977 | 4042815 | 1371839 | 340732 | 59 | 5362025 | 2543018 | 18687490 | 9062283 |  |
| 1978 | 4535163 | 1714301 | 67 | 246346 | 252203 | 32794 | 1975102 | 0263356 | 56 |
| 1979 | 8428613 | 1724 | 834 | 393659 | 1839591 | 1283762 | 2215273 | 7379783 | 331 |
| 1980 | 1313 | 3299201 | 774788 | 468326 | 226857 | 151110 | 6869518 | 1807086 | 8698 |
| 1981 | 27450227 | 4886313 | 1682681 | 356634 | 229257 | 138319 | 114842 | 57962 | 146 |
| 82 | 46179507 | 8006515 | 2018527 | 1032261 | 207138 | 128644 | 78383 | 70529 | 50 |
| 1983 | 45190849 | 14309825 | 3299279 | 1128107 | 718755 | 129835 | 002 | 46 |  |
| 19 | 45580424 | 1282 | 6010621 | 1820908 | 877128 | 274300 | 81431 | , | 82659 |
| 1985 | 567 | 14511193 | 55 | 34 | 395011 | 357650 | 127032 | 4 | 75823 |
| 86 | 693 | 20573763 | 5333129 | 288 | 1544397 | 435509 | 168613 | 55044 | 1 |
| 87 | 60793072 | 26940860 | 8769729 | 2599526 | 1519937 | 7773014 | 4222983 | 76567 | 50915 |
| 988 | 38026105 | 20370553 | 10222666 | 4633033 | 13434343 | 79739214 | 391989 | 112821 | 67306 |
| 1989 | 30342238 | 12957247 | 6934689 | 5561702 | 2640442 | 2698753 | 3403776 | 195454 | 0599 |
| 1990 | 27295514 | 10224493 | 4464887 | 3938244 | 3588363 | 1534310 | 374933 | 3217499 | 162029 |
| 1991 | 2915 | 10377183 | 4003870 | 23 | 2308353 | 2136860 | 873027 | 212489 | 9 |
| 19 | 501 | 10021545 | 44 | 180 | 1324970 | 01323148 | 1271884 | 522898 | 244181 |
| 1993 | 52043143 | 15915540 | 3742534 | 2016902 | 2941601 | 721785 | 726571 | 628101 | 405593 |
| 199 | 41472045 | 15825546 | 5851877 | 1447285 | 838583 | 411246 | 341241 | 328396 | 463493 |
| 1995 | 42144693 | 13734935 | 6273150 | 2603126 | 6720603 | 364235 | 201727 | 168233 | 36 |
| 1996 | 33388610 | 13561510 | 5549514 | 3060 | 1115802 | 2342822 | 2156343 | 98241 | 07 |
| 199 | 280 | 12799161 | 6004862 | 308 | 1601461 | 1655322 | 2206763 | 96514 |  |
| 19 | 1854 | 11718955 | 8411975 | 3151426 | 1505249 | 9862461 | 1433443 | 133136 | 76 |
| 1999 | 57325166 | 815 | 5509842 | 5184407 | 1686532 | 777231 | 433239 | 235239 | 155952 |
| 2000 | 37429320 | 23385625 | 5369332 | 2976281 | 13029250 | 0980879 | 9480432 | 265422 | 219525 |
| 2001 | 69204784 | 14296436 | 11616089 | 3553038 | 1709986 | 1655709 | 09505698 | 273267 | 285010 |
| 2002 | 35081327 | 29902550 | 7611166 | 803 | 1933771 | 1949655 | 51017145 | 5305267 | 312130 |
| 003 | 1912 | 1365 | 17372256 | 44 | 5011228 | 1073689 | 89587083 | 83631754 | 328812 |
| 200 | 23203 | 7174352 | 6041317 | 10769701 | 13001723 | 3023204 | 569924 | 371540 | 485166 |
| 2005 | 20310298 | 9834 | 3661965 | 3919014 | 6449787 | 1779337 | 1643769 | 284944 | 414800 |
| 2006 | 20874443 | 717 | 4976327 | 2462757 | 2436192 | 4062207 | 876600 | 738159 | 296709 |
| 20 | 2517 | 75 | 3235 | 2813 | 1535664 | 1391711 | 12218910 | 0449686 | 479237 |
| 008 | 21984090 | 898 | 437 | 2123298 | 1670102 | 972559 | 851972 | 1408050 | 572281 |
| 2009 | 34450991 | 8771121 | 5268406 | 2667886 | 1412544 | 1095018 | 8661329 | 622572 | 1542317 |
| 2010 | 27158438 | 12676152 | 5536618 | 3810141 | 11938466 | 61055592 | 908716 | 6501809 | 1666071 |
| 2011 | 24342085 | 11082069 | 6666382 | 3568876 | 62450547 | 1245040 | 723495 | 5611245 | 1424802 |
| 2012 | 23086179 | 9132739 | 5909094 | 4887641 | 2660066 | 1704182 | 210710 | 479497 | 1158651 |
| 2013 | 31571200 | 8546778 | 4487891 | 4092999 | 3412174 | 1952820 | 1171666 | 6505382 | 1021916 |
| 201 | 4830 | 1372 | 5361500 | 31551 | 2357603 | 2293909 | 1236784 | 84689425 | 759765 |
| 2015 | 12656662 | 18828828 | 9498180 | 3067648 | 81974414 | 2066491 | 131373933 | 718136 | 818192 |
| 2016 | 22792622 | 4882009 | 11635360 | 6892883 | 1922687 | 1228474 | 1168492 | 704490 | 732921 |
| 2017 | 13604031 | 8501195 | 2496961 | 7980134 | 4833325 | 1288961 | 1628341 | 557159 | 612391 |
| 2018 | 23215739 | 5512549 | 4203423 | 1921539 | 5737006 | 3323673 | 3819068 | 413273 | 708399 |
| 2019 | 19791826 | 9130981 | 2375612 | 2708081 | 1448638 | 3538641 | 12001803 | 439935 | 578116 |
| 2020 | 23548414 | 8042883 | 5398558 | 1597030 | 1850789 | 1072051 | 12137581 | 11059337 | 510839 |
| 2021 | 18229682 | 9877931 | 4298044 | 2719718 | 1053321 | 1172985 | 712748 | 1176763 | 845096 |
| 2022 | 16404915 | 7196801 | 5653978 | 2714118 | 1626468 | 629993 | 691110 | 424975 | 1025744 |

Table 2.6.3.4 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. Harvest at age fleet A.

| Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -----------------------------------------------------------------1216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 0 | 0.002569 | 0.04853 | 0.1061 | 0.1216 | 0.1566 | 0.247 | 0.2767 | 0.2767 |  |  |
| 1948 | 0 | 0.002492 | 0.0461 | 0.1036 | 0.1205 | 0.1526 | 0.222 | 0.2507 | 0.2507 |  |  |
| 1949 | 0 | 0.002841 | 0.05685 | 0.1204 | 0.1404 | 0.1755 | 0.2779 | 0.3255 | 0.3255 |  |  |
| 1950 | 0 | 0.003362 | 0.07389 | 0.1399 | 0.1569 | 0.1779 | 0.2389 | 0.2586 | 0.2586 |  |  |
| 1951 | 0 | 0.004443 | 0.1135 | 0.1894 | 0.2045 | 0.2122 | 0.2509 | 0.2476 | 0.2476 |  |  |
| 1952 | 0 | 0.005123 | 0.1412 | 0.205 | 0.2161 | 0.231 | 0.3026 | 0.3308 | 0.3308 |  |  |
| 1953 | 0 | 0.005725 | 0.1672 | 0.2222 | 0.2197 | 0.2322 | 0.2922 | 0.3154 | 0.3154 |  |  |
| 1954 | 0 | 0.006715 | 0.2137 | 0.2662 | 0.2518 | 0.2689 | 0.371 | 0.3959 | 0.3959 |  |  |
| 1955 | 0 | 0.006943 | 0.2245 | 0.2524 | 0.224 | 0.2295 | 0.2587 | 0.2362 | 0.2362 |  |  |
| 1956 | 0 | 0.007618 | 0.2588 | 0.2661 | 0.2266 | 0.2281 | 0.2458 | 0.2421 | 0.2421 |  |  |
| 1957 | 0 | 0.007962 | 0.2766 | 0.2796 | 0.2415 | 0.2527 | 0.28 | 0.2703 | 0.2703 |  |  |
| 1958 | 0 | 0.00809 | 0.2832 | 0.2744 | 0.226 | 0.2254 | 0.1989 | 0.1709 | 0.1709 |  |  |
| 1959 | 0 | 0.008829 | 0.3241 | 0.3107 | 0.2644 | 0.2654 | 0.2922 | 0.2868 | 0.2868 |  |  |
| 1960 | 0 | 0.007879 | 0.2714 | 0.2524 | 0.2142 | 0.2162 | 0.2389 | 0.2647 | 0.2647 |  |  |

```
1961}0000.008322 0.2955 0.284 0.2433 0.2361 0.2417 0.2321 0.2321
1962}0000.008239 0.2906 0.3226 0.2936 0.2994 0.3565 0.341 0.341
1963 0 0.006642
1964}0
1965}0
1966}0000.01142 0.4796 0.5567 0.4882 0.4891 0.4262 0.5062 0.5062
1967 0}00.01291 0.5796 0.7334 0.6653 0.6865 0.7911 0.9434 0.9434
1968}0
1969 0
1970}00000.01589 0.7992 0.992 0.8901 0.8532 1.194 0.9142 0.9142
1971 0
1972}0000.01321 0.5989 0.68 0.571 0.5324 0.52 0.314 0.314 
1973 0 0.01675
1974}0
1975}0000.01858 1.019 1.272 1.114 1.215 1.323 1.603 1.603
```



```
1977 0 0.007451 0.2469 0.3678}00.3266 0.3818 0.2597 0.4116 0.4116
1978}0000.006319 0.1912 0.2636 0.2288 0.2508 0.1317 0.2202 0.2202
1979 0 0.005988
1980}0000.006018 0.1775 0.2156 0.167 0.1517 0.0516 0.08511 0.08511
1981}0000.006784 0.2138 0.2751 0.2516 0.2638 0.206 0.355 0.355
1982}0000.005911 0.1726 0.2204 0.1916 0.1747 0.1027 0.1476 0.1476 
1983 0 0.006661 0.2079
1984}0000.007494 0.2497 0.3407 0.3729 0.375 0.3795 0.4874 0.4874
1985}0000.008715 0.3158 0.4291 0.4773 0.4671 0.5182 0.5876 0.5876
1986}0000.00844 0.3007 0.3861 0.4383 0.4441 0.5175 0.5819 0.5819 
1987}0000.008108 0.2828 0.3447 0.4014 0.4116 0.4415 0.4536 0.4536
1988}0000.007817 0.2674 0.3162 0.3825 0.4052 0.4455 0.4676 0.4676
1989}0000.007921 0.2734 0.3097 0.3708 0.3848 0.4074 0.4227 0.4227
1990}0000.007351 0.2438 0.2604 0.2991 0.305 0.2865 0.3063 0.3063
1991 0 0.008273 0.2935}00.2984 0.3158 0.3009 0.2748 0.258 0.258
1992 0 0.008992 0.3347 0.3523 0.3745
1993 0}00.009888 0.3887 0.4384 0.4597 0.4051 0.4381 0.4162 0.4162
1994 0}00.009624 0.3737 0.465 0.4847 0.3983 0.3875 0.3365 0.3365
1995}0000.008131 0.2881 0.4032 0.4299 0.3869 0.3946 0.3285 0.3285
1996 0 0.004871 0.1302 0.1941 0.2063 0.1965
1997}0000.004309 0.1079 0.173 0.1873 0.1817 0.1412 0.109 0.109 
1998}0000.004968 0.1341 0.222 0.238 0.2356 0.2134 0.1385 0.1385
1999}000.004626 0.119 0.2125 0.2272 0.223 0.1844 0.114 0.114 
2000}0000.004374 0.1082 0.203 0.2312 0.2323 0.1911 0.1234 0.1234
2001}0000.003642 0.08092 0.1614 0.1998 0.2193 0.1942 0.1644 0.1644
2002 0 0.003279}0.06828 0.1388 0.1823 0.2089 0.1901 0.1693 0.1693
2003
2004 0 0.003116 0.06262 0.1434 0.2211 0.2965 0.3622 0.3206 0.3206
2005}0000.003458 0.07254 0.1625 0.2583 0.3524 0.5146 0.5452 0.5452
2006}000.003652 0.07814 0.1643 0.2484 0.3225 0.4386 0.5055 0.5055
2007}0000.003563 0.07423 0.1523 0.222 0.281 0.3633 0.4352 0.4352
2008}0000.003307 0.06533 0.1099 0.1464 0.1768 0.172 0.2165 0.2165
```



```
2010 0 0.002709 0.04774 0.07154 0.08484 0.09952 0.07032 0.08362 0.08362
2011
2012 0 0.003786 0.08042 0.1482}00.1869 0.2216 0.2378 0.2549 0.2549 
2013}0000.003498 0.07121 0.1465 0.2065 0.2642 0.34 0.3929 0.3929
2014}0000.003317 0.06679 0.1425 0.2064 0.2625 0.32 0.3877 0.3877
2015}0000.002876 0.05502 0.1242 0.1929 0.2727 0.3985 0.5475 0.5475 
2016}0000.002864 0.05596 0.14 0.2134 0.2944 0.4489 0.6665 0.6665
2017}0000.002517 0.04631 0.1278 0.1929 0.2468 0.3127 0.4641 0.4641
2018}0000.002702 0.05216 0.1412 0.218 0.2842 0.3806 0.5539 0.5539
2019}0000.002572 0.04865 0.1256 0.1804 0.2406 0.3196 0.4864 0.4864
2020}0000.003617 0.08261 0.1731 0.205 0.2361 0.2884 0.4635 0.4635
2021}0000.00398 0.09663 0.1948 0.219 0.2442 0.2448 0.4109 0.4109 
2022}0000.00398 0.09663 0.1948 0.219 0.2442 0.2448 0.4109 0.4109 
```

Table 2.6.3.5 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. Harvest at age combined fleet B-D.


| 2014 | 0.04587 | 0.01996 | 0.003135 | 0.000277 | 0.000277 | 0.000277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2015 | 0.06133 | 0.02184 | 0.002402 | 0.0001595 | 0.0001595 | 0.0001595 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0.07802 | 0.02451 | 0.002315 | 0.0001579 | 0.0001579 | 0.0001579 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017 | 0.0671 | 0.01677 | 0.00139 | $8.47 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.47 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.47 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 0.06789 | 0.01135 | 0.001016 | $9.063 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $9.063 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $9.063 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0.05849 | 0.007761 | 0.0009039 | 0.0001416 | 0.0001416 | 0.0001416 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0.06512 | 0.005598 | 0.00106 | 0.0002877 | 0.0002877 | 0.0002877 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0.06306 | 0.009093 | 0.001533 | 0.0004647 | 0.0004647 | 0.0004647 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2022 | 0.0631 | 0.0091 | 0.001533 | 0.0004648 | 0.0004648 | 0.0004648 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.6.3.6 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. Harvest at age fleet C.


| 2001 | 0 | 0.01532 | 0.01554 | 0.002516 | 0.001052 | 0.001052 | 0.001052 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2002 | 0 | 0.009766 | 0.01106 | 0.0008565 | 0.0003715 | 0.0003715 | 0.0003715 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2003 | 0 | 0.01693 | 0.0173 | 0.003825 | 0.001835 | 0.001835 | 0.001835 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2004 | 0 | 0.01685 | 0.01748 | 0.00392 | 0.001888 | 0.001888 | 0.001888 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2005 | 0 | 0.0171 | 0.01759 | 0.003803 | 0.001555 | 0.001555 | 0.001555 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2006 | 0 | 0.01432 | 0.01528 | 0.002428 | 0.0008904 | 0.0008904 | 0.0008904 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2007 | 0 | 0.01054 | 0.01203 | 0.001109 | 0.0003766 | 0.0003766 | 0.0003766 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2008 | 0 | 0.007676 | 0.0095 | 0.000553 | 0.0001817 | 0.0001817 | 0.0001817 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2009 | 0 | 0.00525 | 0.007154 | 0.0002441 | $8.59 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.59 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.59 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2010 | 0 | 0.004677 | 0.006669 | 0.0001998 | $6.709 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.709 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.709 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2011 | 0 | 0.00634 | 0.008766 | 0.0005363 | 0.0001714 | 0.0001714 | 0.0001714 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2012 | 0 | 0.006805 | 0.009463 | 0.0007347 | 0.0002318 | 0.0002318 | 0.0002318 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2013 | 0 | 0.006421 | 0.009278 | 0.0007343 | 0.0002139 | 0.0002139 | 0.0002139 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2014 | 0 | 0.006815 | 0.01004 | 0.001079 | 0.0003103 | 0.0003103 | 0.0003103 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2015 | 0 | 0.008908 | 0.01274 | 0.002698 | 0.0008476 | 0.0008476 | 0.0008476 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2016 | 0 | 0.00579 | 0.009205 | 0.001004 | 0.0003068 | 0.0003068 | 0.0003068 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2017 | 0 | 0.006693 | 0.01039 | 0.001505 | 0.0004417 | 0.0004417 | 0.0004417 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2018 | 0 | 0.005684 | 0.009259 | 0.001076 | 0.0002932 | 0.0002932 | 0.0002932 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2019 | 0 | 0.004511 | 0.007794 | 0.0006261 | 0.0001467 | 0.0001467 | 0.0001467 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2020 | 0 | 0.006705 | 0.01092 | 0.002064 | 0.0005449 | 0.0005449 | 0.0005449 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0.006896 | 0.01135 | 0.002483 | 0.000754 | 0.000754 | 0.000754 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2022 | 0 | 0.006897 | 0.01135 | 0.002484 | 0.0007542 | 0.0007542 | 0.0007542 | 0 | 0 |  |

# Table 2.6.3.7 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. Assessment summary. 

Year Rec Rec_lo Rec_hi TSB TSB_lo TSB_hi SSB SSB_lo SSB_hi Catch Catch_lo Catch_hi Fbar Fbar_lo Fbar_hi Landings
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1948 & 33922411 & 20543216 & 56015083 & 6761523 & 5333341 & 8572150 & 3961873 & 2975042 & 5276038 & 666626 & 579015 & 767493 & 0.1297 & 0.09565 & 0.1758 & 502100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1949 & 29597819 & 18081727 & 48448407 & 6409820 & 5111458 & 8037979 & 3712925 & 2822158 & 4884849 & 730549 & 634505 & 841131 & 0.1551 & 0.1153 & 0.2088 & 508500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1950 & 40627635 & 25311507 & 65211634 & 6214591 & 5012141 & 7705519 & 3587598 & 2771509 & 4643989 & 648487 & 570728 & 736839 & 0.1587 & 0.12 & 0.21 & 491700\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1951 & 39440118 & 24747664 & 62855343 & 6211033 & 5069713 & 7609292 & 3301723 & 2578337 & 4228065 & 751339 & 660716 & 854391 & 0.1958 & 0.1501 & 0.2553 & 600400\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}1952 & 39202102 & 24781685 & 62013731 & 6090145 & 4985950 & 7438877 & 3199364 & 2502030 & 4091049 & 837855 & 742819 & 945049 & 0.2212 & 0.17 & 0.2877 & 664400\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}1954 & 4 \mathrm{e}+07 & 26126913 & 61254368 & 5755651 & 4724400 & 7012004 & 2765201 & 2135657 & 3580321 & 953183 & 842371 & 1078571 & 0.2769 & 0.2109 & 0.3636 & 762900\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1955 & 34619911 & 22763194 & 52652463 & 5501984 & 4503231 & 6722246 & 2798729 & 2163949 & 3619717 & 841968 & 733879 & 965976 & 0.2408 & 0.1834 & 0.3161 & 806400\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1956 & 25968979 & 17059605 & 39531269 & 5085817 & 4176056 & 6193772 & 2641803 & 2044607 & 3413431 & 837557 & 731539 & 958939 & 0.2481 & 0.1897 & 0.3243 & 675200\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1957 & 62093137 & 40422899 & 95380532 & 5042123 & 4154980 & 6118682 & 2392825 & 1851678 & 3092120 & 800448 & 702187 & 912459 & 0.2693 & 0.2059 & 0.3521 & 682900\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1958 & 26115891 & 17252338 & 39533178 & 5036873 & 4129668 & 6143372 & 2017832 & 1563482 & 2604218 & 745782 & 628547 & 884884 & 0.2447 & 0.1881 & 0.3182 & 670500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}1959 & 28657528 & 18538553 & 44299784 & 5621867 & 4616942 & 6845526 & 2984292 & 2310032 & 3855356 & 1148571 & 963972 & 1368520 & 0.2946 & 0.2275 & 0.3815 & 784500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}1960 & 12186191 & 7886906 & 18829087 & 4736854 & 3906133 & 5744247 & 2601400 & 2026196 & 3339894 & 820539 & 702398 & 958551 & 0.2419 & 0.1875 & 0.3121 & 696200\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1961 & 55563221 & 36047405 & 85644764 & 4826076 & 4021122 & 5792166 & 2552188 & 2017234 & 3229007 & 733169 & 633660 & 848305 & 0.2631 & 0.2074 & 0.3338 & 696700\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1962 & 28262748 & 18770736 & 42554695 & 4525951 & 3780100 & 5418967 & 1773922 & 1390483 & 2263098 & 713231 & 616139 & 825622 & 0.3153 & 0.2487 & 0.3997 & 627800\end{array}$


 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1966 & 17969166 & 12186880 & 26494963 & 3463416 & 3059421 & 3920758 & 1600123 & 1353461 & 1891738 & 931170 & 812184 & 1067588 & 0.4918 & 0.4155 & 0.5822 & 895500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}1967 & 23777353 & 16145329 & 35017094 & 2667372 & 2376179 & 2994251 & 964368 & 824310 & 1128223 & 860297 & 751767 & 984494 & 0.6954 & 0.5962 & 0.8109 & 695500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1967 & 23777353 & 16145329 & 35017094 & 2667372 & 2376179 & 2994251 & 964368 & 824310 & 1128223 & 860297 & 751767 & 984494 & 0.6954 & 0.5962 & 0.8109 & 695500 \\ 1968 & 23298271 & 15784272 & 34389261 & 2202290 & 1935132 & 2506330 & 511241 & 436339 & 599001 & 819977 & 707699 & 950069 & 1.078 & 0.9426 & 1.233 & 717800\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1969 & 12696599 & 8509512 & 18943933 & 1722488 & 1480694 & 2003766 & 467714 & 379942 & 575762 & 538552 & 450039 & 644474 & 0.9113 & 0.7905 & 1.051 & 546700\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1970 & 23081631 & 15495273 & 34382206 & 1672354 & 1440617 & 1941369 & 457474 & 370264 & 565224 & 534022 & 449851 & 633942 & 0.9502 & 0.8271 & 1.092 & 563100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1971 & 18967092 & 12805037 & 28094460 & 1533986 & 1303646 & 1805024 & 287656 & 235431 & 351466 & 549383 & 442878 & 681502 & 1.435 & 1.267 & 1.624 & 520100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1972 & 12824572 & 8651831 & 19009807 & 1374682 & 1170496 & 1614487 & 345782 & 281208 & 425183 & 425157 & 335495 & 538781 & 0.5861 & 0.4998 & 0.6873 & 497500\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1973 & 6901059 & 4669081 & 10199997 & 1112375 & 965289 & 1281873 & 282030 & 233238 & 341028 & 447492 & 371216 & 539439 & 0.9359 & 0.8138 & 1.076 & 484000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1974 & 10626801 & 7074419 & 15962994 & 777312 & 674640 & 895609 & 192645 & 160441 & 231313 & 275134 & 233389 & 324346 & 0.8967 & 0.7775 & 1.034 & 275100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1975 & 2595192 & 1718950 & 3918101 & 597433 & 501848 & 711225 & 103686 & 85384 & 125911 & 248600 & 199937 & 309107 & 1.194 & 1.027 & 1.389 & 312800\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1976 & 3292902 & 2111802 & 5134575 & 448129 & 369375 & 543675 & 141736 & 106349 & 188897 & 152782 & 125376 & 186179 & 0.8639 & 0.676 & 1.104 & 174800\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1977 & 4042815 & 2535823 & 6445384 & 317952 & 254294 & 397546 & 113902 & 82658 & 156957 & 54202 & 45074 & 65178 & 0.3209 & 0.2334 & 0.4411 & 46000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1978 & 4535163 & 2788080 & 7377014 & 375401 & 294295 & 478860 & 138961 & 103508 & 186557 & 48860 & 31926 & 74776 & 0.2177 & 0.1336 & 0.3548 & 11000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1979 & 8428613 & 5402990 & 13148557 & 498125 & 400762 & 619143 & 183352 & 142155 & 236487 & 60571 & 39662 & 92503 & 0.176 & 0.1078 & 0.2873 & 25100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}1980 & 13137089 & 8936495 & 19312170 & 683425 & 564546 & 827337 & 213538 & 171016 & 266632 & 79982 & 62708 & 102015 & 0.1579 & 0.1236 & 0.2016 & 70764\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1981 & 27450227 & 18640594 & 40423334 & 1123375 & 924057 & 1365684 & 284251 & 228112 & 354205 & 147857 & 114971 & 190150 & 0.2482 & 0.1975 & 0.312 & 174879\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll} \\ 1982 & 46179507 & 31548502 & 67595822 & 1731447 & 1419892 & 2111364 & 408065 & 331007 & 503061 & 247305 & 180797 & 338277 & 0.1792 & 0.1444 & 0.2225 & 275079\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1983 & 45190849 & 31391200 & 65056859 & 2333065 & 1954868 & 2784429 & 571394 & 467064 & 699029 & 379442 & 289046 & 498107 & 0.2614 & 0.2142 & 0.3191 & 387202\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1984 & 45580424 & 31914549 & 65098053 & 3061456 & 2614637 & 3584633 & 893442 & 729572 & 1094120 & 469514 & 388575 & 567313 & 0.3522 & 0.292 & 0.4249 & 428631\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1987 & 60793072 & 42314279 & 87341618 & 4003144 & 3453628 & 4640095 & 1211476 & 1007591 & 1456618 & 768372 & 636025 & 928259 & 0.39 & 0.3248 & 0.4684 & 792058\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}1988 & 38026105 & 26617608 & 54324366 & 3925626 & 3419273 & 4506963 & 1561678 & 1305377 & 1868301 & 958261 & 777056 & 1181723 & 0.3785 & 0.317 & 0.452 & 887686\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1989 & 30342238 & 21222837 & 43380223 & 3475715 & 3088307 & 3911720 & 1600704 & 1377271 & 1860384 & 811312 & 699123 & 941504 & 0.3655 & 0.3086 & 0.4328 & 787899\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1990 & 27295514 & 19059205 & 39091090 & 3462435 & 3078666 & 3894043 & 1724868 & 1487289 & 2 e+06 & 675060 & 580688 & 784770 & 0.2959 & 0.2486 & 0.3522 & 645229\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1991 & 29157687 & 20417792 & 41638720 & 3276983 & 2916766 & 3681687 & 1525114 & 1319749 & 1762435 & 670407 & 581244 & 773247 & 0.3156 & 0.2652 & 0.3756 & 658008\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}1993 & 52043143 & 37443145 & 72336039 & 2995019 & 2628535 & 3412599 & 824909 & 702943 & 968037 & 671620 & 576809 & 782015 & 0.4483 & 0.375 & 0.5358 & 671397\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1994 & 41472045 & 29776970 & 57760428 & 2886604 & 2498625 & 3334826 & 880451 & 749793 & 1033877 & 626099 & 532560 & 736068 & 0.4443 & 0.3717 & 0.5311 & 568234\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1995 & 42144693 & 30152157 & 58907069 & 2793145 & 2415751 & 3229497 & 931536 & 786521 & 1103288 & 573780 & 494810 & 665353 & 0.404 & 0.3345 & 0.4879 & 579371\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1996 & 33388610 & 24072349 & 46310364 & 2753448 & 2376702 & 3189914 & 1104248 & 934102 & 1305386 & 284224 & 244091 & 330956 & 0.1969 & 0.1613 & 0.2402 & 275098\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1997 & 28057040 & 20097033 & 39169836 & 2739853 & 2383987 & 3148842 & 1227831 & 1044893 & 1442797 & 264169 & 230710 & 302480 & 0.1778 & 0.1462 & 0.2162 & 248023\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}1998 & 18544104 & 13548403 & 25381869 & 3032252 & 2654084 & 3464303 & 1395001 & 1198220 & 1624098 & 363151 & 318196 & 414457 & 0.2251 & 0.1862 & 0.2721 & 385577\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}1999 & 57325166 & 41809047 & 78599607 & 3133522 & 2755437 & 3563486 & 1502576 & 1291213 & 1748537 & 351407 & 306974 & 402270 & 0.2083 & 0.1731 & 0.2505 & 370877\end{array}$ 2000374293202745352851030017376649132819014322632153004413159581778958 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2001 & 69204784 & 50171172 & 95459243 & 4189548 & 3658685 & 4797437 & 1952112 & 1678835 & 2269873 & 349723 & 307959 & 397151 & 0.1774 & 0.1468 & 0.2143 & 358657\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2002 & 35081327 & 25677026 & 47929986 & 5029686 & 4380141 & 5775554 & 2367734 & 2038580 & 2750034 & 374505 & 328987 & 426321 & 0.1628 & 0.1349 & 0.1965 & 371955\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2003 & 19127720 & 14039542 & 26059944 & 5334304 & 4658571 & 6108054 & 2375503 & 2057385 & 2742808 & 477853 & 421173 & 542162 & 0.1892 & 0.1576 & 0.2271 & 480107\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}2004 & 23203294 & 1.7 \mathrm{e}+07 & 31676550 & 4616741 & 4076342 & 5228779 & 2338856 & 2031217 & 2693089 & 562971 & 496012 & 638968 & 0.2251 & 0.1869 & 0.2711 & 570865\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}2005 & 20310298 & 14962250 & 27569931 & 3875858 & 3439402 & 4367700 & 2124185 & 1835073 & 2458847 & 647947 & 570412 & 736020 & 0.2796 & 0.2329 & 0.3356 & 666404\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}2006 & 20874443 & 15323186 & 28436800 & 3262684 & 2894901 & 3677193 & 1720898 & 1487726 & 1990615 & 515942 & 455186 & 584807 & 0.256 & 0.2131 & 0.3075 & 524366\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}2007 & 25178929 & 18329712 & 34587476 & 2696293 & 2386090 & 3046823 & 1354354 & 1168274 & 1570071 & 381440 & 336365 & 432556 & 0.2222 & 0.1843 & 0.2679 & 408528\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}2008 & 21984090 & 15952790 & 30295654 & 2754883 & 2416814 & 3140243 & 1443327 & 1245725 & 1672273 & 246991 & 219533 & 277883 & 0.1368 & 0.1134 & 0.165 & 259031\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}2010 & 27158438 & 19871035 & 37118386 & 3794013 & 3315856 & 4341122 & 1877237 & 1611156 & 2187262 & 177592 & 157888 & 199755 & 0.0769 & 0.06355 & 0.09305 & 187508\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}2012 & 23086179 & 16918487 & 31502325 & 3775423 & 3346466 & 4259364 & 2301383 & 2005261 & 2641232 & 416621 & 369392 & 469890 & 0.1781 & 0.1485 & 0.2135 & 437236\end{array}$
 $20144830572034924132668146183910370347100744053472079890 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}1812586 & 2386614 & 489338 & 435072 & 550373 & 0.2028 & 0.1693 & 0.2431 & 517593\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2015 & 12656662 & 9192676 & 17425948 & 4095304 & 3603970 & 4653623 & 1946063 & 1692792 & 2237228 & 489486 & 435179 & 550570 & 0.2128 & 0.177 & 0.2559 & 494072\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}2017 & 13604031 & 9911096 & 18672976 & 3480735 & 3061887 & 3956878 & 2052594 & 1767702 & 2383402 & 447546 & 392381 & 510467 & 0.1883 & 0.1566 & 0.2264 & 499145\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}2018 & 23215739 & 16959447 & 31779961 & 3287728 & 2897787 & 3730141 & 1825019 & 1565915 & 2126996 & 533923 & 465103 & 612925 & 0.2177 & 0.181 & 0.2619 & 604449\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll} & 1979 & 19791826 & 14334239 & 27327323 & 2784406 & 2457601 & 3154669 & 1557280 & 1338373 & 1811991 & 421732 & 369244 & 481681 & 0.185 & 0.1529 & 0.2238 & 451542\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}2020 & 23548414 & 16746655 & 33112750 & 2691893 & 2361023 & 3069131 & 1471011 & 1257982 & 1720114 & 406600 & 358551 & 461089 & 0.2003 & 0.165 & 0.2433 & 434000\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}2022 & 16404915 & 8957164 & 30045363 & 2406412 & 1925598 & 3007285 & 1300630 & 987661 & 1712774 & 340192 & 183379 & 631098 & 0.2037 & 0.1018 & 0.4075\end{array}$

## Table 2.6.3.8 North Sea herring multi fleet assessment. SAM model control object.

```
An object of class "FLSAM.control"
Slot "name":
[1] "North Sea herring multifleet"
Slot "desc":
[1] "Imported from a VPA file. ( ./bootstrap/data/index.txt ). Mon May 02 09:53:59 2022"
Slot "range":
    min maxplusgroup minyear maxyear minfbar maxfbar
    0
Slot "fleets":
catch A catch BD catch C HERAS IBTS-Q1 IBTSO IBTS-Q3 LAI-ORSH
    0
LAI-BUN LAI-CNS LAI-SNS sumFleet
    6 6 6 7
```

Slot "plus.group":
plusgroup
true
Slot "states":
age
fleet 012345678
catch A -1 01234566
catch BD 7891010 10-1-1-1
catch C - 11112131414 14-1-1
HERAS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
sumFleet -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "logN.vars":
012345678
011111111
Slot "logP.vars":
[1] 012
Slot "catchabilities":
age
fleet 012345678
catch A -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
catch BD -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
catch C -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
HERAS -1 11222222
IBTS-Q1 -1 3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO 0-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 45678 9-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS 10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
sumFleet -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "power.law.exps":
age
fleet 012345678
catch A -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
catch BD -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
catch C -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
HERAS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q1 -1 -1 -1 -1-1-1 -1 -1 -1

```
IBTSO -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH -1 -1 -1 -1 -1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS -1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1
sumFleet -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
```

Slot "f.vars":
age
fleet 012345678
catch A -1 011111222
catch BD 34444 4-1-1-1
catch C-1567777-1-1
HERAS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
sumFleet -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "obs.vars":
age
fleet 012345678
catch A - 101111122
catch BD $345555-1-1-1$
catch C -1 67888 8-1-1
HERAS -1 910111212121313
IBTS-Q1 -1 14-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTSO 15-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
IBTS-Q3 1616171717 17-1-1-1
LAI-ORSH 18-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-BUN 18-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-CNS 18-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LAI-SNS 18-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
sumFleet -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Slot "srr":
[1] 0
Slot "scaleNoYears":
[1] 0
Slot "scaleYears":
[1] NA
Slot "scalePars":
age
years 012345678

Slot "cor.F":
[1] 222

## Slot "cor.obs":

## age

fleet 0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8
catch A NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA catch BD NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA catch C NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA HERAS -1 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA IBTS-Q1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 IBTSO $-1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}$ IBTS-Q3 $000000-1-1$ LAI-ORSH -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 LAI-BUN -1 -1 -1 LAI-CNS -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 LAI-SNS -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 sumFleet -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1

```
Slot "cor.obs.Flag":
[1]ID ID ID ID ID ID AR ID ID ID ID <NA>
Levels: ID AR US
Slot "biomassTreat":
[1] -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1-1 -1 -1 -1 -1
Slot "timeout":
[1] }360
Slot "likFlag":
[1] LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN LN
Levels: LN ALN
Slot "fixVarToWeight":
[1] FALSE
Slot "simulate":
[1] FALSE
Slot "residuals":
[1] TRUE
Slot "sumFleets":
[1] "A" "BD" "C"
```

Table 2.7.1. North Sea herring. Intermediate year (2022) assumptions for the stock.

| Variable | Value | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fages (wr) 2-6 } \\ & \text { (2022) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.278 | Based on estimated catch 2021 |
| SSB (2022) | 1233347 | Calculated based on catch constraint (in tonnes) |
| Rage (wr) 0 (2022) | 16619677 | Estimated by assessment model (in thousands) |
| Rage (wr) 0 (2023) | 22556738 | Weighted mean over 2011-2020 (in thousands) |
| Total catch (2022) | 451651 | Estimated realized catch of autumn spawning herring derived from agreed TACs for A-D fleets, the proportion of NSAS herring in the catch (for A, C and D fleets), the transfer of TAC to the North Sea (C fleet) and the uptake of the bycatch quota (for B and D fleets). |

Table 2.7.2. North Sea herring. Intermediate year (2021), fleet wise assumptions for the catches and the fishing mortality. Weights are in tonnes

|  | Field | Value | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TACs | A-fleet TAC | 427628 |  |
|  | B-fleet TAC | 8174 |  |
|  | C-fleet TAC | 25021 | Total TAC in IIIa (including WBSS and NSAS) |
|  | D-fleet TAC | 6659 | Total TAC in IIIa (including WBSS and NSAS) |
| TACs to catches variables | C-fleet transfer FcY | 0.9546 | Taken from ImY as \% of C-fleet TAC |
|  | C-fleet transfer ImY | 23885 | Value for the Intermediate year in tonnes |
|  | D-fleet transfer | 0.5 | Value for the Intermediate year in \% |
|  | WBSS/NSAS split in the North Sea | 0.0136 | Value from terminal year |
|  | B-fleet uptake | 0.78 | Average over the last 3 years (2018-2020) |
|  | C-fleet NSAS/WBSS split | 0.3551 | Average over the last 3 years (2018-2020) |
|  | D-fleet NSAS/WBSS split | 0.7 | Average over the last 3 years (2018-2020) |
|  | D-fleet uptake | 0.0707 | Average over the last 3 years (2018-2020) |
| F by fleet and total | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr}) \text { 2-6 }}$ A-fleet | 0.269 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr})}$ 0-1 B -fleet | 0.048 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr}) \text { 1-3 }} \mathrm{C}$-fleet | 0 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr})}$ 0-1 ${ }^{\text {D-fleet }}$ | 0 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr})}$ 2-6 | 0.27 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{(\mathrm{wr})} 0-1$ | 0.051 |  |
| NSAS catches by fleet | Catches <br> A-fleet | 445371 | Fleet TAC $(427628 \mathrm{t})+$ what is transferred from the C fleet in 3a to the North sea ( 23885 t ) scaled by the 3 year average proportion of NSAS in A fleet catch ( $98.6 \%, 2019-2021$ ) |
|  | Catches <br> B-fleet | 7099 | Fleet TAC $(8174 \mathrm{t})+$ a $50 \%$ transfer from the F fleet TAC ( 6659 t ) in 3a to the North Sea scaled with the fleet uptake in 2021 ( $78 \%$ ). |
|  | Catches <br> C-fleet | 403 | Catches corresponding to 1136 t catch in 3a scaled by the 3 year average proportion of NSAS in the C fleet catch ( $35.5 \%$, 2019-2021) |
|  | Catches <br> D-fleet | 0 | Total catch set at 0 t because considered negligible |


| value | description | basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9546 | C-fleet transfer FcY (\%) | aken from ImY as \% of C-fleet TAC |
| 23885 | C-fleet transfer ImY (tonnes) | Value for the Intermediate year |
| 0.5 | D-fleet transfer ImY (tonnes) | Value for the Intermediate year |
| 0.3551 | C-fleet NSAS/WBSS split | Average over the last 3 years |
| 0.7 | D-fleet NSAS/WBSS split | Average over the last 3 years |
| 0.0136 | WBSS/NSAS split in the north s | ea Value from terminal year |
| 0.78 | B-fleet uptake Ave | rage over the last 3 years |
| 0.0707 | D-fleet uptake A | erage over the last 3 years |

Table 2.7.3. North Sea herring. reference points.

| wg fmsy | Fsq | Flim | Fpa | Blim | Bpa msyBtrigger |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-----------------------------------------------------------~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IBPNSherring2021 | 0.31 | . | 0.4 | 0.31 | 874198 | 956483 | 1232828 |
| WKPELA2018 | 0.26 | . | 0.34 | 0.3 | $8 e+05$ | $9 e+05$ | 1400000 |

Table 2.7.4. North Sea herring. All scenarios following WBSS TAC advice.

TACs to catches variables.

| value | description | basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.9546 | C-fleet transfer FcY (\%) | aken from ImY as \% of C-fleet TAC |
| 23885 | C-fleet transfer ImY (tonnes) | Value for the Intermediate year |
| 0.5 | D-fleet transfer ImY (tonnes) | Value for the Intermediate year |
| 0.3551 | C-fleet NSAS/WBSS split | Average over the last 3 years |
| 0.7 | D-fleet NSAS/WBSS split | Average over the last 3 years |
| 0.0136 | WBSS/NSAS split in the north s | ea Value from terminal year |
| 0.78 | B-fleet uptake Ave | rage over the last 3 years |
| 0.0707 | D-fleet uptake Av | verage over the last 3 years |

Basis Fbar26A Fbar01B Fbar13C Fbar01D Fbar26 Fbar01 CatchA CatchB CatchC CatchD SSB1 SSB2

[^4]Table 2.7.5. North Sea herring. All scenarios with status quo in C-D fleet catches.
Basis Fbar26A Fbar01B Fbar13C Fbar01D Fbar26 Fbar01 CatchA CatchB CatchC CatchD total_catch SSB1 SSB2 SSB_change TAC_change advice_change
 46.9
45.7 $\begin{array}{cllllllllllllllll}\text { fmsy } & 0.31 & 0.051 & 0 & 0 & 0.31 & 0.053 & 523438 & 8745 & 0 & 0 & 532183 & 1280829 & 1286757 & -7.4 \\ \mathrm{nf} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1614283 & 1998030 & 16.7 & -100 & -100\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { tacro } & 0.197 & 0.032 & 0.006 & 0.002 & 0.2 & 0.039 & 356357 & 5619 & 6405 & 351 & 368732 & 1387630 & 1481508 & 0.3 & 0 & -0.8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { fsq } & 0.186 & 0.031 & 0 & 0 & 0.186 & 0.032 & 339749 & 5334 & 0 & 0 & 345083 & 1401049 & 1513391 & 1.3 & -4.7 & -5.5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { fpa } & 0.31 & 0.051 & 0 & 0 & 0.31 & 0.053 & 523438 & 8745 & 0 & 0 & 532183 & 1280829 & 1286757 & -7.4 & 46.9 & 45.7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { flim } & 0.4 & 0.066 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 & 0.069 & 640910 & 11169 & 0 & 0 & 652079 & 1202140 & 1153649 & -13.1 & 79.9 & 78.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { bpa } & 0.743 & 0.122 & 0 & 0 & 0.744 & 0.128 & 995805 & 19986 & 0 & 0 & 1015791 & 956483 & 802300 & -30.9 & 179.4 & 177.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}\text { blim } & 0.886 & 0.146 & 0 & 0 & 0.887 & 0.153 & 1111504 & 23480 & 0 & 0 & 1134984 & 874198 & 703021 & -36.8 & 211.9 & 209.3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { MSYBtrigger } & 0.364 & 0.06 & 0 & 0 & 0.364 & 0.063 & 595343 & 10204 & 0 & 0 & 605547 & 1232828 & 1204229 & -10.9 & 67.1 & 65.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { fmsyAR_no_transfer_Btarget } & 0.31 & 0.047 & 0 & 0 & 0.31 & 0.05 & 523477 & 8162 & 0 & 0 & 531639 & 1280829 & 1286893 & -7.4 & 46.9 & 45.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { fmsyAR_transfer_sq TAC C\&D } & 0.314 & 0.05 & 0.003 & 0.002 & 0.316 & 0.057 & 529663 & 8653 & 3330 & 351 & 541997 & 1275260 & 1274284 & -7.8 & 48.6 & 47.4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} \\ \text { fmsyAR no transfer_sq C\&D } & 0.307 & 0.05 & 0.006 & 0.002 & 0.31 & 0.059 & 519293 & 8653 & 6405 & 351 & 534702 & 1280821 & 1281588 & -7.4 & 45.7 \\ 4 & 44.5\end{array}$

## Table 2.7.6. North Sea herring. Final scenario table.

Basis Fbar26A Fbar01B Fbar13C Fbar01D Fbar26 Fbar01 CatchA CatchB CatchC CatchD total_catch SSB1 SSB2 SSB_change TAC_change advice_change


Table 2.9.1. North Sea herring. Old and new reference points following WKNSHERRING 2021.

| Framework ${ }^{\wedge}$ | Reference point | Old Value | Old Technical basis | Old <br> Source | New value | New basis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY approach | MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | 1400000 | 5th percentile of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {FMSY }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICES } \\ & \text { (2018b) } \end{aligned}$ | 1232828 | unchanged |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.26 | Stochastic simulations with a segmented regression and Ricker stock-recruitment curve from the short time-series (20022016). | ICES (2018b) | 0.31 | Same rationale with extended time series (2002-2020) |
| Precautionary approach | $\mathrm{Bl}_{\text {lim }}$ | 800000 | Breakpoint in the segmented regression of the stock-recruitment timeseries (1947-2016). | ICES (2018b) | 874198 | Breakpoint in the segmented regression of the stock-recruitment time-series (1947-2020, excluding the recovery period 1979-1990). |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 900000 | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{B}_{\lim } \times \exp (1.645 \times \sigma)$ <br> with $\sigma \approx 0.10$, based on the average $C V$ from the terminal assessment year. | ICES <br> (2018b) | 956483 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}= \\ & \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}} \times \exp (1.645 \times \sigma) \end{aligned}$ <br> with $\sigma \approx 0.06$, based on the $\sigma$ from the terminal assessment year. |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}$ | 0.34 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P} 50 \%}$ leading to 50\% probability of SSB $>\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ with a segmented regression and Ricker stock-recruitment curve (2002-2016). | ICES <br> (2018b) | 0.39 | The $F$ that on average leads to Blim |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | 0.30 | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\lim } \times \exp (-1.645 \times \sigma)$ <br> with $\sigma \approx 0.08$, based on the average $C V$ from the terminal assessment year. | ICES (2018b) | 0.31 | The F that provides a 95\% probability for SSB to be above Blim (FP05 with AR) |

Herring catches 2021 1st quarter


Figure 2.1.1a. Herring catches in the North Sea in the 1st quarter of 2021 (in tonnes) by statistical rectangle.

Herring catches 2021 2nd quarter


Figure 2.1.1b. Herring catches in the North Sea in the second quarter of 2021 (in tonnes) by statistical rectangle.

Herring catches 2021 3rd quarter


Figure 2.1.1c. Herring catches in the North Sea in the 3rd quarter of 2021 (in tonnes) by statistical rectangle.

Herring catches 2021 4th quarter


Figure 2.1.1d. Herring catches in the North Sea in the 4th quarter of 2021 (in tonnes) by statistical rectangle.

Herring catches 2021 all quarters


Figure 2.1.1e. Herring catches in the North Sea in all quarters of 2021 (in tonnes) by statistical rectangle.



Figure 2.2.1. Proportions of age groups (numbers) in the total catch of herring caught in the North Sea (upper, 19602021, and lower panel, 1980-2021).


Figure 2.2.2. Proportion of age groups (numbers) in the total catch of NSAS and herring caught in the North Sea in 2021.


Figure 2.3.1.1. Cruise tracks and survey area coverage in the HERAS acoustic surveys in 2021 by nation.


Figure 2.3.1.2. Distribution of NASC attributed to herring in HERAS in 2021. Acoustic intervals represented by light grey dot with green circles representing size and location of herring aggregations. NASC values are resampled at 5 nmi intervals along the cruise track. The red lines show the strata system.


Figure 2.3.2.1. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae < $10 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Orkney/Shetlands and northern Buchan area, second half of September 2021 (maximum circle size $=9475 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.1. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae $<10 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Buchan area and the central North Sea, second half of September 2021 (maximum circle size $=2081 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.3. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae $<11 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ in the Southern North Sea and English Channel, second half of December 2021 (maximum circle size = $19018 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.2.4. North Sea herring - Abundance of larvae <11 mm ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) in the Southern North Sea and English Channel, first half of January 2022 (maximum circle size = $1900 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).


Figure 2.3.3.1.1 North Sea herring. Length distribution of all herring larvae caught in the MIK during the 2022 Q1 IBTS.
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Figure 2.3.3.1.2 North Sea herring. Distribution of 0-ringer herring, year classes 2019-2021. Density estimates of 0-ringers within each statistical rectangle are based on MIK catches during IBTS in January/February 2020-2022. Areas of filled circles illustrate densities in no $\mathrm{m}-2$, the area of the largest circle represents a density of $\mathbf{3 . 8 2} \mathbf{m - 2}$. All circles are scaled to the same order of magnitude of the square root transformed densities.
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Figure 2.3.3.2.1 North Sea herring. Distribution of 1-ringer herring, year classes 2018-2020. Density estimates of 1-ringers within each statistical rectangle are based on GOV catches during IBTS in January/February 2020-2022. Areas of filled circles illustrate numbers per hour, scaled proportionally to the square root transformed CPUE data, the area of the largest circle extending across the boundary of a rectangle represents $201,826 \mathrm{~h}-1$.


Figure 2.3.3.2.2 North Sea herring. Time series of 0-ringer (blue) and 1-ringer indices (orange). Year-classes 1991 to 2021 for 0-ringers, year-classes 1991-2020 for 1-ringers.



Figure 2.4.1.1. North Sea Herring. Mean weights-at-age for the 3 rd quarter in Divisions 4 and 3.a from the acoustic survey (upper panel) and mean weights-in-the-catch (lower panel) for comparison.


Figure 2.5.1.1 North Sea herring. Relationship between indices of 0 -ringers, calculated with the new algorithm, and 1ringers for year-classes 1991 to 2020.


Figure 2.6.1.1. North Sea Herring. Time-series of proportion mature at ages 0 to $8+$ as used in the North Sea herring assessment.


Figure 2.6.1.2. North Sea Herring. Time-series of stock weight at ages 0 to $8+$ as used in the North Sea herring assessment.


Figure 2.6.1.3. North Sea Herring. Time-series of catch weight at ages 0 to $8+$ as used in the North Sea herring assessment.


Figure 2.6.1.4. North Sea Herring. Time-series of absolute natural mortality values at age 0-8+ as used in the North Sea herring assessment. Natural mortality values are based on the 2019 North Sea key-run (ICES WGSAM, 2021)


Figure 2.6.1.5. North Sea Herring. Proportion of catch at age since 2000.


Figure 2.6.1.6. North Sea Herring. Proportion of HERAS index at age since 2000.


Figure 2.6.1.7. North Sea herring. Internal consistency plot of the acoustic survey (HERAS). Above the diagonal the linear regression is shown including the observations (in points) while under the diagonal the $r^{2}$ value that is associated with the linear regression is given.


Figure 2.6.1.8. North Sea herring. Internal consistency plot of the IBTS in quarter 3. Above the diagonal the linear regression is shown including the observations (in points) while under the diagonal the $r^{2}$ value that is associated with the linear regression is given.


Figure 2.6.2.1. North Sea herring. Stock summary plot of North Sea herring with associated uncertainty for SSB (top panel), F ages 2-6 (middle panel) and recruitment (bottom panel).

Residuals by year Catch


Figure 2.6.2.2. North Sea herring. Bubble plot of standardized catch residual at age.

## Residuals by year HERAS



Figure 2.6.2.3. North Sea herring. Bubble plot of standardized acoustic survey residuals at age.


Figure 2.6.2.4. North Sea herring. Bubble plot of standardized IBTSQ1 residuals at age.

Residuals by year IBTS-Q3


Figure 2.6.2.5. North Sea herring. Bubble plot of standardized IBTSQ3 residuals at age.

Observation variances by data source


Figure 2.6.2.6. North Sea herring. Observation variance by data source as estimated by the assessment model. Observation variance is ordered from least (left) to most (right). Colours indicate the different data sources. Observation variance is not individually estimated for each data source thereby reducing the parameters needed to be estimated in the assessment model. In these cases of parameter bindings, observation variances have equal values.

## Observation variance vs uncertainty



Figure 2.6.2.7. North Sea herring. Observation variance by data source as estimated by the assessment model plotted against the CV estimate of the observation variance parameter.

## Survey catchability parameters



Figure 2.6.2.8. North Sea herring. Catchability at age for the HERAS and IBTSQ3 surveys.


Figure 2.6.2.9. North Sea herring. Assessments retrospective pattern of SSB (top panel) F (middle panel) and recruitment (bottom panel).


Figure 2.6.2.10. North Sea herring. Model uncertainty; distribution and quantiles of estimated SSB and F2-6 in the terminal year of the assessment. Estimates of precision are based on a parametric bootstrap from the FLSAM estimated variance/covariance estimates from the model.

## North Sea Herring



Figure 2.6.2.11. North Sea herring. Correlation plot of the FLSAM assessment model with the final set of parameters estimated in the model. The diagonal represents the correlation with the data source itself.

Selectivity of the Fishery by Pentad


Figure 2.6.2.12. North Sea herring. Fishing selectivity by pentad.

## North Sea herring multifleet



Figure 2.6.3.1 North Sea herring multi-fleet model. Stock summary plot with associated uncertainty for SSB (top panel), F ages 2-6 (middle panel) and recruitment (bottom panel).


Figure 2.6.3.2 North Sea herring multi-fleet model. Comparison between single fleet and multi-fleet assessment models for SSB (top panel), F ages 2-6 (middle panel) and recruitment (bottom panel).

Residuals by year Catch fleet A


Figure 2.6.3.3. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Bubble plot of standardized residuals for catches of fleet A.

## Residuals by year Catch fleet BD



Figure 2.6.3.4. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Bubble plot of standardized residuals for catches of fleet B\&D.

Residuals by year Catch fleet C


Figure 2.6.3.5. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Bubble plot of standardized residuals for catches of fleet C.

## Observation variances by data source



Figure 2.6.3.6. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Observation variance by data source as estimated by the assessment model. Observation variance is ordered from least (left) to most (right). Colours indicate the different data sources. Observation variance is not individually estimated for each data source thereby reducing the parameters needed to be estimated in the assessment model. In these cases of parameter bindings, observation variances have equal values.

## Observation variance vs uncertainty



Figure 2.6.3.7. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Observation variance by data source as estimated by the assessment model plotted against the CV estimate of the observation variance parameter.

## North Sea Herring



Figure 2.6.3.8. North Sea multifleet assessment model. Correlation plot of the FLSAM assessment model with the final set of parameters estimated in the model. The diagonal represents the correlation with the data source itself.


Figure 2.6.3.9. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Assessments retrospective pattern of SSB (top panel) F (middle panel) and recruitment (bottom panel).

## Selectivity of the Fishery by Pentad



Figure 2.6.3.10. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Fishing selectivity fleet $A$.


Figure 2.6.3.11. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Fishing selectivity fleet B and D combined.


Figure 2.6.3.12. North Sea herring multifleet assessment model. Fishing selectivity fleet $\mathbf{C}$.


Figure 2.7.1.1. North Sea herring. FMSY advice rule and SSB/Fbar data point since 2019.


Figure 2.7.2.1. North Sea herring. comparison of SSB trajectory between short term forecasts applied to HAWG2021 and HAWG2022 data. oY: old years (prior to data year). DtY: data year. ImY: intermadiate year. FcY: forecast year. CtY: continuation year.
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Figure 2.7.2.2. North Sea Herring. Realized and projected catch (in weight) by age (wr) between 2020 assessment (2021 as forecast year), 2021 assessment (2022 as forecast year) and 2022 assessment (2023 as forecast year).


Figure 2.7.2.3. North Sea Herring. Catch proportions for the different ages between the $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ short-term forecast (2021 as forecast year), 2021 short-term forecast (2022 as forecast year) and 2022 short term forecast (2023 as forecast year).

Assessment and medium term forecast MSY AR without transfer


Figure 2.7.2.4. North Sea Herring. Short-term projections using an F status quo from TAC year (i.e. advice year). Intermediate year is in 2022 and the TAC year is 2023.



Figure 2.11.1. North Sea herring. Time-series of spawning-stock biomass of each component (top), and contribution of each component to the total stock (bottom; Payne, 2010) as estimated from the LAI index Areas are arranged from top to bottom according to the south-to-north arrangement of the components.


Figure 2.13.1. North Sea Autumn Spawning Herring stock recruitment curve, plotting estimated spawning-stock biomass against the resulting recruitment. Year classes spawned after 2001 are plotted with open red circles, to highlight the years of recent low recruitment. The most recent year class is plotted in solid red.


Figure 2.13.2. North Sea Autumn Spawning Herring time-series of recruits per spawner (RPS). RPS is calculated as the estimated number of recruits from the assessment divided by the estimated number of mature fish at the time of spawning and is plotted against the year in which spawning occurred. Black points: RPS in a given year. Red line: Smoother to aid visual interpretation. Note the logarithmic scale on the vertical axis.


Figure 2.13.3. North Sea Autumn Spawning Herring time-series of larval survival ratio (Dickey-Collas \& Nash, 2005; Payne et al., 2009), defined as the ratio of the SSB larval index (representing larvae less than $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1 1} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) and the IBTSO index (representing the late larvae, $\mathbf{>} \mathbf{1 8} \mathrm{mm}$ ). Survival ratio is plotted against the year in which the larvae are spawned.


Figure 2.13.4. North Sea Autumn Spawning Herring time-series of larval survival ratio (Dickey-Collas \& Nash, 2005; Payne et al., 2009) for the northern-most spawning components (Banks, Buchan, Orkney-Shetland), defined as the ratio of the sum of the larvae indices for these components (representing larvae less than $10-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and the IBTSO index (representing the late larvae, > $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ). Survival ratio is plotted against the year in which the larvae are spawned.

## 3 Herring in Division 3.a and subdivisions 22-24, spring spawners [Update Assessment]

### 3.1 The Fishery

### 3.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2021 and 2022

ICES advised in 2021 on the basis of the MSY approach. This corresponds to zero catch in 2022 (ICES 2021).
The EU and Norway agreement on a herring TAC for 2021 was 21604 t in Division 3.a for the human consumption fleet and a bycatch ceiling of 6659 t to be taken in the small mesh fishery. For 2022, the EU and Norway agreed on herring TACs in Division 3.a corresponding to 25021 t for the human consumption fleet ( 21684 t for EU and 3337 t for Norway) and a bycatch ceiling of 6659 t for the small mesh fishery (only EU). The agreement also states that of these overall fishing opportunities no more than 1136 t of herring would be taken in Division 3.a with the possibility to transfer up to $100 \%$ of the human consumption TAC from 3.a to the North Sea and up to $50 \%$ for the bycatch small mesh fishery (see Council Regulation (EU) 2021/1888) for more specifics on area limitations on the transfer within the North Sea).

Prior to 2006, no separate TAC for subdivisions 22-24 was set. In 2021, a TAC of 1575 t was set on the Western Baltic stock component. The TAC for 2022 was set at 788 t .

### 3.1.2 Landings in 2021

Herring caught in Division 3.a are a mixture of mainly North Sea Autumn Spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic Spring Spawners (WBSS). This section gives the landings of both NSAS and WBSS, but the stock assessment applies only to WBSS.

Landings from 1989 to 2021 are given in Table 3.1.1 and Figure 3.1.1. In 2021, the total landings in Division 3.a and subdivisions 22-24 have decreased to 14918 t. Landings in 2021 decreased by $29 \%$ in the Skagerrak, by $6 \%$ in the Kattegat and by $60 \%$ in subdivisions $22-24$. As in previous years the 2021 landing data are calculated by fleet according to the fleet definitions used by the working group (see section 3.1.3).

### 3.1.3 Fleets

One of the unresolved issues from the benchmark in 2018 was the definition of the fleets, which differs between years and countries (ICES WKPELA, 2018).
The definition of the fleets in the EU TAC and quota regulation, since 1998 (e.g. EU 2017/127 and 2016/1903)

Fleet C: Catches of herring in Kattegat and Skagerrak taken in fisheries using nets with mesh sizes equal to or larger than 32 mm .
Fleet D: Exclusively for catches of herring in Kattegat and Skagerrak taken as bycatch in fisheries using nets with mesh sizes smaller than 32 mm .
Fleet F: Not defined directly in the regulation, but landings from subdivisions 22-24. Most of the catches are taken in a directed fishery for herring and some as bycatch in a directed sprat fishery

The definition used by HAWG, since 2010.
Fleet C: Directed fishery for herring in Kattegat and Skagerrak in which trawlers (with 32 mm minimum mesh size) and purse-seiners participate. This fleet also includes the Swedish fishery with mesh sizes less than 32 mm assuming that there is no difference in age structure of the landings between vessels using different mesh sizes.

Fleet D: Bycatch of herring in Kattegat and Skagerrak in the industrial fleet and only including Danish landings. Covering all fisheries with mesh sizes less than 32 mm e.g., the sprat fishery, but also including other fisheries where herring is landed as bycatch e.g. Norway pout, sandeel and blue whiting fisheries.

Fleet F: Landings from subdivisions 22-24. Most of the catches are taken in a directed fishery for herring and some as bycatch in a directed sprat fishery.

In Table 3.1.2 the landings are given for 2004 to 2021 in thousands of tonnes by fleet (as defined by HAWG) and quarter.
The text table below gives the TACs and Quotas ( t ) for the fishery by the C- and D-fleets in Division 3.a and for the F-fleet in subdivisions 22-24.

|  | TAC | DK | GER | FI | PL | SWE | EC | NOR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2021 |  | 21604 | 9800 | 145 |  |  | 9498 | 18723 | 2881 |
| Div. 3.a fleet-C | 6659 | 5692 | 51 |  |  | 916 | 6659 |  |  |
| Div. 3.a fleet-D | 1575 | 221 | 869 | 0 | 205 | 280 | 1575 |  |  |
| SD 22-24 fleet-F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 3.a fleet-C can be <br> taken in 4 <br> EU waters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 3.a fleet-C can be <br> taken in 4 <br> Norwegian waters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of 3.a fleet-D can be <br> taken in 4 | $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.4 Regulations and their effects

Before 2009, HAWG has calculated a substantial part of the catch reported as taken in Division
3.a in fleet C actually has been taken in Subarea 4. These catches have been allocated to the North Sea stock and accounted for under the A-fleet at earlier HAWG meetings. Misreported catches
have been moved to the appropriate stock for the assessment. However, from 2009 and on onwards, information from both the industry and VMS estimates suggest that this pattern of misreporting of catches into Division 3.a does no longer occur. Therefore, no catches were moved out of Division 3.a to the North Sea for catches taken in 2020.

Since 2011 the EU-Norway agreement allowed $50 \%$ of the Division 3.a quotas for human consumption (Fleet C) to be taken in the North Sea. The optional transfer of quotas from one management area to another introduces uncertainty for catch predictions and thus influence the quality of the stock projections. To decrease the uncertainty industry agreed in the 2013 benchmark to inform HAWG prior to the meeting of the assumed transfer in the intermediate year. In the last few years this information has proved to be highly valuable and consistent with the realized distribution of the catches.

In 2021 and 2022, following the agreed record from the bilateral consultations between the EU and Norway for Skagerrak, the C-fleet inter-area flexibility from Division 3.a to Subarea 4 has been increased to $100 \%$, and a flexibility of $50 \%$ has been given to the D-fleet, in order to protect WBSS herring. In addition, in 2022, EU and Norway committed to limit overall herring catches in Division 3.a to 969 t and 167 t , respectively.

The quota for the $C$ fleet and the bycatch TAC for the $D$ fleet are set for the NSAS and the WBSS stocks together. The implication for the catch of NSAS must also be considered when setting quotas for the fleets that exploit these stocks.

### 3.1.5 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

The amount of WBSS herring taken as bycatch in the D-fleet has been varying between years depending on the utilization of the bycatch TAC and the proportion of WBSS in the catches. In 2021 the amount of WBSS taken was 35 t , which is the lowest recorded catch. However, the TAC utilization was $2.1 \%$ being also the lowest recorded utilization. Prediction of TAC utilization is further complicated by the merging of the sprat stocks in 3.a and the North Sea (ICES 2018) with a common management and the optional transfer of $50 \%$ of the herring bycatch quota from the D-fleet in 3.a to the B-fleet in the North Sea.

### 3.1.6 Winter rings vs. ages

To avoid confusion and facilitate comparability among herring stocks with different "spawning style" (i.e., NSAS) the age of WBSS, as well as other HAWG herring stocks, is specified in terms of winter rings (wr) throughout the entire assessment and advice. In the case of WBSS perfect correspondence exists between wr and age with no actual risk of confusion, so that a wr 1 is also an age 1 WBSS herring.

### 3.2 Biological composition of the landings

Tables 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 show the total catch in numbers and mean weight-at-age in the catch for herring by quarter and fleet landed from Skagerrak and Kattegat, respectively. The total catch in numbers and mean weights-at-age for herring landed from subdivisions 22-24 are shown in Table 3.2.3.

The 14918 t of landed herring were submitted stratified by area, fleet, and quarter, resulting in 57 strata with landings. 22 of these strata were sampled - accounting for $86 \%$ of the landings. Some strata with relatively large amounts of landings were unsampled, but the main problem being that fleet C only was sampled in the third quarter in Skagerrak (Table 3.2.4). Furter, it seems like it is getting more and more difficult for countries to sample the trawler landings in
the F fleet. Unsampled strata accounted in total for 2038 t and samples from either other nations or adjacent areas and quarters were used to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight-at-age (Table 3.2.5).

Based on the proportions of spring- and autumn-spawners in the landings, catches were split between NSAS and WBSS (Table 3.2.6 and the stock annex for more details).

The total numbers and mean weight-at-age of the WBSS and NSAS landed from Kattegat, Skagerrak, and the sum of the two (Division 3.a) respectively were then estimated by quarter and fleet (Tables 3.2.7-3.2.12).

In 2022, the age composition for the A-fleet in the transfer area was taken directly from the transfer area rather than from the entire Division 4aE given that samples were available in the Norwegian catches.

The total catch, expressed as SOP, of the WBSS taken in the North Sea + Division 3.a in 2020 was estimated to be 12579 t , which represents a decrease of $31 \%$ compared to 2020 (Table 3.2.13).

Total catches of WBSS from the North Sea, Division 3.a, and subdivisions 22-24 by quarter, were estimated to be 14180 for 2021 (Table 3.2.14). Additionally, the total catches of WBSS in numbers and tonnes, divided between the North Sea and Division 3.a and subdivisions 22-24 respectively for 1993-2021, are presented in tables 3.2.15 and 3.2.16.

The total catch of NSAS in Division 3.a amounted to 4244 t in 2021, which represents the second lowest value in the 28 -year time-series (Table 3.2.17).
The catches of WBSS from Subdivision 4.aE and the catches of NSAS from Division 3.a in 2021 were reallocated to the appropriate stocks as shown in the text table below:

| Stock | Catch reallocation | Tonnes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WBSS | $4 . a E$ (A-fleet) | 3505 |
| NSAS | 3.a (C+D-fleet) | 4244 |

### 3.2.1 Quality of Catch Data and Biological Sampling Data

No quantitative estimates of discards were available to the Working Group from all countries. During the 2022 meeting one country checked their estimated discard of herring in the demersal, Nephrops and shrimp fisheries in SD 20-24, and for 2020 the estimated discard constituted $1 \%$ of the landings, so an insignificant amount. Therefore, the overall amount of discards for 2021 is assumed to be insignificant, as in previous years.

Table 3.2.4 shows the number of fishes aged by country, area, fishery, and quarter. The overall sampling in 2021 meets the recommended level of one sample per 1000 t landed per quarter and the coverage of areas, times of the year and gear (mesh size). Occasional lack of national sampling of catches by quarter and area has been covered by similar fisheries in other countries, but as mentioned in the section before, only a single quarter and area combination was sampled in the D fleet.

Splitting of catches into WBSS (Spring spawners) and NSAS (Autumn spawners) in Division 3.a were based on Swedish analyses of otolith micro-structure (OM) of hatch type and genetic analyses for Danish catches from 2022. Different components of NSAS herring spawn at different times of the year, the three northern components spawn in autumn and are assigned to OM hatch month 9, whereas the Downs components spawning during winter in the Eastern Channel assigned to OM hatch month 12 . Herring are predominantly spawning during spring in the western Baltic, the Kattegat and the Skagerrak and are assigned to the OM hatch month 4, however smaller stock components from the Western Baltic Sea and Baltic Sea also spawn in autumn and
winter, which leads to an assignment to OM hatch month 9 and 12, respectively. This would hence lead to an erroneous assumption that these Western Baltic Sea and Baltic Sea autumn and winter spawners belonged to the NSAS stock. Moreover, winter-hatched individuals have traditionally been assigned differently in Danish and Swedish samples, where OM hatch month 12 has been assigned to WBSS in Sweden and to NSAS in Denmark. The samples from the IBTS have been split according to the Danish perception of stock affiliation. However, since the implementation of splitting by genetic markers, these issues have been resolved.

For Danish data, a genetic stock identification method was used to classify individual fish to genetic stock origin. The total sample size for hatch type was 2028 ( 674 Danish and 1354 Swedish) with $70 \%$ of the samples in Subdivision 20 (Skagerrak) and $30 \%$ in Subdivision 21 (Kattegat). Sampling from the Danish fishery had a lower coverage of quarters and subdivisions than sampling of the Swedish fishery. Proportions of WBSS in sampled age classes were weighted by the national catches in the respective quarters and subdivisions. The sampling did not cover all age classes and thus proportions were estimated using information from relevant adjacent age classes, or from cruises in the same quarter and subdivision. Proportions were estimated for commercial catch by country, wr, quarter, and subdivision by a logistic mixed effects regression model. The model included wr, subdivision, quarter, and cruise as fixed effects and had a random intercept varying by trip/haul ${ }^{1}$. Both commercial and survey samples from both countries were used in the analysis. Total composition estimates per wr, quarter, and subdivision were calculated as a weighted average of the country-wise estimates. Total estimates were only calculated for combinations of wr, quarter, and subdivision with catches. For combinations with Danish or Swedish catches, the country-wise estimates were weighted by the catches. For combinations without Danish and Swedish catches, country-wise estimates were weighted by the sum of catches for the relevant quarter and subdivision.

Random samples of 175 individual herring from Norwegian commercial catches in the "transfer area" in $4 . a E$ are analyzed for size at age distribution and stock affiliation based on a genetic stock identification method using an extended SNP panel ( 82 markers where 53 are included also in the panel used for Danish samples). A common baseline with small deviations was used for stock identification for Danish and Norwegian samples. Based on expected vertebral series counts, genetic stock origin was converted to NSAS/WBSS to continue the historical time series. Catches from the so called "transfer area" are split into proportions of NSAS and WBSS by quarter and wr based on a logistic mixed effects regression model.

A total of 88253 tonnes of herring was caught in the transfer area in 2021, with catches constituting $74 \%$ in quarter 2 and $20 \%$ in quarter 3 , however with only four samples ( $40,42,45$, and 48 fish) from quarter 2 being available for calculating stock proportions.

For quarter 2 and 3, the same split was applied based on the combined samples from HERAS and the fishery in the transfer area ( 251 fish). This was done under the assumption that the fishery is restricted to the same period as HERAS in June and July and would catch similar proportions of the two stocks in this period. The regression model included a B-spline on wr with 5 knots and additional dummy variables for commercial samples wr 1, 2, and 3 to account for different selectivities. Finally, a random intercept varying by trip/haul was included.

Due to lack of sampling data in 2021 the split for quarters 1 and 4 had to be carried over from 2020. Quarter 1 and 4 estimates from 2020 were based on data from the time-series of samples

[^5]from the commercial fishery with respectively 48 (from 2016 Q1) and 342 herring (from Q4 in 2008, 2012 and 2014) available for the analysis.

Based on the splitting method, 3505 tonnes of WBSS herring were caught in the transfer area in 2021.

There are clear indications from weight at age of mixing with Central Baltic herring in catches from SD 24 throughout the year from most of the countries. However, the catches are dominated by the German directed fishery in the spawning areas where mixing is likely to be minimum.

Catch data were not corrected for this mixing neither for potential catches of Western Baltic Spring-spawning herring in SD 25-26.

### 3.3 Fishery-independent Information

### 3.3.1 German Autumn Acoustic Survey (GERAS) in subdivisions 21-24

As a part of Baltic International Acoustic Survey (BIAS); the German autumn acoustic survey (GERAS) was carried out with R/V "SOLEA" between 8-28 October 2021 in the Western Baltic, covering subdivisions $21,22,23$ and 24 . A survey report is given in the report of the 'ICES Working Group of International Pelagic Surveys' (ICES WGIPS, 2022). In the western Baltic, the distribution areas of two stocks, the Western Baltic Spring Spawning (WBSS) herring and the Central Baltic herring (CBH) overlap. Survey results indicated in the recent years that in SD 24, which is part of the WBSS herring management area, a considerable fraction of CBH is present and correspondingly erroneously allocated to WBSS stock indices (ICES 2013/ACOM:46). Accordingly, a stock separation function (SF) based on growth parameters in 2005 to 2010 has been developed to quantify the proportion of CBH and WBSS herring in the area (Gröhsler et al., 2013; Gröhsler et al., 2016). The estimates of the growth parameters from baseline samples of WBSS and CBH in 2011-2018 and 2020-2021 support the applicability of the SF (Oeberst et al., 2013; WD/WGIPS Oeberst et al., 2014, 2015; WD/WGBIFS Oeberst et al., 2016, 2017; WD/WGBIFS Gröhsler and Schaber, 2018, 2019; WD/WGIPS Gröhsler and Schaber 2021, WD/WGIPS Gröhsler and Schaber 2022). The applicability of the SF could not be test-ed in 2019 due some higher degree of mixing of CBH/WBSS in the baseline area of WBSS herring in SDs 21 and 23.
The age-length distribution of herring in SD 21 and in SD 22 in 2021 indicated also some contribution of fish of CBH origin. Besides the standard procedure to use the SF in SD 24 and in SD 23/39G2 (since biological samples of that rectangle were also used to raise the corresponding mean NASC values in the SD 24 area of the rectangle), the SF was accordingly also applied in SD 21 and SD 22 in 2021.

Haul 32 (41G2, SD 23) targeting a large aggregation of herring yielded a substantial sample of almost exclusively large herring that were spawning (maturity 6). Since the herring could not be allocated to WBSS, both the hydroacoustic data from that aggregation as well as the biological data from haul 32 were removed from the further analysis for producing a biomass and abundance estimate for WBSS. Genetic samples have been taken and are currently being analysed to identify stock origin of that herring.

Individual mean weight, total numbers and biomass by age as estimated from the GERAS-Index (covering the standard survey area, which generally excludes 43G1/43G2 in SD 21 and 37G3/37G4 in SD 24) are presented in Table 3.3.1. The Western Baltic spring spawning herring GERAS-Index in 2021 was estimated to be $0.8 \times 10^{9}$ fish or about $29.3 \times 10^{3}$ tonnes in subdivisions $21-24$. The biomass index in 2021 represents the lowest in the time series.

The time-series has been revised in 2008 (ICES 2008/ACOM:02) to include the southern part of SD 21. The years 1991-1993 were excluded from the assessment due to different recording
method and 2001 was also excluded from the assessment since SD 23 was not covered during that year (ICES 2008/ACOM:02).

Age (wr) classes (1-4) are included in the assessment.

### 3.3.2 Herring Summer Acoustic Survey (HERAS) in Division 3.a and the North Sea

The Herring acoustic survey (HERAS) was conducted from 21 June to 6 July 2021 and covered the Skagerrak and the Kattegat and the North Sea. The 2020 estimate of Western Baltic springspawning herring was $105 \times 10^{3}$ tonnes and 0.911 million herring. Compared to the values in 2020, the 2021 estimates represent a decrease of $48 \%$ in numbers and of $35 \%$ in biomass. The stock biomass is dominated by 2-4 winter ring (79\%). The present numbers of older herring (3+ group) in the stock only represent $45 \%$ of the average of the whole times series till 2020 (2021: 649 million; mean 1991-2020: 1411 million). The results from the HERAS index are summarised in Table 3.3.2.

The 1999 survey was excluded from the assessment due to different survey area coverage.
Ages (wr) 3-6 are used in the assessment.

### 3.3.3 Larvae Surveys (N20)

Herring larvae surveys (Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters; SD 24) were conducted in the western Baltic Sea at weekly intervals during the 2021 spawning season (March-June). The larval index was defined as the total number of larvae that reach the length of 20 mm (N20; Table 3.3.3; Oeberst et al., 2009). With an estimated product of 2751 million larvae, the 2021 N20 recruitment index is more than 10 times higher than that of the record low in 2020 and the highest value since 2015 (for further details see WD Polte and Gröhsler, HAWG 2021).

The larval index is used as recruitment index age (wr) 0) in the assessment.

### 3.3.4 IBTS/BITS Q1 and Q3-Q4

Since the recent benchmark (ICES, WKPELA 2018), the IBTS and the BITS data are combined according to the standardization methodology proposed by Berg et al., (2014) (hauls showed in Figures 3.3.1-3.3.2). In addition to the standardization model, two extra modelling steps are included, which consist of splitting the survey length and age data by stock using subsamples of stock- identified individuals (limited to the IBTS and not for the BITS). First, the length distributions are split by haul into WBSS / non-WBSS. Next the individual age samples are split into WBSS / non-WBSS. This gives a stock-specific ALK, which is used to convert the split length distributions from the first step into numbers-at-age by haul. Stock proportions for these splitting are based on otolith microstructure from the IBTS samples by assuming that only OM4 (Springspawning) contribution to the WBSS fraction, while OM9 and OM12 (Autumn and Winter spawning) are considered non-WBSS. The following equation describes the model considered for both the presence/absence and positive parts of the Delta-Lognormal model:
$\mathrm{g}(\mu \mathrm{i})=\mathrm{Year}(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{Gear}(\mathrm{i})+\mathrm{f} 1($ loni; lati $)+\mathrm{f} 2($ Depthi $)+\mathrm{f} 3($ timei $)+\log ($ HaulDuri $)$
where Gear(i) and Year(i) maps the ith haul to categorical gear/year effects for each age group.
Age (wr) classes (1-3) and (2-3) from the surveys in Q1 and Q3-4 are included in the assessment

### 3.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age

Mean weights at age in the catch in the 1st quarter were used as estimates of mean weight-at-age in the stock (Table 3.2.14).

The maturity ogive of WBSS applied in HAWG has been assumed constant between years and has been the same since 1991 (ICES 1992/Assess:13), although large year-to-year variations in the percentage mature have been observed (Gröhsler and Müller, 2004). Maturity ogive has been investigated in the recent benchmark assessment of WBSS (ICES 2013/ACOM:46). WKPELA in 2013 decided to carry on with the application of the constant maturity ogive vector for WBSS.

The same maturity ogive was used as in the last year assessment (ICES CM 2018/ACOM:07):

| W-rings | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maturity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

### 3.5 Recruitment

Indices of recruitment of 0-ringer WBSS for 2021 were available from the N20 larval surveys (see Section 3.3.3).

The strong correlation of the N20 with the 1 -wr group of the GERAS $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.75\right.$, Figure 3.5.1), which also shows a good internal consistency with the GERAS 2-wr group, indicates that the N20 is a good proxy for the strength of the new incoming year class. Since 2010, the N20 recruitment index lies below the long-term average (1992-2021: 5389 million). The 2021 N20 recruitment index is more than 10 times higher than that of the record low in 2020 and the highest value since 2015 (Table 3.3.3).

### 3.6 Assessment of Western Baltic spring spawners in Division 3.a and subdivisions 22-24

### 3.6.1 Input data

All input data can be found in Tables 3.6.1-3.6.8.
Only the input landings and weights data differ between the single and multi-fleet model - the rest of the input files are the same for both models.

### 3.6.1.1 Landings data

Catch in numbers-at-age from 1991 to 2021 were available for Subdivision 27.4.aEast (fleet A), Division 27.3.a (fleet C and D, respectively) and subdivisions 27.3.c-27.3.d. 24 (fleet F) (Table 3.6.1.a-d). Years before 1991 are excluded due to lack of reliable data for splitting spawning type and also due to a large change in fishing pattern caused by changes in the German fishing fleets (ICES 2008/ACOM:02).

Mean weights-at-age in the catch vary annually and are available for the same period as the catch in numbers (Table 3.6.2.a-d; Figure 3.6.1.1). Proportions at age thus reflect the combined variation in weight at age and numbers-at-age (Figures 3.6.1.2 and 3.6.1.3).

### 3.6.1.2 Biological data

Estimates of the mean weight of individuals in the stock (Table 3.6.3 (taken from weights in catches in Q1) and Figure 3.6.1.4) are available for all years considered.

Natural mortality was assumed constant over time and equal to $0.3,0.5$, and 0.2 for 0 -ringers, 1 ringers, and $2+$-ringers respectively (Table 3.6.4). The estimates of natural mortality were derived as a mean for the years 1977-1995 from the Baltic MSVPA (ICES 1997/J:2) as no new values were available as confirmed in the recent benchmark.

The percentage of individuals that are mature is assumed constant over time (Table 3.6.5): ages (wr) $0-1$ are assumed to be all immature, ages (wr) $2-4$ are $20 \%, 75 \%$ and $90 \%$ mature respectively, and all older ages are $100 \%$ mature.

The proportions of fishing mortality and natural mortality before spawning are 0.1 and 0.25 respectively and are assumed to be constant over time (Table 3.6.6-7). The difference between these two values is due to differences in the seasonal patterns of fishing and natural mortality.

### 3.6.1.3 Surveys

Surveys indices used in both the model runs can be found in Tables 3.6.8a-e.
According to the last benchmark of WBSS (ICES WKPELA, 2018), the following age (w-rings) classes (in grey) are used from each survey to tune the assessment of this stock:

| Survey | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $8+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HERAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GERAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IBTS/BITS Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IBTS/BITS Q3-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.6.2 Assessment method

Since the 2018 benchmark (ICES WKPELA, 2018), the WBSS assessment is based on the statespace multi-fleet assessment model SAM. The assessment model presents one fishing mortality matrix for each of the four fleets fishing WBSS herring (A, C, D, and F). The model is designed to handle fleet disaggregated catches, which are available only from year 2000 while the model is run over the time period 1991-2021. The current implementation is an R-package based on Template Model Builder (TMB) and can be found at https://github.com/fishfollower/SAM (branch "multi"), more details in Nielsen et al. 2021.

The benchmark found highly consistent estimates of SSB, F and Recruitment as well as combined age selections between the multi- and the single-fleet SAM using comparable model settings.

The disaggregation of the fishing catches in the multi-fleet SAM can bring problems of convergence due to the increase of zeros in the fleet observed catches, which are ignored by the model since zeros cannot be fitted with a lognormal distribution. It is therefore important to compare the outputs of both the single and the multi-fleet models every year and check that the results are consistent between the models. For this year update assessment, the corresponding single fleet version is available with a configuration as close as possible to the multi-fleet model. The single fleet model output is represented as an overlay in the SSB, F, recruitment, and total catch plots in the multi-fleet output. Both the multi-fleet (WBSS_HAWG_2022) and the single fleet (WBSS_HAWG_2022_sf) outputs are available at www.stockassessment.org.

Details of the software version employed are given in Table 3.6.9.

### 3.6.3 Assessment configuration

The model configuration was set as specified in Table 3.6.10.
During the 2020 assessment, problems of convergence occurred with the multifleet model when adding the 2019 data due to difficulties estimating the variance parameter of the F process for the C-fleet (logSdLogFsta). Coupling the variance parameters for all fleets so only one logSdLogFsta parameter is estimated as a first run and then running the model with the original configuration removed the problem of convergence in 2020. However, this year, this was not enough to solve convergence problems.

During the 2018 benchmark it was chosen to replace missing data in catches at age for all fleets by a small value ( 1 tonne). In addition to the method described in the previous paragraph, removing this constraint for the C-fleet and letting the model handling the zeros as missing data enabled the convergence of the 2021 assessment model.

There was no problem of convergence in 2022 in the multifleet model.

### 3.6.4 Final run

The results of the assessment are given in Tables 3.6.11-3.6.14. The estimated SSB for 2021 is 62 765 [44 766, $88002(95 \%$ CI)] t. The mean fishing mortality (ages 3-6) is estimated as 0.149 [0.080, $0.277(95 \% \mathrm{CI})]$ yr-1. This means that the $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ is estimated to be below $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mSy}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$, and below $\mathrm{Flim}^{2}$.

After a marked decline from almost 300000 t in the early 1990s to a low of about 120000 t in the late 1990s, the SSB of this stock was above 120000 t in the early 2000s (Figure 3.6.4.1). After a small peak in 2006 coinciding with the maturing of the last major year-class, the SSB has declined up to 2011 with a SSB of 69.3 kt . SSB has only slightly increased in the following period up to 83.7 kt in 2015 and then has declined to 54.4 kt in 2019, which is the lowest SSB of the time-series. A slight increase in SSB was then estimated since 2020 to around 62.8 kt in 2021.

Fishing mortality on this stock was high in the mid-1990s, reaching a maximum of $0.66 \mathrm{yr}-1 \mathrm{in}$ 1996. In 1999-2009, F $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ stabilized between 0.45 and 0.61 . In 2010 and 2011, $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ decreased significantly to a value of 0.40 and $0.31 \mathrm{yr}-1$, respectively. It stabilized between 0.31 and $0.43 \mathrm{yr}-1$ for few years until it increased again above 0.49 yr-1 from 2016 to 2018. F3-6 then decreased to 0.30 yr-1 in 2019, 0.18 yr-1 in 2020 and then 0.15 in 2021, which is the lowest estimated $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ of the entire time series (Table 3.6.11, Figure 3.6.4.2).

Recruitment was the highest ( $\sim 3-5$ billion) at the beginning of the time-series (1991-1999) and has been decreasing overall since 1999. The 2020 estimate of 550822 thousands is the lowest on record and the estimate in 2021 has slightly increased to 609230 thousands (Tables 3.6.11, Figure 3.6.4.3). The stock-recruitment plot for the WBSS stock (Figure 3.6.4.4) shows three distinct periods of recruitment with an early period of high recruitments varying between 3 and 5 billion coinciding with a declining SSB from 300 kt to 120 kt in the years 1991-1999 and no signs of densitydependence. This is followed by a distinct decline in recruitment to values below 3 billion at a relatively constant spawning-stock biomass between 120 and 160 kt over the period from 20002006. In the most recent period, from 2007 to 2021 recruitment has varied from about 1.5 billion to less than 1 billion at SSB between 54 kt and 110 kt , with a trend of declining recruitment in 20172020 and some slight increased recruitment in 2021.

The total catch is well fitted (Figure 3.6.4.5) as well as the catch per fleet (Figure 3.6.4.6) except for the fleet A where some observations are outside the confidence interval of the estimated catch. In 2021, the model started to accommodate the large catches of the A-fleet in 2019 and 2020 by an increase in the upper limit of the confidence interval on the catches for this fleet. This year, the 2021 catch of the A-fleet is well fitted.

The estimated partial fishing mortalities show remarkable differences between the four fleets reflecting the targeted ages of the individual fisheries, increasing with age for the A-fleet and the F-fleet, whereas distinct peaks are found for the C-fleet and the D-fleet at ages 2 and 1 (wr) respectively (Figure 3.6.4.7). The fishing mortality increases in the recent years for the A-fleet. The Cfleet shows a variable F over time with a peak in F in 2017-2018 and a decrease in F since. There is a clear decrease in F for the D - and F-fleet in recent years. The selectivity pattern for the Dfleet has a tendency of shifting its highest selectivity from age 2 to age 3 (wr) in later years. Total fishing mortality on the WBSS stock increased with herring age (Figure 3.6.4.8). It decreased overall over time but showed an increase in 2014-2018 and a decrease again up to 2021, well below Fmsy in 2020-2021.

The model was constrained to have the same selectivity for the two oldest ages (wr) 7+ in all fleets. The fishing mortality was assumed to be independent across ages for the A-fleet (see $\$$ corFlag in Table 3.6.10). The estimated correlation parameter in the F random walk for the Cfleet was estimated to a very high value, which caused convergence problems in initial runs during the benchmark, and it was therefore assigned a fixed high value in the subsequent assessment runs resulting in parallel selection patterns.

The estimated survey catchability is rather different among the surveys. The HERAS and the GERAS surveys are relatively constant over the applied ages (wr) 3-6 and 1-4 respectively. Whereas both IBTS+BITS-Q1 and -Q3.4 surveys show, sharp declines with increasing ages 1-3 and $2-3$, respectively (Figure 3.6.4.9). Interpretation of the different catchability patterns is complex, and likely, a number of reasons including ontogenetic differences in the spatial distribution and behaviour of the different age classes at the time of the surveys may affect their relative availability to the different samplings.

The surveys present some strong correlations notably between the older ages (Figure 3.6.4.10). The same is observed for fleets C and F. The tracking of each cohort can be observed in Figure 3.6.4.11.

The F-fleet (ages 1-8+) has a lower observation variance than the GERAS and the HERAS, the Cfleet (ages 2-8+) is lower than the IBTS+BITS- Q3.4 surveys variance, the IBTS+BITS-Q1 and the N20. Both the D-fleet and the A-fleet have very high observation variances, as well as the age 0 for all fishing fleets (Figure 3.6.4.12).

Residuals for catch in different fleets generally show poorer fit to the youngest year-classes 0-1 wr (Figure 3.6.4.13). The A-fleet shows large positive residuals in 2018-2020 showing that the model underestimates the catches-at-age in those years. The inverse is observed for the C-fleet with large negative residuals in 2019 for ages 3-8+, showing an overestimation of the catches for these ages. The F-fleet presents large negative residuals for ages $0-1$ over the entire time-series. Further, the fit by fleet to some degree follows the amount of catches in the fleets with increasingly better fit from A-fleet, D-fleet, C-fleet to the F-fleet (Figures 3.6.4.13-3.6.4.17). The fit to the combined fleets at the beginning of the time-series follows the observations to some degree except for the two youngest age classes $0-1 \mathrm{wr}$, which exhibit a rather poor fit. (Figure 3.6.4.18).
Inspection of model diagnostics shows the occurrence of high residuals in some years (i.e., 2009 and 2018-2021 in the GERAS and 1991 and 2013-2014 in HERAS; Figure 3.6.4.13). Overall, the agreement between the data and the fitted model appears acceptable throughout the data sources, which are most influential in the model. The individual survey diagnostics show some differences in how the model fit the different survey data, and the level of fitting is widely in agreement with the estimated observation variance for each data component (Figures 3.6.4.1923). In general, a similar fit is found for all included ages (wr) 3-6 of the HERAS index (Figure 3.6.4.19). In recent years, GERAS shows a clear drop in observed indices for ages (wr) 1-4 that are poorly fitted and show therefore large negative residuals (Figures 3.6.13 and 3.6.4.20). The model picks up the overall negative trend of the recruitment index (N20) and is conservative on
the high index value estimated in 2021 which is the largest observed since 2013 (Figure 3.6.4.21). Poorer fit is observed for the IBTS+BITS-Q1 for all ages (wr) 1-3, over the entire time-series (Figure 3.6.4.22) and likewise to the IBTS+BITS-Q3.4 for the two ages (wr) 2-3 (Figure 3.6.4.23) with large positive residuals for age (wr) 2 in recent years (Figure 3.6.4.13).

Retrospective patterns are of the same order of magnitude as last year assessment (Figure 3.6.4.24-27). The SSB has a 5 years Mohn's rho of $21 \%$ (compared to $20 \%$ in 2021) but the retrospective estimates are considerably improved for the 1- to 3-year peels remaining inside the confidence intervals of the SSB estimates. Average fishing mortality retrospective estimates are also outside the confidence bounds for F for the 4 to 5 -year peels (Mohn's rho $=-14 \%$ compared to $-13 \%$ in the 2021 assessment, Figure 3.6.4.25). The retrospective for recruitment is acceptable having a Mohn's rho $=11 \%$ ( $7 \%$ in 2021, Figure 3.6.4.26). Retrospective is very small for total catch (Figure 3.6.4.27).

This year the age composition for the A-fleet was taken directly from the transfer area rather than from the entire Division 4 aE given that samples were available in the Norwegian catches. Sensitivity runs were performed for both the single and multifleet models and are available on stockassessment.org (WBSS_HAWG_2022_sf_4aE, WBSS_HAWG_2022_4aE respectively). No makeable differences were present for the multifleet models and the age composition from the Norwegian catches (main fleet) is believed to be more representative of the composition available in the transfer area than the one in Division 4aE. It was therefore agreed to take the assessment with age compositions from the transfer area forwards as final assessment.

Since the 2019 assessment, the GERAS survey indices have been the most influential of all surveys on the estimated decrease in the stock. While the GERAS indices are still low in 2021 and continue to show the largest contribution to the estimated SSB level, the small SSB increase in 2021 appear independent from any individual specific survey (Figures 3.6.4.28-31).

### 3.7 State of the stock

The stock was benchmarked in 2018 with a substantial increase in the chosen value of Blim and a slight downwards revision of the SSB levels. The stock has decreased consistently from mid 2000s to a historical low in 2019 (Tables 3.6.11, Figure 3.6.4.1). With the new Blim ( 120 kt ) the stock has been in a state of impaired recruitment since 2007 but 2021 is showing a small sign of recovery.

The 2018 benchmark calculated a new $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ of 0.31 . Fishing mortality ( $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ ) was reduced between 2008 and 2011 from 0.58 to 0.31 (Tables 3.6.11, Figure 3.6.4.2). $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ has then remained stable above FMSY until 2015 (0.34-0.43) but showed an increase in 2016-2018 with an estimated $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ between 0.49 and 0.51 . $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ has decreased since 2019 from 0.30 to 0.15 in 2021, which is the lowest $\mathrm{F}_{3-6}$ on records.

Recruitment has been declining since 2014 with a historical low value in 2020 of 550822 thousands (Tables 3.6.11, Figure 3.6.4.3). Recruitment increased to 609230 thousands in 2021, possibly due to a cold winter in 2020-2021. Despite the increase in 2021, recruitment is still low compared to the average of the time series. Low fishing mortality should continue to support a slow rebuilding of the stock given the present levels of low recruitment.

### 3.8 Comparison with previous years perceptions of the stock

The table below summarizes the differences between the current and the previous year assessment. The addition of the 2021 data resulted in a negative change in the perception of the stock
back in time compared to last year assessment of around 6-7\%. The recent estimates of recruitment have however drastically increased by $19 \%$ in the current assessment and F appears to be larger than previously estimated in $2019(+3.9 \%)$ but smaller in $2020(-5.5 \%)$.

| Parameter | Assessment in 2021 | Assessment in 2022 | Difference (2022- |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SSB (t) 2019 | 57841 | 54388 | $-6.35 \%$ |
| $F_{(3-6)} 2019$ | 0.288 | 0.300 | $3.94 \%$ |
| Recr. ('000) <br> 2019 | 676518 | 839747 | $19.4 \%$ |
| SSB (t) 2020 | 58434 | 54606 | $-7.01 \%$ |
| $F_{(3-6)} 2020$ | 0.193 | 0.182 | $-5.52 \%$ |

### 3.9 Short-term predictions

Short-term projections are possible both as stochastic and deterministic forecasts. While SAM runs with parameter values represented by percentiles, forecasts in multi-fleet SAM have to switch to a representation by means and standard deviations in order for catches in the individual fleets to add up the totals predicted. However, to be in line with the median representation, all values would have to be recalculated back from the representation by means. Although statistically correct, the HAWG did not want to perform these operations without a prior scrutinizing of the effects on the presentation of the advice. Therefore, HAWG in line with all other assessments of the working group calculated deterministic predictions using that forecast option of the multi-fleet SAM and following the settings in the stock annex.

### 3.9.1 Input data

In the short-term predictions recruitment (0-winter ring, wr) is assumed to be constant, and it is calculated as the mean of the last five years prior the last year model estimate (i.e., for the 2022 assessment, recruitment for the forecasts was calculated on the period 2016-2020, see Table 3.9.1). For all older ages, the stock numbers are projected forward from the last data year to the intermediate year according to the estimated total mortalities based on fleet wise expected catches and natural mortalities. The mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the stock as well as the maturity ogive were calculated as the arithmetic averages over the last five years of the assessment (20172021). Based on earlier considerations in HAWG, the different periods were chosen to reflect recent levels in recruitment and weights.

### 3.9.2 Intermediate year 2022

A catch constraint was assumed for the intermediate year (2022). Predicted 2022 catch by fleet is summarized in the table below and depends on two main assumptions:

- Both NSAS and WBSS herring stocks are caught in the Division 3.a (C and D-fleets) and Subdivision 4.aE (A-fleet) whereas the subdivision 22-24 catch (F-fleet) is assumed to only be WBSS herring.
- The F-fleet utilizes its entire TAC in Subdivision 22-24

| Fleets | TAC 2022 <br> NSAS+WBSS $(\mathbf{t})$ | Predicted 2022 WBSS <br> catch $(\mathbf{t})$ | Predicted 2022 WBSS catch explained <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 427628 | 6142 | $1.36 \%(427628+25021-(969+167))$ |
| C | 25021 | 733 | $64.5 \%(969+167)$ |
| D | 6659 | 0 | Considered negligible |
| F | 788 | 788 | $100 \% 2022$ TAC |
| Total | 460096 | 7663 |  |

In the past assessments, the amount of WBSS taken in the transfer area by the A-fleet in the intermediate year was assumed equal to the observed average A-fleet catch over the last 3 years. This year, it was chosen to make the assumption for the A-fleet in 2022 consistent with what is usually assumed for the NSAS advice. This assumption results in a total catch of WBSS herring of 6142 t corresponding to the sum of the A-fleet TAC ( 427628 t ) and what is transferred from the C-fleet in Division 3.a to the North Sea ( 23885 t ), scaled by the 3-year average proportion of WBSS in A-fleet catch (1.36\%, 2019-2021).

In $2022,100 \%$ of the herring quotas for the Division 3.a can be transferred to the North Sea, against $50 \%$ the previous years. This results in an important change in the assumed proportion of each fleet in the total WBSS catch compared to what was observed in 2021. This is discussed further in part 3.12. The Council Regulation (EU) 2022/109 stipulates that the catches in Division 3.a should be limited to 1136 t ( 969 t of EU catches +167 t of Norwegian catches) in 2022 as the sum of directed and bycatch fisheries. Given the recent downward trends in the observed D-fleet catches, ICES considers that the bycatch in the D-fleet will be negligible in 2022 and it was therefore set to zero in the forecast. The 1136 t are assumed to be taken by the C-fleet in 2022 and was scaled by the 3-year average proportion of WBSS in the C-fleet catch (64.5\%, 2019-2021).

The catch by the F-fleet fishing for human consumption in Subdivisions 22-24 is usually very close to the TAC and a utilization of $100 \%$ is assumed for the intermediate year, hence 788 t .

Misreporting of catches from the North Sea into Division 3.a is no longer assumed to occur after 2008. Therefore, no account was taken in the compilations.

These assumptions give the expected catch by fleet summing up to 7663 t of WBSS herring in 2022.

### 3.9.3 Catch scenarios for 2023-2025

The inputs and outputs of the short-term predictions are based on a catch constraint in the intermediate year 2022 of 7663 t and are given in Tables 3.9.1-3.9.17.

Different catch options for the years after the intermediate year were explored with fleet-wise selection patterns and deterministic forecasts. In the past forecasts, to most closely resemble current WBSS management, a constraint was added to the forecasts so that, after the intermediate year, for all scenarios (except for the constant intermediate year TAC, the F = 0 and the catch for bycatch fleets only scenarios) the F-fleet is assumed to get $50 \%$ of the total catch of WBSS herring. This year, this constraint was removed since it is considered now not representative of the WBSS management where most of the catch in Division 3.a can now be transferred to the North Sea and the A-fleet is now catching most WBSS herring, while the F-fleet catch keeps decreasing due to the decrease in TAC in Subdivisions 22-24.

### 3.9.4 Exploring a range of total WBSS catches for 2023 (advice year) to 2025

ICES gives advice according to the FMSY approach for the WBSS stock. Because the forecasted SSB in 2024 is below $B_{\lim }$ even when $F=0$, ICES advises a zero catch for 2023.

None of the catch scenarios for 2023, including zero catch, is expected to bring SSB above Blim in 2024. For the past 2 years, besides requested standard scenarios HAWG also calculated the potential development of the stock projections until 2025 with different low F scenarios, where F2024 = F2023. None of these scenarios, even when F = 0, can bring the SSB above Blim in 2025.
Since 2020, two new scenarios were requested by ACOM for zero catch advice stocks: (1) the "Catch for bycatch fleets only" scenario, and (2) a scenario where the biomass is constant between the advice year and the year after that. The first scenario is given in the Table below. Similarly, to last year the latter scenario was not run for the following reasons. For a stock with SSB calculated on the 1st of January (and the final year of assessment being 2021), this can be easily done because SSB in 2023 only depends on F in 2022 and F is estimated given a TAC constraint so is the same for all forecast scenarios. As a result, all scenarios tested in the short-term forecast would have the same SSB in 2023 and the F in 2023 can be estimated to obtain a SSB in 2024 equal to 2023. For WBSS, there are complications to this calculation because the advice is annual (JanDec ) but the SSB is calculated and reported at spawning time (spring). This means that SSB in 2023 is in fact the result of catches assumed (agreed TACs) for the intermediate year (2022) and some catches in the first months of 2023. In other words, the SSB in 2023 depends on F in 2022 but also on a fraction of the F in 2023, which is the advice year. What to assume for the first months of 2023 is the real issue here. For instance, if a zero catch is assumed in 2023 according to the advice, it will be uninformative because the table of advice would still only show the average F in 2023 (so F = 0). If an F that makes SSB 2023 = SSB 2022 is assumed for 2023, it will be an unrealistic high F needed to compensate for the low catches assumed in 2022. Given the reasons described above, the constant SSB between 2023 and 2024 scenario could not be meaningfully run for WBSS herring and is not included among the catch scenarios presented by the EG.

| Table | Basis | Total catch | F3-6 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SSB }^{*} \\ (2023) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSB* } \\ (2024) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\% \text { SSB }$ <br> change | \% advice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICES advice basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.9.2 | MSY approach: zero | 0 | 0 | 80978 | 95882 | 18 |  |
| Other scenarios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.9.3 | MAP^: F = FMSY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\times$ | 19391 | 0.147 | 79256 | 79224 | 0 |  |
| 3.9.4 | MAP^: F = |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FMSY lower $\times$ | 14025 | 0.102 | 79772 | 83745 | 5 |  |
| 3.9.5 | MAP^: F = |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FMSY upper $\times$ | 23085 | 0.179 | 78880 | 76152 | -3 |  |
| 3.9.6 | F= FMSY | 36088 | 0.310 | 77401 | 65861 | -15 |  |
| 3.9.7 | F = Fpa | 44481 | 0.410 | 76296 | 59278 | -22 |  |
| 3.9 .8 | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Flim}$ | 47526 | 0.450 | 75860 | 56930 | -25 |  |
|  | SSB (2022) = |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blim ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSB (2022) = Bpa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SSB (2022) = MSY | er ${ }^{\wedge}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.9.9 | F = F2022 | 9073 | 0.064 | 80221 | 88093 | 10 |  |
| 3.9.15 | Catch for bycatch | 6142 | 0.039 | 80475 | 90852 | 13 |  |

* For spring-spawning stocks, the SSB is determined at spawning time and is influenced by fisheries and natural mortality between 1 January and spawning time (April).
** SSB (2024) relative to SSB (2023).
*** The advised catch in 2021 was 0 tonnes.
${ }^{\wedge}$ Because SSB $_{2022}$ is below MSY Btrigger, the FMSY, FMSY lower, and FMSY upper values in the MAP are adjusted by the SSB2022/MSY Btrigger ratio.
^^ Blim and Bpa cannot be achieved in 2024, even with zero catch advice.
$\wedge \wedge \wedge$ Only the A-fleet that targets North Sea autumn-spawning (NSAS) herring and therefore catches WBSS herring as bycatch in the eastern part of the North Sea, assuming the same catch as in the intermediate year 2022. The D-fleet that is bycatch fleet has zero catch because of the intermediate year assumption (C- and F-fleets are directed WBSS fisheries so have zero catch in this scenario).

| Table | Basis | Total catch (2023) | Total catch (2024) | $\begin{gathered} \text { F3-6 } \\ \text { (2023) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SSB* } \\ (2023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SSB }^{*} \\ (2024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SSB }^{*} \\ (2025) \end{gathered}$ | \% SSB change $(2023-2024)$ | \% SSB change $(2024-2025)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medium-term catch scenarios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.9.10 | $\mathrm{F}=0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 | 18 | 17 |
| 3.9.17 | $\mathrm{F}=0.01$ | 1488 | 1856 | 0.010 | 80859 | 94594 | 109348 | 17 | 16 |
| 3.9.16 | $\mathrm{F}=0.025$ | 3670 | 4466 | 0.025 | 80681 | 92713 | 105581 | 15 | 14 |
| 3.9.11 | $\mathrm{F}=0.05$ | 7177 | 8395 | 0.050 | 80385 | 89708 | 99777 | 12 | 11 |
| 3.9.12 | $\mathrm{F}=0.01$ | 13742 | 14913 | 0.100 | 79799 | 84145 | 89698 | 5 | 7 |
| 3.9.13 | $\mathrm{F}=0.15$ | 19767 | 20008 | 0.150 | 79218 | 79114 | 81275 | 0 | 3 |
| 3.9.14 | Constant catch 2022-2024 | 7662 | 7662 | 0.054 | 80345 | 89405 | 100170 | 11 | 12 |

* For spring-spawning stocks, the SSB is determined at spawning time and is influenced by fisheries and natural mortality between 1 January and spawning time (April).
** It is assumed that the fleets' 2022 catches are kept constant for 2023-2024.


### 3.10 Reference points

The WBSS stock was benchmarked in 2018 (ICES WKPELA, 2018) with subsequent changes of reference points. Blim was revised from 90000 to 120000 t to take account of the new perception that recruitment is impaired when the spawning-stock biomass (SSB) is below 120000 t . $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and MSY B trigger were subsequently set to 150000 t . Using the EqSim software FMSY was estimated to $0.31, \mathrm{~F}_{\lim } 0.45$ (5\% risk to Blim ) and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}} 0.41$ (since 2020, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p} 05}$; ICES, 2021). The values were based on stochastic simulation of recruitment generated on a combination of Beverton \& Holt, Ricker and segmented regression (ICES 2014/ACOM:64).

### 3.11 Quality of the Assessment

The stock was benchmarked in 2018 (ICES, 2018), which led to a change in perception for the entire time-series. Similarly, to last year, the 2022 assessment is very consistent with the 2021 assessment.

The herring assessed in subdivisions 20-24 is a complex mixture of populations predominantly spawning in spring, but with local components spawning also in autumn and winter. The population dynamics and the relative contribution of these components is currently unknown but are likely to affect the precision of the assessment. Moreover, mixing between WBSS and central Baltic herring in subdivisions 22-24 may contribute to uncertainty in the assessment.

Inter-annual variability of the herring migration patterns and the distribution of the fisheries (including the optional transfer of quotas between divisions 3.a and 4) certainly add uncertainty to the assessment and forecasts of this meta-population. Since these cannot be predicted, recent average proportions between stocks are assumed in projections. It is expected that the implementation of genetic stock separation (which allows for identifying these smaller stock components) will improve data on their contributions to subdivisions 20-22 in years to come.

### 3.12 Considerations on the 2022 advice

This year assessment shows an SSB consistent with last year's assessment. Recruitment is still low but has slightly increased in 2021 (609 230 thousands). Under these conditions the stock is not expected to increase above Blim in the short-term (2024) nor in the medium-term (2025) for any level of fishing mortality ( SSB $_{2025}=111989 \mathrm{t}$ assuming $\mathrm{F}=0$ ).

To explore the potential development of the stock, projections until 2025 with different low F scenarios are provided in the Table in section 3.9.4. The development of a rebuilding plan for this stock remains a high priority and it is recommended by HAWG.

The EU-Norway TAC-setting procedure used for herring in Division 3.a (EU-Norway, 2013) calculates the TAC for the combined WBSS and NSAS stocks in the C-fleet as $41 \%$ of the ICES MSY advice for WBSS plus $5.7 \%$ of the TAC for the A-fleet (see section 3.13 for more details). However, according to a safety clause in the procedure, the method should not apply if serious concerns exist about the status of one of the two stocks, which is the case given the severe overexploitation of the WBSS stock.

This stock is caught across three different management units, and recovery will be impaired if catches of this stock are not minimized in all units. Based on agreed catches for 2022 and assumptions on stock mixing, it is predicted that around $80 \%$ of the total WBSS catches will be taken in Division 4.a in 2022. For the other two areas, catch shares in 2022 are predicted to be around $10 \%$ for subdivisions 20-21 and 10\% for subdivisions 22-24.

The Council Regulation (EU) 2022/109 stipulates that the catches in Division 3.a should be limited to 1136 t in 2022 as the sum of directed and bycatch fisheries. Given the recent downward trends in the observed D-fleet catches, ICES considers that the bycatch in the D-fleet will be negligible in 2022 and it was therefore set to zero in the forecast. The 1136 t are assumed to be taken by the C-fleet in 2022.

In $2022,100 \%$ of the herring quotas for the Division 3.a can be transferred to the North Sea, against $50 \%$ the previous years. This results in an important change in the assumed proportion of each fleet in the total WBSS catch compared to what was observed in 2021. The predicted catch for the C-fleet in 2022, which has been the fleet catching most of WBSS herring in the past 2 years, is drastically reduced compared to 2021. The A-fleet is now predicted to catch most of WBSS herring in 2022 and this is carried forward in the catch projections. Predicted catches of WBSS herring by the A-fleet are particularly uncertain, notably if the quotas are transferred from Division 3.a to the eastern part of the North Sea where both WBSS and North Sea autumn-spawning (NSAS) herring mix.

### 3.13 Management Considerations

### 3.13.1 Quotas in Division 3.a

The quota for the C-fleet and the bycatch quota for the D-fleet are set for both stocks of North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) together (see Section 2.7). Since $2011,50 \%$ of the EU and Norwegian quotas for human consumption can optionally be transferred from Division 3.a and taken in Subarea 4. In 2021, the transfer was increased to $100 \%$, effective in 2022. ICES assumes that most of the quotas in Division 3.a will be transferred in 2022 resulting in a maximum catch of NSAS and WBSS herring of 1136 t in Division 3.a (cf. part 3.9).

### 3.13.2 ICES catch predictions vs. management TAC

ICES gives advice on catch scenarios for the entire distribution of the NSAS and WBSS herring stocks separately whereas herring is managed by areas (see the following text diagram). The procedure of setting TACs in ICES Division 3.a and SD 22-24 takes into account the occurrence of different fleet's catches of both WBSS and NSAS herring, utilization of TACs and the proportion of NSAS and WBSS that mix in the areas. In the flowchart below, a schematic is presented:


Box 1: Each year estimations of the WBSS and NSAS stock size are made using a stock assessment model. Stock size estimation together with the estimated pattern of harvesting is used as the starting point for the short-term forecast.

Box 2: To derive at a TAC proposal in the forecast year, first the intermediate year (the year where the TAC has already been agreed on) catches need to be resolved. Four different fleets catch WBSS: the A-fleet (within the transfer area where they take it as a mixture of mainly NSAS and partly WBSS), the C- and D-fleet (within the Division 3.a where they take it as a mixture of mainly WBSS and NSAS), and the F-fleet (within SDs 22-24 where they only take WBSS). Each of these fleets target herring taking into account a fleet share of the total TAC. Only part of this TAC is WBSS catches and not all fleets utilize their full TAC fleet share. This results in an estimate of the intermediate year WBSS catches. Given WBSS stock size and these intermediate year catches, the fishing mortality that the WBSS stock is exploited at can be estimated.
Box 3: Based on the estimated fishing mortality we can now calculate the survivors from the intermediate year to the forecast year assuming an incoming constant recruitment. The calculation of the stock size January 1st in the forecast year is needed to project catches in the forecast year.
Box 4: The management rule for the C-fleet TAC uses the potential WBSS catches calculated from the FMSY advice plus a fraction of the NSAS TAC to define the total TAC in ICES Division 3.a as well as SD22-24 (see Application of the management rule below). Dependent on the relative development of the NSAS and WBSS stocks and the quota transfer from the C-fleet to the A- fleet the realized WBSS catches may deviate from the predictions based on FMSY.

Box 5: The TAC advice from box 4 is taken into the political arena. The result of this will be taken into account to calculate the WBSS population again the year after. Hence box 5 is similar to box 1 .

### 3.13.3 Application of the management rule for the herring fishery for human consumption in Division 3.a

ICES has not evaluated the agreed management rule after revision of reference points in the 2018 benchmark.

The agreed management rule has since 2014 been the basis for setting the C-fleet TAC in Division 3.a and is calculated as the sum of $41 \%$ of the WBSS MSY advised catch and $5.7 \%$ of the North Sea herring TAC for the A-fleet.

However, given the new Blim, the stock has been below Blim since 2018 raising serious concerns about the status of the WBSS stock. According to a safety clause, which was part of the TACsetting procedure evaluation, the procedure itself therefore should not be applied and it should be re-evaluated.

### 3.14 Ecosystem considerations

### 3.14.1 Migration

Herring in Division 3.a and subdivisions 22-24 is a migratory stock. There are feeding migrations from the Western Baltic Sea into the more saline waters of Division 3.a and to the eastern parts of Division 4.a. There are indications from parasite infections that yet unknown proportions of stock components spawning at the southern coast in the Baltic Sea may perform similar migrations (Podolska et al., 2006), and this notion is corroborated with genetic data. Herring in Division 3.a and subdivisions 22-24 migrate back to the Rügen area (SD 24) and other spawning areas at the beginning of winter. Moreover, there are recent indications that Central Baltic herring perform migrations into Subdivision 24 (Gröhsler et al., 2013; Bekkevold et al. in review).
Overwintering is considered to take place in the Öresund (Nielsen et al., 2001). However, recent observations on the acoustic surveys (Gröhsler and Schaber, 2018) indicate changes in distribution and it is currently unclear whether fish still aggregate in the shallow parts of the Sound or whether the density of herring accumulating in the area has changed overall. Whatever the temporal limitation of this survey is and whatever the cause for this observation might be, it may underline the need to validate the multiple-decade-old information on WBSS herring migration patterns.

Similar to the NSAS, the WBSS has produced a series of poor year classes in the last one and a half decade and the declining trend continues. An earlier analysis on different Baltic herring stocks showed that the Baltic Sea Index (BSI) reflecting Sea Surface Temperature (SST) was the main predictor for the recruitment of WBSS (Cardinale et al., 2009), however at the moment there is no understanding of the mechanisms driving this relationship. At the current stage there are no indications of systematic changes in growth or age at maturity that could be related to environmental variability, as well as there is no clear study that link WBSS recruitment to the abundance of prey and/or predators. The low recruitment phase appears to have been initiated before the observed occurrence of Mnemiopsis leidyi (Ctenophore) in the Western Baltic (Kube et al., 2007). The specific reasons for this low recruitment are unknown. Further investigation of the causes of the poor recruitment will require targeted research projects.

### 3.14.2 Predation

Predation on larval herring by gelatineous plankton (Aurelia aurita) in the Western Baltic Sea was described to a be a major impact on recruitment strength of the population in the 1980s (Möller, 1984). Currently, in the inshore nursery grounds around Rügen the bloom of A. aurita is rather seasonally decoupled from major larval production periods as the jelly fish occur in large quantities during summer (July-Sept.). The same is true for the invading ctenophore Mnemiopsis leidyi, that appears from August on (Polte and Kotterba, pers. obs.). The seasonal peaks of jelly fish blooms, however, might be subjected to change and should be kept under close surveillance as in the past two years $A$. aurita became more abundant during June therefore increasing the temporal overlap with WBSS larvae (Polte, pers. obs. RHLS).

Besides this potential predator, in Greifswald Bay there is evidently significant predation pressure on herring eggs by three-spined sticklebacks and- to a lower percentage by juv. Perch (Perca fluviliatis) and 9-spined stickleback, Pungitius pungitius (Kotterba et al., 2014; Kotterba et al., 2017a). In contrast the predation on larvae by the sticklebacks was found rather minor (Kotterba et al., 2017b). Unfortunately, there are no historical baseline data available on stickleback densities in the system, but they are considered to have increased speculatively by a trophic cascade including overfishing of predators (Bergstrom et al., 2015).

The non-indigenous goby (Neogobius melanostomus) has reached extremely high abundances in the coastal Baltic Sea during recent years (Kornis et al., 2012). It has been suspected to significantly increase predation pressure on herring eggs. However, a recent study revealed a minor effect by juvenile gobies that would ingest eggs when encountered but $N$. melanostomus in general is rather specialized on mollusc-prey and additionally there is a temporal mismatch among the juvenile gobies and the herring spawning period (Wiegleb et al., 2018).

### 3.14.3 Eutrophication

Estuarine WBSS herring spawning grounds in the Western Baltic Sea are still subject of increased nutrient levels and steady input of agricultural discharge. The resulting increased turbidity leads to a strict vertical limitation of perennial macrophytes in Greifswald Bay to the very littoral zone with a growth limit of about 3.5 m (Kanstinger et al., 2018). The major spawning zone in the system is considered to be located in a range of 1-2 m water depth (Moll, 2018). Besides a potential reduction in spawning beds the depth limitation evidently results in increased exposure against storm-induced turbulence and consequently increased herring egg mortality (Moll et al., 2018).

Although spring-spawning herring facultative selects other spawning substrates for egg deposition (e.g., stones), the complexity of spawning substrate as provided by macrophytes promotes egg survival by unknown mechanisms (von Nordheim et al., 2018). Additionally, increased blooms of filamentous algae (Pilayella littoralis) promoted by elevated nutrient levels in synergy with warming spring temperatures cause significant herring egg mortality (von Nordheim et al., 2020)

### 3.15 Changes in the Environment

### 3.15.1 Climate drivers

There is ample indication that prevailing winter temperature- as expressed by the Baltic Sea Index (BSI) - significantly affect recruitment strength of WBSS herring (Cardinale et al., 2009; Gröger et al., 2014). The exact ecological mechanisms causing this link remain widely unknown. How-
ever, for larval herring production in Greifswald Bay it could be shown that the optimal temperature window for embryonic development (Peck et al., 2012) is very important for reproduction success and tends to have contracted in recent years (Dodson et al., 2019). There are strong indications that according to recent mild winter regimes the seasonal timing of spawning migration and reproduction has shifted, and those phenology changes are responsible for limited reproduction success as expressed by larval productivity in Greifswald Bay reflected by the abundance of 1-year juveniles in the outer Western Baltic Sea as expressed by the GERAS 1-wr abundance index (Polte et al., 2021). As currently the initial hatching cohorts are not resulting in significant numbers of larval survivors beyond the critical period after yolk-sac consumption, later cohorts are contributing most to recent recruitment patterns (Polte et al., 2014). However, this might overall result in low recruitment compared to earlier years when the larvae of initial cohorts drove the numbers of survivors. Additionally, those later cohorts (hatching mid-Aprilearly May) are exposed to a suite of different stressors: If the seasonal SST curve is steep and the shallow water heats fast during spring, those larvae are increasingly encountering physiological limits. Moyano et al. (2020) could recently show that WBSS larvae develop cardiac arrhythmia beyond an SST threshold of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and that the number of days above this threshold increased in Greifswald Bay during past decades. Besides those direct temperature effects, synergistic effects of eutrophication and warming (see Eutrophication above) lead to multiple cascades affecting egg survival of those later cohorts in particular.

Table 3.1.1 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Total catch (both WBSS and NSAS) in 1989-2021 (1000 tonnes). (Data provided by Working Group members in HAWG 2022).

|  | 198 |  | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 199 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 9 | 1990 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Skagerrak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 47.4 | 62.3 | 58.7 | 64.7 | 87.8 | 44.9 | 43.7 | 28.7 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 26.0 | 15.5 | 11.8 |
| Faroe Islands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Lithuania |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 1.6 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 13.9 | 24.2 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Sweden | 47.9 | 56.5 | 54.7 | 88.0 | 56.4 | 66.4 | 48.5 | 32.7 | 32.9 | 46.9 | 36.4 | 45.8 | 30.8 | 26.4 | 25.8 | 21.8 |
| Total | 96.9 | 124.4 | 121. 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 166 . \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168 . \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108 . \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 70.8 | 56.0 | 65.2 | 53.9 | 71.5 | 47.0 | 52.3 | 42.0 | 34.1 |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 57.1 | 32.2 | 29.7 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 23.6 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 8.8 | 23.7 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 7.6 |
| Sweden | 37.9 | 45.2 | 36.7 | 26.4 | 16.7 | 15.4 | 30.8 | 27.0 | 18.0 | 29.9 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 16.2 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 9.6 |
| Total | 95.0 | 77.4 | 66.4 | 59.9 | 45.4 | 39.0 | 47.7 | 44.2 | 26.8 | 53.6 | 32.5 | 36.2 | 35.0 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 17.2 |

Subdivisions

| $22+\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denmark | 21.7 | 13.6 | 25.2 | 26.9 | 38.0 | 39.5 | 36.8 | 34.4 | 30.5 | 30.1 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 28.3 | 13.1 | 6.1 | 7.3 |
| Germany | 56.4 | 45.5 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 18.5 |
| Poland | 8.5 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 9.3 |  | 4.4 | 5.5 |
| Sweden | 6.3 | 8.1 | 19.3 | 22.3 | 16.2 | 7.4 | 15.8 | 9.0 | 14.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 9.9 |
| Total | 92.9 | 76.9 | 65.9 | 80.3 | 77.1 | 64.6 | 73.3 | 56.7 | 64.7 | 49.9 | 50.2 | 53.3 | 62.9 | 46.2 | 38.7 | 41.2 |

Subdivision 23

| Denmark | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sweden | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total | 1.6 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 |


| Grand Total | 286. $4$ | 279.9 | $257 .$ | 311. $4$ | $294 .$ $9$ | $234 .$ $4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 231 . \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 172. 7 | $149 .$ $8$ | $169 .$ $4$ | $137 .$ $2$ | $162 .$ $0$ | $145 .$ | $128 .$ | 109. 5 | 92.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 | 2006 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 201 | 202 | 202 |
| Year | 5 | ** | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1* |

Skagerrak

| Denmark | 14.8 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 12.7 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faroe Is- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lands | 0.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |


| Year | $\begin{array}{r} 198 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 1990 | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 199 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 199 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 200 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 200 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Germany | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Lithuania |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nether- <br> lands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Sweden | 32.5 | 26.0 | 19.4 | 16.5 | 12.9 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 6.1 |
| Total | 48.5 | 31.8 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 29.7 | 27.0 | 13.2 | 20.5 | 24.8 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 18.5 | 16.0 | 11.7 | 14.5 | 10.3 |
| Kattegat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 11.1 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Sweden | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Germany |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21.1 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 12.2 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Subdivi- <br> sions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22+24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 5.3 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Finland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Germany | 21.0 | 22.9 | 24.6 | 22.8 | 16.0 | 12.2 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 14.6 | 10.2 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 11.3 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| Poland | 6.3 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Sweden | 9.2 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total | 41.8 | 39.4 | 37.6 | 38.5 | 27.4 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 20.4 | 24.8 | 18.0 | 21.9 | 24.7 | 25.9 | 18.5 | 9.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| Subdivi- <br> sion 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 2.8 | $0.1^{*}$ | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.01 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 0.01 |
| Sweden | 0.4 | 0.7 |  | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Total | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Grand To- <br> tal | $113 .$ <br> 6 | 93.0 | 87.7 | 82.3 | 69.9 | 55.2 | 35.9 | 48.8 | 56.7 | 47.2 | 50.0 | 55.0 | 53.3 | 42.2 | 24.7 | 21.7 | 14.9 |

## *Preliminary data

${ }^{* *} 2000 \mathrm{t}$ of Danish catches are missing (HAWG 2007)
***3103 tofficially reported catches (HAWG 2011)

Table 3.1.2 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch (SOP) in 2004-2021 by fleet \& quarter ( 1000 t). (both WBSS and NSAS)

| Year | Quarter | Div. Illa |  | SD 22-24Fleet $F$ | Div. Illa + SD 22-24 | Year | Quarter | Div. Illa |  | SD 22-24 <br> Fleet F | Div. Illa + SD 22-24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fleet C | Fleet D |  | Total |  |  | Fleet C | Fleet D |  | Total |
| 2004 | 1 | 13.5 | 2.8 | 20.4 | 36.7 | 2013 | 1 | 8.5 | 0.8 | 11.7 | 20.9 |
|  | 2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 16.5 |  | 2 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 10.8 |
|  | 3 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 2.4 | 21.4 |  | 3 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 10.4 |
|  | 4 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 8.6 | 19.4 |  | 4 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 14.7 |
|  | Total | 30.3 | 22.0 | 41.7 | 93.9 |  | Total | 28.4 | 2.9 | 25.5 | 56.7 |
| 2005 | 1 | 16.6 | 6.1 | 20.4 | 43.1 | 2014 | 1 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 10.8 | 17.3 |
|  | 2 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 15.6 | 20.9 |  | 2 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 5.1 |
|  | 3 | 23.4 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 28.7 |  | 3 | 10.7 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 14.0 |
|  | 4 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 20.5 |  | 4 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 10.9 |
|  | Total | 55.4 | 14.1 | 43.7 | 113.3 |  | Total | 24.9 | 4.0 | 18.3 | 47.2 |
| 2006 | 1 | 15.3 | 5.9 | 15.1 | 36.2 | 2015 | 1 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 14.2 | 25.1 |
|  | 2 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 17.2 | 19.9 |  | 2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
|  | 3 | 15.7 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 19.5 |  | 3 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 9.9 |
|  | 4 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 17.3 |  | 4 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 11.1 |
|  | Total | 41.9 | 9.3 | 41.9 | 93.0 |  | Total | 21.6 | 6.3 | 22.1 | 50.0 |
| 2007 | 1 | 7.7 | 3.0 | 18.8 | 29.5 | 2016 | 1 | 7.9 | 0.7 | 15.5 | 24.0 |
|  | 2 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 10.5 | 14.4 |  | 2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
|  | 3 | 22.4 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 24.9 |  | 3 | 15.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 18.5 |
|  | 4 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 9.5 | 18.9 |  | 4 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 8.3 |
|  | Total | 41.6 | 5.7 | 40.5 | 87.7 |  | Total | 27.4 | 2.5 | 25.1 | 55.0 |
| 2008 | 1 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 18.4 | 30.5 | 2017 | 1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 24.3 |
|  | 2 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 11.3 | 14.3 |  | 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
|  | 3 | 14.9 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 21.5 |  | 3 | 12.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 13.2 |
|  | 4 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 16.0 |  | 4 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 12.2 |
|  | Total | 32.3 | 5.9 | 44.1 | 82.3 |  | Total | 26.4 | 0.4 | 26.5 | 53.3 |
| 2009 | 1 | 11.1 | 2.7 | 19.5 | 33.2 | 2018 | 1 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 21.9 |
|  | 2 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 10.1 |  | 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 3.8 |
|  | 3 | 14.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 16.6 |  | 3 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 10.6 |
|  | 4 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 10.0 |  | 4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 6.0 |
|  | Total | 34.5 | 4.3 | 31.0 | 69.9 |  | Total | 22.9 | 0.4 | 19.0 | 42.2 |
| 2010 | 1 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 19.8 | 2019 | 1 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 10.5 |
|  | 2 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 10.1 |  | 2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 |


| Year | Quarter | Div. Illa |  | SD 22-24 <br> Fleet F | Div. Illa + SD $22-24$Total | Year | Quarter | Div. Illa |  | SD 22-24 <br>  <br> Fleet F | Div. Illa + SD $22-24$Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fleet C | Fleet D |  |  |  |  | Fleet C | Fleet D |  |  |
|  | 3 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 14.3 |  | 3 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 7.0 |
|  | 4 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 11.1 |  | 4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.3 |
|  | Total | 35.0 | 2.3 | 17.9 | 55.2 |  | Total | 14.6 | 0.4 | 9.8 | 24.7 |
| 2011 | 1 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 15.3 | 2020 | 1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.3 |
|  | 2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 4.8 |  | 2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
|  | 3 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 8.3 |  | 3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 10.5 |
|  | 4 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 7.4 |  | 4 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 4.4 |
|  | Total | 17.4 | 2.6 | 15.8 | 35.9 |  | Total | 16.9 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 21.7 |
| 2012 | 1 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 14.0 | 20.3 | 2021 | 1 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.9 |
|  | 2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 3.5 |  | 2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
|  | 3 | 12.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 15.0 |  | 3 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 6.7 |
|  | 4 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 9.9 |  | 4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
|  | Total | 22.3 | 5.4 | 21.1 | 48.8 |  | Total | 13.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 14.9 |

Table 3.2.1 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t). by age as Wringers and quarter (both WBSS and NSAS).
Division: Skagerrak Year: 2021 Country: ALL

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 1.76 | 25.6 |  |  | 1.76 | 25.6 |
|  | 2 | 31.73 | 58.4 |  |  | 31.73 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 3.36 | 73.1 |  |  | 3.36 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.30 | 96.0 |  |  | 0.30 | 96.0 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.11 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.11 | 155 |
|  | Total | 37.26 |  | 0.00 |  | 37.26 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,190 |  | 0 |  | 2,190 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 |  |  |  | 45.3 | 1.18 | 30.1 |
|  | 1 | 0.91 | 25.6 | 0.27 | 45.3 | 16.55 | 58.4 |
|  | 2 | 16.48 | 58.4 | 0.07 | 67.3 | 1.75 | 73.1 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 3 | 1.75 | 73.1 |  |  | 0.15 | 96.0 |
|  | 4 | 0.15 | 96.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 154.5 |
|  | 8+ | 0.06 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.0004 | 130.0 |
|  | Total | 19.35 |  | 0.34 |  | 19.69 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,137 |  | 17 |  | 1,154 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 10.03 | 55.0 | 0.81 | 45.3 | 10.84 | 54.3 |
|  | 2 | 14.64 | 126.3 | 0.20 | 67.3 | 14.85 | 125.5 |
|  | 3 | 7.76 | 133.9 |  |  | 7.76 | 133.9 |
|  | 4 | 7.52 | 159.4 |  |  | 7.52 | 159.4 |
|  | 5 | 3.85 | 171.6 |  |  | 3.85 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 3.18 | 196.5 |  |  | 3.18 | 196.5 |
|  | 7 | 1.34 | 206.5 |  |  | 1.34 | 206.5 |
|  | 8+ | 1.53 | 201.3 |  |  | 1.53 | 201.3 |
|  | Total | 49.84 |  | 1.02 |  | 50.86 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 6,507 |  | 51 |  | 6,557 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 8.39 | 10.8 |  |  | 8.39 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 1.65 | 51.7 | 0.06 | 45.3 | 1.71 | 51.4 |
|  | 2 | 0.60 | 119.8 | 0.02 | 67.3 | 0.61 | 118.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.20 | 136.2 |  |  | 0.20 | 136.2 |
|  | 4 | 0.19 | 161.9 |  |  | 0.19 | 161.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.11 | 174.2 |  |  | 0.11 | 174.2 |
|  | 6 | 0.10 | 203.8 |  |  | 0.096 | 203.8 |
|  | 7 | 0.04 | 226.3 |  |  | 0.04 | 226.3 |
|  | 8+ | 0.03 | 189.1 |  |  | 0.03 | 189.1 |
|  | Total | 11.30 |  | 0.08 |  | 11.38 |  |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | SOP |  | 359 |  | 4 |  | 363 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 8.39 | 10.8 |  |  | 8.39 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 14.35 | 49.1 | 1.15 | 45.3 | 15.50 | 48.8 |
|  | 2 | 63.45 | 74.6 | 0.29 | 67.3 | 63.74 | 74.6 |
|  | 3 | 13.07 | 110.2 |  |  | 13.07 | 110.2 |
|  | 4 | 8.16 | 156.0 |  |  | 8.16 | 156.0 |
|  | 5 | 3.96 | 171.6 |  |  | 3.96 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 3.27 | 196.8 |  |  | 3.27 | 196.8 |
|  | 7 | 1.38 | 207.1 |  |  | 1.38 | 207.1 |
|  | 8+ | 1.73 | 196.5 |  |  | 1.73 | 196.5 |
|  | Total | 117.75 |  | 1.43 |  | 119.2 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 10,192 |  | 71 |  | 10,263 |

Table 3.2.2 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP ( t ) by age as $\mathbf{W}$ ringers and quarter (both WBSS and NSAS).
Division: Kattegat Year: $2021 \quad$ Country: ALL

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.91 | 22.8 | 0.08 | 45 | 0.98 | 24.5 |
|  | 2 | 34.80 | 51.4 | 0.02 | 67 | 34.82 | 51.4 |
|  | 3 | 4.16 | 73.4 |  |  | 4.16 | 73.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.64 | 112.9 |  |  | 0.64 | 112.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.09 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.09 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 40.59 |  | 0.10 |  | 40.68 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,193.542 |  | 5 |  | 2,198.272 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.0048 | 22.8 | 0.03 | 45.3 | 0.0387 | 42.5 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 2 | 0.1857 | 51.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 0.1941 | 52.1 |
|  | 3 | 0.0222 | 73.4 |  |  | 0.0222 | 73.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.00340 | 112.9 |  |  | 0.0034 | 112.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.00048 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.0005 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 0.2166 |  | 0.04 |  | 0.2588 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 11.7 |  | 2 |  | 14 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.00 | 193.9 |  |  | 0.00 | 193.9 |
|  | 4 | 0.03 | 169.8 |  |  | 0.03 | 169.8 |
|  | 5 | 0.05 | 177.5 |  |  | 0.05 | 177.5 |
|  | 6 | 0.03 | 181.6 |  |  | 0.03 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.01 | 194.8 |  |  | 0.01 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 183.3 |  |  | 0.01 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 0.13 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.13 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 24 |  | 0 |  | 24 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 0.97 | 45.3 | 0.97 | 45.3 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 0.24 | 67.3 | 0.24 | 67.3 |
|  | 3 | 0.07 | 193.9 |  |  | 0.07 | 193.9 |
|  | 4 | 0.92 | 169.8 |  |  | 0.92 | 169.8 |
|  | 5 | 1.63 | 177.5 |  |  | 1.63 | 177.5 |
|  | 6 | 1.06 | 181.6 |  |  | 1.06 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.35 | 194.8 |  |  | 0.35 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.21 | 183.3 |  |  | 0.212 | 183.3 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | Total | 4.24 |  | 1.21 |  | 5.46 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 759 |  | 60 |  | 819 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.91 | 22.8 | 1.08 | 45.3 | 1.99 | 35.0 |
|  | 2 | 34.99 | 51.4 | 0.27 | 67.3 | 35.26 | 51.5 |
|  | 3 | 4.25 | 75.5 |  |  | 4.25 | 75.5 |
|  | 4 | 1.59 | 146.9 |  |  | 1.59 | 146.9 |
|  | 5 | 1.77 | 172.6 |  |  | 1.77 | 172.6 |
|  | 6 | 1.09 | 181.6 |  |  | 1.09 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.36 | 194.8 |  |  | 0.36 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.22 | 183.3 |  |  | 0.219 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 45.18 |  | 1.35 |  | 46.53 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,987 |  | 67 |  | 3,055 |

Table 3.2.3 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age as W-ringers and quarter (WBSS).

## Subdivisions: 22-24

Year: $2021 \quad$ Country: ALL

| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0.002 | 16.2 |  |  | 0.35 | 16.2 | 0.35 | 16.2 |
|  | 2 | 0.004 | 52.5 | 0.02 | 133.1 | 0.64 | 52.5 | 0.67 | 55.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.005 | 74.9 | 0.12 | 149.7 | 0.73 | 73.4 | 0.85 | 83.9 |
|  | 4 | 0.009 | 120.1 | 0.14 | 164.2 | 0.40 | 121.6 | 0.54 | 132.2 |
|  | 5 | 0.04 | 147.4 | 0.06 | 165.9 | 0.33 | 131.9 | 0.43 | 138.0 |
|  | 6 | 0.03 | 155.7 | 0.01 | 187.3 | 0.53 | 178.3 | 0.57 | 177.3 |
|  | 7 | 0.00 | 164.7 | 0.01 | 212.7 | 0.35 | 180.5 | 0.36 | 181.4 |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 169.9 | 0.01 | 172.4 | 0.40 | 184.5 | 0.43 | 183.7 |
|  | Total | 0.10 |  | 0.37 |  | 3.73 |  | 4.21 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 15 |  | 60 |  | 416 |  | 491 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |


| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.00003 | 16.2 |  |  | 0.054 | 16.2 | 0.054 | 16.2 |
|  | 2 | 0.002 | 56.0 | 0.002 | 133.1 | 0.12 | 52.1 | 0.13 | 53.6 |
|  | 3 | 0.011 | 77.8 | 0.01 | 149.7 | 0.16 | 71.1 | 0.18 | 76.2 |
|  | 4 | 0.007 | 95.2 | 0.01 | 164.2 | 0.11 | 111.4 | 0.13 | 115.5 |
|  | 5 | 0.01 | 113.7 | 0.006 | 165.9 | 0.14 | 135.6 | 0.16 | 135.1 |
|  | 6 | 0.01 | 145.8 | 0.001 | 187.3 | 0.21 | 160.4 | 0.22 | 159.9 |
|  | 7 | 0.01 | 154.9 | 0.001 | 212.7 | 0.19 | 157.5 | 0.19 | 157.7 |
|  | 8+ | 0.008 | 164.1 | 0.001 | 172.4 | 0.29 | 167.1 | 0.30 | 167.0 |
|  | Total | 0.05 |  | 0.035 |  | 1.27 |  | 1.36 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 7 |  | 5.5 |  | 161 |  | 173 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 | 0.0000 | 20.1 |  |  | 0.00 | 20.1 | 0.00 | 20.1 |
|  | 1 | 0.00001 | 38.4 |  |  | 0.01 | 38.4 | 0.01 | 38.4 |
|  | 2 | 0.0002 | 62.5 | 0.02 | 133.1 | 0.06 | 83.1 | 0.07 | 93.7 |
|  | 3 | 0.0005 | 71.7 | 0.07 | 149.7 | 0.11 | 106.7 | 0.19 | 123.7 |
|  | 4 | 0.0006 | 106.2 | 0.09 | 164.2 | 0.09 | 135.2 | 0.17 | 149.4 |
|  | 5 | 0.001 | 122.6 | 0.04 | 165.9 | 0.04 | 149.8 | 0.08 | 157.1 |
|  | 6 | 0.001 | 157.3 | 0.01 | 187.3 | 0.03 | 170.6 | 0.04 | 173.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.0008 | 164.4 | 0.01 | 212.7 | 0.02 | 181.8 | 0.03 | 189.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.001 | 169.7 | 0.01 | 172.4 | 0.01 | 185.6 | 0.02 | 177.7 |
|  | Total | 0.006 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.36 |  | 0.60 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 0.9 |  | 38 |  | 44 |  | 83 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 0 | 0.000002 | 20.1 |  |  | 0.04 | 19.1 | 0.04 | 19.1 |
|  | 1 | 0.00001 | 38.4 |  |  | 0.18 | 38.2 | 0.18 | 38.2 |
|  | 2 | 0.0003 | 57.4 | 0.07 | 133.1 | 0.83 | 81.3 | 0.90 | 85.5 |
|  | 3 | 0.001 | 74.3 | 0.35 | 149.7 | 1.62 | 105.6 | 1.98 | 113.5 |
|  | 4 | 0.003 | 121.8 | 0.41 | 164.2 | 1.27 | 134.0 | 1.68 | 141.3 |
|  | 5 | 0.009 | 142.0 | 0.19 | 165.9 | 0.64 | 148.2 | 0.83 | 152.1 |
|  | 6 | 0.02 | 160.3 | 0.04 | 187.3 | 0.45 | 169.0 | 0.50 | 170.1 |
|  | 7 | 0.01 | 165.4 | 0.04 | 212.7 | 0.29 | 176.8 | 0.34 | 180.5 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 8+ | 0.02 | 169.6 | 0.04 | 172.4 | 0.13 | 180.8 | 0.19 | 178.1 |
|  | Total | 0.05 |  | 1.14 |  | 5.45 |  | 6.64 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 9 |  | 182 |  | 663 |  | 853 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Sub-division 22 |  | Sub-division 23 |  | Sub-division 24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.000004 | 20.1 |  |  | 0.04 | 19.1 | 0.04 | 19.1 |
|  | 1 | 0.002 | 16.3 |  |  | 0.59 | 23.0 | 0.59 | 23.0 |
|  | 2 | 0.006 | 54.0 | 0.12 | 133.1 | 1.65 | 67.9 | 1.77 | 72.2 |
|  | 3 | 0.017 | 76.6 | 0.55 | 149.7 | 2.62 | 94.6 | 3.19 | 104.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.020 | 111.3 | 0.64 | 164.2 | 1.87 | 130.0 | 2.53 | 138.6 |
|  | 5 | 0.06 | 139.3 | 0.29 | 165.9 | 1.15 | 142.0 | 1.50 | 146.5 |
|  | 6 | 0.06 | 155.2 | 0.06 | 187.3 | 1.22 | 171.7 | 1.33 | 171.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.02 | 162.5 | 0.06 | 212.7 | 0.85 | 174.2 | 0.93 | 176.3 |
|  | 8+ | 0.04 | 168.5 | 0.06 | 172.4 | 0.83 | 177.9 | 0.92 | 177.1 |
|  | Total | 0.22 |  | 1.78 |  | 10.81 |  | 12.81 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 31 |  | 286 |  | 1,284 |  | 1,601 |

Table 3.2.4 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Samples of commercial catch by quarter and area for 2021 available to the Working Group. 1/2

| Area | Country | Fleet | Quarter | Landings <br> ( '000 tons) | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | Numbers of fish aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak | Denmark | C | 1 | 0.032 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | C | 2 | 0.175 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | C | 3 | 2.529 | 7 | 584 | 297 |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.059 |  | o data available |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 2.794 | 7 | 584 | 297 |
|  | Denmark | D | 1 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | D | 2 | 0.017 |  | o data available |  |
|  |  | D | 3 | 0.051 | 2 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | D | 4 | 0.004 |  | o data available |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.071 | 2 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Germany | C | 1 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | C | 2 | 0.000 |  | - |  |


| Area | Country | Fleet | Quarter | Landings ( '000 tons) | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | Numbers of fish aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | 3 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.143 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.143 |  |  |  |
|  | Norway | C | 1 | 0.142 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 2 | 0.949 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 3 | 0.000 |  |  |  |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.031 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 1.122 | 0 | $0$ | 0 |
|  | Faroe Islands | C | 1 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | C | 2 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | C | 3 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.000 | 0 | $0$ | 0 |
|  | Sweden | C | 1 | 2.016 | 5 | 620 | 619 |
|  |  | C | 2 | 0.013 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 3 | 3.978 | 6 | $430$ | $428$ |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.126 | 8 | 262 | 262 |
|  | Total | Total |  | 6.133 | 19 | 1,312 | 1,309 |
| Kattegat | Denmark | C | 1 | 0.129 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 2 | 0.011 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 3 | 0.001 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.002 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.143 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Denmark | D | 1 | 0.005 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | D | 2 | 0.002 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | D | 3 | 0.000 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | D | 4 | 0.060 |  | No data available |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.067 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sweden | C | 1 | 2.064 | 13 | 875 | 875 |
|  |  | C | $2$ | 0.001 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 3 | 0.023 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | C | 4 | 0.757 | 1 | 60 | 60 |
|  | Total | Total |  | 2.845 | 14 | 935 | 935 |

Table 3.2.4 (continued) Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Samples of commercial catch by quarter and area for 2021 available to the Working Group. 2/2

| Area | Country | Fleet | Quarter | Landings ('000 tons) | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | Numbers of fish aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subdivision 22 | Denmark | F | 1 | 0.0004 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.002 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.001 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.006 | No data available |  |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.009 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Sweden | F | 1 | 0.000 | - |  |  |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.000 | - |  |  |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.000 | - |  |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.000 | - |  |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Germany | F | 1 | 0.014 | 5 | 1,094 | 169 |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.004 | 3 | 958 | 145 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.000 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.003 | No data available |  |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.022 | 8 | 2,052 | 314 |
| Subdivision 23 | Denmark | F | 1 | 0.000 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.004 | - |  |  |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.0001 | - |  |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.001 | - |  |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sweden | F | 1 | 0.060 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.002 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.038 | No data available |  |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.181 | 1 | 61 | 61 |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.281 | 1 | 61 | 61 |
| Subdivision 24 | Denmark | F | 1 | 0.107 | 2 | 243 | 102 |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.009 | 4 | 681 | 212 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.000 | 2 | 301 | 102 |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.022 | 6 | 982 | 327 |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.138 | 14 | 2207 | 743 |
|  | Finland | F | 1 | 0.000 | - |  |  |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.000 | - |  |  |


| Area | Country | Fleet | Quarter | Landings ('000 tons) | Numbers of samples | Numbers of fish meas. | Numbers of fish aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Germany | F | 1 | 0.246 | 9 | 1,598 | 312 |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.087 | 5 | 1,051 | 171 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.00005 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.488 | 6 | 1,624 | 640 |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.8217 | 20 | 4,273 | 1,123 |
|  | Poland | F | 1 | 0.061 | 2 | 490 | 117 |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.065 | 3 | 625 | 160 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.044 |  | - |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.079 |  | - |  |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.249 | 5 | 1115 | 277 |
|  | Sweden | F | 1 | 0.002 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | F | 2 | 0.000 |  | - |  |
|  |  | F | 3 | 0.0003 |  | No data available |  |
|  |  | F | 4 | 0.073 | 1 | 65 | 65 |
|  | Total | Total |  | 0.075 | 1 | 65 | 65 |
| Total | Skagerrak | C | 1-4 | 10.192 | 26 | 1,896 | 1,606 |
|  |  | D | 1-4 | 0.071 | 2 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Kattegat | C | 1-4 | 2.987 | 14 | 935 | 935 |
|  |  | D | 1-4 | 0.067 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Subdivision 22 | F | 1-4 | 0.031 | 9 | 2,152 | 414 |
|  | Subdivision 23 | F | 1-4 | 0.286 | 1 | 61 | 61 |
|  | Subdivision 24 | F | 1-4 | 1.284 | 40 | 7,660 | 2,208 |
|  | Total | Total | 1-4 | 14.918 | 92 | 12,714 | 5,234 |

Table 3.2.5. Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Samples of catch by quarter and area used to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight at age as $W$-ringers for 2021. 1/2

|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skagerrak | Denmark | 1 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Sampling |
|  |  | 4 | C | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q3 |
|  | Germany | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | C | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q3 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | C | Sampling |
|  |  | 2 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Sampling |
|  |  | 4 | C | Sampling |
|  | Denmark | 1 | D | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | D | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetD Q3 |
|  |  | 3 | D | Sampling |
|  |  | 4 | D | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetD Q3 |
|  | Netherlands | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | C | No landings |
|  | Faroe Islands | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | C | No landings |
|  | Norway | 1 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Sweden 27.3.a.20 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetC Q3 |
| Kattegat | Denmark | 1 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 21 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 21 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 21 fleetC Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 21 fleetC Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | C | Sampling |


|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 21 fleetC Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | C | Sweden 27.3.a. 21 fleetC Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | C | Sampling |
|  | Germany | 1 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | C | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | C | No landings |
|  | Denmark | 1 | D | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetD Q3 |
|  |  | 2 | D | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetD Q3 |
|  |  | 3 | D | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | D | Denmark 27.3.a. 20 fleetD Q3 |
| Subdivision 22 | Denmark | 1 | F-active | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d. 24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | F-active | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | F-active | No landings |
|  | Denmark | 1 | F-passive | Germany 27.3.c. 22 fleetF - passive Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 3 | F-passive | Germany 27.3.c. 22 fleetF - passive Q3 |
|  |  | 4 | F-passive | Germany 27.3.c. 22 fleetF - passive Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | F | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | F | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | F | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | F | No landings |
|  | Germany | 1 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d. 24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d. 24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | F-active | National imputation (see WD) |
|  |  | 4 | F-active | National imputation (see WD) |
|  | Germany | 1 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 2 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 3 | F-passive | National imputation (see WD Gröhsler) |
|  |  | 4 | F-passive | National imputation (see WD Gröhsler) |

[^6]Table 3.2.5. (continued) Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Samples of catch by quarter and area used to estimate catch in numbers and mean weight at age as $W$-ringers for 2021. 2/2

|  | Country | Quarter | Fleet | Sampling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subdivision 23 | Denmark | 1 | F-passive | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | F-passive | Sweden 27.3.b. 23 fleetF Q4 |
|  |  | 3 | F-passive | Sweden 27.3.b. 23 fleetF Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | F-passive | Sweden 27.3.b. 23 fleetF Q4 |
|  | Sweden | 1 | F | Sweden 27.3.b. 23 fleetF Q4 |
|  |  | 2 | F | Sweden 27.3.b. 23 fleetF Q4 |
|  |  | 3 | F | Sweden 27.3.b. 23 fleetF Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | F | Sampling |
| Subdivision 24 | Denmark | 1 | F - active | Sampling |
|  |  | 2 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d. 24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | F-active | Germany 27.3.d. 24 fleetF - active Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | F-active | Sampling |
|  | Denmark | 1 | F-passive | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 3 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 4 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  | Finland | 1 | F | No landings |
|  |  | 2 | F | No landings |
|  |  | 3 | F | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | F | No landings |
|  | Germany | 1 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | F-active | No landings |
|  |  | 4 | F-active | Sampling |
|  |  | 1 | F - passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 2 | F-passive | Sampling |
|  |  | 3 | F-passive | National imputation (see WD Gröhsler) |
|  |  | 4 | F - passive | National imputation (see WD Gröhsler) |
|  | Poland | 1 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 2 | F-active | Denmark 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  |  | 3 | F-active | Germany 27.3.d. 24 fleetF - active Q4 |
|  |  | 4 | F-active | Germany 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q4 |


| Poland | $\mathbf{1}$ | F - passive | Sampling |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | F - passive | Sampling |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | F - passive | No landings |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | F - passive | No landings |
| Sweden | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Denmark 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q1 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | No landings |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Germany 27.3.d.24 fleetF - active Q4 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | Sampling |

Fleet $\mathrm{C}=$ Human consumption, Fleet $\mathrm{D}=$ Industrial catch, Fleet $\mathrm{F}=$ All catch from Subdivisions 22-24.

Table 3.2.6 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Proportion of North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS) and Western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) given in \% in Skagerrak and Kattegat by age as W-ringers and quarter.
Year: 2021


| Quarter | W-rings | Skagerrak |  |  | Kattegat |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSAS | WBSS | n | NSAS | WBSS | n |
|  | 2 | 51.04\% | 48.96\% | 207 |  |  | 66 |
|  | 3 | 22.16\% | 77.84\% | 149 | 11.46\% | 88.54\% | 49 |
|  | 4 | 14.09\% | 85.91\% | 87 | 7.89\% | 92.11\% | 23 |
|  | 5 | 11.48\% | 88.52\% | 41 | 6.33\% | 93.67\% | 11 |
|  | 6 | 8.81\% | 91.19\% | 31 | 4.27\% | 95.73\% | 16 |
|  | 7 | 5.11\% | 94.89\% | 14 | 1.78\% | 98.22\% | 4 |
|  | 8 | 1.66\% | 98.34\% | 19 | 0.47\% | 99.53\% | 5 |
|  |  |  | gerrak |  |  | egat |  |
| Quarter | W-rings | NSAS | WBSS | n | NSAS | WBSS | n |
| 4 | 0 | 82.33\% | 17.67\% | 52 |  |  | 0 |
|  | 1 | 65.23\% | 34.77\% | 22 | 65.74\% | 34.26\% | 0 |
|  | 2 | 87.75\% | 12.25\% | 0 | 80.35\% | 19.65\% | 0 |
|  | 3 | 81.20\% | 18.80\% | 0 | 42.32\% | 57.68\% | 1 |
|  | 4 | 63.85\% | 36.15\% | 0 | 29.23\% | 70.77\% | 11 |
|  | 5 | 44.51\% | 55.49\% | 0 | 17.91\% | 82.09\% | 20 |
|  | 6 | 39.88\% | 60.12\% | 0 | 12.90\% | 87.10\% | 13 |
|  | 7 | 62.07\% | 37.93\% | 0 | 15.04\% | 84.96\% | 4 |
|  | 8 | 95.18\% | 4.82\% | 0 | 33.62\% | 66.38\% | 2 |

Table 3.2.7 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP ( t ) by age as Wringers, quarter and fleet.
North Sea Autumn spawners Division: Kattegat Year: 2021 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.82 | 22.8 | 0.07 | 45.3 | 0.88 | 24.5 |
|  | 2 | 11.40 | 51.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 11.40 | 51.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.38 | 73.4 |  |  | 0.38 | 73.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.03 | 112.9 |  |  | 0.03 | 112.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.004 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.004 | 81 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | Total | 12.63 |  | 0.07 |  | 12.70 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 636.0 |  | 3.5 |  | 639.5 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.0040 | 22.8 | 0.03 | 45.3 | 0.03 | 42.5 |
|  | 2 | 0.0183 | 51.4 | 0.001 | 67.3 | 0.02 | 52.1 |
|  | 3 | 0.00087 | 73.4 |  |  | 0.0009 | 73.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.00018 | 112.9 |  |  | 0.0002 | 112.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.00003 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.00003 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | Total | 0.023 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.05 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1.12 |  | 1.3 |  | 2.4 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.0003 | 193.9 |  |  | 0.0003 | 193.9 |
|  | 4 | 0.002 | 169.8 |  |  | 0.002 | 169.8 |
|  | 5 | 0.003 | 177.5 |  |  | 0.003 | 177.5 |
|  | 6 | 0.001 | 181.6 |  |  | 0.001 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.0002 | 194.8 |  |  | 0.0002 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00003 | 183.3 |  |  | 0.00003 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.01 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 1.3 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 0.64 | 45 | 0.64 | 45.3 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 0.20 | 67 | 0.20 | 67.3 |
|  | 3 | 0.03 | 193.9 |  |  | 0.03 | 193.9 |
|  | 4 | 0.27 | 169.8 |  |  | 0.27 | 169.8 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 5 | 0.29 | 177.5 |  |  | 0.29 | 177.5 |
|  | 6 | 0.136 | 181.6 |  |  | 0.14 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.05 | 194.8 |  |  | 0.05 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.071 | 183.3 |  |  | 0.07 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 0.85 |  | 0.83 |  | 1.69 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 151.4 |  | 42.1 |  | 193.5 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.82 | 22.8 | 0.73 | 45.3 | 1.55 | 33.4 |
|  | 2 | 11.41 | 51.4 | 0.20 | 67.3 | 11.62 | 51.7 |
|  | 3 | 0.41 | 82.4 | 0.00 |  | 0.41 | 82.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.30 | 164.0 | 0.00 |  | 0.30 | 164.0 |
|  | 5 | 0.30 | 176.2 | 0.00 |  | 0.30 | 176.2 |
|  | 6 | 0.14 | 181.6 | 0.00 |  | 0.14 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.05 | 194.8 | 0.00 |  | 0.05 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.07 | 183.3 | 0.00 |  | 0.07 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 13.51 |  | 0.94 |  | 14.44 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 790 |  | 47 |  | 837 |

Table 3.2.8 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP ( t ) by age as Wringers, quarter and fleet.
North Sea Autumn spawners Division: Skagerrak Year: 2021 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 1.68 | 25.6 |  |  | 1.68 | 25.6 |
|  | 2 | 14.00 | 58.4 |  |  | 14.00 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.39 | 73.1 |  |  | 0.39 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.02 | 96.0 |  |  | 0.02 | 96.0 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.01 | 154.5 |
|  | Total | 16.10 |  | 0.00 |  | 16.10 |  |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | SOP |  | 892.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 892.4 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.84 | 25.6 | 0.25 | 45.3 | 1.09 | 30.1 |
|  | 2 | 2.60 | 58.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 2.61 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.10 | 73.1 |  |  | 0.10 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.011 | 96.0 |  |  | 0.01 | 96.0 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.000000003 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.000000003 | 154.5 |
|  | Total | 3.54 |  | 0.26 |  | 3.80 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 181.2 |  | 11.9 |  | 193.1 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 9.48 | 55.0 | 0.77 | 45.3 | 10.25 | 54.3 |
|  | 2 | 7.47 | 126.3 | 0.10 | 67.3 | 7.58 | 125.5 |
|  | 3 | 1.72 | 133.9 |  |  | 1.72 | 133.9 |
|  | 4 | 1.06 | 159.4 |  |  | 1.06 | 159.4 |
|  | 5 | 0.44 | 171.6 |  |  | 0.44 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 0.28 | 196.5 |  |  | 0.28 | 196.5 |
|  | 7 | 0.07 | 206.5 |  |  | 0.07 | 206.5 |
|  | 8+ | 0.03 | 201.3 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
|  | Total | 20.55 |  | 0.87 |  | 21.43 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,014.5 |  | 41.8 |  | 2,051.2 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 | 6.91 | 10.8 |  |  | 6.91 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 1.07 | 51.7 | 0.04 | 45.3 | 1.11 | 51.4 |
|  | 2 | 0.53 | 119.8 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 0.54 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.17 | 136.2 |  |  | 0.17 |  |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 4 | 0.12 | 161.9 |  |  | 0.12 |  |
|  | 5 | 0.05 | 174.2 |  |  | 0.05 |  |
|  | 6 | 0.04 | 203.8 |  |  | 0.04 |  |
|  | 7 | 0.02 | 226.3 |  |  | 0.02 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.03 | 189.1 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
|  | Total | 8.93 |  | 0.05 |  | 8.99 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 262.2 |  | 2.7 |  | 131.9 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 6.91 | 10.8 | 0.00 |  | 6.91 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 13.07 | 49.1 | 1.06 | 45.3 | 14.13 | 48.8 |
|  | 2 | 24.59 | 80.3 | 0.13 | 67.3 | 24.72 | 80.3 |
|  | 3 | 2.38 | 121.5 | 0.00 |  | 2.38 | 121.5 |
|  | 4 | 1.21 | 158.1 | 0.00 |  | 1.21 | 158.1 |
|  | 5 | 0.49 | 171.8 | 0.00 |  | 0.49 | 171.8 |
|  | 6 | 0.32 | 197.4 | 0.00 |  | 0.32 | 197.4 |
|  | 7 | 0.09 | 211.7 | 0.00 |  | 0.09 | 211.7 |
|  | 8+ | 0.06 | 188.8 | 0.00 |  | 0.06 | 188.8 |
|  | Total | 49.12 |  | 1.18 |  | 50.31 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,350.3 |  | 56.5 |  | 3,407 |

Table 3.2.9 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age as Wringers, quarter and fleet.

## Western Baltic Spring spawners <br> Division: Kattegat Year: 2021 <br> Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.09 | 22.8 | 0.01 | 45.3 | 0.10 | 24.5 |
|  | 2 | 23.40 | 51.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 23.42 | 51.4 |
|  | 3 | 3.78 | 73.4 |  |  | 3.78 | 73.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.61 | 112.9 |  |  | 0.61 | 112.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.09 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.09 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | Total | 27.96 |  | 0.02 |  | 27.98 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,557.5 |  | 1.2 |  | 1,558.7 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.0009 | 22.8 | 0.01 | 45.3 | 0.01 | 42.5 |
|  | 2 | 0.17 | 51.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 0.18 | 52.1 |
|  | 3 | 0.02 | 73.4 |  |  | 0.02 | 73.4 |
|  | 4 | 0.00 | 112.9 |  |  | 0.00 | 112.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.0004 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.0004 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | Total | 0.193 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.21 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 10.59 |  | 0.8 |  | 11.4 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 3 | 0.00 | 193.9 |  |  | 0.00 | 193.9 |
|  | 4 | 0.03 | 170 |  |  | 0.03 | 169.8 |
|  | 5 | 0.05 | 178 |  |  | 0.05 | 177.5 |
|  | 6 | 0.03 | 182 |  |  | 0.03 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.01 | 195 |  |  | 0.01 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 183 |  |  | 0.01 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 0.12 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.12 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 22.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 20.2 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 0.33 | 45.3 | 0.33 | 45 |
|  | 2 |  |  | 0.05 | 67.3 | 0.05 | 67 |
|  | 3 | 0.04 | 193.9 |  |  | 0.04 | 194 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 4 | 0.65 | 169.8 |  |  | 0.65 | 170 |
|  | 5 | 1.34 | 177.5 |  |  | 1.34 | 178 |
|  | 6 | 0.92 | 181.6 |  |  | 0.92 | 182 |
|  | 7 | 0.30 | 194.8 |  |  | 0.30 | 195 |
|  | 8+ | 0.14 | 183.3 |  |  | 0.14 | 183 |
|  | Total | 3.39 |  | 0.38 |  | 3.77 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 607 |  | 18 |  | 625.5 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.09 | 22.8 | 0.35 | 45.3 | 0.44 | 40.5 |
|  | 2 | 23.57 | 51.4 | 0.07 | 67.3 | 23.64 | 51.4 |
|  | 3 | 3.84 | 74.8 | 0.00 |  | 3.84 | 74.8 |
|  | 4 | 1.28 | 142.8 | 0.00 |  | 1.28 | 142.8 |
|  | 5 | 1.47 | 171.9 | 0.00 |  | 1.47 | 171.9 |
|  | 6 | 0.95 | 181.6 | 0.00 |  | 0.95 | 181.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.31 | 194.8 | 0.00 |  | 0.31 | 194.8 |
|  | 8+ | 0.15 | 183.3 | 0.00 |  | 0.15 | 183.3 |
|  | Total | 31.67116 |  | 0.41 |  | 32.09 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,197.6 |  | 20.3 |  | 2,217.9 |

Table 3.2.10 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age as $W$ - ringers, quarter and fleet.
Western Baltic Spring spawners
Division: Skagerrak
Year: 2021
Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.08 | 25.6 |  |  | 0.08 | 25.6 |
|  | 2 | 17.74 | 58.4 |  |  | 17.74 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 2.97 | 73.1 |  |  | 2.97 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.28 | 96.0 |  |  | 0.28 | 96.0 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.10 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.10 |  |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | Total | 21.16 |  | 0.00 |  | 21.16 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,297.3 |  | 0 |  | 1,281.3 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.08 | 25.6 | 0.02 | 45.3 | 0.10 | 30.1 |
|  | 2 | 13.88 | 58.4 | 0.06 | 67.3 | 13.94 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 1.65 | 73.1 |  |  | 1.65 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.14 | 96.0 |  |  | 0.14 | 96.0 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.06 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.0585 | 154.5 |
|  | Total | 15.81 |  | 0.08 |  | 15.89 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 955.8 |  | 4.9 |  | 960.7 |
| Quarter |  | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  | W-rings | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.55 | 55.0 | 0.04 | 45.3 | 0.59 | 54.3 |
|  | 2 | 7.17 | 126.3 | 0.10 | 67.3 | 7.27 | 125.5 |
|  | 3 | 6.04 | 133.9 |  |  | 6.04 | 133.9 |
|  | 4 | 6.46 | 159.4 |  |  | 6.46 | 159.4 |
|  | 5 | 3.41 | 171.6 |  |  | 3.41 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 2.90 | 196.5 |  |  | 2.90 | 196.5 |
|  | 7 | 1.27 | 206.5 |  |  | 1.27 | 206.5 |
|  | 8+ | 1.50 | 201.3 |  |  | 1.50 | 201.3 |
|  | Total | 29.29 |  | 0.14 |  | 29.43 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,492.3 |  | 8.7 |  | 4,501.0 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 | 1.48 | 10.8 |  |  | 1.48 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 0.57 | 51.7 | 0.02 | 45.3 | 0.59 | 51.4 |
|  | 2 | 0.07 | 119.8 | 0.00 | 67.3 | 0.08 | 118.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.04 | 136.2 |  |  | 0.04 | 136.2 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 4 | 0.07 | 161.9 |  |  | 0.07 | 161.9 |
|  | 5 | 0.06 | 174.2 |  |  | 0.06 | 174.2 |
|  | 6 | 0.06 | 203.8 |  |  | 0.06 | 203.8 |
|  | 7 | 0.01 | 226.3 |  |  | 0.01 | 226.3 |
|  | 8+ | 0.00 | 189.1 |  |  | 0.00 | 189.1 |
|  | Total | 2.37 |  | 0.02 |  | 2.39 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 96.5 |  | 1.1 |  | 94.3 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 1.48 | 10.8 | 0.00 |  | 1.48 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 1.28 | 49.9 | 0.09 | 45.3 | 1.36 | 49.6 |
|  | 2 | 38.86 | 71.0 | 0.16 | 67.3 | 39.02 | 71.0 |
|  | 3 | 10.69 | 107.7 | 0.00 |  | 10.69 | 107.7 |
|  | 4 | 6.95 | 155.6 | 0.00 |  | 6.95 | 155.6 |
|  | 5 | 3.47 | 171.6 | 0.00 |  | 3.47 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 2.95 | 196.7 | 0.00 |  | 2.95 | 196.7 |
|  | 7 | 1.28 | 206.7 | 0.00 |  | 1.28 | 206.7 |
|  | 8+ | 1.66 | 196.8 | 0.00 |  | 1.66 | 196.8 |
|  | Total | 68.63 |  | 0.25 |  | 68.88 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 6,841.9 |  | 14.6 |  | 6,857 |

Table 3.2.11 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age as Wringers, quarter and fleet.
North Sea Autumn spawners Division: 3.a Year: $2021 \quad$ Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 2.49 | 24.7 | 0.07 | 45.3 | 2.56 | 25.2 |
|  | 2 | 25.39 | 55.3 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 25.40 | 55.3 |
|  | 3 | 0.77 | 73.2 |  |  | 0.77 | 73.2 |
|  | 4 | 0.05 | 106.7 |  |  | 0.05 | 106.7 |
|  | 5 | 0.00 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.00 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.01 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.01 | 154.5 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | Total | 28.72 |  | 0.07 |  | 28.80 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 1,528.4 |  | 3.5 |  | 1,531.9 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.84 | 25.6 | 0.28 | 45.3 | 1.12 | 30.5 |
|  | 2 | 2.61 | 58.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 2.63 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 0.10 | 73.1 |  |  | 0.10 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.011 | 96.3 |  |  | 0.01 | 96.3 |
|  | 5 | 0.000 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.00 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.000000003 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.000000003 | 154.5 |
|  | Total | 3.56 |  | 0.29 |  | 3.85 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 182.3 |  | 13.3 |  | 195.6 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 9.48 | 55.0 | 0.77 | 45.3 | 10.25 | 54.3 |
|  | 2 | 7.47 | 126.3 | 0.10 | 67.3 | 7.58 | 125.5 |
|  | 3 | 1.72 | 133.9 |  |  | 1.72 | 133.9 |
|  | 4 | 1.062 | 159.4 |  |  | 1.06 | 159.4 |
|  | 5 | 0.45 | 171.6 |  |  | 0.45 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 0.28 | 196.5 |  |  | 0.28 | 196.5 |
|  | 7 | 0.07 | 206.5 |  |  | 0.07 | 206.5 |
|  | 8+ | 0.03 | 201.3 |  |  | 0.03 | 201.3 |
|  | Total | 20.56 |  | 0.87 |  | 21.43 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,015.8 |  | 41.8 |  | 2,057.6 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 | 6.91 | 10.8 |  |  | 6.91 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 1.07 | 51.7 | 0.68 | 45.3 | 1.75 | 49.2 |
|  | 2 | 0.53 | 119.8 | 0.21 | 67.3 | 0.73 | 104.8 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 3 | 0.20 | 145.2 |  |  | 0.20 | 145.2 |
|  | 4 | 0.39 | 167.3 |  |  | 0.39 | 167.3 |
|  | 5 | 0.34 | 177.0 |  |  | 0.34 | 177.0 |
|  | 6 | 0.17 | 186.46 |  |  | 0.17 | 186.5 |
|  | 7 | 0.08 | 204.68 |  |  | 0.08 | 204.7 |
|  | 8+ | 0.10 | 184.95 |  |  | 0.10 | 185.0 |
|  | Total | 9.78 |  | 0.89 |  | 10.67 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 413.7 |  | 44.8 |  | 458.4 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 6.91 | 10.8 | 0.00 |  | 6.91 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 13.89 | 47.5 | 1.79 | 45.3 | 15.69 | 47.3 |
|  | 2 | 36.01 | 71.2 | 0.33 | 67.3 | 36.34 | 71.1 |
|  | 3 | 2.79 | 115.8 | 0.00 |  | 2.79 | 115.8 |
|  | 4 | 1.51 | 159.3 | 0.00 |  | 1.51 | 159.3 |
|  | 5 | 0.79 | 173.5 | 0.00 |  | 0.79 | 173.5 |
|  | 6 | 0.46 | 192.6 | 0.00 |  | 0.46 | 192.6 |
|  | 7 | 0.15 | 205.5 | 0.00 |  | 0.15 | 205.5 |
|  | 8+ | 0.13 | 185.9 | 0.00 |  | 0.13 | 185.9 |
|  | Total | 62.63 |  | 2.12 |  | 64.75 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,140.1 |  | 103.4 |  | 4,244 |

Table 3.2.12 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age as W - ringers, quarter and fleet.
Western Baltic Spring spawners Division: 3.a Year: 2021 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 1 | 0.17 | 24.1 | 0.01 | 45.3 | 0.18 | 25.0 |
|  | 2 | 41.14 | 54.4 | 0.01 | 67.3 | 41.15 | 54.4 |
|  | 3 | 6.74 | 73.3 |  |  | 6.74 | 73.3 |
|  | 4 | 0.88 | 107.6 |  |  | 0.88 | 107.6 |
|  | 5 | 0.09 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.09 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 8+ | 0.10 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.10 | 154.5 |
|  | Total | 49.13 |  | 0.02 |  | 49.15 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 2,854.8 |  | 1.2 |  | 2,856.0 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.08 | 25.6 | 0.03 | 45.3 | 0.11 | 30.9 |
|  | 2 | 14.05 | 58.3 | 0.06 | 67.3 | 14.11 | 58.4 |
|  | 3 | 1.67 | 73.1 |  |  | 1.67 | 73.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.15 | 96.4 |  |  | 0.15 | 96.4 |
|  | 5 | 0.0004 | 81.1 |  |  | 0.0004 | 81.1 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 8+ | 0.06 | 154.5 |  |  | 0.0585 | 154.5 |
|  | Total | 16.00 |  | 0.09 |  | 16.09 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 966.4 |  | 5.6 |  | 972.1 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.55 | 55.0 | 0.04 | 45.3 | 0.59 | 54.3 |
|  | 2 | 7.17 | 126.3 | 0.10 | 67.3 | 7.27 | 125.5 |
|  | 3 | 6.04 | 134.0 |  |  | 6.04 | 134.0 |
|  | 4 | 6.49 | 159.5 |  |  | 6.49 | 159.5 |
|  | 5 | 3.46 | 171.6 |  |  | 3.46 | 171.6 |
|  | 6 | 2.93 | 196.4 |  |  | 2.93 | 196.4 |
|  | 7 | 1.28 | 206.4 |  |  | 1.28 | 206.4 |
|  | 8+ | 1.51 | 201.2 |  |  | 1.51 | 201.2 |
|  | Total | 29.41 |  | 0.14 |  | 29.56 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4,515 |  | 8.7 |  | 4,523.2 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
|  | 0 | 1.48 | 10.8 |  |  | 1.48 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 0.57 | 51.7 | 0.35 | 45.3 | 0.93 | 49.2 |
|  | 2 | 0.07 | 119.8 | 0.05 | 67.3 | 0.12 | 98.6 |


| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 4 | 3 | 0.08 | 166.1 |  |  | 0.08 | 166.1 |
|  | 4 | 0.72 | 169.0 |  |  | 0.72 | 169.0 |
|  | 5 | 1.40 | 177.4 |  |  | 1.40 | 177.4 |
|  | 6 | 0.98 | 182.9 |  |  | 0.98 | 182.9 |
|  | 7 | 0.31 | 196.3 |  |  | 0.31 | 196.3 |
|  | 8+ | 0.14 | 183.4 |  |  | 0.14 | 183.4 |
|  | Total | 5.76 |  | 0.40 |  | 6.16 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 703.7 |  | 19.4 |  | 723.1 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Fleet C |  | Fleet D |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| Total | 0 | 1.48 | 10.8 | 0.00 |  | 1.48 | 10.8 |
|  | 1 | 1.37 | 48.0 | 0.43 | 45.3 | 1.80 | 47.4 |
|  | 2 | 62.43 | 63.6 | 0.23 | 67.3 | 62.66 | 63.6 |
|  | 3 | 14.53 | 99.0 | 0.00 |  | 14.53 | 99.0 |
|  | 4 | 8.23 | 153.6 | 0.00 |  | 8.23 | 153.6 |
|  | 5 | 4.94 | 171.7 | 0.00 |  | 4.94 | 171.7 |
|  | 6 | 3.91 | 193.0 | 0.00 |  | 3.91 | 193.0 |
|  | 7 | 1.59 | 204.4 | 0.00 |  | 1.59 | 204.4 |
|  | 8+ | 1.81 | 195.7 | 0.00 |  | 1.81 | 195.7 |
|  | Total | 100.30 |  | 0.66 |  | 100.96 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 9,039.4 |  | 34.9 |  | 9,074 |

multifleet assessment input

Table 3.2.13 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Total catch in numbers (mill) and mean weight (g), SOP (tonnes) of Western Baltic Spring spawners in Division 3.a and the North Sea in the years 1993-2021.

| Year/ | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | Numbers | 161.25 | 371.50 | 315.82 | 219.05 | 94.08 | 59.43 | 40.97 | 21.71 | 8.22 | 1,292.03 |
|  | Mean W. | 15.1 | 25.9 | 81.4 | 127.5 | 150.1 | 171.1 | 195.9 | 209.1 | 239.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,435 | 9,612 | 25,696 | 27,936 | 14,120 | 10,167 | 8,027 | 4,541 | 1,966 | 104,498 |
| 1994 | Numbers | 60.62 | 153.11 | 261.14 | 221.64 | 130.97 | 77.30 | 44.40 | 14.39 | 8.62 | 972.19 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.2 | 42.6 | 94.8 | 122.7 | 150.3 | 168.7 | 194.7 | 209.9 | 220.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,225 | 6,524 | 24,767 | 27,206 | 19,686 | 13,043 | 8,642 | 3,022 | 1,898 | 106,013 |
| 1995 | Numbers | 50.31 | 302.51 | 204.19 | 97.93 | 90.86 | 30.55 | 21.28 | 12.01 | 7.24 | 816.86 |
|  | Mean W. | 17.9 | 41.5 | 97.8 | 138.0 | 163.1 | 198.5 | 207.0 | 228.8 | 234.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 902 | 12,551 | 19,970 | 13,517 | 14,823 | 6,065 | 4,404 | 2,747 | 1,696 | 76,674 |
| 1996 | Numbers | 166.23 | 228.05 | 317.74 | 75.60 | 40.41 | 30.63 | 12.58 | 6.73 | 5.63 | 883.60 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.5 | 27.6 | 90.1 | 134.9 | 164.9 | 186.6 | 204.1 | 208.5 | 220.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,748 | 6,296 | 28,618 | 10,197 | 6,665 | 5,714 | 2,568 | 1,402 | 1,241 | 64,449 |
| 1997 | Numbers | 25.97 | 73.43 | 158.71 | 180.06 | 30.15 | 14.15 | 4.77 | 1.75 | 2.31 | 491.31 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.2 | 49.7 | 76.7 | 127.2 | 154.4 | 175.8 | 184.4 | 192.0 | 208.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 498 | 3,648 | 12,176 | 22,913 | 4,656 | 2,489 | 879 | 337 | 480 | 48,075 |
| 1998 | Numbers | 36.26 | 175.14 | 315.15 | 94.53 | 54.72 | 11.19 | 8.72 | 2.19 | 2.09 | 699.98 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.8 | 51.3 | 71.5 | 108.8 | 142.6 | 171.7 | 194.4 | 184.2 | 230.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,009 | 8,980 | 22,542 | 10,287 | 7,804 | 1,922 | 1,695 | 403 | 481 | 55,121 |
| 1999 | Numbers | 41.34 | 190.29 | 155.67 | 122.26 | 43.16 | 22.21 | 4.42 | 3.02 | 2.40 | 584.77 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.5 | 51.0 | 83.6 | 114.9 | 121.2 | 145.2 | 169.6 | 123.8 | 152.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 477 | 9,698 | 13,012 | 14,048 | 5,232 | 3,225 | 749 | 373 | 366 | 47,179 |
| 2000 | Numbers | 114.83 | 318.22 | 302.10 | 99.88 | 50.85 | 18.76 | 8.21 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 915.60 |
|  | Mean W. | 22.6 | 31.9 | 67.4 | 107.7 | 140.2 | 170.0 | 157.0 | 185.0 | 210.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,601 | 10,145 | 20,357 | 10,756 | 7,131 | 3,189 | 1,288 | 249 | 294 | 56,010 |
| 2001 | Numbers | 121.68 | 36.63 | 208.10 | 111.08 | 32.06 | 19.67 | 9.84 | 4.17 | 2.42 | 545.65 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.0 | 51.2 | 76.2 | 108.9 | 145.3 | 171.4 | 188.2 | 187.2 | 203.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,096 | 1,875 | 15,863 | 12,093 | 4,657 | 3,371 | 1,852 | 780 | 492 | 42,079 |
| 2002 | Numbers | 69.63 | 577.69 | 168.26 | 134.60 | 53.09 | 12.05 | 7.48 | 2.43 | 2.02 | 1,027.26 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.2 | 20.4 | 78.2 | 117.7 | 143.8 | 169.8 | 191.9 | 198.2 | 215.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 709 | 11,795 | 13,162 | 15,848 | 7,632 | 2,046 | 1,435 | 481 | 435 | 53,544 |
| 2003 | Numbers | 52.11 | 63.02 | 182.53 | 65.45 | 64.37 | 21.47 | 6.26 | 4.35 | 1.81 | 461.38 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.0 | 37.4 | 76.5 | 113.3 | 132.7 | 142.2 | 153.5 | 169.9 | 162.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 678 | 2,355 | 13,957 | 7,416 | 8,540 | 3,053 | 961 | 740 | 294 | 37,994 |


| Year/ | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | Numbers | 25.67 | 209.34 | 96.02 | 93.98 | 18.24 | 16.84 | 4.51 | 1.51 | 0.59 | 466.71 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.1 | 43.2 | 81.9 | 117.1 | 145.4 | 157.4 | 170.7 | 184.4 | 187.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 695 | 9,047 | 7,869 | 11,005 | 2,652 | 2,651 | 769 | 279 | 111 | 35,078 |
| 2005 | Numbers | 95.3 | 96.9 | 203.3 | 75.4 | 46.9 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 543.51 |
|  | Mean W. | 14.1 | 54.9 | 85.6 | 121.6 | 148.3 | 162.7 | 176.3 | 178.3 | 200.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,341 | 5,319 | 17,415 | 9,163 | 6,961 | 1,519 | 2,028 | 618 | 282 | 44,645 |
| 2006 c | Numbers | 7.3 | 104.1 | 115.6 | 114.2 | 48.9 | 55.7 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 5.2 | 472.49 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.6 | 36.9 | 82.9 | 113.0 | 142.5 | 175.2 | 198.2 | 209.5 | 220.0 |  |
|  | SOP | 121 | 3,847 | 9,584 | 12,907 | 6,972 | 9,765 | 2,199 | 2,159 | 1,134 | 48,688 |
| 2007 | Numbers | 1.6 | 103.9 | 90.9 | 36.9 | 30.8 | 12.8 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 295.22 |
|  | Mean W. | 25.2 | 65.6 | 85.0 | 115.7 | 138.4 | 159.2 | 190.8 | 178.6 | 211.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 41 | 6,816 | 7,723 | 4,269 | 4,265 | 2,035 | 1,802 | 1,114 | 567 | 28,632 |
| 2008 | Numbers | 4.9 | 101.8 | 71.1 | 38.9 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 258.80 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.2 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 114.5 | 142.2 | 171.2 | 181.4 | 200.0 | 196.4 | 98.02 |
|  | SOP | 94 | 7,281 | 6,472 | 4,456 | 1,917 | 2,590 | 1,402 | 900 | 256 | 25,368 |
| 2009 | Numbers | 14.8 | 149.6 | 132.3 | 45.9 | 24.4 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 398.63 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.4 | 52.0 | 90.3 | 118.6 | 167.5 | 181.4 | 213.9 | 228.9 | 259.5 | 90.89 |
|  | SOP | 199 | 7,783 | 11,946 | 5,436 | 4,094 | 1,974 | 1,669 | 1,757 | 1,371 | 36,230 |
| 2010 | Numbers | 9.1 | 48.6 | 106.1 | 45.2 | 20.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 257.38 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.2 | 59.3 | 84.7 | 129.8 | 165.9 | 196.2 | 221.8 | 234.3 | 257.2 | 106.71 |
|  | SOP | 75 | 2,878 | 8,991 | 5,870 | 3,445 | 1,686 | 1,311 | 1,696 | 1,513 | 27,465 |
| 2011 | Numbers | 6.2 | 83.1 | 29.9 | 21.0 | 13.4 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 164.56 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.4 | 33.7 | 89.0 | 120.4 | 140.2 | 170.2 | 185.9 | 216.3 | 211.8 | 72.57 |
|  | SOP | 52 | 2,797 | 2,660 | 2,522 | 1,878 | 1,020 | 554 | 222 | 237 | 11,941 |
| 2012 | Numbers | 1.5 | 30.5 | 94.3 | 20.7 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 178.68 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.3 | 47.0 | 76.1 | 134.2 | 165.1 | 182.0 | 204.1 | 222.0 | 225.6 | 98.24 |
|  | SOP | 14 | 1,434 | 7,180 | 2,780 | 1,570 | 1,290 | 858 | 495 | 1,931 | 17,553 |
| 2013 | Numbers |  | 12.0 | 51.7 | 71.4 | 11.3 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 153.62 |
|  | Mean W. |  | 59.5 | 94.2 | 131.8 | 162.6 | 195.0 | 207.8 | 247.9 | 238.1 | 119.29 |
|  | SOP |  | 716 | 4,872 | 9,409 | 1,830 | 848 | 290 | 118 | 242 | 18,325 |
| 2014 | Numbers | 25.3 | 31.5 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 44.6 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 165.42 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.3 | 52.2 | 98.5 | 137.4 | 178.2 | 199.2 | 211.7 | 225.1 | 227.0 | 114.98 |
|  | SOP | 236 | 1,647 | 2,203 | 3,332 | 7,942 | 1,513 | 964 | 524 | 659 | 19,020 |
| 2015 | Numbers | 3.3 | 57.8 | 59.9 | 21.0 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 182.10 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.0 | 31.8 | 67.9 | 115.2 | 152.4 | 172.8 | 193.4 | 198.7 | 212.9 | 84.28 |


| Year/ | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SOP | 53 | 1,838 | 4,067 | 2,418 | 2,150 | 2,521 | 939 | 532 | 830 | 15,348 |
| 2016 | Numbers | 23.9 | 27.2 | 161.7 | 43.0 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 304.65 |
|  | Mean W. | 7.1 | 40.1 | 63.8 | 126.1 | 160.7 | 175.1 | 200.8 | 212.8 | 235.0 | 86.08 |
|  | SOP | 170 | 1,091 | 10,312 | 5,426 | 2,142 | 2,119 | 2,661 | 765 | 1,539 | 26,224 |
| 2017 | Numbers | 1.4 | 48.4 | 42.2 | 42.8 | 34.2 | 10.2 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 200.41 |
|  | Mean W. | 30.5 | 44.1 | 61.3 | 113.2 | 141.8 | 162.8 | 171.2 | 182.9 | 169.9 | 98.93 |
|  | SOP | 44 | 2,137 | 2,585 | 4,848 | 4,844 | 1,668 | 1,863 | 1,345 | 493 | 19,827 |
| 2018 | Numbers | 0.3 | 20.5 | 179.1 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 22.3 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 268.88 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.3 | 55.7 | 55.3 | 109.3 | 154.4 | 179.7 | 195.0 | 194.9 | 206.4 | 82.07 |
|  | SOP | 3 | 1,140 | 9,902 | 1,927 | 2,346 | 4,007 | 1,334 | 761 | 647 | 22,066 |
| 2019 | Numbers | 5.3 | 38.2 | 59.2 | 21.0 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 158.51 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.0 | 52.8 | 85.0 | 118.9 | 138.4 | 166.1 | 183.3 | 193.9 | 211.4 | 98.35 |
|  | SOP | 106 | 2,019 | 5,036 | 2,502 | 1,138 | 1,619 | 2,035 | 577 | 557 | 15,589 |
| 2020 | Numbers | 10.8 | 36.6 | 54.9 | 23.3 | 17.1 | 7.8 | 13.6 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 178.18 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.6 | 47.1 | 67.1 | 132.5 | 160.7 | 180.8 | 186.1 | 199.3 | 204.8 | 101.94 |
|  | SOP | 146 | 1,723 | 3,681 | 3,094 | 2,753 | 1,406 | 2,536 | 1,663 | 1,160 | 18,163 |
| 2021 | Numbers | 1.5 | 2.2 | 63.8 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 122.29 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.8 | 60.2 | 64.9 | 107.1 | 156.4 | 169.8 | 186.8 | 194.9 | 196.1 | 102.87 |
|  | SOP | 16 | 132 | 4,138 | 1,856 | 2,436 | 1,597 | 1,082 | 525 | 796 | 12,579 |

Data for 1995 to 2001 was revised in 2003.
${ }^{c}$ values have been corrected in 2007

Table 3.2.14 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Catch in numbers (mill.), mean weight (g.) and SOP (t) by age as Wringers, quarter and fleet. Western Baltic Spring spawners (values from the North Sea, see tables 2.2.1-2.2.5) North Sea + Div. 3.a + SD 22-24 Year: 2021 Country: All

| Quarter | W-rings | Division IV |  | Division Illa |  | Subdivision 22-24 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 1 | 0.00001 | 116.00 | 0.18 | 25.02 | 0.35 | 16.17 | 0.54 | 19.18 |
|  | 2 | 0.004 | 134.00 | 41.15 | 54.42 | 0.67 | 55.44 | 41.83 | 54.45 |
|  | 3 | 0.006 | 145.00 | 6.74 | 73.27 | 0.85 | 83.90 | 7.59 | 74.51 |
|  | 4 | 0.003 | 155.00 | 0.88 | 107.59 | 0.54 | 132.16 | 1.43 | 117.04 |
|  | 5 | 0.011 | 163.00 | 0.09 | 81.10 | 0.43 | 138.04 | 0.53 | 129.30 |
|  | 6 | 0.002 | 168.00 |  |  | 0.57 | 177.32 | 0.58 | 177.29 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 0.36 | 181.41 | 0.36 | 181.41 |
|  | 8+ | 0.006 | 182.00 | 0.10 | 154.50 | 0.43 | 183.71 | 0.53 | 178.06 |
|  | Total | 0.031 |  | 49.15 |  | 4.21 |  | 53.39 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 4.9 |  | 2,856.0 |  | 491.1 |  | 3,352.0 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Division IV |  | Division Illa |  | Subdivision 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 2 | 1 | 0.363 | 116.00 | 0.11 | 30.93 | 0.05 | 16.17 | 0.52 | 88.39 |
|  | 2 | 1.009 | 134.00 | 14.11 | 58.36 | 0.13 | 53.64 | 15.25 | 63.33 |
|  | 3 | 2.576 | 145.00 | 1.67 | 73.10 | 0.18 | 76.17 | 4.43 | 115.06 |
|  | 4 | 6.764 | 155.00 | 0.15 | 96.37 | 0.13 | 115.55 | 7.04 | 153.05 |
|  | 5 | 4.115 | 163.00 | 0.00 | 81.10 | 0.16 | 135.10 | 4.27 | 161.96 |
|  | 6 | 1.704 | 168.00 |  |  | 0.22 | 159.88 | 1.92 | 167.08 |
|  | 7 | 1.015 | 176.00 |  |  | 0.19 | 157.74 | 1.21 | 173.06 |
|  | 8+ | 1.756 | 184.43 | 0.06 | 154.50 | 0.30 | 167.04 | 2.11 | 181.17 |
|  | Total | 19.301 |  | 16.09 |  | 1.36 |  | 36.75 |  |
|  | SOP |  | 3,058.6 |  | 972.1 |  | 172.9 |  | 4,203.6 |
| Quarter | W-rings | Division IV |  | Division Illa |  | Subdivision 22-24 |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. | Numbers | Mean W. |
| 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0.002 | 20.10 | 0.002 | 20.10 |
|  | 1 | 0.03 | 158.00 | 0.59 | 54.26 | 0.01 | 38.38 | 0.63 | 59.16 |
|  | 2 | 0.08 | 187.00 | 7.27 | 125.47 | 0.07 | 93.69 | 7.42 | 125.84 |
|  | 3 | 0.21 | 202.00 | 6.04 | 133.95 | 0.19 | 123.72 | 6.44 | 135.90 |
|  | 4 | 0.56 | 214.00 | 6.49 | 159.46 | 0.17 | 149.35 | 7.22 | 163.45 |
|  | 5 | 0.34 | 224.00 | 3.46 | 171.64 | 0.08 | 157.07 | 3.88 | 175.97 |


single fleet assessment input
multifleet assessment input

Table 3.2.15 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Total catch in numbers (mill) of Western Baltic Spring Spawners in North Sea + Div. 3.a + SD 22-24 in the years 1993-2021.

| Year | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1130. |
|  | $3 . \mathrm{a}$ | 161.3 | 371.5 | 315.8 | 219.0 | 94.1 | 59.4 | 41.0 | 21.7 | 8.2 | 8 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 44.9 | 159.2 | 180.1 | 196.1 | 166.9 | 151.1 | 61.8 | 42.2 | 16.3 | 973.7 |
| 1994 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 60.6 | 153.1 | 261.1 | 221.6 | 131.0 | 77.3 | 44.4 | 14.4 | 8.6 | 911.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 202.6 | 96.3 | 103.8 | 161.0 | 136.1 | 90.8 | 74.0 | 35.1 | 24.5 | 721.6 |
| 1995 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 50.3 | 302.5 | 204.2 | 97.9 | 90.9 | 30.6 | 21.3 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 816.9 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1951. |
|  | 22-24 | 491.0 | 1,358.2 | 233.9 | 128.9 | 104.0 | 53.6 | 38.8 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 5 |


| 1996 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.a | 166.2 | 228.1 | 317.7 | 75.6 | 40.4 | 30.6 | 12.6 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 883.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 4.9 | 410.8 | 82.8 | 124.1 | 103.7 | 99.5 | 52.7 | 24.0 | 19.5 | 917.1 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 3.a | 26.0 | 73.4 | 158.7 | 180.1 | 30.2 | 14.2 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 491.3 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 350.8 | 595.2 | 130.6 | 96.9 | 45.1 | 29.0 | 35.1 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 973.2 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 3.a | 36.3 | 175.1 | 315.1 | 94.5 | 54.7 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 700.0 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 513.5 | 447.9 | 115.8 | 88.3 | 92.0 | 34.1 | 15.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 818.4 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 3.a | 41.3 | 190.3 | 155.7 | 122.3 | 43.2 | 22.2 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 584.8 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 528.3 | 425.8 | 178.7 | 123.9 | 47.1 | 33.7 | 11.1 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 830.5 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 3.a | 114.83 | 318.22 | 302.10 | 99.88 | 50.85 | 18.76 | 8.21 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 915.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1079. |
|  | 22-24 | 37.7 | 616.3 | 194.3 | 86.7 | 77.8 | 53.0 | 30.1 | 12.4 | 9.3 | 9 |


|  | Div. 4+Div. <br> 3.a | 121.7 | 36.6 | 208.1 | 111.1 | 32.1 | 19.7 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 545.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 80.6 | 81.4 | 113.6 | 186.7 | 119.2 | 45.1 | 31.1 | 11.4 | 6.3 | 675.4 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 3.a | 52.1 | 63.0 | 182.5 | 64.0 | 62.2 | 20.3 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 455.5 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 1.4 | 63.9 | 82.3 | 95.8 | 125.1 | 82.2 | 22.9 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 493.6 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 3.a | 25.7 | 209.3 | 96.0 | 94.0 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 466.7 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 217.9 | 248.4 | 101.8 | 70.8 | 75.0 | 74.4 | 44.5 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 856.5 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 3.a | 95.3 | 96.9 | 203.3 | 75.4 | 46.9 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 543.5 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 11.6 | 207.6 | 115.9 | 102.5 | 83.5 | 51.3 | 54.2 | 27.8 | 11.2 | 665.5 |
| 2006 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | 3.a | 7.3 | 104.1 | 115.6 | 114.2 | 48.9 | 55.7 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 5.2 | 472.5 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.6 | 44.8 | 72.1 | 119.0 | 101.7 | 43.0 | 31.4 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 446.8 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | $3 . \mathrm{a}$ | 1.6 | 103.9 | 90.9 | 36.9 | 30.8 | 12.8 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 295.2 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1206. |
|  | 22-24 | 19.0 | 668.5 | 158.3 | 169.7 | 112.8 | 65.1 | 24.6 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 8 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 3.a | 4.9 | 101.8 | 71.1 | 38.9 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 258.8 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1206. |
|  | 22-24 | 19.0 | 668.5 | 158.3 | 169.7 | 112.8 | 65.1 | 24.6 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 8 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 3.a | 14.8 | 149.6 | 132.3 | 45.9 | 24.4 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 398.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 5.9 | 31.5 | 110.7 | 55.5 | 45.5 | 37.2 | 31.9 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 338.7 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 3.a | 9.1 | 48.6 | 106.1 | 45.2 | 20.8 | 8.6 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 257.4 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 3.3 | 26.5 | 31.3 | 39.3 | 28.5 | 22.4 | 13.9 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 180.6 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 3.a | 6.2 | 83.1 | 29.9 | 21.0 | 13.4 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 164.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 16.4 | 17.8 | 35.9 | 21.6 | 19.6 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 152.0 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 3.a | 1.5 | 30.5 | 94.3 | 20.7 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 178.7 |


| Year | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.5 | 46.3 | 36.5 | 43.8 | 37.8 | 28.4 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 224.6 |
| 2013 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a |  | 12.0 | 51.7 | 71.4 | 11.3 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 153.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 1.0 | 60.6 | 37.1 | 43.3 | 55.9 | 28.7 | 25.3 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 274.5 |
| 2014 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 25.3 | 31.5 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 44.6 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 165.4 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 5.8 | 35.3 | 37.7 | 42.1 | 37.5 | 19.0 | 11.2 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 201.4 |
| 2015 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 3.3 | 57.8 | 59.9 | 21.0 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 182.1 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 26.7 | 46.2 | 72.8 | 38.5 | 48.4 | 29.8 | 14.9 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 294.3 |
| 2016 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 23.9 | 27.2 | 161.7 | 43.0 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 304.6 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 20.0 | 22.3 | 37.2 | 93.9 | 45.7 | 30.5 | 17.4 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 285.8 |
| 2017 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 1.4 | 48.4 | 42.2 | 42.8 | 34.2 | 10.2 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 200.4 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.1 | 9.4 | 32.8 | 38.5 | 78.3 | 38.5 | 26.9 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 248.3 |
| 2018 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 0.3 | 20.5 | 179.1 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 22.3 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 268.9 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.4 | 48.4 | 18.5 | 34.6 | 23.1 | 51.3 | 16.3 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 205.8 |
| 2019 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 5.3 | 38.2 | 59.2 | 21.0 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 158.5 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 20.7 | 15.6 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 15.9 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 92.4 |
| 2020 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 10.8 | 36.6 | 54.9 | 23.3 | 17.1 | 7.8 | 13.6 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 178.2 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 31.2 |
| 2021 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 1.5 | 2.2 | 63.8 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 122.3 |
|  | Subdiv. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22-24 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 12.8 |

Data for 1995-2001 for the North Sea and Division 3.a was revised in 2003.
C values have been corrected in 2007.

Table 3.2.16 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Mean weight (g) and SOP ( t ) of Western Baltic Spring Spawners in North Sea + Div. 3.a + SD22-24 in the years 1993-2021.

|  | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 15.1 | 25.9 | 81.4 | 127.5 | 150.1 | 171.1 | 195.9 | 209.1 | 239.0 | 104,498 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 16.2 | 24.5 | 44.5 | 73.6 | 94.1 | 122.4 | 149.4 | 168.5 | 178.7 | 80,512 |
| 1994 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 20.2 | 42.6 | 94.8 | 122.7 | 150.3 | 168.7 | 194.7 | 209.9 | 220.2 | 106,013 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 12.9 | 28.2 | 54.2 | 76.4 | 95.0 | 117.7 | 133.6 | 154.3 | 173.9 | 66,425 |
| 1995 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 17.9 | 41.5 | 97.8 | 138.0 | 163.1 | 198.5 | 207.0 | 228.8 | 234.3 | 76,674 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 9.3 | 16.3 | 42.8 | 68.3 | 88.9 | 125.4 | 150.4 | 193.3 | 207.4 | 74,157 |
| 1996 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 10.5 | 27.6 | 90.1 | 134.9 | 164.9 | 186.6 | 204.1 | 208.5 | 220.2 | 64,449 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 12.1 | 22.9 | 45.8 | 74.0 | 92.1 | 116.3 | 120.8 | 139.0 | 182.5 | 56,817 |
| 1997 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 19.2 | 49.7 | 76.7 | 127.2 | 154.4 | 175.8 | 184.4 | 192.0 | 208.0 | 48,075 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 58.4 | 101.0 | 120.7 | 155.2 | 181.3 | 197.1 | 208.8 | 67,513 |
| 1998 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 27.8 | 51.3 | 71.5 | 108.8 | 142.6 | 171.7 | 194.4 | 184.2 | 230.0 | 55,121 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 13.3 | 26.3 | 52.2 | 78.6 | 103.0 | 125.2 | 150.0 | 162.1 | 179.5 | 51,911 |
| 1999 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 11.5 | 51.0 | 83.6 | 114.9 | 121.2 | 145.2 | 169.6 | 123.8 | 152.3 | 47,179 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 11.1 | 26.9 | 50.4 | 81.6 | 112.0 | 148.4 | 151.4 | 167.8 | 161.0 | 50,060 |
| 2000 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 22.6 | 31.9 | 67.4 | 107.7 | 140.2 | 170.0 | 157.0 | 185.0 | 210.1 | 56,010 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 16.5 | 22.2 | 42.8 | 80.4 | 123.5 | 133.2 | 143.4 | 155.4 | 151.4 | 53,904 |
| 2001 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $3 . \mathrm{a}$ | 9.0 | 51.2 | 76.2 | 108.9 | 145.3 | 171.4 | 188.2 | 187.2 | 203.3 | 42,079 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 12.9 | 22.3 | 46.8 | 69.0 | 93.5 | 150.8 | 145.1 | 146.3 | 153.1 | 63,724 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 3.a | 10.2 | 20.4 | 78.2 | 117.7 | 143.8 | 169.8 | 191.9 | 198.2 | 215.5 | 53,544 |


| Year | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 10.8 | 27.3 | 57.8 | 81.7 | 108.8 | 132.1 | 186.6 | 177.8 | 157.7 | 52,647 |
| 2003 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 13.0 | 37.4 | 76.5 | 112.7 | 132.1 | 140.8 | 151.9 | 167.4 | 158.2 | 37,075 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 22.4 | 25.8 | 46.4 | 75.3 | 95.2 | 117.2 | 125.9 | 157.1 | 162.6 | 40,315 |
| 2004 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 27.1 | 43.2 | 81.9 | 117.1 | 145.4 | 157.4 | 170.7 | 184.4 | 187.1 | 35,078 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 3.7 | 14.3 | 47.4 | 77.7 | 96.4 | 125.5 | 150.4 | 165.8 | 151.0 | 41,736 |
| 2005 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 14.1 | 54.9 | 85.6 | 121.6 | 148.3 | 162.7 | 176.3 | 178.3 | 200.6 | 50,765 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 48.3 | 73.3 | 89.3 | 115.5 | 143.6 | 159.9 | 170.2 | 37,013 |
| 2006 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.9 | 16.6 | 36.9 | 82.9 | 113.0 | 142.5 | 175.2 | 198.2 | 209.5 | 220.0 | 25,965 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 21.2 | 34.0 | 56.7 | 84.0 | 102.2 | 125.3 | 143.9 | 175.8 | 170.0 | 70,911 |
| 2007 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 25.2 | 65.6 | 85.0 | 115.7 | 138.4 | 159.2 | 190.8 | 178.6 | 211.9 | 28,632 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 11.9 | 27.8 | 57.3 | 74.9 | 106.3 | 121.3 | 140.8 | 162.7 | 185.5 | 39,548 |
| 2008 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.9 | 19.2 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 114.5 | 142.2 | 171.2 | 181.4 | 200.0 | 196.4 | 25,368 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 16.3 | 49.5 | 65.2 | 88.1 | 110.5 | 133.2 | 140.3 | 156.7 | 172.2 | 43,116 |
| 2009 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 13.4 | 52.0 | 90.3 | 118.6 | 167.5 | 181.4 | 213.9 | 228.9 | 259.5 | 36,230 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 10.5 | 28.3 | 48.1 | 90.5 | 123.7 | 145.2 | 160.4 | 171.2 | 181.8 | 31,032 |
| 2010 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 8.2 | 59.3 | 84.7 | 129.8 | 165.9 | 196.2 | 221.8 | 234.3 | 257.2 | 27,465 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 12.2 | 22.2 | 52.2 | 87.1 | 119.8 | 154.8 | 170.6 | 191.9 | 194.1 | 17,917 |
| 2011 | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.a | 8.4 | 33.7 | 89.0 | 120.4 | 140.2 | 170.2 | 185.9 | 216.3 | 211.8 | 11,941 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 12.4 | 23.0 | 55.1 | 78.1 | 113.2 | 136.6 | 147.6 | 161.2 | 168.0 | 15,830 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 3.9 | 9.3 | 47.0 | 76.1 | 134.2 | 165.1 | 182.0 | 204.1 | 222.0 | 225.6 | 17,553 |


|  | W-rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 18.1 | 15.9 | 55.0 | 95.4 | 115.1 | 150.3 | 167.6 | 177.4 | 191.2 | 21,095 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 3.9 |  | 59.5 | 94.2 | 131.8 | 162.6 | 195.0 | 207.8 | 247.9 | 238.1 | 18,325 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 13.7 | 17.8 | 54.1 | 86.8 | 129.4 | 136.9 | 145.3 | 159.1 | 179.8 | 25,504 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 3.a | 9.3 | 52.2 | 98.5 | 137.4 | 178.2 | 199.2 | 211.7 | 225.1 | 227.0 | 19,020 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 16.5 | 30.0 | 59.0 | 82.3 | 122.1 | 158.4 | 156.0 | 163.0 | 175.5 | 18,338 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 3.a | 16.0 | 31.8 | 67.9 | 115.2 | 152.4 | 172.8 | 193.4 | 198.7 | 212.9 | 15,348 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 7.1 | 15.9 | 50.4 | 79.3 | 107.6 | 144.7 | 170.6 | 135.6 | 149.4 | 22,144 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 3.a | 7.1 | 40.1 | 63.8 | 126.1 | 160.7 | 175.1 | 200.8 | 212.8 | 235.0 | 26,224 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 10.3 | 34.1 | 51.7 | 84.6 | 95.0 | 129.5 | 160.4 | 168.1 | 169.2 | 25,073 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 3.a | 30.5 | 44.1 | 61.3 | 113.2 | 141.8 | 162.8 | 171.2 | 182.9 | 169.9 | 19,827 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 18.1 | 34.3 | 57.7 | 82.8 | 117.9 | 123.5 | 137.6 | 147.5 | 139.8 | 26,513 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 3.a | 10.3 | 55.7 | 55.3 | 109.3 | 154.4 | 179.7 | 195.0 | 194.9 | 206.4 | 22,066 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 15.9 | 14.5 | 51.8 | 87.2 | 108.4 | 142.7 | 143.4 | 157.7 | 170.1 | 18,992 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 3.a | 20.0 | 52.8 | 85.0 | 118.9 | 138.4 | 166.1 | 183.3 | 193.9 | 211.4 | 15,589 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 16.7 | 30.7 | 56.9 | 83.7 | 123.6 | 139.6 | 165.6 | 138.3 | 166.7 | 9,831 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 3.a | 13.6 | 47.1 | 67.1 | 132.5 | 160.7 | 180.8 | 186.1 | 199.3 | 204.8 | 18,163 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 18.5 | 38.3 | 69.1 | 87.3 | 111.3 | 145.5 | 155.9 | 172.1 | 171.0 | 3,966 |
|  | Div. 4+Div. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 3.a | 10.8 | 60.2 | 64.9 | 107.1 | 156.4 | 169.8 | 186.8 | 194.9 | 196.1 | 12,579 |
|  | Subdiv. 22- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 19.1 | 23.0 | 72.2 | 104.1 | 138.6 | 146.5 | 171.6 | 176.3 | 177.1 | 1,601 |

Data for 1995-2001 for the North Sea and Division 3.a was revised in 2003.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ values have been corrected in 2007.

Table 3.2.17 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Transfers of North Sea autumn spawners from Div. 3.a to the North Sea. Numbers (millions) and mean weight (g), SOP (tonnes) in 1993-2021.

|  | W-Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Number | 2,795.4 | 2,032.5 | 237.6 | 26.5 | 7.7 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 5,109.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.5 | 28.6 | 79.7 | 141.4 | 132.3 | 233.4 | 238.5 | 180.6 | 203.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 34,903 | 58,107 | 18,939 | 3,749 | 1,016 | 850 | 647 | 390 | 133 | 118,734 |
| 1994 | Number | 481.6 | 1,086.5 | 201.4 | 26.9 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1,807.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.0 | 42.9 | 83.4 | 110.7 | 138.3 | 158.6 | 184.6 | 199.1 | 213.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 7,723 | 46,630 | 16,790 | 2,980 | 831 | 460 | 287 | 75 | 37 | 75,811 |
| 1995 | Number | 1,144.5 | 1,189.2 | 161.5 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2,514.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.2 | 39.1 | 88.3 | 145.7 | 165.5 | 204.5 | 212.2 | 236.4 | 244.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 12,837 | 46,555 | 14,267 | 1,940 | 573 | 225 | 133 | 86 | 65 | 76,680 |
| 1996 | Number | 516.1 | 961.1 | 161.4 | 17.0 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1,661.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.0 | 23.4 | 80.2 | 126.6 | 165.0 | 186.5 | 216.1 | 216.3 | 239.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,697 | 22,448 | 12,947 | 2,151 | 565 | 307 | 145 | 77 | 66 | 44,403 |
| 1997 | Number | 67.6 | 305.3 | 131.7 | 21.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 528.7 |
|  | Mean W. | 19.3 | 47.7 | 68.5 | 124.4 | 171.5 | 184.7 | 188.7 | 188.7 | 192.4 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,304 | 14,571 | 9,025 | 2,643 | 285 | 146 | 40 | 16 | 25 | 28,057 |
| 1998 | Number | 51.3 | 745.1 | 161.5 | 26.6 | 19.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1,011.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 27.4 | 56.4 | 79.8 | 117.8 | 162.9 | 179.7 | 197.2 | 178.9 | 226.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,409 | 41,994 | 12,896 | 3,137 | 3,136 | 547 | 608 | 211 | 108 | 64,045 |
| 1999 | Number | 598.8 | 303.0 | 148.6 | 47.2 | 13.4 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,119.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.4 | 50.5 | 87.7 | 113.7 | 137.4 | 156.5 | 188.1 | 187.3 | 198.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 6,255 | 15,297 | 13,037 | 5,369 | 1,841 | 974 | 230 | 90 | 92 | 43,186 |
| 2000 | Number | 235.3 | 984.3 | 116.0 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1,391.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 21.3 | 28.5 | 76.1 | 108.8 | 163.1 | 190.3 | 183.9 | 189.4 | 200.2 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,005 | 28,012 | 8,825 | 2,377 | 3,731 | 1,436 | 601 | 114 | 13 | 50,115 |
| 2001 | Number | 807.8 | 563.6 | 150.0 | 17.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,540.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.7 | 49.4 | 75.3 | 108.2 | 130.1 | 147.1 | 219.1 | 175.8 | 198.1 |  |
|  | SOP | 7,029 | 27,849 | 11,300 | 1,856 | 177 | 43 | 109 | 8 | 5 | 48,376 |
| 2002 | Number | 478.5 | 362.6 | 56.7 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 904.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.2 | 38.0 | 100.6 | 121.5 | 142.7 | 160.9 | 178.7 | 177.4 | 218.6 |  |
|  | SOP | 5,859 | 13,790 | 5,705 | 684 | 106 | 26 | 21 | 8 | 5 | 26,205 |
| 2003 | Number | 21.6 | 445.0 | 182.3 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 682.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 20.5 | 33.7 | 67.0 | 123.2 | 150.3 | 163.5 | 190.2 | 214.6 | 186.8 |  |


| Year | W-Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SOP | 442 | 14,992 | 12,219 | 1,606 | 2,436 | 293 | 213 | 264 | 33 | 32,498 |
| 2004 | Number | 88.4 | 70.9 | 179.9 | 20.7 | 6.0 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 380.4 |
|  | Mean W. | 22.5 | 55.3 | 70.2 | 120.6 | 140.9 | 151.7 | 170.6 | 186.6 | 178.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,993 | 3,921 | 12,638 | 2,498 | 851 | 1,479 | 312 | 367 | 154 | 24,214 |
| 2005 | Number | 96.4 | 307.5 | 159.2 | 16.2 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 589.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.5 | 50.5 | 71.0 | 105.9 | 154.6 | 173.5 | 184.5 | 200.2 | 208.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,595 | 15,527 | 11,304 | 1,712 | 828 | 412 | 420 | 95 | 34 | 31,927 |
| 2006 | Number | 35.1 | 150.1 | 50.2 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 253.3 |
|  | Mean W. | 14.3 | 53.5 | 79.2 | 117.6 | 140.2 | 185.5 | 190.4 | 215.6 | 206.9 |  |
|  | SOP | 503 | 8,035 | 3,975 | 1,200 | 456 | 620 | 107 | 81 | 37 | 15,015 |
| 2007 | Number | 67.7 | 189.3 | 76.9 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 338.7 |
|  | Mean W. | 26.7 | 62.6 | 71.1 | 108.1 | 124.4 | 151.7 | 183.7 | 174.7 | 153.8 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,807 | 11,857 | 5,464 | 224 | 55 | 219 | 48 | 110 | 3 | 19,788 |
| 2008 | Number | 85.7 | 86.6 | 72.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 247.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 16.2 | 57.6 | 86.4 | 109.1 | 138.7 | 167.7 | 175.4 | 203.1 | 197.7 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,386 | 4,986 | 6,222 | 205 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 67 | 13 | 12,949 |
| 2009 | Number | 116.8 | 77.5 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 202.0 |
|  | Mean W. | 9.4 | 59.8 | 101.0 | 81.3 | 206.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 268.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,095 | 4,635 | 710 | 29 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 6,542 |
| 2010 | Number | 48.6 | 197.0 | 43.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 289.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 7.5 | 50.6 | 76.8 | 122.3 | 149.3 | 191.3 | 221.5 | 216.3 | 204.5 |  |
|  | SOP | 364 | 9,975 | 3,325 | 35 | 22 | 19 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 13,759 |
| 2011 | Number | 203.8 | 35.4 | 61.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 304.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 7.5 | 35.1 | 83.6 | 113.3 | 133.9 | 191.5 | 193.2 | 234.3 | 248.3 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,524 | 1,244 | 5,137 | 364 | 37 | 33 | 23 | 22 | 5 | 8,388 |
| 2012 | Number | 145.83 | 174.74 | 43.05 | 1.85 | 1.14 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 367.1 |
|  | Mean W. | 12.29 | 39.70 | 66.75 | 123.69 | 169.16 | 174.56 | 199.39 | 219.78 | 215.93 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,792 | 6,937 | 2,873 | 229 | 193 | 33 | 39 | 24 | 6 | 12,128 |
| 2013 | Number | 0.90 | 86.19 | 85.82 | 2.39 | 0.36 | 0.28 |  |  |  | 175.9 |
|  | Mean W. | 33.66 | 75.39 | 74.64 | 133.88 | 160.14 | 200.37 |  |  |  |  |
|  | SOP | 30 | 6,498 | 6,405 | 320 | 57 | 56 |  |  |  | 13,367 |
| 2014 | Number | 284.74 | 61.13 | 80.21 | 5.90 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 433.3 |
|  | Mean W. | 8.98 | 56.96 | 73.62 | 108.56 | 162.38 | 190.94 | 209.02 | 221.12 | 227.82 |  |
|  | SOP | 2,557 | 3,482 | 5,905 | 641 | 88 | 95 | 36 | 6 | 13 | 12,823 |


| Year | W-Rings | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | Number | 30.71 | 169.58 | 97.57 | 6.96 | 1.25 | 4.89 | 1.11 | 1.20 | 0.35 | 313.6 |
|  | Mean W. | 15.79 | 29.72 | 68.01 | 132.87 | 157.09 | 179.85 | 195.87 | 197.22 | 214.93 |  |
|  | SOP | 485 | 5,040 | 6,636 | 925 | 197 | 880 | 218 | 238 | 75 | 14,692 |
| 2016 | Number | 133.30 | 23.33 | 47.56 | 5.95 | 0.53 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 211.3 |
|  | Mean W. | 6.74 | 37.42 | 59.01 | 123.13 | 149.08 | 156.65 | 207.97 | 209.50 | 234.59 |  |
|  | SOP | 899 | 873 | 2,807 | 733 | 79 | 47 | 46 | 7 | 15 | 5,506 |
| 2017 | Number | 0.15 | 75.99 | 34.43 | 6.91 | 2.97 | 1.20 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 121.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 30.81 | 48.55 | 67.62 | 102.48 | 138.67 | 172.88 | 170.96 | 184.78 | 161.99 |  |
|  | SOP | 5 | 3,690 | 2,328 | 709 | 412 | 208 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 7,375 |
| 2018 | Number | 14.51 | 19.17 | 28.49 | 1.13 | 1.79 | 1.04 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 66.5 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.05 | 48.67 | 57.48 | 102.82 | 155.48 | 179.69 | 189.49 | 186.69 | 202.12 |  |
|  | SOP | 146 | 933 | 1,638 | 116 | 279 | 187 | 35 | 22 | 17 | 3,372 |
| 2019 | Number | 23.72 | 101.32 | 19.84 | 4.56 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.003 | 149.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 11.66 | 41.00 | 62.01 | 84.37 | 116.20 | 118.10 | 164.56 | 202.20 | 158.50 |  |
|  | SOP | 277 | 4,154 | 1,230 | 385 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 2 | 0.4 | 6,087 |
| 2020 | Number | 79.43 | 26.58 | 44.16 | 5.27 | 2.18 | 0.30 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.001 | 159.3 |
|  | Mean W. | 13.49 | 36.49 | 65.71 | 138.58 | 168.38 | 174.62 | 199.24 | 216.74 | 137.84 |  |
|  | SOP | 1,072 | 970 | 2,902 | 730 | 367 | 53 | 122 | 173 | 0.1 | 6,388 |
| 2021 | Number | 6.91 | 15.69 | 36.34 | 2.79 | 1.51 | 0.79 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.135 | 64.8 |
|  | Mean W. | 10.80 | 47.26 | 71.13 | 115.75 | 159.30 | 173.46 | 192.63 | 205.52 | 185.88 |  |
|  | SOP | 75 | 741 | 2,585 | 323 | 241 | 137 | 88 | 30 | 25.0 | 4,244 |

Table 3.3.1 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. German acoustic survey (GERAS) on the Spring Spawning Herring in Subdivisions 21 (Southern Kattegat, 41G0-42G2) - 24 in autumn 1993-2021 (September/October).

| Year | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $2001$ | $2002$ | 2003 | 2004 | $2005$ | $\begin{aligned} & * * * \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *** } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W-rings/Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,474.5 | 5,107.7 | 1,833.1 | 2,859.2 | 2,490.0 | 5,993.82 | 1,008.9 | 2,477.9 | 4,102.5 | 3,776.7 | 2,554.6 | 3,055.5 | 4,159.3 | 2,588.9 |
| 0 | 40 | 80 | 30 | 20 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 72 | 95 | 80 | 80 | 95 | 11 | 22 |
|  |  | 1,675.3 | 1,439.4 | 1,955.4 |  | 1,338.71 | 1,429.8 | 1,125.7 |  | 1,238.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 415.730 | 40 | 60 | 00 | 801.350 | 0 | 80 | 16 | 837.557 | 80 | 968.860 | 750.199 | 940.892 | 558.851 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,226.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 883.810 | 328.610 | 590.010 | 738.180 | 678.530 | 287.240 | 453.980 | 32 | 421.396 | 222.530 | 592.360 | 590.756 | 226.959 | 260.402 |
| 3 | 559.720 | 357.960 | 434.090 | 394.530 | 394.070 | 232.510 | 328.960 | 844.088 | 575.358 | 217.270 | 346.230 | 295.659 | 279.618 | 117.412 |
| 4 | 443.730 | 353.850 | 295.170 | 162.430 | 236.830 | 155.950 | 201.590 | 366.841 | 341.120 | 260.350 | 163.150 | 142.778 | 212.201 | 76.782 |
| 5 | 189.420 | 253.510 | 305.550 | 118.910 | 100.190 | 51.940 | 78.930 | 131.430 | 63.678 | 96.960 | 143.320 | 78.541 | 139.813 | 43.919 |
| 6 | 60.400 | 126.760 | 119.260 | 99.290 | 50.980 | 8.130 | 38.610 | 85.690 | 24.520 | 38.040 | 79.030 | 79.018 | 97.261 | 12.144 |
| 7 | 23.510 | 46.430 | 46.980 | 33.280 | 23.640 | 1.470 | 5.920 | 19.471 | 9.690 | 8.580 | 22.600 | 25.564 | 66.937 | 9.262 |
| $8+$ | 2.330 | 27.240 | 18.910 | 47.850 | 9.330 | 2.100 | 4.190 | 9.683 | 13.380 | 9.890 | 11.770 | 15.013 | 27.789 | 8.839 |
|  | 8,053.1 | 8,277.4 | 5,082.5 | 6,409.0 | 4,785.0 | 8,071.87 | 3,550.9 | 6,287.8 | 6,389.2 | 5,868.8 | 4,882.0 | 5,033.1 | 6,150.7 | 3,676.5 |
| Total | 90 | 80 | 60 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 70 | 23 | 93 | 80 | 00 | 23 | 81 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| group | 10 | 50 | 60 | 856.290 | 815.040 | 452.100 | 658.200 | 03 | 46 | 631.090 | 766.100 | 636.573 | 823.619 | 268.357 |
| W-rings/Biomass ('000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 66.889 | 58.540 | 16.564 | 28.497 | 23.760 | 71.814 | 13.784 | 31.163 | 38.209 | 33.928 | 23.074 | 32.794 | 42.958 | 25.202 |
| 1 | 14.466 | 58.620 | 46.643 | 76.396 | 39.899 | 51.117 | 57.530 | 48.177 | 34.165 | 44.791 | 35.885 | 29.790 | 38.230 | 22.782 |
| 2 | 40.972 | 20.939 | 29.127 | 43.461 | 50.085 | 22.016 | 28.431 | 75.879 | 29.957 | 16.089 | 34.542 | 46.478 | 18.013 | 20.202 |
| 3 | 40.749 | 30.091 | 31.035 | 35.942 | 35.280 | 27.484 | 27.740 | 77.137 | 56.769 | 22.008 | 27.726 | 31.876 | 31.946 | 11.366 |
| 4 | 43.038 | 40.104 | 21.174 | 22.291 | 28.049 | 16.664 | 24.065 | 37.936 | 40.360 | 34.167 | 18.364 | 20.414 | 31.253 | 9.679 |
| 5 | 24.198 | 27.268 | 37.141 | 16.743 | 11.430 | 6.768 | 9.259 | 18.458 | 9.029 | 14.561 | 17.348 | 12.772 | 24.876 | 6.724 |
| 6 | 12.313 | 14.915 | 16.056 | 13.998 | 6.157 | 0.867 | 5.620 | 13.267 | 3.497 | 5.715 | 12.225 | 13.820 | 17.959 | 2.001 |
| 7 | 5.294 | 9.269 | 6.101 | 5.333 | 3.716 | 0.350 | 1.210 | 3.866 | 1.075 | 1.343 | 3.413 | 5.111 | 13.431 | 1.703 |
| ${ }^{8+}$ | 0.627 | 6.570 | 2.930 | 10.636 | 2.170 | $\underline{0.458}$ | 0.757 | 2.101 | 1.908 | 1.615 | 1.991 | 3.447 | 6.344 | 1.798 |
| Total | 248.545 | 266.316 | 206.771 | 253.297 | 200.547 | 197.537 | 168.395 | 307.984 | 214.967 | 174.218 | 174.568 | 196.503 | 225.010 | 101.456 |
| $3+$ group | $126.218$ | 128.217 | 114.438 | 104.943 | 86.802 | 52.590 | 68.651 | 152.765 | 112.637 | 79.410 | 81.067 | 87.441 | 125.809 | 33.270 |
| W-rings/Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 9.7 |
| 1 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 32.4 | 39.1 | 49.8 | 38.2 | 40.2 | 42.8 | 40.8 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 39.7 | 40.6 | 40.8 |
| 2 | 46.4 | 63.7 | 49.4 | 58.9 | 73.8 | 76.6 | 62.6 | 61.8 | 71.1 | 72.3 | 58.3 | 78.7 | 79.4 | 77.6 |


| Year | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $2001$ | $2002$ | 2003 | 2004 | ***$2005$ | $\begin{gathered} * * * \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} * * * \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W-rings/Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 72.8 | 84.1 | 71.5 | 91.1 | 89.5 | 118.2 | 84.3 | 91.4 | 98.7 | 101.3 | 80.1 | 107.8 | 114.2 | 96.8 |
| 4 | 97.0 | 113.3 | 71.7 | 137.2 | 118.4 | 106.9 | 119.4 | 103.4 | 118.3 | 131.2 | 112.6 | 143.0 | 147.3 | 126.1 |
| 5 | 127.7 | 107.6 | 121.6 | 140.8 | 114.1 | 130.3 | 117.3 | 140.4 | 141.8 | 150.2 | 121.0 | 162.6 | 177.9 | 153.1 |
| 6 | 203.9 | 117.7 | 134.6 | 141.0 | 120.8 | 106.6 | 145.5 | 154.8 | 142.6 | 150.2 | 154.7 | 174.9 | 184.6 | 164.8 |
| 7 | 225.2 | 199.6 | 129.9 | 160.2 | 157.2 | 237.9 | 204.5 | 198.6 | 110.9 | 156.6 | 151.0 | 199.9 | 200.6 | 183.8 |
| ${ }^{8+}$ | 269.1 | 241.2 | 154.9 | 222.3 | 232.6 | 217.9 | 180.7 | 217.0 | 142.6 | 163.3 | 169.2 | 229.6 | 228.3 | 203.4 |
| Total | 30.9 | 32.2 | 40.7 | 39.5 | 41.9 | 24.5 | 47.4 | 49.0 | 33.6 | 29.7 | 35.8 | 39.0 | 36.6 | 27.6 |
|  | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | **** | ***** | *** | *** | \& | \&\& |  |
| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| W-rings/Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2,150.3 | 2,821.0 | 4,561.4 | 2,929.4 | 4,103.1 | 8,996.22 | 5,473.4 |  | 2,638.2 | 1,290.6 | 2,635.8 | 1,816.6 | 1,028.7 |  |
|  | 06 | 22 | 05 | 34 | 80 | 5 | 00 | 888.081 | 77 | 50 | 30 | 47 | 45 | 439.285 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,206.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 392.737 | 270.959 | 534.633 | 62 | 755.034 | 893.837 | 769.320 | 440.738 | 493.366 | 463.940 | 428.530 | 247.870 | 185.814 | 158.368 |
| 2 | 165.347 | 95.866 | 305.540 | 360.354 | 294.242 | 456.204 | 242.590 | 509.769 | 155.417 | 145.360 | 89.280 | 122.948 | 82.236 | 144.638 |
| 3 | 166.301 | 43.553 | 214.539 | 210.455 | 193.974 | 307.567 | 279.650 | 221.344 | 196.061 | 123.230 | 41.160 | 47.727 | 66.046 | 49.942 |
| 4 | 102.018 | 17.761 | 107.364 | 115.984 | 124.548 | 262.908 | 332.660 | 129.795 | 60.953 | 137.500 | 20.240 | 24.244 | 21.600 | 22.420 |
| 5 | 82.174 | 9.016 | 85.635 | 57.840 | 70.135 | 87.114 | 317.240 | 95.579 | 30.490 | 46.550 | 17.570 | 17.488 | 15.890 | 9.390 |
| 6 | 29.727 | 3.227 | 47.140 | 50.844 | 45.017 | 32.684 | 211.600 | 86.150 | 14.980 | 21.230 | 4.940 | 16.802 | 7.590 | 2.780 |
| 7 | 11.443 | 1.947 | 25.021 | 29.234 | 22.520 | 22.565 | 85.630 | 47.093 | 3.300 | 2.130 | 1.060 | 1.540 | 3.210 | 3.180 |
| ${ }^{8+}$ | 9.262 | 1.704 | 15.309 | 14.774 | 21.404 | 11.300 | 56.590 | 37.886 | 0.000 | 1.790 | 1.100 | 0.600 | 1.370 | 0.240 |
|  | 3,109.3 | 3,265.0 | 5,896.5 | 4,975.6 | 5,630.0 | 11,070.4 | 7,768.6 | 2,456.4 | 3,592.8 | 2,232.3 | 3,239.7 | 2,295.8 | 1,412.5 |  |
| Total | 14 | 55 | 86 | 82 | 54 | 05 | 80 | 35 | 44 | 80 | 10 | 67 | 00 | 830.243 |
|  | 400.924 | 77.208 | 495.007 | 479.131 | 477.597 | 724.139 | $1,283.3$ | 617.846 | 305.784 | 332.430 | 86.070 | 108.402 | 115.706 | 87.952 |
| W-rings/Biomass ( 000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 23.699 | 29.449 | 36.791 | 35.064 | 46.955 | 85.185 | 61.640 | 8.179 | 24.072 | 13.623 | 32.010 | 23.081 | 12.550 | 4.784 |
| 1 | 17.602 | 10.473 | 21.336 | 46.384 | 29.825 | 38.404 | 30.369 | 16.822 | 18.553 | 18.296 | 18.825 | 9.767 | 7.617 | 6.855 |
| 2 | 10.446 | 7.069 | 24.593 | 29.560 | 20.380 | 30.587 | 21.490 | 38.573 | 10.579 | 10.159 | 5.797 | 6.761 | 5.313 | 9.002 |
| 3 | 15.297 | 4.433 | 23.540 | 24.382 | 22.068 | 27.349 | 32.448 | 22.841 | 18.068 | 11.511 | 3.323 | 3.630 | 5.413 | 4.337 |
| 4 | 11.077 | 1.961 | 15.193 | 16.361 | 18.653 | 27.350 | 58.819 | 15.196 | 5.859 | 17.427 | 1.785 | 2.700 | 2.207 | 2.454 |
| 5 | 11.584 | 1.385 | 15.433 | 9.867 | 11.450 | 10.934 | 63.755 | 14.581 | 3.417 | 6.711 | 2.239 | 2.625 | 2.009 | 1.186 |
| 6 | 4.823 | 0.616 | 9.018 | 8.391 | 7.985 | 4.849 | 45.705 | 14.304 | 1.723 | 3.175 | 0.719 | 2.673 | 1.134 | 0.336 |
| 7 | 1.756 | 0.384 | 4.728 | 5.295 | 4.448 | 3.751 | 18.709 | 8.433 | 0.450 | 0.257 | 0.182 | 0.260 | 0.497 | 0.350 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * | ** |  |  | *** | *** | *** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| W-rings/Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8+}$ | 1.303 | $\underline{0.284}$ | 3.013 | 3.015 | 3.876 | 1.821 | 13.498 | 7.108 | 0.000 | 0.190 | 0.203 | 0.060 | 0.230 | 0.038 |
| Total | 97.588 | 56.055 | 153.646 | 178.320 | 165.640 | 230.231 | 346.433 | 146.035 | 82.722 | 81.349 | 65.083 | 51.557 | 36.969 | 29.342 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3+ \\ \text { group } \end{array}$ | 45.840 | $\underline{9.064}$ | 70.926 | 67.312 | 68.480 | 76.055 | 232.933 | 82.462 | 29.518 | 39.271 | 8.451 | 11.948 | 11.490 | 8.701 |
| W-rings/Mean weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 10.9 |
| 1 | 44.8 | 38.7 | 39.9 | 38.4 | 39.5 | 43.0 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 37.6 | 39.4 | 43.9 | 39.4 | 41.0 | 43.3 |
| 2 | 63.2 | 73.7 | 80.5 | 82.0 | 69.3 | 67.0 | 88.6 | 75.7 | 68.1 | 69.9 | 64.9 | 55.0 | 64.6 | 62.2 |
| 3 | 92.0 | 101.8 | 109.7 | 115.9 | 113.8 | 88.9 | 116.0 | 103.2 | 92.2 | 93.4 | 80.7 | 76.1 | 82.0 | 86.8 |
| 4 | 108.6 | 110.4 | 141.5 | 141.1 | 149.8 | 104.0 | 176.8 | 117.1 | 96.1 | 126.7 | 88.2 | 111.4 | 102.2 | 109.5 |
| 5 | 141.0 | 153.6 | 180.2 | 170.6 | 163.3 | 125.5 | 201.0 | 152.5 | 112.1 | 144.2 | 127.4 | 150.1 | 126.4 | 126.4 |
| 6 | 162.2 | 190.9 | 191.3 | 165.0 | 177.4 | 148.4 | 216.0 | 166.0 | 115.0 | 149.5 | 145.6 | 159.1 | 149.4 | 120.7 |
| 7 | 153.5 | 197.4 | 189.0 | 181.1 | 197.5 | 166.2 | 218.5 | 179.1 | 136.4 | 120.5 | 172.0 | 168.7 | 154.9 | 110.0 |
| $8+$ | 140.7 | 166.9 | 196.8 | 204.1 | 181.1 | 161.1 | 238.5 | 187.6 | - | 106.4 | 184.2 | 100.3 | 167.9 | 156.7 |
| Total | 31.4 | 17.2 | 26.1 | 35.8 | 29.4 | 20.8 | 44.6 | 59.5 | 23.0 | 36.4 | 20.1 | 22.5 | 26.2 | 35.3 |
|  |  | revisio | 2015 |  |  |  |  | small | sion in |  |  |  |  |  |
| *incl. mean for Sub-division 23, which was not covered by RV SOLEA **incl. mean for Sub-division 21, which was not covered by RV SOLEA small revision in 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Centr <br> Centr <br> Centr <br> Centra <br> Centra <br> Centra <br> from $h$ | Baltic Baltic Baltic altic H altic Baltic 32 (4 | rring in <br> rring in <br> rring in <br> ring in <br> ring in <br> rring in <br> 2, SD | D 24 (S <br> D 22, <br> D 22, <br> s 21-2 <br> s 21 a <br> Ds 21-2 <br> , incl. a | 23) bas <br> 24 (SD <br> 24 (SD <br> based on <br> SD 24 <br> and SD <br> ost exc | on SF <br> ) based <br> based F <br> 23) ba <br> (SD 23 <br> ively lar | röhsler <br>  <br> n SF <br> d on S <br> based <br> e herri | al. 201 <br> cl. mat <br> SF and that we | herri <br> hy <br> spawn | n SD 2 <br> coustic <br> (stage | stages <br> ata/bio | cal |  |  |

Table 3.3.2 Western Baltic spring spawning herring. Acoustic surveys (HERAS) on the Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring in the North Sea/Division 3.a in 1991-2021 (July).

|  |  | * | * | * | * | * |  |  | ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| W-rings/Numbers in millions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 3,853 | 372 | 964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2,19 | 1,09 |  |  | 1,36 | 1,50 |  | 3,34 | 1,83 | 1,66 | 2,68 | 2,08 |
| 1 |  | 277 | 103 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 128 | 138 | 7 | 9 | 66 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 1 |
|  | 1,86 |  | 2,76 |  | 1,88 | 1,00 |  | 1,68 | 1,14 | 1,89 |  | 1,57 | 1,11 |  | 1,34 | 2,21 |
| 2 | 4 | 2,092 | 8 | 413 | 7 | 5 | 715 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 641 | 7 | 0 | 930 | 2 | 7 |
|  | 1,92 |  | 1,27 |  | 1,02 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,39 |  |  |  | 1,78 |
| 3 | 7 | 1,799 | 4 | 935 | 2 | 247 | 787 | 901 | 523 | 674 | 452 | 3 | 395 | 726 | 464 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 866 | 1,593 | 598 | 501 | 0 | 141 | 166 | 282 | 135 | 364 | 153 | 524 | 323 | 307 | 201 | 490 |
| 5 | 350 | 556 | 434 | 239 | 255 | 119 | 67 | 111 | 28 | 186 | 96 | 88 | 103 | 184 | 103 | 180 |
| 6 | 88 | 197 | 154 | 186 | 174 | 37 | 69 | 51 | 3 | 56 | 38 | 40 | 25 | 72 | 84 | 27 |
| 7 | 72 | 122 | 63 | 62 | 39 | 20 | 80 | 31 | 2 | 7 | 23 | 18 | 12 | 22 | 37 | 10 |
| $8+$ | 10 | 20 | 13 | 34 | 21 | 13 | 77 | 53 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 18 | 21 | 0.1 |
|  | 5,17 | 10,50 | 5,77 | 3,33 | 6,86 | 2,67 | 2,08 | 3,24 | 3,20 | 4,69 | 1,48 | 7,00 | 3,80 | 3,92 | 4,93 | 6,78 |
| Total | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| $3+$ | 5,17 |  | 2,53 | 1,95 | 2,78 |  | 1,24 | 1,42 |  | 1,29 |  | 2,07 |  | 1,32 |  | 2,48 |
| group | 7 | 4,287 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 577 | 5 | 8 | 691 | 5 | 774 | 9 | 864 | 8 | 910 | 7 |
| W-rings/Biomass ('000 tonnnes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 34.3 | 1 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137. |  |  | 105. | 112. |
| 1 |  | 26.8 | 7 | 0.4 | 77.4 | 52.9 | 4.7 | 7.1 | 74.8 | 61.4 | 3.5 | 2 | 79.0 | 63.9 | 9 | 6 |
|  | 177. |  |  |  | 108. |  |  | 136. | 101. | 138. |  | 107. |  |  | 100. | 160. |
| 2 | 1 | 169.0 | 139 | 33.2 | 9 | 87.0 | 52.2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 55.8 | 2 | 91.5 | 75.6 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 219. |  |  | 114. | 102. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126. |  |  |  | 158. |
| 3 | 7 | 206.3 | 112 | 7 | 6 | 27.6 | 81.0 | 84.8 | 59.5 | 68.8 | 51.2 | 9 | 41.4 | 89.4 | 46.6 | 6 |
|  | 116. |  |  |  | 145. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 204.7 | 69 | 76.7 | 5 | 17.9 | 21.5 | 35.2 | 14.7 | 45.3 | 21.5 | 55.9 | 41.7 | 41.5 | 28.9 | 56.3 |
| 5 | 51.1 | 83.3 | 65 | 41.8 | 33.9 | 17.8 | 9.8 | 13.1 | 3.4 | 25.1 | 17.9 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 29.3 | 16.5 | 23.7 |
| 6 | 19.0 | 36.6 | 26 | 38.1 | 27.4 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 11.7 | 14.9 | 4.1 |
| 7 | 13.0 | 24.4 | 16 | 13.1 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 14.9 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 1.6 |
| 8+ | 2.0 | 5.0 | 2 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 597. |  | 436. | 325. | 506. | 215. | 207. | 297. | 254. | 351. | 164. | 454. | 274. | 318. | 325. | 517. |
| Total | 9 | 756.1 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| $3+$ | 420. |  | 291. | 292. | 319. |  | 150. | 153. |  | 151. | 104. | 209. | 104. | 179. | 119. | 244. |
| group | 9 | 560.3 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 75.2 | 6 | 7 | 78.5 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 |




Table 3.3.3. Western Baltic spring-spawning herring. N20 Larval Abundance Index.
Estimation of 0-Group herring reaching 20 mm in length in Greifswalder Bodden and adjacent waters (March/April to June).

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} 20 \\ \text { (millions) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 1,060 |
| 1993 | 3,044 |
| 1994 | 12,515 |
| 1995 | 7,930 |
| 1996 | 21,012 |
| 1997 | 4,872 |
| 1998 | 16,743 |
| 1999 | 20,364 |
| 2000 | 3,026 |
| 2001 | 4,845 |
| 2002 | 11,324 |
| 2003 | 5,507 |
| 2004 | 5,640 |
| 2005 | 3,887 |
| 2006 | 3,774 |
| 2007* | 1,829 |
| 2008* | 1,622 |
| 2009 | 6,464 |
| 2010 | 7,037 |
| 2011 | 4,444 |
| 2012 | 1,140 |
| 2013 | 3,021 |
| 2014 | 539 |
| 2015 | 2,478 |
| 2016 | 442 |
| 2017 | 1,247 |
| 2018 | 1,563 |
| 2019 | 1,317 |
| 2020 | 239 |
| 2021 | 2,751 |

[^7]
## TABLE 3.6.1.a WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet - Fleet A

Catch in number (CANUM, thousands)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 8161 | 9752 | 10223 | 5660 | 2466 | 605 | 778 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0 | 454 | 11344 | 10224 | 6123 | 7151 | 2664 | 1556 | 410 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 7589 | 14825 | 10583 | 3349 | 2877 | 969 | 620 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3130 | 5992 | 3502 | 1167 | 1305 | 605 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 15140 | 27898 | 3520 | 4110 | 1002 | 456 | 146 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0 | 0 | 6569 | 17434 | 12680 | 2573 | 3787 | 1084 | 714 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0 | 129 | 3514 | 8783 | 13962 | 22370 | 5102 | 5258 | 3055 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0 | 0 | 74 | 2627 | 1253 | 596 | 806 | 377 | 613 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0 | 0 | 70 | 87 | 167 | 77 | 81 | 182 | 35 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 1017 | 2075 | 3375 | 1423 | 1733 | 4471 | 3144 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0 | 26 | 32 | 518 | 985 | 389 | 518 | 270 | 1018 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 63 | 442 | 400 | 235 | 69 | 109 | 298 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 16 | 214 | 359 | 0 | 1432 | 0 | 7395 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0 | 0 | 53 | 409 | 172 | 494 | 312 | 67 | 645 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0 | 34 | 2451 | 3369 | 5406 | 802 | 2116 | 1045 | 1573 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0 | 20 | 95 | 868 | 1404 | 3872 | 1837 | 1446 | 2170 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0 | 20 | 1209 | 4109 | 1033 | 1137 | 1182 | 689 | 1210 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0 | 2.858 | 46.79 | 2368 | 1013 | 245.2 | 90.16 | 108.3 | 136.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0 | 28.6 | 329.8 | 900.6 | 2277 | 4270 | 1744 | 860.9 | 623.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0 | 7599 | 6239 | 4857 | 2750 | 7257 | 9687 | 2650 | 2583 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0 | 1812 | 3204 | 5845 | 7536 | 1219 | 10720 | 5325 | 4587 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0 | 393.8 | 1096 | 2794 | 7339 | 4469 | 1887 | 1100 | 2250 |

TABLE 3.6.1.b WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet - Fleet C
Catch in number (CANUM, thousands)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 59181 | 0 | 209579 | 294752 | 99060 | 55666 | 20361 | 7311 | 978 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2924 | 22479 | 184831 | 97597 | 25224 | 12059 | 5979 | 1672 | 882 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1207 | 108742 | 133960 | 118066 | 40768 | 8532 | 4442 | 1459 | 1345 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 4704 | 27998 | 155177 | 57513 | 54639 | 16425 | 4427 | 2786 | 1051 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 6559 | 78442 | 56286 | 42645 | 9927 | 7987 | 2586 | 671 | 290 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 5318 | 62322 | 175515 | 53573 | 30534 | 6613 | 7336 | 2142 | 692 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2105 | 41760 | 91008 | 86554 | 29334 | 26306 | 4849 | 4390 | 1833 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 230 | 90083 | 79527 | 31939 | 26596 | 11189 | 7371 | 5701 | 1931 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 824 | 92818 | 60484 | 34255 | 12424 | 14454 | 7281 | 4175 | 1121 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 442 | 91310 | 119936 | 41373 | 20153 | 9000 | 5845 | 3043 | 1921 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 230 | 41741 | 96890 | 42943 | 17084 | 7087 | 4177 | 2768 | 2739 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 89 | 41858 | 28489 | 19924 | 12990 | 5756 | 2913 | 915 | 822 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0 | 15350 | 81497 | 20357 | 9152 | 7091 | 2774 | 2230 | 1166 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0 | 6260 | 40605 | 68642 | 10640 | 3858 | 1085 | 409 | 372 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 49 | 23096 | 16886 | 18895 | 39169 | 6795 | 2439 | 1283 | 1329 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 115 | 17357 | 47337 | 19590 | 12579 | 10401 | 3016 | 1232 | 1727 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0 | 13761 | 146136 | 38528 | 12298 | 10290 | 12066 | 2906 | 5340 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 1427 | 47128 | 36117 | 40438 | 33155 | 10000 | 10792 | 7246 | 2762 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 2.36 | 18967 | 176762 | 16634 | 12912 | 18031 | 5096 | 3041 | 2511 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 5231 | 29648 | 52720 | 16127 | 5473 | 2488 | 1414 | 326 | 54.23 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 10315 | 32689 | 49813 | 16558 | 9210 | 6368 | 2864 | 3022 | 1071 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 1482 | 1370 | 62429 | 14535 | 8234 | 4939 | 3907 | 1594 | 1811 |

## TABLE 3.6.1.c WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet - Fleet D Catch in number (CANUM, thousands)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 58480 | 109337 | 13888 | 5033 | 555 | 156 | 87 | 18 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 118759 | 13695 | 11926 | 3256 | 711 | 460 | 1197 | 938 | 1130 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 68427 | 468952 | 26715 | 1707 | 1742 | 169 | 160 | 0 | 53 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 47410 | 35021 | 27318 | 4810 | 3741 | 1543 | 665 | 263 | 158 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 19111 | 130900 | 24598 | 23435 | 4794 | 4746 | 918 | 387 | 156 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 90002 | 35287 | 21250 | 4344 | 3718 | 149 | 377 | 238 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 1551 | 47777 | 17551 | 14152 | 3926 | 5720 | 652 | 428 | 234 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 1395 | 13772 | 11277 | 2346 | 2960 | 997 | 1270 | 161 | 133 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 4079 | 8946 | 10511 | 4583 | 888 | 598 | 366 | 141 | 148 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 14358 | 58292 | 11338 | 2404 | 913 | 457 | 224 | 164 | 219 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 8879 | 6826 | 8183 | 202 | 310 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 6080 | 41200 | 1317 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 1521 | 15193 | 12792 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0 | 5770 | 11071 | 2313 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 25267 | 8397 | 3039 | 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 3195 | 40377 | 12506 | 526 | 121 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 23879 | 13397 | 14390 | 391 | 0 | 674 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0 | 1294 | 6017 | 18.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 285.3 | 1471 | 2047 | 85.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 75.4 | 985.6 | 279.9 | 61.46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 462.8 | 2107 | 1881 | 944.4 | 384.9 | 190.1 | 40.66 | 0 | 6.787 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0 | 434.9 | 226.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLE 3.6.1.d WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING <br> Multi fleet - Fleet $F$ <br> Catch in number (CANUM, thousands)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 37749 | 616321 | 194300 | 86731 | 77777 | 52964 | 30056 | 12428 | 9291 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 634631 | 498179 | 283245 | 147601 | 75897 | 47807 | 28743 | 13928 | 4188 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 80637 | 81436 | 113576 | 186714 | 119192 | 45110 | 31053 | 11414 | 6310 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 1374 | 63857 | 82330 | 95798 | 125060 | 82178 | 22858 | 13098 | 7006 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 217885 | 248412 | 101789 | 70788 | 74972 | 74400 | 44450 | 13363 | 10422 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 11586 | 207562 | 115890 | 102482 | 83461 | 51304 | 54195 | 27767 | 11214 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 650 | 44762 | 72070 | 118995 | 101731 | 43005 | 31364 | 22110 | 12157 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 9095 | 68189 | 93857 | 106993 | 96054 | 52215 | 20752 | 15017 | 12082 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 4707 | 73668 | 68438 | 98131 | 75655 | 70738 | 37572 | 13260 | 18475 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 5934 | 31481 | 110715 | 55478 | 45495 | 37211 | 31948 | 13230 | 7244 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 3285 | 26490 | 31314 | 39307 | 28455 | 22420 | 13894 | 7958 | 7505 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 5643 | 15458 | 16413 | 17831 | 35934 | 21639 | 19649 | 11212 | 8214 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 479 | 46311 | 36497 | 43760 | 37810 | 28353 | 13964 | 9008 | 8440 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 1029 | 60576 | 37098 | 43312 | 55919 | 28716 | 25322 | 11498 | 10987 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 5840 | 35272 | 37735 | 42119 | 37499 | 19023 | 11196 | 6541 | 6186 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 26670 | 46242 | 72781 | 38506 | 48439 | 29846 | 14860 | 7857 | 9120 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 20012 | 22342 | 37247 | 93863 | 45681 | 30535 | 17423 | 10455 | 8256 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 51.79 | 9435 | 32839 | 38541 | 78328 | 38496 | 26936 | 13463 | 10170 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 367.8 | 48383 | 18459 | 34635 | 23065 | 51273 | 16259 | 8843 | 4507 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 270.3 | 6881 | 20667 | 15565 | 13301 | 10333 | 15868 | 6034 | 3517 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 30.67 | 1690 | 2487 | 4580 | 4673 | 6707 | 4148 | 5326 | 1579 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 42.55 | 591.9 | 1772 | 3192 | 2531 | 1501 | 1331 | 926.2 | 923.2 |

TABLE 3.6.2.a WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet - Fleet A
Weight at age as $\mathbf{W}$-ringers in the catch (WECA, kg)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1407 | 0.1652 | 0.1839 | 0.2070 | 0.2024 | 0.2176 | 0.2663 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0790 | 0.1275 | 0.1514 | 0.1784 | 0.1884 | 0.1982 | 0.2208 | 0.2666 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1431 | 0.1542 | 0.1652 | 0.1864 | 0.1976 | 0.2075 | 0.2235 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1014 | 0.1356 | 0.1414 | 0.1632 | 0.1752 | 0.1846 | 0.1923 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1206 | 0.1328 | 0.1639 | 0.1659 | 0.1748 | 0.1843 | 0.2079 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1071 | 0.1539 | 0.1676 | 0.1793 | 0.1887 | 0.1864 | 0.2084 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0247 | 0.1246 | 0.1488 | 0.1641 | 0.1752 | 0.2140 | 0.2243 | 0.2367 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1566 | 0.1482 | 0.1565 | 0.1850 | 0.1858 | 0.1993 | 0.2248 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1418 | 0.1647 | 0.1657 | 0.1680 | 0.1922 | 0.1994 | 0.2158 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1381 | 0.1701 | 0.2111 | 0.2110 | 0.2481 | 0.2484 | 0.2845 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0678 | 0.1323 | 0.1573 | 0.2003 | 0.2056 | 0.2109 | 0.2190 | 0.2352 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1497 | 0.1670 | 0.1828 | 0.2078 | 0.2130 | 0.2106 | 0.2188 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1396 | 0.1846 | 0.2053 | 0.0000 | 0.2131 | 0.0000 | 0.2264 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.1350 | 0.1542 | 0.2143 | 0.1956 | 0.2206 | 0.2433 | 0.2530 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1037 | 0.1478 | 0.1595 | 0.1666 | 0.1957 | 0.1997 | 0.2116 | 0.2215 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1147 | 0.1367 | 0.1436 | 0.1625 | 0.1809 | 0.2028 | 0.2040 | 0.2161 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1218 | 0.1213 | 0.1537 | 0.1742 | 0.1819 | 0.2099 | 0.2198 | 0.2247 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1013 | 0.1231 | 0.1460 | 0.1660 | 0.1801 | 0.2001 | 0.1973 | 0.2109 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0964 | 0.1275 | 0.1626 | 0.1827 | 0.1974 | 0.2134 | 0.2236 | 0.2387 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0722 | 0.1309 | 0.1582 | 0.1599 | 0.1792 | 0.1873 | 0.1959 | 0.2124 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1050 | 0.1275 | 0.1457 | 0.1597 | 0.1698 | 0.1829 | 0.1934 | 0.2072 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1193 | 0.1380 | 0.1493 | 0.1596 | 0.1677 | 0.1738 | 0.1810 | 0.1965 |

TABLE 3.6.2.b WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet - Fleet C
Weight at age as W-ringers in the catch (WECA, kg)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2000 | 0.0216 | 0.0402 | 0.0685 | 0.1072 | 0.1390 | 0.1600 | 0.1463 | 0.1767 | 0.1554 |
| 2001 | 0.0244 | 0.0644 | 0.0744 | 0.1049 | 0.1377 | 0.1623 | 0.1906 | 0.1682 | 0.1987 |
| 2002 | 0.0095 | 0.0453 | 0.0856 | 0.1129 | 0.1382 | 0.1633 | 0.1887 | 0.1921 | 0.2132 |
| 2003 | 0.0130 | 0.0554 | 0.0808 | 0.1136 | 0.1327 | 0.1407 | 0.1553 | 0.1652 | 0.1473 |
| 2004 | 0.0237 | 0.0569 | 0.0736 | 0.1133 | 0.1392 | 0.1546 | 0.1677 | 0.1870 | 0.1774 |
| 2005 | 0.0230 | 0.0667 | 0.0863 | 0.1121 | 0.1413 | 0.1565 | 0.1711 | 0.1748 | 0.1926 |
| 2006 | 0.0262 | 0.0560 | 0.0842 | 0.1103 | 0.1343 | 0.1744 | 0.1816 | 0.1922 | 0.1962 |
| 2007 | 0.0472 | 0.0708 | 0.0881 | 0.1142 | 0.1379 | 0.1587 | 0.1912 | 0.1775 | 0.2078 |
| 2008 | 0.0362 | 0.0740 | 0.0925 | 0.1149 | 0.1421 | 0.1712 | 0.1809 | 0.1999 | 0.1967 |
| 2009 | 0.0227 | 0.0740 | 0.0902 | 0.1153 | 0.1605 | 0.1772 | 0.2039 | 0.2015 | 0.2247 |
| 2010 | 0.0279 | 0.0663 | 0.0880 | 0.1280 | 0.1592 | 0.1942 | 0.2109 | 0.2117 | 0.2257 |
| 2011 | 0.0215 | 0.0509 | 0.0910 | 0.1208 | 0.1389 | 0.1687 | 0.1853 | 0.2170 | 0.2093 |
| 2012 | 0.0000 | 0.0662 | 0.0818 | 0.1340 | 0.1635 | 0.1820 | 0.1994 | 0.2220 | 0.2206 |
| 2013 | 0.0000 | 0.0937 | 0.0994 | 0.1324 | 0.1628 | 0.1949 | 0.2041 | 0.2487 | 0.2123 |
| 2014 | 0.0141 | 0.0633 | 0.1046 | 0.1411 | 0.1798 | 0.1996 | 0.2221 | 0.2361 | 0.2336 |
| 2015 | 0.0175 | 0.0409 | 0.0747 | 0.1145 | 0.1500 | 0.1706 | 0.1877 | 0.1924 | 0.2089 |
| 2016 | 0.0000 | 0.0563 | 0.0659 | 0.1236 | 0.1595 | 0.1807 | 0.1999 | 0.2112 | 0.2374 |
| 2017 | 0.0305 | 0.0449 | 0.0673 | 0.1113 | 0.1410 | 0.1624 | 0.1710 | 0.1827 | 0.1679 |
| 2018 | 0.0216 | 0.0570 | 0.0553 | 0.1068 | 0.1495 | 0.1755 | 0.1887 | 0.1868 | 0.1984 |
| 2019 | 0.0201 | 0.0487 | 0.0798 | 0.1073 | 0.1275 | 0.1277 | 0.1556 | 0.1784 | 0.1616 |
| 2020 | 0.0138 | 0.0435 | 0.0620 | 0.1289 | 0.1634 | 0.1848 | 0.1994 | 0.2095 | 0.1949 |
| 2021 | 0.0108 | 0.0480 | 0.0636 | 0.0990 | 0.1536 | 0.1717 | 0.1930 | 0.2044 | 0.1957 |

## TABLE 3.6.2.c WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet - Fleet D Weight at age as W-ringers in the catch (WECA, kg)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.0236 | 0.0161 | 0.0658 | 0.1304 | 0.1549 | 0.1669 | 0.1937 | 0.0804 | 0.1499 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.0086 | 0.0287 | 0.0564 | 0.0940 | 0.1276 | 0.1440 | 0.1540 | 0.1655 | 0.1840 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.0102 | 0.0146 | 0.0230 | 0.1363 | 0.1427 | 0.1700 | 0.1797 | 0.0000 | 0.1790 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.0130 | 0.0229 | 0.0516 | 0.0951 | 0.1184 | 0.1101 | 0.1043 | 0.1469 | 0.1469 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.0282 | 0.0350 | 0.0772 | 0.1053 | 0.1448 | 0.1548 | 0.1746 | 0.1800 | 0.1855 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.0135 | 0.0340 | 0.0738 | 0.1093 | 0.1402 | 0.1490 | 0.1531 | 0.1727 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.0142 | 0.0245 | 0.0721 | 0.1123 | 0.1368 | 0.1824 | 0.1961 | 0.2195 | 0.2047 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.0215 | 0.0316 | 0.0624 | 0.0997 | 0.1355 | 0.1502 | 0.1915 | 0.1682 | 0.2107 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.0158 | 0.0465 | 0.0826 | 0.1101 | 0.1396 | 0.1717 | 0.1884 | 0.2042 | 0.1896 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.0132 | 0.0176 | 0.0871 | 0.1296 | 0.1607 | 0.1728 | 0.2103 | 0.2068 | 0.2058 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.0077 | 0.0166 | 0.0399 | 0.0940 | 0.0410 | 0.1110 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.0082 | 0.0162 | 0.0448 | 0.0711 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.0093 | 0.0275 | 0.0398 | 0.0852 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0224 | 0.0748 | 0.1114 | 0.1378 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.0093 | 0.0216 | 0.0244 | 0.0643 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.0159 | 0.0279 | 0.0415 | 0.0971 | 0.2840 | 0.1470 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.0071 | 0.0234 | 0.0375 | 0.0805 | 0.0000 | 0.0780 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0150 | 0.0250 | 0.0750 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.0102 | 0.0385 | 0.0427 | 0.0480 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.0120 | 0.0279 | 0.0397 | 0.0645 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.0095 | 0.0531 | 0.0979 | 0.1147 | 0.1164 | 0.1168 | 0.1158 | 0.0000 | 0.1300 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0453 | 0.0673 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

TABLE 3.6.2.d WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet - Fleet F
Weight at age as W-ringers in the catch (WECA, kg)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.0165 | 0.0222 | 0.0428 | 0.0804 | 0.1235 | 0.1332 | 0.1434 | 0.1554 | 0.1514 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.0129 | 0.0221 | 0.0467 | 0.0689 | 0.0933 | 0.1504 | 0.1445 | 0.1455 | 0.1522 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.0108 | 0.0273 | 0.0578 | 0.0817 | 0.1088 | 0.1321 | 0.1866 | 0.1778 | 0.1577 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.0224 | 0.0257 | 0.0464 | 0.0753 | 0.0952 | 0.1172 | 0.1259 | 0.1571 | 0.1626 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.0037 | 0.0143 | 0.0474 | 0.0777 | 0.0964 | 0.1255 | 0.1504 | 0.1658 | 0.1510 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.0136 | 0.0142 | 0.0483 | 0.0733 | 0.0893 | 0.1156 | 0.1436 | 0.1599 | 0.1702 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.0212 | 0.0340 | 0.0567 | 0.0840 | 0.1022 | 0.1253 | 0.1439 | 0.1758 | 0.1700 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.0119 | 0.0278 | 0.0573 | 0.0749 | 0.1063 | 0.1213 | 0.1407 | 0.1627 | 0.1855 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.0163 | 0.0369 | 0.0649 | 0.0877 | 0.1103 | 0.1332 | 0.1406 | 0.1583 | 0.1747 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.0105 | 0.0283 | 0.0481 | 0.0905 | 0.1238 | 0.1452 | 0.1604 | 0.1712 | 0.1818 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.0122 | 0.0222 | 0.0522 | 0.0871 | 0.1198 | 0.1548 | 0.1706 | 0.1919 | 0.1941 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.0124 | 0.0230 | 0.0551 | 0.0781 | 0.1132 | 0.1366 | 0.1476 | 0.1612 | 0.1680 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.0181 | 0.0159 | 0.0550 | 0.0954 | 0.1151 | 0.1503 | 0.1676 | 0.1774 | 0.1912 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.0137 | 0.0178 | 0.0541 | 0.0868 | 0.1294 | 0.1369 | 0.1453 | 0.1591 | 0.1798 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.0165 | 0.0300 | 0.0590 | 0.0823 | 0.1221 | 0.1584 | 0.1560 | 0.1630 | 0.1755 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.0071 | 0.0159 | 0.0504 | 0.0793 | 0.1076 | 0.1447 | 0.1706 | 0.1356 | 0.1494 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.0103 | 0.0341 | 0.0517 | 0.0846 | 0.0950 | 0.1295 | 0.1604 | 0.1681 | 0.1692 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.0220 | 0.0342 | 0.0577 | 0.0828 | 0.1179 | 0.1235 | 0.1376 | 0.1475 | 0.1398 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.0159 | 0.0145 | 0.0518 | 0.0872 | 0.1084 | 0.1427 | 0.1434 | 0.1577 | 0.1701 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.0167 | 0.0307 | 0.0569 | 0.0837 | 0.1236 | 0.1396 | 0.1656 | 0.1383 | 0.1667 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.0185 | 0.0383 | 0.0691 | 0.0873 | 0.1113 | 0.1455 | 0.1559 | 0.1721 | 0.1710 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.0191 | 0.0230 | 0.0722 | 0.1041 | 0.1386 | 0.1465 | 0.1716 | 0.1763 | 0.1771 |

TABLE 3.6.3 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Weight at age as W-ringers in the stock (WEST, kg)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0308 | 0.0528 | 0.0787 | 0.1041 | 0.1245 | 0.1449 | 0.1594 | 0.1640 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0203 | 0.0451 | 0.0818 | 0.1075 | 0.1313 | 0.1593 | 0.1710 | 0.1869 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0156 | 0.0402 | 0.0967 | 0.1079 | 0.1409 | 0.1672 | 0.1827 | 0.1891 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0186 | 0.0529 | 0.0836 | 0.1077 | 0.1392 | 0.1566 | 0.1768 | 0.2028 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0131 | 0.0459 | 0.0708 | 0.1327 | 0.1674 | 0.1892 | 0.2097 | 0.2338 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0181 | 0.0546 | 0.0905 | 0.1170 | 0.1197 | 0.1538 | 0.1467 | 0.1280 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0131 | 0.0515 | 0.1063 | 0.1333 | 0.1662 | 0.1943 | 0.2090 | 0.2264 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0221 | 0.0558 | 0.0829 | 0.1128 | 0.1338 | 0.1678 | 0.1683 | 0.1843 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0211 | 0.0567 | 0.0871 | 0.1081 | 0.1480 | 0.1601 | 0.1439 | 0.1504 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0140 | 0.0431 | 0.0837 | 0.1250 | 0.1436 | 0.1629 | 0.1650 | 0.1831 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0169 | 0.0509 | 0.0783 | 0.1159 | 0.1690 | 0.1763 | 0.1681 | 0.1805 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0164 | 0.0637 | 0.0905 | 0.1239 | 0.1736 | 0.1983 | 0.1980 | 0.2036 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0144 | 0.0445 | 0.0793 | 0.1051 | 0.1268 | 0.1506 | 0.1729 | 0.1847 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0131 | 0.0456 | 0.0811 | 0.1092 | 0.1440 | 0.1628 | 0.1932 | 0.2076 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0126 | 0.0514 | 0.0800 | 0.1066 | 0.1322 | 0.1573 | 0.1677 | 0.1820 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0185 | 0.0621 | 0.0953 | 0.1174 | 0.1659 | 0.1710 | 0.1858 | 0.1871 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0150 | 0.0550 | 0.0800 | 0.1140 | 0.1430 | 0.1710 | 0.1750 | 0.1880 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0180 | 0.0680 | 0.0860 | 0.1100 | 0.1390 | 0.1430 | 0.1410 | 0.1580 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0230 | 0.0520 | 0.0900 | 0.1300 | 0.1560 | 0.1740 | 0.1850 | 0.1990 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0140 | 0.0626 | 0.0974 | 0.1283 | 0.1618 | 0.1813 | 0.2023 | 0.2045 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0090 | 0.0580 | 0.0950 | 0.1260 | 0.1560 | 0.1730 | 0.1850 | 0.1920 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0120 | 0.0500 | 0.0920 | 0.1140 | 0.1580 | 0.1780 | 0.1910 | 0.2010 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0140 | 0.0560 | 0.0950 | 0.1290 | 0.1430 | 0.1610 | 0.1790 | 0.1990 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0160 | 0.0520 | 0.0810 | 0.1300 | 0.1650 | 0.1740 | 0.1900 | 0.2050 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0150 | 0.0490 | 0.0880 | 0.1160 | 0.1570 | 0.1800 | 0.1690 | 0.1940 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0138 | 0.0415 | 0.0811 | 0.1057 | 0.1366 | 0.1735 | 0.1824 | 0.1903 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0177 | 0.0479 | 0.0815 | 0.1181 | 0.1324 | 0.1558 | 0.1731 | 0.1751 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0125 | 0.0491 | 0.0828 | 0.1091 | 0.1432 | 0.1544 | 0.1696 | 0.1853 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0256 | 0.0568 | 0.0771 | 0.1190 | 0.1481 | 0.1705 | 0.1778 | 0.1910 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0238 | 0.0484 | 0.0781 | 0.1039 | 0.1465 | 0.1644 | 0.1686 | 0.1809 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0192 | 0.0544 | 0.0745 | 0.1170 | 0.1293 | 0.1773 | 0.1814 | 0.1781 |

TABLE 3.6.4 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Natural mortality (NATMOR)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.5 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Proportion mature (MATPROP)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

TABLE 3.6.6 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Fraction of harvest before spawning (FPROP)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2000 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2001 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2002 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2003 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2004 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2005 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2006 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2007 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2008 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2009 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2010 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2011 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2012 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2013 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2014 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2015 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2016 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2017 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2018 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2019 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2020 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2021 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.7 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Fraction of natural mortality before spawning (MPROP)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.8.a WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Survey indices: HERAS (number in thousands)

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 1927000 | 866000 | 350000 | 88000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 1799000 | 1593000 | 556000 | 197000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 1274000 | 598000 | 434000 | 154000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 935000 | 501000 | 239000 | 186000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 1022000 | 1270000 | 255000 | 174000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 247000 | 141000 | 119000 | 37000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 787000 | 166000 | 67000 | 69000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 901000 | 282000 | 111000 | 51000 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 673600 | 363900 | 185700 | 55600 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 452300 | 153100 | 96400 | 37600 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1392800 | 524300 | 87500 | 39500 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 394600 | 323400 | 103400 | 25200 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 726000 | 306900 | 183700 | 72100 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 463500 | 201300 | 102500 | 83600 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 1780400 | 490000 | 180400 | 27000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 933000 | 499000 | 154000 | 34000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 843000 | 333000 | 274000 | 176000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 205000 | 161000 | 82000 | 86000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 254000 | 115000 | 65000 | 24000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 259000 | 163000 | 70000 | 53000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 236000 | 87000 | 76000 | 33000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 525000 | 53000 | 30000 | 12000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 176000 | 248000 | 28000 | 37000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 446000 | 224000 | 171000 | 82000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 381000 | 99000 | 40000 | 40000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 661000 | 401000 | 94000 | 53000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 271000 | 175000 | 169000 | 50000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 315000 | 109000 | 67000 | 52000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 225000 | 180000 | 74000 | 77000 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 275000 | 203000 | 52000 | 49000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.8.b WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Survey indices: GerAS (number in thousands)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 415730 | 883810 | 559720 | 443730 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 1675340 | 328610 | 357960 | 353850 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 1439460 | 590010 | 434090 | 295170 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 1955400 | 738180 | 394530 | 162430 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 801350 | 678530 | 394070 | 236830 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 1338710 | 287240 | 232510 | 155950 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 1429880 | 453980 | 328960 | 201590 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 837549 | 421393 | 575356 | 34119 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 1238480 | 222530 | 217270 | 260350 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 968860 | 592360 | 346230 | 163150 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 750199 | 590756 | 295659 | 142778 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 940892 | 226959 | 279618 | 212201 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 558851 | 260402 | 117412 | 76782 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 392737 | 165347 | 166301 | 102018 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 270959 | 95866 | 43553 | 17761 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 534633 | 305540 | 214539 | 107364 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 1206762 | 360354 | 210455 | 115984 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 755034 | 294242 | 193974 | 124548 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 893837 | 456204 | 307567 | 262908 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 769320 | 242590 | 279650 | 332660 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 440738 | 509769 | 221344 | 129795 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 493366 | 155417 | 196061 | 60953 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 463940 | 145360 | 123230 | 137500 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 428530 | 89280 | 41160 | 20240 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 247870 | 122948 | 47727 | 24244 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 185814 | 82236 | 66046 | 21600 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 158368 | 144638 | 49942 | 22420 |

TABLE 3.6.8.c WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Survey indices: N20 (number in millions)

|  | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 1060000 |
| 1993 | 3044000 |
| 1994 | 12515000 |
| 1995 | 7930000 |
| 1996 | 21012000 |
| 1997 | 4872000 |
| 1998 | 16743000 |
| 1999 | 20364000 |
| 2000 | 3026000 |
| 2001 | 4845000 |
| 2002 | 11324000 |
| 2003 | 5507000 |
| 2004 | 5640000 |
| 2005 | 3887000 |
| 2006 | 3774000 |
| 2007 | 1829000 |
| 2008 | 1622000 |
| 2009 | 6464000 |
| 2010 | 7037000 |
| 2011 | 4444000 |
| 2012 | 1140000 |
| 2013 | 3021000 |
| 2014 | 539000 |
| 2015 | 2478000 |
| 2016 | 442000 |
| 2017 | 1247000 |
| 2018 | 1563000 |
| 2019 | 1317000 |
| 2020 | 239000 |
| 2021 | 2751000 |
|  |  |

TABLE 3.6.8.d WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Survey indices: IBTS+BITS-Q1 (number per hour)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 1045654 | 54550 | 10678 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 642200 | 118519 | 3053 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 290805 | 66533 | 11892 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 187588 | 108625 | 6635 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 144891 | 28465 | 5965 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 222906 | 30955 | 3039 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 161902 | 29000 | 3669 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 571168 | 35698 | 1047 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 291304 | 72528 | 8501 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 147210 | 63866 | 11249 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 291325 | 71455 | 3422 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 184291 | 68128 | 12154 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 143235 | 17888 | 2725 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 244250 | 54514 | 1924 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 195417 | 90784 | 5460 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 447070 | 67306 | 11218 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 96400 | 59785 | 2453 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 391309 | 37485 | 4605 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 357498 | 80377 | 5143 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 345683 | 127508 | 7099 |

TABLE 3.6.8.e WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Survey indices: IBTS+BITS-Q3.4 (number per hour)

|  | 2 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 3197 | 1400 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 6542 | 1487 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 3457 | 1225 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 3581 | 631.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2643 | 1201 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 3637 | 622.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 2271 | 1217 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 3277 | 565.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 4033 | 1251 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2701 | 660.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 5626 | 792 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 5499 | 1439 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 1341 | 1413 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 9467 | 1321 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 8869 | 2069 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 5674 | 1542 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 5832 | 1089 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 9943 | 3234 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 9124 | 2527 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 8641 | 1760 |

## TABLE 3.6.9 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING <br> Multi fleet/SAM software version

Model version: [ $0.5 .4,0.5 .4,0.5 .4]$
Model SHA: [ 3c872568b9d7, 3c872568b9d7, 3c872568b9d7]

## TABLE 3.6.10 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING 1/2 Multi fleet/SAM configuration settings

\# Configuration saved: Tue Feb 13 12:34:28 2018
\# Where a matrix is specified rows corresponds to fleets and columns to ages.
\# Same number indicates same parameter used
\# Numbers (integers) starts from zero and must be consecutive
\#
\$minAge
\# The minimium age class in the assessment
0
\$maxAge
\# The maximum age class in the assessment
8
\$maxAgePlusGroup
\# Is last age group considered a plus group (1 yes, or 0 no).
1
\$keyLogFsta
\# Coupling of the fishing mortality states (nomally only first row is used).
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}-1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 6\end{array}$
789101112131414
151617181920212222
232425262728293030
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 $-1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & -1 & -1\end{array}$
-1 -1 -1 $-1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}$
-1 -1 -1 $-1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}$
-1

## \$corFlag

\# Correlation of fishing mortality across ages ( 0 independent, 1 compound symmetry, or 2 AR(1)
0222
\$keyLogFpar
\# Coupling of the survey catchability parameters (nomally first row is not used, as that is covered by fishing mortality).

| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
|  | -1 | 5 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |
| 8 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | 13 | -1 | -1 |  |

\$keyQpow
\# Density dependent catchability power parameters (if any).
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 $-1 \begin{aligned} & -1\end{aligned}$
-1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1


## continued

## TABLE 3.6.10 - WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING 2/2

## \$keyVarF

```
# Coupling of process variance parameters for log(F)-process (nomally only first row is used)
    -1 0
    1
    2
```



```
    -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
$keyVarLogN
# Coupling of process variance parameters for }\operatorname{log}(\textrm{N})\mathrm{ -process
011111111
$keyVarObs
# Coupling of the variance parameters for the observations.
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
-1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 6 \\
4 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 8 \\
5 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 9 \\
6 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 10 & -1 \\
7 & 8 & 8 & -10 & -1 \\
-1 & 8 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
9 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 10 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{tabular}
\$obsCorStruct\# Covariance structure for each fleet ("ID" independent, "AR" AR(1), or "US" for unstructured). | Possible values are: "ID" "AR" "US"
"ID" "AR" "ID" "AR" "AR" "AR" "ID" "AR" "US" "NA"
```


## \$keyCorObs

```
\# Coupling of correlation parameters can only be specified if the \(\operatorname{AR}(1)\) structure is chosen above.
\# NA's indicate where correlation parameters can be specified ( -1 where they cannot).
\#0-1 1-2 2-3 3-4 4-5 5-6 6-7 7-8
NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
\(3 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 34444\)
NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
\(\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4\end{array}\)
-1 -1 -1 \(0001-1\)-1
\(-1210-1-1-1-1\)
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 \(-1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & -1\end{array}\)
\(-121-1-1-1-1-1\)
-1 -1 NA -1 -1 \(-1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llllllll}-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1\end{array}\)
\$stockRecruitmentModelCode
\# Stock recruitment code ( 0 for plain random walk, 1 for Ricker, and 2 for Beverton-Holt).
0
\$noScaledYears
\# Number of years where catch scaling is applied.
0
\$keyScaledYears
\# A vector of the years where catch scaling is applied.
\$keyParScaledYA
\# A matrix specifying the couplings of scale parameters (nrow = no scaled years, ncols = no ages).
\$fbarRange
\# lowest and higest age included in Fbar
36
\$keyBiomassTreat
\# To be defined only if a biomass survey is used (0 SSB index, 1 catch index, and 2 FSB index).
```

```
-1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1 -1-1
\$obsLikelihoodFlag
\# Option for observational likelihood | Possible values are: "LN" "ALN"
"LN" "LN" "LN" "LN" "LN" "LN" "LN" "LN" "LN" "LN"
\$fixVarToWeight
\# If weight attribute is supplied for observations this option sets the treatment ( 0 relative weight, 1 fix variance to weight). 0
```

table 3.6.11 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Stock summary - Estimated recruitment (1000), spawning stock biomass (SSB) (tons), average fishing mortality and total stock biomass (TSB) (tons).

| Year | R(age 0) | Low | High | SSB | Low | High | Fbar (3-6) | Low | High | TSB | Low | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 5037767 | 3883893 | 6534448 | 294145 | 240485 | 359778 | 0.429 | 0.311 | 0.590 | 591282 | 499712 | 699631 |
| 1992 | 3616981 | 2870641 | 4557363 | 301866 | 247819 | 367698 | 0.508 | 0.392 | 0.658 | 520728 | 441025 | 614834 |
| 1993 | 3024804 | 2343977 | 3903383 | 285247 | 234948 | 346314 | 0.580 | 0.447 | 0.752 | 453282 | 382161 | 537639 |
| 1994 | 4505757 | 3512008 | 5780695 | 225394 | 185870 | 273322 | 0.601 | 0.467 | 0.775 | 371093 | 313624 | 439092 |
| 1995 | 4177252 | 3303589 | 5281963 | 193228 | 158414 | 235693 | 0.600 | 0.455 | 0.791 | 313442 | 264433 | 371534 |
| 1996 | 4163472 | 3306420 | 5242679 | 132731 | 110050 | 160086 | 0.664 | 0.514 | 0.856 | 277023 | 237031 | 323763 |
| 1997 | 3473011 | 2703111 | 4462194 | 145701 | 120967 | 175493 | 0.635 | 0.492 | 0.819 | 276843 | 235928 | 324853 |
| 1998 | 4610783 | 3626141 | 5862794 | 117839 | 98741 | 140632 | 0.618 | 0.476 | 0.802 | 261908 | 225187 | 304617 |
| 1999 | 4948162 | 3922340 | 6242272 | 118531 | 99288 | 141503 | 0.515 | 0.397 | 0.670 | 266321 | 229353 | 309248 |
| 2000 | 3027959 | 2408357 | 3806967 | 123786 | 103914 | 147458 | 0.571 | 0.453 | 0.721 | 258256 | 222182 | 300187 |
| 2001 | 2746047 | 2208664 | 3414180 | 136674 | 115870 | 161213 | 0.614 | 0.488 | 0.771 | 279314 | 241566 | 322961 |
| 2002 | 2775373 | 2219597 | 3470312 | 159829 | 135505 | 188518 | 0.489 | 0.388 | 0.618 | 285590 | 246919 | 330318 |
| 2003 | 2983774 | 2386756 | 3730129 | 129623 | 109287 | 153743 | 0.445 | 0.352 | 0.561 | 222581 | 191989 | 258047 |
| 2004 | 2064899 | 1649260 | 2585285 | 134779 | 114065 | 159255 | 0.497 | 0.386 | 0.640 | 229826 | 198719 | 265802 |
| 2005 | 1762657 | 1401261 | 2217260 | 122478 | 103601 | 144795 | 0.531 | 0.422 | 0.668 | 215088 | 185124 | 249900 |
| 2006 | 1345815 | 1057685 | 1712435 | 134187 | 112186 | 160501 | 0.468 | 0.375 | 0.585 | 227319 | 193139 | 267547 |
| 2007 | 1404787 | 1102204 | 1790437 | 110775 | 92390 | 132817 | 0.533 | 0.425 | 0.668 | 179160 | 151522 | 211839 |
| 2008 | 1152732 | 914390 | 1453199 | 89997 | 75629 | 107095 | 0.580 | 0.463 | 0.727 | 156201 | 132897 | 183593 |
| 2009 | 1129287 | 905064 | 1409059 | 79847 | 67731 | 94129 | 0.528 | 0.409 | 0.682 | 138902 | 119583 | 161341 |
| 2010 | 1462341 | 1169351 | 1828742 | 73802 | 62903 | 86589 | 0.402 | 0.309 | 0.523 | 122489 | 106277 | 141175 |
| 2011 | 1354293 | 1083599 | 1692610 | 69344 | 58404 | 82333 | 0.311 | 0.240 | 0.401 | 113416 | 97100 | 132474 |
| 2012 | 1187034 | 950561 | 1482334 | 72453 | 61583 | 85242 | 0.386 | 0.293 | 0.507 | 124128 | 107179 | 143758 |
| 2013 | 1683600 | 1276677 | 2220223 | 80066 | 68156 | 94057 | 0.411 | 0.310 | 0.545 | 135979 | 117774 | 156996 |


| Year | R(age 0) | Low | High | SSB | Low | High | Fbar <br> $(3-6)$ | Low | High | TSB | Low | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 1146962 | 883669 | 1488703 | 82432 | 68629 | 99011 | 0.344 | 0.257 | 0.461 | 139635 | 119850 | 162687 |
| 2015 | 919966 | 707551 | 1196150 | 83726 | 68518 | 102309 | 0.431 | 0.336 | 0.552 | 142713 | 119648 | 170225 |
| 2016 | 832966 | 632450 | 1097055 | 79359 | 64556 | 97558 | 0.486 | 0.372 | 0.634 | 123340 | 101068 | 150521 |
| 2017 | 924380 | 699933 | 1220799 | 72396 | 60263 | 86973 | 0.510 | 0.372 | 0.700 | 113555 | 95190 | 135464 |
| 2018 | 813549 | 588524 | 1124615 | 60775 | 49335 | 74869 | 0.509 | 0.368 | 0.703 | 95351 | 78921 | 115202 |
| 2019 | 839747 | 570178 | 1236763 | 54388 | 41386 | 71476 | 0.300 | 0.215 | 0.417 | 97063 | 76077 | 123836 |
| 2020 | 550822 | 332631 | 912136 | 54606 | 40314 | 73964 | 0.182 | 0.118 | 0.281 | 92971 | 70274 | 122999 |
| 2021 | 609230 | 315073 | 1178016 | 62765 | 44766 | 88002 | 0.149 | 0.080 | 0.277 | 97357 | 70477 | 134490 |

TABLE 3.6.12.a WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Estimated fishing mortality - Sum all fleets

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 0.026 | 0.205 | 0.323 | 0.357 | 0.406 | 0.450 | 0.502 | 0.548 | 0.548 |
| 1992 | 0.026 | 0.221 | 0.354 | 0.405 | 0.475 | 0.536 | 0.617 | 0.686 | 0.686 |
| 1993 | 0.034 | 0.260 | 0.391 | 0.453 | 0.540 | 0.612 | 0.714 | 0.797 | 0.797 |
| 1994 | 0.041 | 0.287 | 0.411 | 0.469 | 0.561 | 0.632 | 0.743 | 0.826 | 0.826 |
| 1995 | 0.068 | 0.367 | 0.442 | 0.479 | 0.559 | 0.626 | 0.735 | 0.812 | 0.812 |
| 1996 | 0.046 | 0.317 | 0.444 | 0.509 | 0.615 | 0.702 | 0.829 | 0.923 | 0.923 |
| 1997 | 0.047 | 0.307 | 0.429 | 0.486 | 0.582 | 0.669 | 0.802 | 0.923 | 0.923 |
| 1998 | 0.052 | 0.317 | 0.434 | 0.479 | 0.567 | 0.652 | 0.774 | 0.917 | 0.917 |
| 1999 | 0.035 | 0.243 | 0.382 | 0.412 | 0.474 | 0.542 | 0.634 | 0.759 | 0.759 |
| 2000 | 0.028 | 0.236 | 0.400 | 0.442 | 0.524 | 0.604 | 0.716 | 0.861 | 0.861 |
| 2001 | 0.032 | 0.254 | 0.412 | 0.461 | 0.560 | 0.649 | 0.784 | 0.926 | 0.926 |
| 2002 | 0.026 | 0.200 | 0.346 | 0.375 | 0.446 | 0.517 | 0.620 | 0.735 | 0.735 |
| 2003 | 0.024 | 0.185 | 0.321 | 0.343 | 0.406 | 0.467 | 0.562 | 0.668 | 0.668 |
| 2004 | 0.025 | 0.204 | 0.338 | 0.374 | 0.455 | 0.523 | 0.637 | 0.755 | 0.755 |
| 2005 | 0.017 | 0.179 | 0.340 | 0.393 | 0.491 | 0.558 | 0.682 | 0.811 | 0.811 |
| 2006 | 0.016 | 0.174 | 0.343 | 0.368 | 0.437 | 0.487 | 0.581 | 0.685 | 0.685 |
| 2007 | 0.013 | 0.170 | 0.362 | 0.409 | 0.501 | 0.559 | 0.663 | 0.763 | 0.763 |
| 2008 | 0.013 | 0.178 | 0.385 | 0.437 | 0.543 | 0.614 | 0.727 | 0.822 | 0.822 |
| 2009 | 0.015 | 0.191 | 0.386 | 0.404 | 0.491 | 0.555 | 0.663 | 0.747 | 0.747 |
| 2010 | 0.007 | 0.119 | 0.292 | 0.310 | 0.375 | 0.420 | 0.504 | 0.570 | 0.570 |
| 2011 | 0.005 | 0.087 | 0.228 | 0.241 | 0.290 | 0.323 | 0.389 | 0.441 | 0.441 |
| 2012 | 0.005 | 0.097 | 0.241 | 0.278 | 0.358 | 0.408 | 0.498 | 0.561 | 0.561 |
| 2013 | 0.006 | 0.103 | 0.248 | 0.290 | 0.380 | 0.437 | 0.535 | 0.609 | 0.609 |
| 2014 | 0.005 | 0.088 | 0.226 | 0.253 | 0.318 | 0.364 | 0.442 | 0.514 | 0.514 |
| 2015 | 0.006 | 0.120 | 0.278 | 0.306 | 0.392 | 0.463 | 0.562 | 0.680 | 0.680 |
| 2016 | 0.006 | 0.116 | 0.304 | 0.344 | 0.433 | 0.525 | 0.642 | 0.808 | 0.808 |
| 2017 | 0.005 | 0.101 | 0.302 | 0.356 | 0.444 | 0.554 | 0.688 | 0.901 | 0.901 |
| 2018 | 0.004 | 0.097 | 0.293 | 0.349 | 0.437 | 0.555 | 0.695 | 0.963 | 0.963 |
| 2019 | 0.002 | 0.064 | 0.211 | 0.226 | 0.262 | 0.315 | 0.397 | 0.593 | 0.593 |
| 2020 | 0.001 | 0.054 | 0.184 | 0.163 | 0.172 | 0.174 | 0.220 | 0.348 | 0.348 |
| 2021 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.157 | 0.140 | 0.147 | 0.140 | 0.167 | 0.264 | 0.264 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.12.b WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Estimated fishing mortality - Fleet A

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.020 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.032 | 0.032 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.039 | 0.039 |
| 1999 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.045 | 0.045 |
| 2000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.028 | 0.029 | 0.048 | 0.048 |
| 2001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.017 | 0.022 | 0.029 | 0.032 | 0.048 | 0.048 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.021 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.047 | 0.047 |
| 2003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.023 | 0.027 | 0.043 | 0.043 |
| 2004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.021 | 0.024 | 0.036 | 0.036 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.024 | 0.039 | 0.039 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.016 | 0.022 | 0.042 | 0.042 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.017 | 0.029 | 0.029 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.023 | 0.023 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.014 | 0.031 | 0.031 |
| 2010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.024 | 0.024 |
| 2011 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.018 | 0.018 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.020 | 0.020 |
| 2014 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.023 | 0.032 | 0.032 |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.026 | 0.044 | 0.044 |
| 2016 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.027 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| 2017 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.026 | 0.057 | 0.057 |
| 2018 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.011 | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.035 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| 2019 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.014 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.048 | 0.140 | 0.140 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.035 | 0.026 | 0.057 | 0.152 | 0.152 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.038 | 0.030 | 0.053 | 0.133 | 0.13 |

TABLE 3.6.12.c WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Estimated fishing mortality - Fleet C

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.143 | 0.109 | 0.087 | 0.079 | 0.074 | 0.075 | 0.075 |
| 1992 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.144 | 0.110 | 0.088 | 0.079 | 0.074 | 0.075 | 0.075 |
| 1993 | 0.001 | 0.038 | 0.145 | 0.111 | 0.088 | 0.080 | 0.075 | 0.076 | 0.076 |
| 1994 | 0.001 | 0.039 | 0.150 | 0.115 | 0.091 | 0.082 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.078 |
| 1995 | 0.001 | 0.040 | 0.155 | 0.119 | 0.095 | 0.085 | 0.080 | 0.081 | 0.08 |
| 1996 | 0.001 | 0.040 | 0.156 | 0.119 | 0.095 | 0.086 | 0.081 | 0.082 | 0.082 |
| 1997 | 0.001 | 0.041 | 0.158 | 0.121 | 0.096 | 0.087 | 0.082 | 0.083 | 0.08 |
| 1998 | 0.001 | 0.043 | 0.167 | 0.128 | 0.102 | 0.092 | 0.087 | 0.087 | 0.08 |
| 1999 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.177 | 0.136 | 0.108 | 0.097 | 0.092 | 0.093 | 0.093 |
| 2000 | 0.001 | 0.048 | 0.185 | 0.141 | 0.113 | 0.102 | 0.096 | 0.097 | 0.097 |
| 2001 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.178 | 0.136 | 0.108 | 0.098 | 0.092 | 0.093 | 0.093 |
| 2002 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.176 | 0.134 | 0.107 | 0.097 | 0.091 | 0.092 | 0.092 |
| 2003 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.162 | 0.124 | 0.099 | 0.089 | 0.084 | 0.085 | 0.085 |
| 2004 | 0.001 | 0.037 | 0.145 | 0.110 | 0.088 | 0.079 | 0.075 | 0.076 | 0.076 |
| 2005 | 0.001 | 0.040 | 0.154 | 0.118 | 0.094 | 0.085 | 0.080 | 0.081 | 0.08 |
| 2006 | 0.001 | 0.044 | 0.168 | 0.129 | 0.103 | 0.092 | 0.087 | 0.088 | 0.088 |
| 2007 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.176 | 0.134 | 0.107 | 0.097 | 0.091 | 0.092 | 0.092 |
| 2008 | 0.001 | 0.048 | 0.183 | 0.140 | 0.112 | 0.101 | 0.095 | 0.096 | 0.09 |
| 2009 | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.192 | 0.146 | 0.117 | 0.105 | 0.099 | 0.100 | 0.10 |
| 2010 | 0.001 | 0.047 | 0.182 | 0.139 | 0.111 | 0.100 | 0.094 | 0.095 | 0.095 |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.040 | 0.154 | 0.118 | 0.094 | 0.085 | 0.080 | 0.081 | 0.081 |
| 2012 | 0.001 | 0.036 | 0.138 | 0.106 | 0.084 | 0.076 | 0.072 | 0.072 | 0.072 |
| 2013 | 0.001 | 0.034 | 0.129 | 0.099 | 0.079 | 0.071 | 0.067 | 0.068 | 0.06 |
| 2014 | 0.001 | 0.035 | 0.134 | 0.102 | 0.081 | 0.073 | 0.069 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| 2015 | 0.001 | 0.038 | 0.147 | 0.112 | 0.089 | 0.081 | 0.076 | 0.077 | 0.077 |
| 2016 | 0.001 | 0.047 | 0.180 | 0.137 | 0.110 | 0.099 | 0.093 | 0.094 | 0.094 |
| 2017 | 0.001 | 0.051 | 0.198 | 0.151 | 0.121 | 0.109 | 0.103 | 0.104 | 0.104 |
| 2018 | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.192 | 0.147 | 0.117 | 0.106 | 0.100 | 0.101 | 0.101 |
| 2019 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.163 | 0.125 | 0.099 | 0.090 | 0.084 | 0.085 | 0.085 |
| 2020 | 0.001 | 0.041 | 0.159 | 0.121 | 0.097 | 0.087 | 0.082 | 0.083 | 0.083 |
| 2021 | 0.001 | 0.038 | 0.147 | 0.112 | 0.090 | 0.081 | 0.076 | 0.077 | 0.07 |

TABLE 3.6.12.d WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Estimated fishing mortality - Fleet D

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 0.014 | 0.042 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 1992 | 0.012 | 0.032 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 1993 | 0.017 | 0.046 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.00 |
| 1994 | 0.024 | 0.066 | 0.026 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.00 |
| 1995 | 0.051 | 0.146 | 0.054 | 0.023 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.00 |
| 1996 | 0.027 | 0.073 | 0.027 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.00 |
| 1997 | 0.030 | 0.076 | 0.027 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.00 |
| 1998 | 0.035 | 0.092 | 0.032 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 1999 | 0.022 | 0.056 | 0.021 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 2000 | 0.014 | 0.036 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 2001 | 0.018 | 0.052 | 0.022 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 2002 | 0.016 | 0.051 | 0.020 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 2003 | 0.016 | 0.058 | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.00 |
| 2004 | 0.016 | 0.068 | 0.044 | 0.022 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| 2005 | 0.008 | 0.035 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 2006 | 0.009 | 0.051 | 0.044 | 0.022 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.00 |
| 2007 | 0.005 | 0.032 | 0.030 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| 2008 | 0.005 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 2009 | 0.008 | 0.065 | 0.054 | 0.016 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.00 |
| 2010 | 0.002 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2012 | 0.001 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| 2013 | 0.001 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| 2014 | 0.001 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2015 | 0.002 | 0.032 | 0.031 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2016 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| 2017 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2019 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |

TABLE 3.6.12.e WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Estimated fishing mortality - Fleet $\mathbf{F}$

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 0.011 | 0.126 | 0.159 | 0.221 | 0.298 | 0.350 | 0.407 | 0.453 | 0.453 |
| 1992 | 0.013 | 0.152 | 0.192 | 0.270 | 0.368 | 0.437 | 0.522 | 0.590 | 0.590 |
| 1993 | 0.016 | 0.176 | 0.224 | 0.314 | 0.431 | 0.512 | 0.616 | 0.698 | 0.698 |
| 1994 | 0.016 | 0.182 | 0.231 | 0.324 | 0.447 | 0.528 | 0.640 | 0.722 | 0.722 |
| 1995 | 0.016 | 0.180 | 0.228 | 0.319 | 0.437 | 0.516 | 0.624 | 0.701 | 0.701 |
| 1996 | 0.018 | 0.204 | 0.257 | 0.360 | 0.496 | 0.592 | 0.720 | 0.812 | 0.812 |
| 1997 | 0.017 | 0.190 | 0.240 | 0.336 | 0.463 | 0.558 | 0.691 | 0.804 | 0.804 |
| 1998 | 0.016 | 0.182 | 0.231 | 0.321 | 0.441 | 0.533 | 0.659 | 0.786 | 0.786 |
| 1999 | 0.012 | 0.141 | 0.180 | 0.250 | 0.343 | 0.416 | 0.513 | 0.618 | 0.618 |
| 2000 | 0.013 | 0.152 | 0.198 | 0.278 | 0.387 | 0.473 | 0.588 | 0.714 | 0.714 |
| 2001 | 0.013 | 0.156 | 0.209 | 0.299 | 0.424 | 0.518 | 0.651 | 0.776 | 0.776 |
| 2002 | 0.009 | 0.104 | 0.146 | 0.217 | 0.314 | 0.391 | 0.495 | 0.593 | 0.593 |
| 2003 | 0.007 | 0.085 | 0.124 | 0.189 | 0.279 | 0.348 | 0.442 | 0.532 | 0.532 |
| 2004 | 0.008 | 0.098 | 0.147 | 0.226 | 0.335 | 0.412 | 0.526 | 0.634 | 0.634 |
| 2005 | 0.009 | 0.104 | 0.161 | 0.251 | 0.374 | 0.451 | 0.574 | 0.689 | 0.689 |
| 2006 | 0.006 | 0.079 | 0.129 | 0.207 | 0.307 | 0.366 | 0.461 | 0.546 | 0.546 |
| 2007 | 0.007 | 0.092 | 0.155 | 0.254 | 0.376 | 0.445 | 0.547 | 0.635 | 0.635 |
| 2008 | 0.008 | 0.095 | 0.168 | 0.280 | 0.419 | 0.501 | 0.614 | 0.698 | 0.698 |
| 2009 | 0.006 | 0.076 | 0.140 | 0.237 | 0.362 | 0.440 | 0.547 | 0.613 | 0.613 |
| 2010 | 0.004 | 0.051 | 0.094 | 0.165 | 0.257 | 0.315 | 0.396 | 0.450 | 0.450 |
| 2011 | 0.003 | 0.035 | 0.067 | 0.119 | 0.190 | 0.235 | 0.297 | 0.343 | 0.343 |
| 2012 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 0.094 | 0.169 | 0.268 | 0.329 | 0.410 | 0.472 | 0.472 |
| 2013 | 0.004 | 0.054 | 0.103 | 0.186 | 0.295 | 0.362 | 0.450 | 0.520 | 0.520 |
| 2014 | 0.003 | 0.040 | 0.079 | 0.145 | 0.228 | 0.284 | 0.350 | 0.412 | 0.412 |
| 2015 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 0.100 | 0.186 | 0.293 | 0.372 | 0.460 | 0.559 | 0.559 |
| 2016 | 0.004 | 0.049 | 0.103 | 0.197 | 0.313 | 0.414 | 0.522 | 0.664 | 0.664 |
| 2017 | 0.003 | 0.046 | 0.099 | 0.195 | 0.310 | 0.431 | 0.559 | 0.739 | 0.739 |
| 2018 | 0.003 | 0.044 | 0.095 | 0.190 | 0.302 | 0.431 | 0.560 | 0.762 | 0.762 |
| 2019 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.043 | 0.087 | 0.138 | 0.200 | 0.264 | 0.367 | 0.367 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.012 | 0.025 | 0.041 | 0.061 | 0.080 | 0.113 | 0.113 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.020 | 0.029 | 0.038 | 0.054 | 0.054 |

TABLE 3.6.13 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Estimated stock numbers (1000) at age

| Year Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 5037767 | 4152778 | 2239405 | 1862514 | 911484 | 552464 | 162679 | 48586 | 17471 |
| 1992 | 3616981 | 3678060 | 2039685 | 1331057 | 1065594 | 493743 | 286494 | 81333 | 31694 |
| 1993 | 3024804 | 2613813 | 1820583 | 1160759 | 734482 | 541210 | 234687 | 126478 | 46702 |
| 1994 | 4505757 | 2125920 | 1220799 | 1030078 | 595191 | 356466 | 238525 | 93840 | 63764 |
| 1995 | 4177252 | 3250180 | 974352 | 651334 | 545249 | 269338 | 157759 | 92122 | 56231 |
| 1996 | 4163472 | 2882002 | 1372791 | 515736 | 326682 | 253669 | 117700 | 62026 | 53977 |
| 1997 | 3473011 | 2951702 | 1266816 | 732587 | 254314 | 143062 | 100889 | 41997 | 38294 |
| 1998 | 4610783 | 2414609 | 1313562 | 676886 | 372650 | 116532 | 60610 | 36131 | 26194 |
| 1999 | 4948162 | 3255370 | 1053379 | 690433 | 345108 | 174883 | 49380 | 23238 | 19985 |
| 2000 | 3027959 | 3592662 | 1552176 | 582806 | 370368 | 177661 | 83383 | 21561 | 16553 |
| 2001 | 2746047 | 2153338 | 1726248 | 864024 | 301813 | 179311 | 78829 | 33835 | 13145 |
| 2002 | 2775373 | 1956179 | 989075 | 940780 | 458408 | 138649 | 77355 | 28793 | 15425 |
| 2003 | 2983774 | 1989547 | 972501 | 565107 | 528195 | 242975 | 66992 | 34143 | 17346 |
| 2004 | 2064899 | 2194123 | 1006436 | 582702 | 328116 | 285598 | 124875 | 31355 | 21498 |
| 2005 | 1762657 | 1475163 | 1099716 | 598788 | 327059 | 170573 | 138030 | 54387 | 20318 |
| 2006 | 1345815 | 1286753 | 732135 | 645666 | 340977 | 161972 | 81578 | 56435 | 27295 |
| 2007 | 1404787 | 970641 | 660367 | 423381 | 362093 | 184187 | 78626 | 38920 | 34023 |
| 2008 | 1152732 | 1039066 | 488296 | 377572 | 228986 | 178658 | 88045 | 33020 | 28098 |
| 2009 | 1129287 | 838937 | 535355 | 271283 | 196606 | 110295 | 77871 | 35312 | 22072 |
| 2010 | 1462341 | 812239 | 419821 | 295943 | 149795 | 99535 | 52179 | 31757 | 22589 |
| 2011 | 1354293 | 1084369 | 432245 | 254364 | 176318 | 84095 | 54134 | 26031 | 24847 |
| 2012 | 1187034 | 997377 | 614624 | 281027 | 161848 | 107610 | 49906 | 30065 | 26749 |
| 2013 | 1683600 | 863737 | 542561 | 405647 | 174044 | 92688 | 57884 | 25063 | 26565 |
| 2014 | 1146962 | 1279410 | 460539 | 343726 | 252354 | 95835 | 49269 | 27715 | 23428 |
| 2015 | 919966 | 844825 | 737972 | 300941 | 217459 | 145261 | 55348 | 25751 | 25566 |
| 2016 | 832966 | 672344 | 451363 | 471612 | 182513 | 119665 | 72387 | 25952 | 21634 |
| 2017 | 924380 | 610232 | 361438 | 264258 | 283448 | 98103 | 58273 | 30378 | 17463 |
| 2018 | 813549 | 691398 | 334816 | 217889 | 144543 | 154834 | 47149 | 23740 | 15594 |
| 2019 | 839747 | 599352 | 378496 | 201297 | 127075 | 76002 | 73095 | 19691 | 11957 |
| 2020 | 550822 | 630942 | 340672 | 247216 | 126752 | 82485 | 45375 | 40514 | 14276 |
| 2021 | 609230 | 402039 | 371783 | 230754 | 170687 | 85325 | 57280 | 29710 | 31433 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.6.14.a WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Predicted catch in numbers - Sum fleets

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 113689.08 | 642575.03 | 612280.36 | 556371.63 | 299755.72 | 197116.86 | 63248.50 | 20208.01 | 7266.73 |
| 1992 | 81278.90 | 608531.20 | 604953.29 | 442208.19 | 398834.38 | 202630.35 | 130566.87 | 40041.95 | 15603.34 |
| 1993 | 87330.67 | 504343.69 | 591924.43 | 424814.09 | 304616.57 | 245793.18 | 119116.45 | 69241.46 | 25567.25 |
| 1994 | 156993.37 | 451218.37 | 416244.86 | 389270.12 | 255449.85 | 166271.59 | 124884.72 | 52838.65 | 35903.53 |
| 1995 | 239281.94 | 876402.85 | 358540.05 | 252560.21 | 234586.43 | 125313.98 | 82453.97 | 51530.71 | 31454.37 |
| 1996 | 162578.11 | 671920.36 | 501510.14 | 208794.98 | 150529.14 | 128036.50 | 66656.98 | 37744.30 | 32846.14 |
| 1997 | 139583.64 | 669355.49 | 449348.32 | 285317.56 | 112554.92 | 69849.22 | 55952.59 | 25684.43 | 23420.08 |
| 1998 | 202806.54 | 566561.22 | 472764.65 | 261340.21 | 162314.64 | 56092.20 | 32914.63 | 22215.18 | 16105.64 |
| 1999 | 148314.85 | 593466.78 | 336823.46 | 235086.49 | 130627.93 | 73481.46 | 23316.77 | 12671.04 | 10897.39 |
| 2000 | 72865.74 | 634280.36 | 515984.95 | 210709.91 | 152296.56 | 81453.33 | 43197.30 | 12858.31 | 9871.63 |
| 2001 | 76484.51 | 408986.76 | 591451.00 | 323335.39 | 130863.44 | 86841.58 | 43722.32 | 21218.92 | 8243.64 |
| 2002 | 60849.38 | 297098.17 | 288294.34 | 294216.02 | 165043.12 | 56172.63 | 36065.49 | 15368.76 | 8233.52 |
| 2003 | 60341.74 | 281332.76 | 265170.34 | 163417.60 | 175451.96 | 90538.43 | 28926.75 | 16951.39 | 8612.29 |
| 2004 | 43585.44 | 339435.91 | 289343.46 | 182438.71 | 119662.25 | 116030.53 | 58896.31 | 16827.26 | 11537.74 |
| 2005 | 25418.77 | 200807.12 | 316094.58 | 194428.53 | 126493.56 | 72573.86 | 68261.94 | 30663.83 | 11455.29 |
| 2006 | 18375.32 | 171400.01 | 213108.21 | 199133.68 | 120674.30 | 62465.78 | 36092.22 | 28601.46 | 13832.99 |
| 2007 | 15841.59 | 125764.24 | 201331.98 | 142698.77 | 142630.26 | 78663.62 | 38254.37 | 21105.98 | 18450.54 |
| 2008 | 13188.00 | 140934.02 | 157798.14 | 134735.04 | 96164.03 | 81832.69 | 45642.83 | 18784.80 | 15984.52 |
| 2009 | 14334.76 | 122196.00 | 174147.69 | 90588.46 | 76266.62 | 46844.76 | 37872.97 | 18948.73 | 11844.23 |
| 2010 | 9168.06 | 74237.16 | 103593.24 | 77470.16 | 46174.90 | 33544.16 | 20456.12 | 13833.74 | 839.80 |
| 2011 | 5521.18 | 73040.20 | 84336.50 | 52522.40 | 43008.33 | 22462.44 | 17039.32 | 9132.00 | 8716.66 |
| 2012 | 5562.90 | 74395.38 | 126787.82 | 66249.58 | 47393.88 | 34906.90 | 19170.29 | 12670.73 | 11273.28 |
| 2013 | 8360.12 | 68581.00 | 115862.73 | 99315.15 | 53502.94 | 31804.81 | 23506.48 | 11246.38 | 11920.29 |
| 2014 | 4606.59 | 87480.83 | 89580.30 | 74287.69 | 6589.80 | 28318.12 | 17275.42 | 11041.43 | 9333.79 |
| 2015 | 5108.76 | 78142.88 | 175785.90 | 77709.81 | 69062.11 | 52717.82 | 23595.22 | 12821.20 | 12729.30 |
| 2016 | 4130.51 | 60435.30 | 116403.27 | 135574.72 | 63596.17 | 48513.88 | 34478.32 | 14800.82 | 12338.46 |
| 2017 | 3616.42 | 47347.40 | 91685.09 | 78609.18 | 101367.80 | 41743.60 | 29332.34 | 18818.85 | 10818.18 |
| 2018 | 3032.46 | 51671.30 | 82569.29 | 63603.11 | 51199.17 | 66186.91 | 24018.32 | 15738.03 | 10337.58 |
| 2019 | 1666.58 | 29598.61 | 67940.88 | 39172.06 | 28612.44 | 20144.37 | 23948.47 | 9317.76 | 5657.87 |
| 2020 | 701.09 | 26535.26 | 53293.58 | 34979.14 | 19135.58 | 12605.31 | 8724.94 | 12064.15 | 4251.10 |
| 2021 | 483.41 | 12970.53 | 49589.80 | 28087.27 | 22025.68 | 10541.36 | 8447.08 | 6766.22 | 7158.59 |

TABLE 3.6.14.b WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Predicted catch in numbers - Fleet A

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 0.00 | 10.46 | 8149.37 | 31695.61 | 13023.30 | 9088.34 | 2428.05 | 710.24 | 255.40 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 0.00 | 9.27 | 7436.91 | 22232.77 | 15200.33 | 7843.94 | 4505.69 | 1301.68 | 507.23 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 0.00 | 6.59 | 6571.66 | 19720.69 | 10782.78 | 8509.60 | 3920.73 | 2183.73 | 806.34 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 0.00 | 5.36 | 4414.66 | 17019.67 | 9353.20 | 5594.28 | 4276.40 | 1740.48 | 1182.64 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 0.00 | 8.19 | 3514.03 | 10933.65 | 8722.31 | 4399.55 | 3039.11 | 1839.09 | 1122.58 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 0.00 | 7.26 | 4903.76 | 8567.62 | 5297.56 | 4447.24 | 2401.48 | 1409.11 | 1226.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 0.00 | 7.44 | 4512.55 | 12060.90 | 4156.45 | 2597.20 | 2121.86 | 1184.94 | 1080.48 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 0.00 | 6.08 | 4727.41 | 10950.43 | 6311.82 | 2344.33 | 1260.59 | 1260.06 | 913.52 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 0.00 | 8.20 | 3806.70 | 11549.27 | 6012.46 | 3975.78 | 1095.77 | 926.19 | 796.55 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 0.00 | 9.05 | 5577.05 | 9511.70 | 7251.02 | 4428.54 | 2132.71 | 916.63 | 703.72 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.00 | 6.10 | 5683.05 | 13017.96 | 6004.13 | 4571.88 | 2228.51 | 1440.71 | 559.72 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 0.00 | 4.87 | 2620.21 | 13703.07 | 8504.57 | 3306.86 | 2094.63 | 1211.51 | 649.04 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.00 | 4.72 | 1715.16 | 7681.89 | 9071.04 | 4995.28 | 1619.24 | 1310.80 | 665.96 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.00 | 5.40 | 2115.18 | 8187.20 | 5351.60 | 5254.59 | 2693.70 | 1012.98 | 694.56 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 0.00 | 4.11 | 2011.44 | 7102.63 | 5225.18 | 2769.18 | 2982.49 | 1887.57 | 705.15 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 0.00 | 4.37 | 955.94 | 5712.52 | 4438.30 | 2323.20 | 1590.86 | 2100.04 | 1015.68 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.00 | 3.32 | 493.23 | 2548.67 | 3296.07 | 1544.53 | 1207.94 | 996.23 | 870.89 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 0.00 | 3.80 | 270.39 | 1487.91 | 1605.21 | 994.84 | 1039.29 | 675.99 | 575.22 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 0.00 | 3.53 | 261.67 | 1036.06 | 1438.78 | 568.16 | 1012.90 | 964.59 | 602.93 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0.00 | 4.19 | 143.92 | 963.53 | 967.41 | 400.72 | 632.74 | 696.13 | 495.15 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 0.00 | 6.17 | 127.47 | 755.19 | 980.27 | 259.76 | 616.00 | 419.88 | 400.79 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 0.00 | 6.93 | 170.46 | 806.36 | 863.61 | 253.06 | 725.61 | 426.78 | 379.71 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 0.00 | 8.13 | 198.39 | 1344.52 | 987.71 | 368.01 | 950.00 | 459.46 | 486.99 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 0.00 | 18.31 | 265.69 | 1551.56 | 1915.63 | 597.86 | 1020.06 | 800.08 | 676.34 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 0.00 | 17.72 | 473.43 | 1613.58 | 1848.22 | 1323.75 | 1263.62 | 1001.64 | 994.46 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 0.00 | 20.47 | 402.79 | 3241.34 | 1747.10 | 1262.46 | 1718.18 | 1144.63 | 954.20 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 0.00 | 26.91 | 371.63 | 2264.30 | 3240.74 | 1171.91 | 1331.13 | 1535.56 | 882.73 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 0.00 | 49.94 | 529.21 | 2154.28 | 2409.52 | 2615.16 | 1460.05 | 2056.79 | 1351.01 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 0.00 | 72.99 | 964.96 | 2563.14 | 2836.12 | 1702.26 | 3129.12 | 2338.02 | 1419.68 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 0.00 | 99.73 | 1041.45 | 3477.71 | 3910.67 | 1924.91 | 2290.22 | 5183.75 | 1826.62 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 0.00 | 70.08 | 1135.69 | 3228.27 | 5705.83 | 2318.84 | 2681.00 | 3350.52 | 3544.82 |

TABLE 3.6.14.c WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Predicted catch in numbers - Fleet C

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 2910.42 | 119313.74 | 271443.40 | 175237.17 | 69119.24 | 37920.68 | 10546.04 | 3181.20 | 1143.95 |
| 1992 | 2098.12 | 106098.16 | 248175.39 | 125718.57 | 81121.54 | 34023.29 | 18645.87 | 5346.37 | 2083.34 |
| 1993 | 1771.08 | 76094.36 | 223453.92 | 110609.18 | 56417.53 | 37631.00 | 15412.38 | 8389.14 | 097 |
| 1994 | 2727.51 | 63949.35 | 154554.11 | 101299.78 | 47198.88 | 25591.63 | 16175.07 | 6427.15 | 4367 |
| 1995 | 2619.90 | 101232.82 | 127481.76 | 66235.48 | 44728.54 | 20005.75 | 11069.27 | 6528.22 | 984.83 |
| 1996 | 2620.27 | 90069.67 | 180187.35 | 52616.98 | 26886.98 | 18904.21 | 8285.85 | 4410.06 | 3837.76 |
| 1997 | 2215.78 | 93493.31 | 168394.91 | 75709.51 | 21205.24 | 10801.76 | 7196.14 | 3025.37 | 27 |
| 1998 | 3112.34 | 80832.57 | 183948.93 | 73767.50 | 32787.93 | 9286.80 | 4563.58 | 2747.46 | 19 |
| 1999 | 3541.67 | 115421.59 | 155682.90 | 79497.27 | 32103.98 | 14739.27 | 3932.61 | 1869.03 | 1607. |
| 2000 | 2260.65 | 132751.74 | 238436.68 | 69805.12 | 35859.66 | 15587.64 | 6913.72 | 1805.40 | 1386.05 |
| 2001 | 1969.88 | 76515.40 | 255654.22 | 99692.72 | 28135.89 | 15144.63 | 6291.37 | 2727.25 | 1059. |
| 2002 | 1970.89 | 68825.03 | 145128.53 | 107525.13 | 42325.35 | 11597.81 | 6114.25 | 2298.56 | 1231.41 |
| 2003 | 1952.95 | 64620.17 | 132371.12 | 59824.41 | 45127.15 | 18799.80 | 4896.92 | 2520.80 | 1280.71 |
| 2004 | 1204.02 | 63616.90 | 123053.90 | 55304.06 | 25100.17 | 19776.34 | 8166.98 | 2071.40 | 1420.27 |
| 2005 | 1095.10 | 45523.20 | 142634.00 | 60347.64 | 26585.81 | 12554.52 | 9596.68 | 3819.64 | 6.93 |
| 2006 | 913.92 | 43332.10 | 103102.75 | 70763.01 | 30172.37 | 12983.25 | 6178.20 | 4317.39 | 20 |
| 2007 | 996.64 | 34119.75 | 96817.20 | 48347.06 | 33402.67 | 15395.08 | 6210.03 | 3105.16 | 27 |
| 2008 | 852.40 | 38037.49 | 74361.19 | 44821.12 | 21970.88 | 15535.45 | 7235.42 | 2741.10 | 2332. |
| 2009 | 872.23 | 32048.13 | 84835.62 | 33539.99 | 19658.55 | 9997.17 | 6671.32 | 3055.84 | 1910.11 |
| 2010 | 1073.70 | 29528.54 | 63523.28 | 34901.16 | 14277.65 | 8597.96 | 4259.68 | 2618.85 | 18 |
| 2011 | 840.78 | 33441.06 | 56032.91 | 25621.82 | 14325.46 | 6187.56 | 3762.70 | 1827.85 | 4.7 |
| 2012 | 661.79 | 27671.42 | 72079.17 | 25564.98 | 11862.57 | 7139.76 | 3127.29 | 1903.40 | 1693.48 |
| 2013 | 879.13 | 22467.44 | 59841.92 | 34672.56 | 11978.42 | 5773.32 | 3404.81 | 1489.50 | 1578.75 |
| 2014 | 618.52 | 34352.52 | 52354.86 | 30295.96 | 17915.52 | 6158.49 | 2990.25 | 1699.45 | 14 |
| 2015 | 544.46 | 24857.20 | 91511.33 | 28974.27 | 16879.83 | 10210.64 | 3675.25 | 1727.58 | 1715.2 |
| 2016 | 604.18 | 24152.63 | 67546.19 | 54993.01 | 17199.12 | 10221.41 | 5844.05 | 2116.66 | 1764.5 |
| 2017 | 738.40 | 24091.10 | 59065.78 | 33715.08 | 29263.01 | 9185.04 | 5158.26 | 2716.50 | 156 |
| 2018 | 631.06 | 26523.10 | 53274.31 | 27049.73 | 14514.11 | 14097.75 | 4058.28 | 2064.33 | 1355.9 |
| 2019 | 552.49 | 19566.99 | 51780.55 | 21417.95 | 10913.23 | 5913.54 | 5374.08 | 1462.66 | 888.1 |
| 2020 | 352.12 | 20024.27 | 45381.44 | 25599.76 | 10590.70 | 6243.46 | 3245.18 | 2927.39 | 1031.54 |
| 2021 | 361.38 | 11855.52 | 46202.94 | 22264.17 | 13277.40 | 6010.91 | 3812.09 | 1997.72 | 211 |

TABLE 3.6.14.d WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Predicted catch in numbers - Fleet D

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | 62342.05 | 133877.68 | 34226.10 | 13844.96 | 3358.65 | 1504.29 | 603.22 | 150.56 | 54.14 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | 37461.87 | 92100.15 | 24760.65 | 7934.89 | 3209.03 | 1123.40 | 913.56 | 221.48 | 86.31 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | 45158.63 | 93020.37 | 30151.19 | 9188.44 | 2873.35 | 1570.00 | 944.82 | 429.50 | 158.59 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 92455.42 | 107037.38 | 28280.12 | 10904.09 | 3046.38 | 1320.87 | 1209.87 | 395.30 | 268.60 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | 179949.24 | 349667.57 | 46610.69 | 13301.79 | 5049.56 | 1716.81 | 1318.03 | 614.09 | 374.84 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | 96508.84 | 160188.86 | 32760.50 | 5329.16 | 1598.10 | 903.72 | 577.03 | 255.29 | 222.16 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | 88128.35 | 170388.51 | 30124.38 | 7211.98 | 1168.12 | 480.76 | 469.94 | 167.65 | 152.87 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 137401.47 | 166982.35 | 37411.74 | 7496.29 | 1874.03 | 424.37 | 301.24 | 155.25 | 112.55 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | 93291.27 | 140226.89 | 19484.80 | 4984.14 | 1146.18 | 434.04 | 171.42 | 72.20 | 62.10 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 36821.38 | 100543.52 | 18809.46 | 2747.47 | 824.57 | 305.47 | 206.82 | 49.51 | 38.01 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 43223.68 | 85761.80 | 33541.74 | 7108.95 | 1488.74 | 861.15 | 654.45 | 292.27 | 113.55 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 37953.83 | 75999.18 | 18194.76 | 6016.49 | 1518.03 | 358.25 | 248.03 | 73.91 | 39.59 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 40136.07 | 88871.50 | 27953.79 | 7302.97 | 4139.84 | 1696.37 | 558.91 | 233.39 | 118.58 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 27912.83 | 113499.13 | 39438.16 | 11716.62 | 4002.56 | 3062.29 | 1344.94 | 262.04 | 179.67 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 11401.21 | 39961.96 | 23250.88 | 5826.40 | 1697.58 | 709.18 | 491.56 | 140.37 | 52.44 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 10005.88 | 50641.65 | 28719.50 | 12964.50 | 3852.74 | 1873.54 | 809.46 | 456.57 | 220.82 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 5922.69 | 24343.28 | 17701.10 | 5403.14 | 2375.09 | 1361.53 | 528.12 | 245.56 | 214.66 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 4814.97 | 28321.13 | 14631.40 | 4524.05 | 1068.98 | 922.17 | 380.76 | 161.20 | 137.17 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 7588.93 | 41474.97 | 25718.87 | 3831.73 | 739.32 | 408.45 | 199.01 | 113.02 | 70.65 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 3062.77 | 13045.63 | 5591.75 | 715.91 | 52.77 | 26.04 | 6.78 | 5.27 | 3.75 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 1459.83 | 10046.54 | 2922.35 | 218.27 | 11.63 | 3.96 | 1.42 | 1.10 | 1.05 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | 1000.96 | 8892.75 | 4689.13 | 232.51 | 7.82 | 3.73 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 0.91 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 1506.41 | 10500.87 | 7578.15 | 698.13 | 16.79 | 6.24 | 1.78 | 1.30 | 1.38 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 948.30 | 13432.38 | 5112.95 | 391.92 | 13.64 | 5.03 | 1.10 | 1.07 | 0.90 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 1596.66 | 21264.16 | 20177.35 | 769.14 | 31.21 | 28.12 | 3.47 | 2.39 | 2.37 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 871.79 | 10912.74 | 8394.35 | 643.75 | 13.81 | 17.42 | 3.85 | 2.23 | 1.85 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 154.21 | 1731.88 | 1310.86 | 66.03 | 4.38 | 3.29 | 1.11 | 1.19 | 0.69 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 117.49 | 1736.66 | 1099.13 | 54.88 | 2.55 | 5.77 | 1.17 | 1.23 | 0.81 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 79.27 | 1019.27 | 852.86 | 42.84 | 2.59 | 3.43 | 2.26 | 1.15 | 0.70 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 152.55 | 3699.95 | 3084.38 | 263.72 | 19.32 | 28.88 | 9.54 | 11.55 | 4.07 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 16.20 | 207.79 | 239.79 | 13.82 | 1.63 | 2.18 | 1.11 | 0.98 | 1.03 |

TABLE 3.6.14.e WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Predicted catch in numbers - Fleet $\mathbf{F}$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 48436.61 | 389373.15 | 298461.49 | 335593.89 | 214254.53 | 148603.55 | 49671.19 | 16166.01 | 5813.24 |
| 1992 | 41718.91 | 410323.62 | 324580.34 | 286321.96 | 299303.48 | 159639.72 | 106501.75 | 33172.42 | 12926.46 |
| 1993 | 40400.96 | 335222.37 | 331747.66 | 285295.78 | 234542.91 | 198082.58 | 98838.52 | 58239.09 | 21504.65 |
| 1994 | 61810.44 | 280226.28 | 228995.97 | 260046.58 | 195851.39 | 133764.81 | 103223.38 | 44275.72 | 30085.08 |
| 1995 | 56712.80 | 425494.27 | 180933.57 | 162089.29 | 176086.02 | 99191.87 | 67027.56 | 42549.31 | 25972.12 |
| 1996 | 63449.00 | 421654.57 | 283658.53 | 142281.22 | 116746.50 | 103781.33 | 55392.62 | 31669.84 | 27559.98 |
| 1997 | 49239.51 | 405466.23 | 246316.48 | 190335.17 | 86025.11 | 55969.50 | 46164.65 | 21306.47 | 19428.08 |
| 1998 | 62292.73 | 318740.22 | 246676.57 | 169125.99 | 121340.86 | 44036.70 | 26789.22 | 18052.41 | 13087.70 |
| 1999 | 51481.91 | 337810.10 | 157849.06 | 139055.81 | 91365.31 | 54332.37 | 18116.97 | 9803.62 | 8431.33 |
| 2000 | 33783.71 | 400976.05 | 253161.76 | 128645.62 | 108361.31 | 61131.68 | 33944.05 | 10086.77 | 7743.85 |
| 2001 | 31290.95 | 246703.46 | 296571.99 | 203515.76 | 95234.68 | 66263.92 | 34547.99 | 16758.69 | 6510.82 |
| 2002 | 20924.66 | 152269.09 | 122350.84 | 166971.33 | 112695.17 | 40909.71 | 27608.58 | 11784.78 | 6313.48 |
| 2003 | 18252.72 | 127836.37 | 103130.27 | 88608.33 | 117113.93 | 65046.98 | 21851.68 | 12886.40 | 6547.04 |
| 2004 | 14468.59 | 162314.48 | 124736.22 | 107230.83 | 85207.92 | 87937.31 | 46690.69 | 13480.84 | 9243.24 |
| 2005 | 12922.46 | 115317.85 | 148198.26 | 121151.86 | 92984.99 | 56540.98 | 55191.21 | 24816.25 | 9270.77 |
| 2006 | 7455.52 | 77421.89 | 80330.02 | 109693.65 | 82210.89 | 45285.79 | 27513.70 | 21727.46 | 10508.40 |
| 2007 | 8922.26 | 67297.89 | 86320.45 | 86399.90 | 103556.43 | 60362.48 | 30308.28 | 16759.03 | 14650.51 |
| 2008 | 7520.63 | 74571.60 | 68535.16 | 83901.96 | 71518.96 | 64380.23 | 36987.36 | 15206.51 | 12939.65 |
| 2009 | 5873.60 | 48669.37 | 63331.53 | 52180.68 | 54429.97 | 35870.98 | 29989.74 | 14815.28 | 9260.54 |
| 2010 | 5031.59 | 31658.80 | 34334.29 | 40889.56 | 30877.07 | 24519.44 | 15556.92 | 10513.49 | 7478.14 |
| 2011 | 3220.57 | 29546.43 | 25253.77 | 25927.12 | 27690.97 | 16011.16 | 12659.20 | 6883.17 | 6570.10 |
| 2012 | 3900.15 | 37824.28 | 49849.06 | 39645.73 | 34659.88 | 27510.35 | 15316.43 | 10339.53 | 9199.18 |
| 2013 | 5974.58 | 35604.56 | 48244.27 | 62599.94 | 40520.02 | 25657.24 | 19149.89 | 9296.12 | 9853.17 |
| 2014 | 3039.77 | 39677.62 | 31846.80 | 42048.25 | 46745.01 | 21556.74 | 13264.01 | 8540.83 | 7219.93 |
| 2015 | 2967.64 | 32003.80 | 63623.79 | 46352.82 | 50302.85 | 41155.31 | 18652.88 | 10089.59 | 10017.27 |
| 2016 | 2654.54 | 25349.46 | 40059.94 | 76696.62 | 44636.14 | 37012.59 | 26912.24 | 11537.30 | 9617.89 |
| 2017 | 2723.81 | 21497.51 | 30936.82 | 42563.77 | 68859.67 | 31383.36 | 22841.84 | 14565.60 | 8373.16 |
| 2018 | 2283.91 | 23361.60 | 27666.64 | 34344.22 | 34272.99 | 49468.23 | 18498.82 | 11615.68 | 7629.80 |
| 2019 | 1034.82 | 8939.36 | 14342.51 | 15148.13 | 14860.50 | 12525.14 | 15443.01 | 5515.93 | 3349.35 |
| 2020 | 196.42 | 2711.31 | 3786.31 | 5637.95 | 4614.89 | 4408.06 | 3180.00 | 3941.46 | 1388.87 |
| 2021 | 105.83 | 837.14 | 2011.38 | 2581.01 | 3040.82 | 2209.43 | 1952.88 | 1417.00 | 1499.17 |

TABLE 3.9.1 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Input table for short term predictions.


TABLE 3.9.2 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Forecast table. MSY approach (zero catch, $\mathrm{F}=0$ )

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Per fleet

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 3.9.3 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. MAP 2018: F=FMSY(0.31)*SSBy-1/MSYBtrigger

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.147 | 0.164 | 0.164 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.147 | 0.164 | 0.164 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.147 | 0.164 | 0.164 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 79293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 79256 | 79224 | 80143 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 79256 | 79224 | 80143 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 79256 | 79224 | 80143 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 19391 | 21686 | 22149 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 19391 | 21686 | 22149 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 19391 | 21686 | 22149 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 15618 | 17363 | 17747 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 1764 | 2059 | 2143 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 2008 | 2265 | 2258 |



TABLE 3.9.5 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. MAP 2018: F=FMSYupper(0.379)*SSBy-1/MSYBtrigger

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.179 | 0.199 | 0.192 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.179 | 0.199 | 0.192 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.179 | 0.199 | 0.192 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 78880 | 76152 | 75303 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 78880 | 76152 | 75303 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 78880 | 76152 | 75303 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 23085 | 24572 | 23643 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 23085 | 24572 | 23643 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 23085 | 24572 | 23643 |

## Per fleet

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 18562 | 19567 | 18801 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 2127 | 2422 | 2415 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 2396 | 2582 | 2428 |

TABLE 3.9.6 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. F=FMSY=0.31

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.310 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.310 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.310 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 77401 | 65861 | 61838 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 77401 | 65861 | 61838 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 77401 | 65861 | 61838 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 36088 | 30159 | 28128 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 36088 | 30159 | 28128 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 36088 | 30159 | 28128 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 28829 | 23560 | 21780 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 3482 | 3372 | 3408 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 3777 | 3227 | 2941 |

TABLE 3.9.7 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Fpa}=0.41$

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.410 | 0.410 | 0.410 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.410 | 0.410 | 0.410 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.410 | 0.410 | 0.410 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 79293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 76296 | 59278 | 53441 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 76296 | 59278 | 53441 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 76296 | 59278 | 53441 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 44481 | 33646 | 30065 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 44481 | 33646 | 30065 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 44481 | 33646 | 30065 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 35369 | 25892 | 22796 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 4430 | 4115 | 4101 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 4681 | 3640 | 3169 |

TABLE 3.9.8 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. F=Flim=0.45

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.450 | 0.450 | 0.450 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.450 | 0.450 | 0.450 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.450 | 0.450 | 0.450 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 79293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 75860 | 56930 | 50619 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 75860 | 56930 | 50619 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 75860 | 56930 | 50619 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 47526 | 34667 | 30569 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 47526 | 34667 | 30569 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 47526 | 34667 | 30569 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 37724 | 26522 | 22992 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 4791 | 4383 | 4349 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 5012 | 3763 | 3228 |

TABLE 3.9.9 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. F=F2022=0.064

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80221 | 88093 | 96763 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80221 | 88093 | 96763 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80221 | 88093 | 96763 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 9073 | 10387 | 11843 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 9073 | 10387 | 11843 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 9073 | 10387 | 11843 |

## Per fleet

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 7341 | 8436 | 9702 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 799 | 886 | 964 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 934 | 1065 | 1177 |

TABLE 3.9.10 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Forecast table. F=0

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80978 | 95882 | 111989 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Per fleet

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 3.9.11 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. $\mathrm{F}=0.05$

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80385 | 89708 | 99777 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80385 | 89708 | 99777 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80385 | 89708 | 99777 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 7177 | 8395 | 9739 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 7177 | 8395 | 9739 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 7177 | 8395 | 9739 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 5811 | 6833 | 8004 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 628 | 704 | 771 |

TABLE 3.9.12 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. $\mathrm{F}=0.1$

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 79293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 79799 | 84145 | 89698 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 79799 | 84145 | 89698 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 79799 | 84145 | 89698 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 13742 | 14913 | 16319 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 13742 | 14913 | 16319 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 13742 | 14913 | 16319 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 11096 | 12042 | 13257 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 1228 | 1330 | 1424 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 1418 | 1541 | 1639 |

TABLE 3.9.13 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. $\mathrm{F}=0.15$

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.150 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.150 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.150 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 79218 | 79114 | 81275 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 79218 | 79114 | 81275 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 79218 | 79114 | 81275 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 19767 | 20008 | 20840 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 19767 | 20008 | 20840 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 19767 | 20008 | 20840 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 15918 | 16027 | 16731 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 1801 | 1893 | 1988 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 2048 | 2088 | 2120 |

TABLE 3.9.14 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING Multi fleet/Forecast table. Constant 2022 TAC

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.039 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.039 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.039 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80345 | 89405 | 100170 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80345 | 89405 | 100170 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80345 | 89405 | 100170 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 7662 | 7662 | 7662 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 7662 | 7662 | 7662 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 7662 | 7662 | 7662 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 733 | 733 | 733 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 788 | 788 | 788 |

TABLE 3.9.15 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. Catch for bycatch fleets only

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.039 | 0.033 | 0.027 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.039 | 0.033 | 0.027 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.039 | 0.033 | 0.027 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80475 | 90852 | 102935 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80475 | 90852 | 102935 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80475 | 90852 | 102935 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 | 6142 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 3.9.16 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. $\mathrm{F}=0.025$

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80681 | 92713 | 105581 |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80681 | 92713 | 105581 |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80681 | 92713 | 105581 |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 3670 | 4466 | 5354 |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 3670 | 4466 | 5354 |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 3670 | 4466 | 5354 |

## Per fleet

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 2976 | 3650 | 4426 |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 318 | 362 | 403 |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 376 | 454 | 525 |

TABLE 3.9.17 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING
Multi fleet/Forecast table. $\mathrm{F}=0.01$

| Year | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| fbar:Estimate | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |  |
| fbar:low | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |  |
| fbar:high | 0.149 | 0.064 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 |  |
| rec:Estimate | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |  |
| rec:low | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |  |
| rec:high | 609230 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 | 792293 |  |
| ssb:Estimate | 62765 | 71011 | 80859 | 94594 | 109348 |  |
| ssb:low | 62765 | 71011 | 80859 | 94594 | 109348 |  |
| ssb:high | 62765 | 71011 | 80859 | 94594 | 109348 |  |
| catch:Estimate | 15546 | 7662 | 1488 | 1856 | 2272 |  |
| catch:low | 15546 | 7662 | 1488 | 1856 | 2272 |  |
| catch:high | 15546 | 7662 | 1488 | 1856 | 2272 |  |
| Per fleet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2024 | 2025 |
| Fleet A : Estimate | 3508 | 6142 | 1208 | 1520 | 1885 |  |
| Fleet C : Estimate | 10119 | 733 | 128 | 148 | 166 |  |
| Fleet D : Estimate | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Fleet F : Estimate | 1895 | 788 | 152 | 188 | 222 |  |



Figure 3.1.1 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. CATCH and TACs ( 1000 t ) by area. Note, the TAC for Division 3.a excludes the by-catch TAC, while the CATCH includes the by-catch.


Figure 3.3.1 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Map showing distribution of hauls and the density of fish per age in the IBTS+BITS-Q1 survey.


Figure 3.3.2 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Map showing distribution of hauls and the density of fish per age in the IBTS+BITS-Q3.4 survey.


Figure 3.5.1 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Correlation of 1 wr herring from GERAS with the N20 larvae index. Note the year lag between surveys. Labels show the year of the N20.

Mean weight at age in catch


Figure 3.6.1.1 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Weight (kg) at age as W-ringers (wr) in the catch (WECA).

## Catch in weight



Figure 3.6.1.2 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Catch in weight. Upper panel: Catch in weight (1000 tons) at age as $W$-ringers ( $\mathbf{w r}$ ). Lower panel: Proportion (by weight) of a given age as $W$-ringers ( $\mathbf{w r}$ ) in the catch.

Catch in numbers


Figure 3.6.1.3 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Catch in Numbers. Upper panel: Catch in numbers (millions) at age as $\mathbf{W}$-ringers (wr). Lower panel: Proportion (by number) of a given age as W-ringers (wr) in the catch.

Mean weight at age in stock


Figure 3.6.1.4 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Weight (kg) at age as W-ringers (wr) in the stock (WEST).


Figure 3.6.4.1 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Stock summary plot. Spawning stock biomass (SSB). Estimates from the WBSS multi fleet (multi) and the WBSS single fleet (single) assessment runs and point wise 95\% confidence intervals are shown by line and shaded area.


Figure 3.6.4.2 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Stock summary plot. Average fishing mortality (F) for the shown age range. Estimates from the WBSS multi fleet (multi) and the WBSS single fleet (single) assessment runs and point wise $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown by line and shaded area.


Figure 3.6.4.3 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Stock summary plot. Yearly recruitment (age 0 equal 0 W-ringers). Estimates from the WBSS multi fleet (multi) and the WBSS single fleet (single) assessment runs and point wise $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown by line and shaded area.


Figure 3.6.4.4 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Recruitment at age 0 -wr (in thousands) is plotted against spawning stock biomass (tonnes) as estimated by the assessment.


Figure 3.6.4.5 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Total catch in weight (tonnes). Prediction from the WBSS multi fleet (multi) and the WBSS single fleet (single) assessment runs and point wise $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown by line and shaded area. The yearly observed total catch weight (crosses) are calculated sum of catch per fleet.


Figure 3.6.4.6 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Total catch in weight (tonnes) by fleet. Prediction from the WBSS multi fleet assessment run and point wise $95 \%$ confidence intervals are shown by line and shaded area. The plot also shows the observed total catch weight per fleet (crosses).


Figure 3.6.4.7 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Estimated selection pattern at age as W-ringers (wr) per fleet and year. Order: 1 equal 1st year in the respective time span.


Figure 3.6.4.8 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Time-series of estimated fishing mortality-at-age as W-ringers (wr).


Figure 3.6.4.9 Western Baltic Spring Spawning Herring. Estimated survey catchabilities. N20 only covers age $\mathbf{0}$ (wr) and therefore only shows one point.


Figure 3.6.4.10 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Estimates correlations between age groups (wr) for each fleet.


Figure 3.6.4.11 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Estimated age (wr) distribution in the stock. Colours represent a cohort.

stockassessment.org, WBSS HAWG 2022, r16121, git: 3c872568b9d7

Figure 3.6.4.12 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Estimated observation variance in the WBSS multi fleet assessment run.


Figure 3.6.4.13 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. BUBBLE PLOT. Standardized one-observation-ahead residuals from multi fleet run.


Figure 3.6.4.14 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of commercial catches fit per fleet. Fleet A. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) catches (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.15 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of commercial catches fit per fleet. Fleet C. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) catches (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.16 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of commercial catches fit per fleet. Fleet D. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) catches (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.17 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of commercial catches fit per fleet. Fleet F. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) catches (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.18 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of commercial catches fit per fleet. sum of fleets Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) catches (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.19 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of the HERAS index. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) index (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.20 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of the GERAS-index. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) index (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.21 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of the N20 index. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) index (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.22 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of the IBTS+BITS-Q1 index. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) index (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.


Figure 3.6.4.23 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Diagnostics of the IBTS+BITS-Q3.4 index. Plot of predicted (line) and observed (points) index (log scale) per W-ringers (a) and year.
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Figure 3.6.4.24 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Analytical retrospective pattern over 5 years from multi fleet run. Spawning stock biomass.


Figure 3.6.4.25 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Analytical retrospective pattern over 5 years from multi fleet run. Average fishing mortality for the shown age range.


Figure 3.6.4.26 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Analytical retrospective pattern over 5 years from multi fleet run. Recruitment.
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Figure 3.6.4.27 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Analytical retrospective pattern over 5 years from multi fleet run. Catch.


Figure 3.6.4.28 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Leave-one out from multi fleet run. Spawning stock biomass.
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Figure 3.6.4.29 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Leave-one out from multi fleet run. Average fishing mortality for the shown age range.


Figure 3.6.4.30
WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Leave-one out from multi fleet run. Recruitment.


Figure 3.6.4.31 WESTERN BALTIC SPRING SPAWNING HERRING. Leave-one out from multi fleet run. Catch.

## 4 Herring (Clupea harengus) in division 6.a (North), autumn spawners (West of Scotland)


#### Abstract

Herring in division 6.aN existed as a distinct management unit from 1982 to 2014 . Following the WKWEST benchmark meeting (ICES, 2015a) this stock was combined with herring in $6 . a S 7 . b-c$, as the survey indices could not be successfully split between the two areas. From 2015 to 2021 the two stocks were assessed together as a meta-population (ICES, 2021a) despite continuing to be considered by HAWG as discrete stocks. Following genetic work (Farrell, et al., 2021), the survey indices have been successfully split, and the combined stock was separated back into its components at the WKNSCS benchmark in 2022 (ICES, 2022a).

The location of the area occupied by the stock is shown in Figure 4.1. For assessment purposes this stock is considered as an autumn spawning stock only despite spring-spawning populations occurring in the area. The WG noted that the use of "age", "winter rings", "rings" and "ringers" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings", "ringers", "winter ringers" or "wr" instead of "age" throughout this section. However, if the word "age" is used, it is qualified in brackets with one of the ring designations. It should be observed that, for autumn and winter spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings", which is not the case for the spring spawners. Further elaboration on the rationale behind this can be found in the Stock Annex. It is the responsibility of any user of age-based data for any of these herring stocks to consult the stock annex and if in doubt, consult a relevant member of the Working Group.


### 4.1 The Fishery

### 4.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2016-2021

ICES gave separate advice for herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ up to 2015 , and advice for the combined stocks since 2016. After the benchmarking process in early 2015 (ICES 2015a), the stocks were assessed together. The management plans in place for either stock were no longer applicable for the combined stocks. Considering the low SSB and low recruitment estimated for the combined stocks in recent years, ICES advised in 2016 that it was not possible to identify any non-zero catch that would be compatible with the MSY and precautionary approach. There were no catch options consistent with the combined stocks recovering to above Blim, and consequently, ICES advised that the TAC be set at 0 t . In February 2016, the European Commission asked ICES to provide advice on a TAC of sufficiently small size to enable ongoing collection of fisheries-dependent data and continue the long-term catch-at-age dataset. ICES advised on a scientific monitoring TAC of 4840 t (with a TAC split of 3480 t to be taken in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and 1360 t in $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ and $7 . \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$ (ICES 2016 g ). Furthermore, the data should be collected in a way that (i) satisfied standard length, age, and reproductive monitoring purposes by EU Member States for ICES, and (ii) ensured that sufficient spawning-specific samples were available for morphometric and genetic analyses as agreed by the Pelagic Advisory Council monitoring scheme 2016 (Pelagic Advisory Council, 2016).

The European Commission set a monitoring TAC slightly higher than this advice, at 5800 t (TAC split of 4170 t in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and 1630 t in $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ and $7 . \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$; (EU, 2016), and the same for 2017, 2018 and 2019 (EU, 2017; 2018; 2019). This was reduced to $4840 t$, split of $3480 t$ in 6.a.N and $1360 t$ in 6.a.S and 7.b-c for 2020 and 2021 (EU 2020; 2021).

Following the benchmark meeting in early 2022 (ICES 2022a), ICES has returned to providing separate advice for herring in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$, although now this advice only covers the autumn spawning population in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$.

### 4.1.2 Changes in the fishery

There have been no significant changes in the fishing technology of the fleets in this area in recent years. In 6.aN, the fishery has become restricted to the northern part of the area since 2006, focusing on the autumn spawning population. Prior to 2006 there was a much more even distribution of effort, both temporally and spatially. In $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ there were three fisheries prior to 2016, (i) a Scottish domestic pair trawl fleet and the Northern Irish fleet; (ii) the Scottish single boat trawl and purse-seine fleets and (iii) an international freezer-trawler fishery.

Since 2016 the fishery has been restricted to a monitoring fishery with a TAC of $4170 t$ between 2016-2019, and 3480 t in 2020-2022, a significant reduction on the 2015 TAC of 22690 t for $6 . \mathrm{aN}$.

### 4.1.3 The monitoring fishery

The industry-science survey aim is to improve the knowledge base for the spawning components of herring in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS} 7 . \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$ and submit relevant data to ICES to assist in assessing the herring stocks and contribute to establishing a rebuilding plan.

Utilizing ICES advice on the monitoring fishery (ICES, 2016g) together with the experience from 2016 a review of spawning areas and timing and discussions with fishing skippers four areas were selected for surveying in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$. Areas 2 and 4 are considered to be active spawning areas and Area 1 a pre-spawning aggregation area that contains an unknown mixture of stocks of Western and potentially North Sea herring where a large proportion of catches has been taken in the years prior to 2016 (ICES 2016g). Area 5 was a new addition for 2018 and 2019 based on evidence from 2017 from local creel fishers catches of herring on the east side of the North Minch.

Following the guidance arising from WKHASS (ICES 2020c), the survey area from 2020 onwards has focused on two principal spawning areas (Figure 4.1.3.1), with timing planned to coincide with the known spawning period. Strata 1 and 2 are reduced version of previous area 2 and 3 and correspond to regions that have been covered consistently since 2016. Moreover, refocusing the survey to these new strata means that it is now possible to provide a consistency the survey time-series, which will be necessary for developing time-series indices relevant for assessment purposes.

Following a proposal from industry to ensure that commercial catches in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ in 2020 were reduced to a bare minimum, the removal of herring was limited to sample hauls during the acoustic surveys. Details of the survey are reported in WGIPS (ICES 2021b) and Mackinson et al. (2021). In total only 177 tonnes of herring were caught in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ during 2020. Following continued concern over the poor state of the stock, industry reiterated their wish to minimise commercial catches in 6.aN in 2021 to a bare minimum, proposing that the only removal of herring from $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ should be limited to sample hauls during the acoustic surveys (Mackinson et al. 2022). In 20211115 tonnes of herring were caught in division 6.aN. The low uptake of the monitoring TAC in 2020 and 2021 was due to a combination of the industry taking pro-active measures to avoid commercial catch when the stock is low, a change in management measures and difficulties in catching allocated monitoring quotas.

### 4.1.4 Stock recovery plan

The Pelagic Advisory Council submitted a revised proposed rebuilding plan for both $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and 6.aS 7.b-c stocks combined which was reviewed by HAWG 2018 (ICES 2018b, Annex 9). However, ICES ACOM considered that further quantitative evaluation would be required to be used as the basis for advice. ICES advice in 2019 stated 'ICES still considers it important to develop a stock recovery plan for herring in divisions 6 .a and $7 . b-c$, but given the large changes in perception of the stock, fishing pressure and recruitment together with the continued uncertainty in the quality of the assessment, the requirement for a rebuilding plan (or plans) are considered to be better addressed during a full benchmark, anticipated for 2021'. There is no specific stock recovery plan in place for herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$.

### 4.1.5 Regulations and their affects

The $4^{\circ}$ meridian divides $6 . a N$ from the North Sea stock. It is not clear if this boundary is appropriate, as it bisects some of the spawning grounds and evidence suggests $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring are genetically identical to North Sea autumn spawning herring (NSAS). Historically area misreporting is known to have occurred across the boundary. The north-south boundary between $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ ( $56^{\circ}$ parallel) is also not appropriate as a boundary, because it traverses the spawning and feeding grounds of $6 . a S$ herring. Transboundary catches have occurred along this line in the past, although this has been less of an issue recently.

### 4.1.6 Catches in 2021

The Working Group's best estimate of removals from the stock is shown in Table 4.1.6.

### 4.1.7 Length Frequency information

Length frequency information are available from commercial market sampling from 2014 to 2015 before the introduction of the monitoring TAC and from commercial hauls under the monitoring TAC from 2016 to 2021 (Figure 4.1.7.1). In 2018 length frequency data from Dutch vessels were only collected to 1 cm bins, so all data were binned to this resolution for this year. In 2020 catches in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ were reduced to a minimum and removals were limited to survey hauls only, therefore commercial length frequency data are not available for this year. In 2021 the length frequency data come from commercial hauls by one vessel (Chris Andra) only.

### 4.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

Catch and sample data by country and by period (quarter) in 2021 are detailed in Table 4.2.1. Although the current assessment does not require data on numbers or weights at age in the catch, these data are detailed in tables 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 and displayed in figures 4.2.1 and 4.2.2. Biological data sampled from commercial hauls $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ were used to allocate the age distribution for the 6.aN catches. The allocation of age distributions to un-sampled catches and the calculation of total international catch-at-age and mean weight-at-age in the catches were done following established raising methods. A detailed description of the process can be found in (WD02 HAWG 2017). The principles described in that document were followed in 2021 as far as possible. The number of samples in 2021 does not meet the requirements of the monitoring fishery as advised by ICES (ICES 2016g), and caution should be applied when comparing trends in biological composition of the catch with other years when sampling was more comprehensive.

### 4.3 Fishery-independent Information

### 4.3.1 Acoustic surveys (A9481)

An acoustic survey has been carried out in Division 6.aN by Marine Scotland Science in JuneJuly since 1991. It originally covered an area bounded by the 200 m depth contour in the north and west, to the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ in the east and extended south to $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$; it had provided an age-disaggregated index of abundance as the sole tuning index for the analytical assessment of $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ herring since 2002. In 2008, it was decided that this survey should be expanded into a larger coordinated summer survey on recommendation from WESTHER, HAWG and SGHERWAY (Hatfield et al., 2005; ICES 2007; ICES, 2010). The Scottish 6.aN survey was augmented with the participation of the Irish Marine Institute and the area was expanded to cover all of ICES divisions $6 . a$ and 7.b. The Malin Shelf Herring Acoustic Survey (MSHAS), as it is now known, has covered this increased geographical area in the period 2008 to 2020 as well as maintaining coverage of the original survey area in $6 . a N$. Genetic work (Farrell et al., 2021) has allowed estimates from this survey to be split between populations (ICES 2022a), but these only go back to 2014.

The Malin Shelf herring estimate of SSB for autumn spawning herring in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ in 2021 is 43886 tonnes and 341 million individuals (Table 4.3.1), an increase compared to 2020. Although estimates appear to be improving from the minimum value in 2019, it should be noted that numbers of herring to the West of Scotland are very low compared to historical estimates prior to the genetic split (ICES 2021a).

Herring has in the past been found in high densities to the east of the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ line in association with a specific bathymetric feature and the occurrence of these herring west of the line in some years has the ability to strongly influence the annual estimate of abundance of the Malin Shelf/West of Scotland estimates. There is some evidence that this was the case in 2019. It appears that the increase in the 2017 and 2018 estimates compared to 2016 were a result of a greater spread in the distribution of herring rather than distributions occurring around the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ line. The stock in 2021 is dominated by 2-winter ringers ( $39.7 \%$ of the abundance, 2019 year class). Age disaggregated survey abundance indices for $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring since 2014 are given in Table 4.3.2 and displayed in Figure 4.3.1.1.

The stock is highly contagious in its spatial distribution, which explains some of the high variability in the time-series. The survey covers the area at the time of year when aggregations of herring from both the $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS}, 7 . \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$ stocks are offshore feeding (i.e. not at spawning time). These distributions of offshore herring aggregations are considered to be more available to the survey compared to surveying spawning aggregations, which aggregate close to the seabed and are generally found inshore in areas unsuitable for the large vessels carrying out summer acoustic surveys. Genetic analyses outlined in Farrell et al., 2021 split these indices into $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring and $6 . \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ winter spawning herring for use in assessments.

### 4.3.1.1 Industry-Science Acoustic survey

From 2016 to 2021 industry acoustic surveys of herring during the spawning and pre-spawning period were undertaken as part of the monitoring fishery on this stock. The surveys cover known active spawning grounds in both $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}$ at spawning time and aim to provide estimates of minimum spawning stock size in each of the areas. Two industry vessels were used to undertake acoustic surveys on the spawning ground in September to collect acoustic data and information on the size and age of herring required to generate an age-disaggregated acoustic estimate of the biomass of prespawning/ spawning herring in 6.aN.
Full results from the surveys can be found in (ICES 2022b), who conclude that the survey in 2021 provides a reliable estimate of the minimum biomass of mature herring at age and the minimum
spawning biomass observed in survey areas during the survey period. The limited sampling by one vessel involved in the survey in 2021 and some uncertainty over the quality of acoustic data recorded using the Furuno FCV-30 on another led to the decision to combine biological samples from both vessels in the acoustic analysis. While this practice is not uncommon, the temporal lag was not optimal.

### 4.4 Mean Weights-at-age, Maturity-at-age and natural mortality

### 4.4.1 Mean weight-at-age

Weights-at-age in the stock are obtained from the genetically split acoustic survey and are given in Table 4.3.1 (for the current year) and Table 4.4.1.1 (for the time-series). The weights-at-age in the stock have been steadily declining since 2014 (Figure 4.4.1.1). Weights-at-age in the catches are presented in Table 4.2.3.

There have been fluctuations in catch weights over time. In several years no 1 winter ring fish have been taken in the $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ fishery. In 2021 the catch weights have increased across age classes compared to 2020.

### 4.4.2 Maturity ogive

The maturity ogive is obtained from the acoustic survey (Table 4.4.2.1). The genetically split Malin Shelf Acoustic Survey (MSHAS) provides estimated values for the period 2014 to 2021, but in some years no estimates are available at younger ages. The proportion mature of ages 2 and 4-wr in 2021 were similar to 2020.

### 4.4.3 Natural mortality

The natural mortality used in previous assessments of several herring stocks to the West of Scotland, including 6.aN, were based on the results of a multispecies VPA for North Sea herring calculated by the ICES multispecies working group in 1987 (ICES 1987). From 2012 onwards the assessment of North Sea herring has used variable estimates of M-at-age derived from a new multispecies stock assessment model, the SMS model, used in WGSAM (Lewy and Vinther, 2004).
The benchmark of herring in Division 6.a and 7.b-c (ICES 2015) agreed to use the natural mortalities for North Sea herring from the current North Sea multispecies model, as it is deemed the best available proxy for natural mortality of herring in $6 . a$ and $7 . b-c$. The input data to the assessment of herring in divisions 6.a and 7.b-c are averaged annual M values from the 2011 SMS key run (period 1974-2010) for each age. This approach is similar to the pre-benchmarked assessment in that it is time invariant and age variant. This time-series reflects the most recent period of stability in terms of M from the North Sea SMS as it excludes the gadoid outburst of the 1960 which is of little relevance to present day conditions.

In 2020, the SMS model from the North Sea was updated (ICES 2021c), and new values for natural mortality became available (Table 4.4.3.1). At the latest benchmark (ICES 2022a) it was agreed that these values were the most suitable for herring in 6 .aN. For the category three methods, the value of M was taken from ages 3-6.

Detailed explanation regarding the natural mortality estimates can be found in the Stock Annex.

### 4.5 Recruitment

There are no specific recruitment indices for this stock. Although both the catch and the surveys generally have some catches at $1-w r$, both the fishery and survey encounter this age group only incidentally. The first reliable appearance of a cohort appears at $2-\mathrm{wr}$ in both the catch and the stock.

### 4.6 Assessment of 6.aN autumn spawning herring

The assessment presented here follows the procedure agreed by the most recent benchmark (ICES 2022a). The tool for the assessment of herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ follows the category 3 WKLIFE guidelines (ICES 2021d; ICES 2021e).

## Data Exploration

For category three stocks, advice is provided using biomass or abundance trends-based assessments. The latest ICES guidance on applying these methods recommends that a Surplus Production in Continuous Time model (SPiCT, Pedersen and Berg, 2017) should be attempted first. If an acceptable SPiCT model is not possible, other data-limited approaches should be attempted, based on the von Bertalanffy growth parameter $k$ for the population being assessed (ICES 2021d).

A SPiCT model using various model settings was attempted for herring in 6 .aN at the 2022 benchmark, but no suitable model could be developed for this stock (ICES 2022a). Following the recommendations of WKLIFE, (ICES 2021d), the growth parameter $k$ was calculated for this stock.

At the benchmark meeting in 2022, length-at-age data from the commercial fishery were not available for the calculation of growth parameters, and the calculations were done using the biological data from the acoustic survey. Biological data from the $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ genetically split acoustic survey were extracted from DATRAS and analysed to calculate $k$ and asymptotic length (ICES 2022a). These fish are unquestionably $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring (compared to catch/IBTS data where we don't have genetic samples available). Guidelines indicate that calculations of growth parameters should come from commercial data (ICES 2021d), and this calculation was updated for HAWG in 2022.

Commercial market sampling data from 2000-2015 and data from commercial hauls under the monitoring TAC were used to recalculate growth parameters. This assessment includes $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring only, and individuals thought to be from the spring-spawning component should be removed. Therefore samples taken from the South Minch area (Figure 4.6.1) were removed from the market sampling data prior to the calculation of growth parameters.

Von Bertalanffy growth parameters were calculated from the combined commercial data for autumn spawning herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ from 2000-2021 (Figure 4.6.2), and gives and estimated $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$ value of 30.51 cm and an associated $k$ value of 0.335 . Given that $0.32 \leq k \leq 0.45$, the Constant Harvest Rate should be used to provide advice.

## Assessment

The constant harvest rate (CHR) applies a constant harvest rate ( $\mathrm{Fmsy}_{\text {proxy calculated from catch }}$ length frequency data) that is considered a proxy for MSY harvest rate, and applies this to the biomass index. This rule is being applied using the genetically split acoustic survey index, so runs from 2014 onwards. The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {mSy proxy }}$ used in applying this rule is calculated from the length frequency data.

FMSY proxy is calculated as the average of the ratio of catch $C$ to the biomass index $I$, calculated across all years for which mean length / target reference length $>1$. The target reference length $(\mathrm{Lf}=\mathrm{m})$ is calculated from the length frequency data and is key to the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ proxy value calculation. Target reference length is usually calculated using the following equation:
$\mathrm{LF}_{\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}}=\left(0.75^{*} \mathrm{Lc}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{y}\right)+\left(0.25^{*} \mathrm{Linf}\right)$
This calculation assumes that the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio is equal to 1.5 . When the actual $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio is calculated for $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ herring the value comes to 0.65 , which is considerably different to the assumed value. Using the assumed method with an $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio of 1.5 would suggest a natural mortality estimate of 0.51 for herring in $6 . a N$. This value contrasts with the values taken from the 2020 SMS key run. ICES technical guidelines (ICES 2018b) state that stock specific $M / k$ values can be applied by using an alternative $\mathrm{Lf}=\mathrm{M}$ calculation from Jardim et al. 2015. This alternative method for calculating the target reference length was approved at the benchmark meeting in 2022 (ICES 2022a), using the following equation:
$\mathrm{Lf}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{\gamma}} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{K}=\theta \mathrm{M}=\theta \operatorname{Linf}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}(\gamma+1) / \theta+\gamma+1$
As per ICES, 2021d, advised catch is calculated as follows:
$\mathrm{Cy}+1=\mathrm{Iy}-1 \times \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ proxy $\times \mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{m}$
The components of this formula were estimated as follows.

- $\quad I_{y}$ is the biomass index for year $y$. In this case, using the $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring from the Malin Shelf Herring Acoustic Survey , $\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=43866$.
- $F_{M S Y \text { proxy }}$ is the average of the ratio of catch $C$ to the biomass index $I$, calculated across all years for which $L_{\text {mean }} / L_{F=M}>1$. The comparison between $L_{\text {mean }}$ and $L_{F=M}$ is shown in Table 4.6.1, from which it can be seen that 2014-2018 should be used in the calculation of $F_{M S Y}$ proxy. The ratio $C / I$ is shown in Figure 4.6.3, and the average is $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 5}$.
- $b=\min \left\{1, I_{y} / I_{\text {trigger }}\right\}$. The value used for $I_{\text {trigger }}, 14711$, is $1.4 I_{\text {loss }}$, where $I_{\text {loss }}=10508$ is the lowest observed biomass index value. Doing so results in $\boldsymbol{b}=\mathbf{1 . 0}$.
- $m$ is a multiplier intended to avoid biomass declining below $B_{\text {lim }}$. In this situation WKLIFE recommends that $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{0 . 5}$.

Using these estimates the formula gives:
$C y+1=43866 \times 0.335 \times 1 \times 0.5=7362$ tonnes
Under WKLIFE guidelines (ICES 2021d) a stability clause of $+20 \%$ and $-30 \%$ is recommended relative to the previous year's advised catch. Herring in $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ is a new stock so the 'previous year's advice' does not apply in this case. Therefore, the stability clause should be applied against a mean of the past three year's catch (1010 tonnes). When the stability clause is applied, the advised catch for herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ under the CHR rule is 1212 tonnes.

### 4.6.1 Final Assessment for 6.aN autumn spawning herring

In accordance with the method set out in the Stock Annex, the final assessment of $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ autumn spawning herring was carried out using the Constant Harvest Rate (CHR) rule. This follows on from the benchmark in early 2022 (ICES 2022a).

### 4.6.2 State of the stock

Fishing mortality has been reduced since the introduction of zero catch advice and in line with the monitoring TAC in 2016. SSB remains at very low levels relative to the long term trend,
despite improvements since 2019. Recruitment has been low, with no big cohorts evident in recent years. Recent catches have been among the lowest in the time-series.

### 4.7 Quality of the Assessment

This assessment is now for herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ only, following 7 years of a combined assessment with herring in $6 . a S, 7 . b-c$. Unlike prior assessments for $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ herring, this assessment only includes the Cape Wrath autumn spawning component, as the Minch spring spawners cannot currently be split out from the acoustic index using genetic information. Further information on this population of herring is detailed in section 8.2 of this report.

Herring in $6 . a N$ have been under zero advice and a monitoring TAC since 2016 under the combined assessment. Despite and increasing trend in recent biomass estimates, the survey biomass for this stock remains at low levels compared to historical values.

There have been indications that the autumn spawning herring population in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ are genetically identical to the North Sea autumn spawning population. These unresolved stock identity issues should be investigated in the future.

### 4.8 Management Considerations

Recruitment has been at a low level since 1998 and even lower since 2013. There is almost complete absence in the stock of 7,8 , and $9+$ winter ring fish in both the catches and the acoustic survey in recent years

The survey index across the whole Malin Shelf Herring Acoustic Survey has been steadily decreasing since 2008 (ICES 2022b). Although the 2021 estimates for autumn spawning $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ herring indicate increases since 2019, the stock remains at very low levels compared to long term trends.

A monitoring TAC of 4170 t was implemented from 2016-2019, and reduced to 3480 t in 20202022 to allow sampling for each stock separation and maintain the time-series of catch composition.

The assessment for herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ includes only the autumn spawning component around Cape Wrath. The spring-spawning herring in the Minch area have not yet been split out from the acoustic survey and are no longer assessed by HAWG.

### 4.9 Ecosystem Considerations

Herring constitute some of the highest biomass of forage fish to the west of Scotland and Ireland, and are thus an integral part of the ecosystem. As a dominant planktivore, herring link zooplankton production with higher trophic level predators that eat them, including fish, sea mammals and birds. Ecosystem models of the West of Scotland (Bailey et al., 2011; Alexander et al., 2015) show herring to be an important mid-trophic level species along with sprat, sandeel, and horse mackerel. They can also act as predators on other fish species by their predation on fish eggs at certain times of year (ICES, 2014a). Work using a length-based ecosystem modelling, suggests a link between herring biomass and North Sea cod (Speirs et al., 2010), via the predation of cod eggs by herring.

As herring constitute an important part of the overall biomass of plankton feeding and forage fish in the west of Scotland and Ireland ecosystem, impacts from changes in productivity from environmental drivers are likely to be widely felt.

### 4.10 Changes in the Environment

Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last number of decades, and there are indications that salinity is also increasing (ICES 2006). It is considered that this may have implications for herring. In addition, temperature increases and a positive AMO (Atlantic multi-decadal oscillation) index are thought to be related to drops in weight-at-age in Celtic Sea herring (Lyashevska, 2020). With environmental changes predicted to continue, the impacts on herring in 6.aN are uncertain.

Table 4.1.6. Herring in division 6.aN. ICES estimated catches by country. Units: Tonnes

| Year | Denmark | Faroe Islands | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | Lithuania | Norway | UK | Unallocated | Discards* | Total | Area <br> misre- <br> ported | ICES <br> estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 5640 | 7985 | 8000 | 0 | 2389 | 32730 | -5485 | 200 | 51578 | -22593 | 28985 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 818 | 4693 | 8236 | 6132 | 0 | 7447 | 32602 | -3735 | 0 | 56175 | -24397 | 31778 |
| 1994 | 0 | 274 | 5087 | 7938 | 6093 | 8183 | 0 | 30676 | -4287 | 700 | 0 | 54664 | -30234 | 24430 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 3672 | 3733 | 3548 | 7808 | 0 | 4840 | 42661 | -4541 | 0 | 61271 | -32146 | 29575 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 2297 | 7836 | 9721 | 9396 | 0 | 6223 | 46639 | -17753 | 0 | 64359 | -38254 | 26105 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 3093 | 8873 | 1875 | 9873 | 0 | 4962 | 44273 | -8015 | 62 | 64995 | -29766 | 35233 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 1903 | 8253 | 11199 | 8483 | 0 | 5317 | 42302 | -11748 | 90 | 65799 | -32446 | 33353 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 463 | 6752 | 7915 | 7244 | 0 | 2695 | 36446 | -8155 | 0 | 61514 | -23623 | 29736 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 870 | 4615 | 4841 | 4647 | 0 | 0 | 22816 | 0 | 0 | 37789 | -14627 | 23162 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 760 | 3944 | 4311 | 4534 | 0 | 0 | 21862 | 277 | 0 | 35688 | -10437 | 25251 |
| 2002 | 0 | 800 | 1340 | 3810 | 4239 | 4612 | 0 | 0 | 20604 | 6244 | 0 | 41649 | -8735 | 32914 |
| 2003 | 0 | 400 | 1370 | 2935 | 3581 | 3609 | 0 | 0 | 16947 | 2820 | 0 | 31622 | -3581 | 28081 |
| 2004 | 0 | 228 | 625 | 1046 | 1894 | 8232 | 0 | 0 | 17706 | 3490 | 123 | 33344 | -6885 | 26459 |
| 2005 | 0 | 1810 | 613 | 2691 | 2880 | 5132 | 0 | 0 | 17494 | 0 | 772 | 31392 | -17263 | 14129 |
| 2006 | 0 | 570 | 701 | 3152 | 4352 | 7008 | 0 | 0 | 18284 | 0 | 163 | 34230 | -6884 | 27346 |
| 2007 | 0 | 484 | 703 | 1749 | 5129 | 8052 | 0 | 0 | 17618 | 0 | 0 | 33735 | -4119 | 29616 |
| 2008 | 0 | 927 | 564 | 2526 | 3103 | 4133 | 0 | 0 | 13963 | 0 | 0 | 25216 | -9162 | 16054 |


| Year | Denmark | Faroe Islands | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | Lithuania | Norway | UK | Unallocated | Discards* | Total | Area <br> misre- <br> ported | ICES estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 0 | 1544 | 1049 | 27 | 1935 | 5675 | 0 | 0 | 11076 | 0 | 0 | 21306 | -2798 | 18508 |
| 2010 | 0 | 70 | 511 | 3583 | 2728 | 3600 | 0 | 0 | 12018 | 0 | 95 | 22510 | -2728 | 19877 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 504 | 3518 | 3956 | 1684 | 0 | 0 | 11696 | 0 | 0 | 21358 | -3599 | 17759 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 1829 | 3451 | 3523 | 0 | 0 | 12249 | 0 | 0 | 21296 | -2780 | 18516 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 586 | 4025 | 3124 | 1775 | 0 | 0 | 15906 | 0 | 30 | 25446 | -2468 | 22978 |
| 2014 | 0 | 360 | 589 | 3354 | 2632 | 1641 | 770 | 0 | 16769 | 0 | 0 | 26115 | -4088 | 22027 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3292 | 1799 | 956 | 0 | 1 | 15260 | 0 | 0 | 21307 | -2506 | 18801 |
| 2016 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1028 | 569 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 3254 | 0 | 0 | 5174 | -450 | 4724 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 835 | 0 | 0 | 3356 | 0 | 0 | 4200 | 0 | 4201 |
| 2018 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 84 | 1000 | 0 | 4 | 2911 | 0 | 0 | 4063 | 0 | 4063 |
| 2019 | 71 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 37 | 653 | 0 | 3 | 928 | 0 | 0 | 1739 | 0 | 1739 |
| 2020 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 256 | -79 | 177 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 974 | 0 | 0 | 1221 | -106 | 1115 |

*unraised discards

Table 4.2.1. Herring in division 6.aN. Catch and sampling effort by nation in the fishery in 2021

| Country | Quarter | Sampled catch ( t ) | Official <br> Catch (t) | No. Hauls | No. of samples | No. measured | No.aged | SOP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK (SCO) | 1 | 0 | 39 |  | - |  | - | 0\% |
|  | 3 | 671 | 751 | 2 | 2 | 182 | 43 | 112\% |
| UK (NI) | 3 | 0 | 180 |  | - |  | - | 0\% |
| UK (ENG) | 1 | 0 | 5 |  | - | - | - | 0\% |
| Ireland | 1 | 0 | 137 |  | - | - | - | 0\% |
| Netherlands | 4 | 0 | 5 |  | - | - | - | 0\% |
| Total |  | 671 | 1115 | 2 | 2 | 182 | 43 | 112\% |

Table 4.2.2. Herring in division 6.aN. Catch in number. Units: Thousands

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1957 | 6496 | 74622 | 58086 | 25762 | 33979 | 19890 | 8885 | 1427 | 4423 |
| 1958 | 15616 | 30980 | 145394 | 39070 | 24908 | 27630 | 17405 | 9857 | 7159 |
| 1959 | 53092 | 67972 | 35263 | 116390 | 24946 | 17332 | 16999 | 7372 | 8595 |
| 1960 | 3561 | 102124 | 60290 | 22781 | 48881 | 11631 | 10347 | 6346 | 4617 |
| 1961 | 13081 | 45195 | 61619 | 33125 | 22501 | 12412 | 5345 | 4814 | 2582 |
| 1962 | 55048 | 92805 | 22278 | 67454 | 44357 | 19759 | 24139 | 6147 | 7082 |
| 1963 | 11796 | 78247 | 53455 | 11859 | 40517 | 26170 | 8687 | 13662 | 6088 |
| 1964 | 26546 | 82611 | 70076 | 26680 | 7283 | 24227 | 18637 | 8797 | 15103 |
| 1965 | 299483 | 19767 | 62642 | 59375 | 22265 | 5120 | 22891 | 18925 | 19531 |
| 1966 | 211675 | 500853 | 33456 | 60502 | 40908 | 19344 | 5563 | 17811 | 27083 |
| 1967 | 207947 | 27416 | 218689 | 37069 | 39246 | 29793 | 11770 | 5533 | 25799 |
| 1968 | 220255 | 94438 | 20998 | 159122 | 13988 | 23582 | 15677 | 6377 | 10814 |
| 1969 | 37706 | 92561 | 71907 | 23314 | 211243 | 21011 | 42762 | 26031 | 26207 |
| 1970 | 238226 | 99014 | 253719 | 111897 | 27741 | 142399 | 21609 | 27073 | 24082 |
| 1971 | 207711 | 335083 | 412816 | 302208 | 101957 | 25557 | 154424 | 16818 | 31999 |
| 1972 | 534963 | 621496 | 175137 | 54205 | 66714 | 25716 | 10342 | 55763 | 16631 |
| 1973 | 51170 | 235627 | 808267 | 131484 | 63071 | 54642 | 18242 | 6506 | 32223 |
| 1974 | 309016 | 124944 | 151025 | 519178 | 82466 | 49683 | 34629 | 22470 | 21042 |
| 1975 | 172879 | 202087 | 89066 | 63701 | 188202 | 30601 | 12297 | 13121 | 13698 |
| 1976 | 69053 | 319604 | 101548 | 35502 | 25195 | 76289 | 10918 | 3914 | 12014 |


| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 34836 | 47739 | 95834 | 22117 | 10083 | 12211 | 20992 | 2758 | 1486 |
| 1978 | 22525 | 46284 | 20587 | 40692 | 6879 | 3833 | 2100 | 6278 | 1544 |
| 1979 | 247 | 142 | 77 | 19 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 1980 | 2692 | 279 | 95 | 51 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 1981 | 36740 | 77961 | 105600 | 61341 | 21473 | 12623 | 11583 | 1309 | 1326 |
| 1982 | 13304 | 250010 | 72179 | 93544 | 58452 | 23580 | 11516 | 13814 | 4027 |
| 1983 | 81923 | 77810 | 92743 | 29262 | 42535 | 27318 | 14709 | 8437 | 8484 |
| 1984 | 2207 | 188778 | 49828 | 35001 | 14948 | 11366 | 9300 | 4427 | 1959 |
| 1985 | 40794 | 68845 | 148399 | 17214 | 15211 | 6631 | 6907 | 3323 | 2189 |
| 1986 | 33768 | 154963 | 86072 | 118860 | 18836 | 18000 | 2578 | 1427 | 1971 |
| 1987 | 19463 | 65954 | 45463 | 32025 | 50119 | 8429 | 7307 | 3508 | 5983 |
| 1988 | 1708 | 119376 | 41735 | 28421 | 19761 | 28555 | 3252 | 2222 | 2360 |
| 1989 | 6216 | 36763 | 109501 | 18923 | 18109 | 7589 | 15012 | 1622 | 3505 |
| 1990 | 14294 | 40867 | 40779 | 74279 | 26520 | 13305 | 9878 | 21456 | 5522 |
| 1991 | 26396 | 23013 | 25229 | 28212 | 37517 | 13533 | 7581 | 6892 | 4456 |
| 1992 | 5253 | 24469 | 24922 | 23733 | 21817 | 33869 | 6351 | 4317 | 5511 |
| 1993 | 17719 | 95288 | 18710 | 10978 | 13269 | 14801 | 19186 | 4711 | 3740 |
| 1994 | 1728 | 36554 | 40193 | 6007 | 7433 | 8101 | 10515 | 12158 | 10206 |
| 1995 | 266 | 82176 | 30398 | 21272 | 5376 | 4205 | 8805 | 7971 | 9787 |
| 1996 | 1952 | 37854 | 30899 | 9219 | 7508 | 2501 | 4700 | 8458 | 31108 |
| 1997 | 1193 | 55810 | 34966 | 31657 | 23118 | 17500 | 10331 | 5213 | 9883 |
| 1998 | 9092 | 74167 | 34571 | 31905 | 22872 | 14372 | 8641 | 2825 | 3327 |
| 1999 | 7635 | 35252 | 93910 | 25078 | 13364 | 7529 | 3251 | 1257 | 1089 |
| 2000 | 4511 | 22960 | 21825 | 51420 | 15504 | 9002 | 3897 | 1835 | 576 |
| 2001 | 147 | 83318 | 15368 | 9569 | 25175 | 9544 | 6813 | 4741 | 1028 |
| 2002 | 992 | 38481 | 93975 | 9014 | 18113 | 28016 | 9040 | 1547 | 1422 |
| 2003 | 56 | 33331 | 46865 | 53766 | 7462 | 4344 | 12818 | 9187 | 1407 |
| 2004 | 0 | 7235 | 23483 | 29421 | 48394 | 4151 | 8100 | 9023 | 4265 |
| 2005 | 182 | 9632 | 23236 | 20602 | 10237 | 9783 | 1014 | 1194 | 1430 |


| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 132 | 6691 | 9186 | 13644 | 41067 | 27781 | 20972 | 3041 | 5088 |
| 2007 | 130 | 34326 | 17754 | 6555 | 14264 | 30566 | 21517 | 13585 | 4242 |
| 2008 | 0 | 7898 | 13039 | 5427 | 3219 | 5688 | 14832 | 8142 | 8968 |
| 2009 | 1923 | 11508 | 10475 | 16586 | 8332 | 5688 | 7514 | 11793 | 9443 |
| 2010 | 10074 | 20339 | 16331 | 9957 | 14608 | 6322 | 4322 | 5388 | 13199 |
| 2011 | 1667 | 40587 | 15782 | 10333 | 7190 | 5071 | 3164 | 2611 | 7225 |
| 2012 | 979 | 14952 | 46647 | 9704 | 8097 | 6311 | 3873 | 1129 | 4013 |
| 2013 | 0 | 13681 | 18181 | 53116 | 11681 | 7093 | 5098 | 4324 | 5031 |
| 2014 | 0 | 8705 | 15144 | 21063 | 42229 | 7130 | 2944 | 2854 | 3511 |
| 2015 | 231 | 10854 | 13937 | 15716 | 19386 | 21621 | 6397 | 1932 | 1250 |
| 2016 | 12 | 8148 | 3341 | 3197 | 2791 | 2821 | 3148 | 739 | 431 |
| 2017 | 0 | 1122 | 11929 | 4082 | 2075 | 1443 | 1416 | 767 | 273 |
| 2018 | 0 | 1508 | 3215 | 6873 | 5253 | 3068 | 844 | 852 | 680 |
| 2019 | 1504 | 1333 | 1035 | 2007 | 3100 | 1003 | 214 | 79 | 42 |
| 2020 | 145 | 110 | 206 | 234 | 156 | 191 | 118 | 11 | 20 |
| 2021 | 0 | 3188 | 1748 | 378 | 378 | 449 | 295 | 35 | 83 |

Table 4.2.3. Herring in division 6.aN. Weights at age in the catch. Units: kilograms

| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $9+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1957 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1958 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1959 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1960 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1961 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1962 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1963 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1964 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1965 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1966 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |


| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1968 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1969 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1970 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1971 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1972 | 0.079 | 0.104 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.185 |
| 1973 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1974 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1975 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1976 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1977 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1978 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1979 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1980 | 0.09 | 0.121 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.218 | 0.224 | 0.224 |
| 1981 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.175 | 0.205 | 0.231 | 0.253 | 0.270 | 0.284 | 0.295 |
| 1982 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.175 | 0.205 | 0.231 | 0.253 | 0.270 | 0.284 | 0.295 |
| 1983 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.175 | 0.205 | 0.231 | 0.253 | 0.270 | 0.284 | 0.295 |
| 1984 | 0.069 | 0.103 | 0.134 | 0.161 | 0.182 | 0.199 | 0.213 | 0.223 | 0.231 |
| 1985 | 0.113 | 0.103 | 0.173 | 0.196 | 0.215 | 0.23 | 0.242 | 0.251 | 0.258 |
| 1986 | 0.073 | 0.143 | 0.183 | 0.211 | 0.22 | 0.238 | 0.241 | 0.253 | 0.256 |
| 1987 | 0.08 | 0.112 | 0.157 | 0.177 | 0.203 | 0.194 | 0.24 | 0.213 | 0.228 |
| 1988 | 0.082 | 0.142 | 0.145 | 0.191 | 0.19 | 0.213 | 0.216 | 0.204 | 0.243 |
| 1989 | 0.079 | 0.129 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.209 | 0.224 | 0.228 | 0.237 | 0.247 |
| 1990 | 0.084 | 0.118 | 0.16 | 0.203 | 0.211 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.261 | 0.271 |
| 1991 | 0.091 | 0.119 | 0.183 | 0.196 | 0.227 | 0.219 | 0.244 | 0.256 | 0.256 |
| 1992 | 0.089 | 0.128 | 0.158 | 0.197 | 0.206 | 0.228 | 0.223 | 0.262 | 0.263 |
| 1993 | 0.083 | 0.142 | 0.167 | 0.19 | 0.195 | 0.201 | 0.244 | 0.234 | 0.266 |
| 1994 | 0.106 | 0.142 | 0.181 | 0.191 | 0.198 | 0.214 | 0.208 | 0.277 | 0.277 |
| 1995 | 0.081 | 0.134 | 0.178 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.233 | 0.262 | 0.247 | 0.291 |


| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 0.089 | 0.136 | 0.177 | 0.205 | 0.222 | 0.223 | 0.219 | 0.238 | 0.263 |
| 1997 | 0.097 | 0.138 | 0.159 | 0.182 | 0.199 | 0.218 | 0.227 | 0.212 | 0.199 |
| 1998 | 0.076 | 0.13 | 0.158 | 0.175 | 0.191 | 0.21 | 0.225 | 0.223 | 0.226 |
| 1999 | 0.1084 | 0.1327 | 93910 | 25078 | 13364 | 7529 | 3251 | 1257 | 1089 |
| 2000 | 0.0834 | 0.1373 | 0.1637 | 0.1829 | 0.2014 | 0.2147 | 0.2394 | 0.2812 | 0.2526 |
| 2001 | 0.0490 | 0.1398 | 0.1628 | 0.1828 | 0.1922 | 0.1959 | 0.2047 | 0.2245 | 0.2716 |
| 2002 | 0.1066 | 0.1464 | 0.1625 | 0.1728 | 0.1595 | 0.1780 | 0.1863 | 0.2449 | 0.2802 |
| 2003 | 0.0609 | 0.1448 | 0.1593 | 0.1690 | 0.1852 | 0.1997 | 0.1942 | 0.1854 | 0.2938 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0.1541 | 0.1732 | 0.1948 | 0.2160 | 0.2197 | 0.1986 | 0.1885 | 0.3030 |
| 2005 | 0.1084 | 0.1327 | 0.1632 | 0.1845 | 0.2108 | 0.2258 | 0.2341 | 0.2556 | 0.2496 |
| 2006 | 0.0908 | 0.158 | 0.1676 | 0.1929 | 0.2076 | 0.2251 | 0.2443 | 0.2615 | 0.275 |
| 2007 | 0.1152 | 0.1667 | 0.1881 | 0.1968 | 0.2105 | 0.2214 | 0.2161 | 0.2618 | 0.303 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0.1705 | 0.206 | 0.231 | 0.2309 | 0.2489 | 0.2529 | 0.284 | 0.2877 |
| 2009 | 0.1121 | 0.1726 | 0.2141 | 0.2379 | 0.2457 | 0.2535 | 0.2599 | 0.2549 | 0.273 |
| 2010 | 0.0818 | 0.1549 | 0.1883 | 0.2129 | 0.2337 | 0.2394 | 0.2369 | 0.2400 | 0.2549 |
| 2011 | 0.0613 | 0.155 | 0.1894 | 0.2178 | 0.234 | 0.2388 | 0.247 | 0.2463 | 0.2522 |
| 2012 | 0.0725 | 0.1469 | 0.1894 | 0.2076 | 0.2161 | 0.2261 | 0.2408 | 0.2817 | 0.2467 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0.1441 | 0.1746 | 0.1965 | 0.202 | 0.2124 | 0.2304 | 0.2343 | 0.2476 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0.1451 | 0.1877 | 0.203 | 0.2279 | 0.2449 | 0.2608 | 0.2614 | 0.2835 |
| 2015 | 0.0769 | 0.1425 | 0.1795 | 0.2059 | 0.2136 | 0.2307 | 0.2386 | 0.2454 | 0.2685 |
| 2016 | 0.1 | 0.144 | 0.178 | 0.204 | 0.219 | 0.229 | 0.237 | 0.251 | 0.257 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0.137 | 0.167 | 0.187 | 0.204 | 0.213 | 0.221 | 0.233 | 0.249 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0.126 | 0.151 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.208 | 0.218 | 0.238 | 0.246 |
| 2019 | 0.089 | 0.129 | 0.148 | 0.182 | 0.199 | 0.210 | 0.220 | 0.257 | 0.244 |
| 2020 | 0.074 | 0.125 | 0.115 | 0.147 | 0.180 | 0.192 | 0.210 | 0.140 | 0.222 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0.137 | 0.158 | 0.178 | 0.202 | 0.201 | 0.214 | 0.278 | 0.238 |

Table 4.3.1. Herring in division 6.aN. Total numbers (millions) and biomass (thousands of tonnes) of 6.aN autumn spawning herring from the Malin Shelf Survey June-July 2021. Mean weights, mean lengths and fraction mature by age ring.

| Age (ring) | Numbers | Biomass | Maturity | Weight (g) | Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 20.5 | 1.3 | 0.00 | 63.1 | 19.5 |
| 2 | 140.0 | 15.3 | 0.45 | 109.5 | 23.0 |
| 3 | 57.4 | 9.2 | 1.00 | 160.9 | 25.8 |
| 4 | 41.9 | 7.0 | 1.00 | 166.1 | 26.1 |
| 5 | 14.0 | 2.8 | 1.00 | 198.0 | 27.9 |
| 6 | 14.6 | 4.0 | 1.00 | 272.4 | 30.9 |
| 7 | 33.7 | 8.4 | 1.00 | 248.8 | 30.0 |
| 8 | 10.2 | 2.8 | 1.00 | 269.9 | 31.5 |
| 9+ | 9.1 | 2.2 | 1.00 | 239.5 | 30.1 |


| Immature | 98.0 | 9.0 | 91.8 | 21.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mature | 243.4 | 43.9 | 180.3 | 26.7 |
| Total | 341.4 | 52.9 | 0.71 | 154.9 |

Table 4.3.2. Herring in division 6.aN. Numbers-at-age (millions) and SSB (thousands of tonnes) of 6.aN autumn spawning herring from the Malin Shelf herring acoustic survey time-series. Age (rings) from acoustic surveys 2014 to 2021.

| Year\Ag <br> e (Rings) | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{\text { SSB }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 0.00 | 2.75 | 13.50 | 21.36 | 85.13 | 20.39 | 5.35 | 2.41 | 6.65 | 32.46 |
| 2015 | 0.00 | 35.56 | 139.03 | 127.40 | 97.37 | 106.38 | 24.68 | 3.81 | 5.76 | 107.11 |
| 2016 | 0.00 | 5.81 | 15.50 | 13.62 | 11.15 | 8.83 | 5.22 | 0.06 | 0.73 | 10.87 |
| 2017 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 35.75 | 25.40 | 26.44 | 11.41 | 9.93 | 2.48 | 1.86 | 21.86 |
| 2018 | 92.96 | 41.07 | 14.27 | 48.31 | 16.67 | 3.34 | 10.05 | 5.49 | 2.28 | 20.66 |
| 2019 | 0.00 | 17.17 | 17.32 | 15.80 | 20.17 | 4.64 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 10.51 |
| 2020 | 59.05 | 103.81 | 49.51 | 14.96 | 12.44 | 28.21 | 11.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.07 |
| 2021 | 20.48 | 140.01 | 57.44 | 41.87 | 13.98 | 14.57 | 33.73 | 10.25 | 9.07 | 43.89 |

Table 4.4.1.1. Herring in division 6.aN. Mean weights-at-age (kg) of 6 .aN autumn spawning herring from the Malin Shelf herring acoustic survey time-series. Age (rings) from acoustic surveys 2014 to 2021.

| Year\Ag <br> e (Rings) | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 |  | 0.142 | 0.179 | 0.182 | 0.212 | 0.216 | 0.229 | 0.226 | 0.255 |
| 2015 |  | 0.159 | 0.184 | 0.198 | 0.214 | 0.220 | 0.219 | 0.198 | 0.220 |
| 2016 |  | 0.147 | 0.154 | 0.174 | 0.195 | 0.209 | 0.201 | 0.219 | 0.225 |
| 2017 |  | 0.130 | 0.175 | 0.184 | 0.197 | 0.207 | 0.211 | 0.238 | 0.221 |
| 2018 | 0.051 | 0.103 | 0.164 | 0.181 | 0.203 | 0.206 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.217 |
| 2019 |  | 0.121 | 0.140 | 0.175 | 0.208 | 0.214 | 0.204 |  | 0.212 |
| 2020 | 0.050 | 0.112 | 0.149 | 0.168 | 0.198 | 0.199 | 0.220 |  |  |
| 2021 | 0.063 | 0.110 | 0.161 | 0.166 | 0.198 | 0.272 | 0.249 | 0.270 | 0.239 |

Table 4.4.2.1. Herring in division 6.aN. Maturity at age of 6 .aN autumn spawning herring from the Malin Shelf herring acoustic survey time-series. Age (rings) from acoustic surveys 2014 to 2021.

| Year\Age <br> (Rings) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  | 0.98 | 1 | 0.95 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2015 |  | 0.88 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2016 |  | 1 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2017 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0.37 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2019 |  | 0.51 | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2021 | 0 | 0.45 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 4.4.3.1. Natural mortality estimates for herring in 6.aN.

| Age <br> (Rings) | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.528 | 0.303 | 0.255 | 0.225 | 0.207 | 0.193 | 0.186 | 0.180 | 0.180 | 0.220 |

Table 4.6.1. F $_{\text {MSY proxy }}$ calculation for herring in $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ under the constant harvest rate rule.

| Year | Survey <br> Index | ICES <br> landings | Modal <br> Catch | Lc | Mean>Lc LF=M | f | Cy/ly | FMSY proxy |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 32460 | 22027 | 28.5 | 27.5 | 29.448 | 28.801 | 1.022 | 0.679 | 0.335 |
| 2015 | 107113 | 18801 | 29 | 27.5 | 29.208 | 28.801 | 1.014 | 0.176 | 0.335 |
| 2016 | 10870 | 4724 | 29.5 | 25.5 | 28.691 | 27.666 | 1.037 | 0.435 | 0.335 |
| 2017 | 21863 | 4200 | 27 | 25.5 | 27.702 | 27.666 | 1.001 | 0.192 | 0.335 |
| 2018 | 20663 | 4063 | 27 | 25 | 27.595 | 27.382 | 1.008 | 0.197 | 0.335 |
| 2019 | 10508 | 1739 | 23.5 | 20 | 23.982 | 24.543 | 0.977 | 0.165 | 0.335 |
| 2020 | 26070 | 177 | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | 0.007 | 0.335 |
| 2021 | 43886 | 1115 | 25.5 | 24 | 26.084 | 26.814 | 0.973 | 0.025 | 0.335 |



Figure 4.1. Location of ICES area 6.a (North) and adjacent areas with place names.


Figure 4.1.3.1. Acoustic survey recordings of herring and 'maybe herring' marks and locations of commercial catches 2016-2019 in defined Strata 1 and 2, showing overlap with previous survey Areas 2,3,5 (inset) and noting that the distribution of catches reflect spawning grounds. Catches (black dots) scaled proportionally. Acoustic marks are not scaled and denote location only.


Figure 4.1.7.1. Length-frequency of commercial catches in division 6 .aN. Since 2016 a monitoring TAC has been in place for this area. Some data in 2018 were reported to a 1 cm resolution, and therefore all data in this year have been binned to this level in this year. No length data from commercial hauls are available for 2020.
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Figure 4.2.1. Catch numbers at age for herring in division 6.aN.


Figure 4.2.2. Weights at age in the catch for herring in 6.aN.


Figure 4.3.1.1. Catch numbers at age for 6.aN autumn spawning herring from the Malin Shelf herring acoustic survey.

MSHAS 6.aN autumn spawners weights at age


Figure 4.4.1.1. Weights-at-age for 6.aN autumn spawning herring from the genetically split Malin Shelf Herring acoustic survey.


Figure 4.6.1. ICES rectangles where market sample data have been collected from 2000-2015.


Figure 4.6.2. Growth curve calculated from commercial catches in division 6.aN, and gives an estimated $L_{\infty}$ value of 30.51 cm with an associated $k$ value of 0.335

Cy/ly value over time.


Figure 4.6.3. The ratio $C / /$ for 6.aN herring 2014-2021, from which the $F_{M S Y}$ proxy value is calculated.

# 5 Herring (Clupea harengus) in divisions 6.aS and 7.b-c 

This is the first time since 2015 that the working group presents a separate assessment of herring in Division 6.aS, 7.b-c. This follows from the benchmark workshop, ICES WKNSCS (2022).

The WG noted that the use of "age", "winter rings", "rings" and "ringers" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings", "ringers", "winter ringers" or "wr" instead of "age" throughout this section. However, if the word "age" is used, it is qualified in brackets with one of the ring designations. It should be observed that, for autumn and winter spawning stocks, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings", which is not the case for the spring spawners. Further elaboration on the rationale behind this can be found in the Stock Annex. It is the responsibility of any user of age-based data for any of these herring stocks to consult the stock annex and if in doubt, consult a relevant member of the Working Group.

### 5.1 The Fishery

### 5.1.1 Advice applicable to 2021-2022

ICES gave separate advice for the stocks in $6 a S, 7 b, c$ and $6 a N$ up to 2015 and advice for the combined stocks since 2016. After the benchmarking process in early 2022 (WKNSCS, 2022), the stocks were assessed separately again.

In February 2016, the European Commission asked ICES to provide advice on a TAC of sufficiently small size to enable ongoing collection of fisheries-dependent data and continue the long-term catch-at-age dataset. This monitoring TAC was 4840 t , split of 3480 t in 6 .aN and 1360 t in $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ and 7.b-c for 2021 (EU 2021/92). Since 2016 the fishery has been restricted to a monitoring fishery with a TAC of 1,630 t between 2016-2019, and 1,360 tin 2020 and 2021.

The advice in 2022 is provided for herring in $6 a S, 7 b, c$ and is a category 3 assessment, which is a biomass or abundance trends based assessment. The method applied is a constant harvest rate (method 2.2, ICES 2021g) that uses length, survey and catch data from 2014-2021.

### 5.1.2 Changes in the fishery

Since 2016 the fishery has been restricted to a monitoring fishery with a TAC of 1630 t between 2016 - 2019, and 1360 t in 2020 and 2021. The monitoring TAC, introduced in 2016 and continued up to 2021, has led to a change in the pattern of the fishery. In previous years, larger vessels dominated in the fishery and took their quotas often in one haul, in a somewhat opportunistic basis. The monitoring TAC was allocated to vessels in six different categories from over 24 m down to under 12 m . In $6 . \mathrm{aS}$, two main areas have been fished in recent years, particularly in Lough Swilly and in inshore areas of Donegal Bay. There has been little effort in $7 . b$ in recent years. In 6.aS a wide size range of pair and single trawlers predominate, and there are also smallscale artisanal fisheries using drift and ringnets in coastal waters.

The Herring fishery in 2021 opened on 1st November and was concentrated in 6.aS, primarily in two statistical rectangles (Figure 5.1.2). This was similar to the 2019 and 2020 fishery. As in 2020, there was also a fishery in January and February to allow for additional data collection.

### 5.1.3 Regulations and their affects

The north-south boundary between $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ ( $56^{\circ}$ parallel) is not appropriate as a boundary, because it traverses the spawning and feeding grounds of $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ herring. Transboundary catches have occurred along this line in the past, although this has been less of an issue recently.

### 5.1.4 Catches in 2021

The Working Group's best estimate of removals from the stock is shown in Table 5.1.4 for herring in $6 . a S$ and $7 . b-c$. The time series from 1957-2021 is presented in Figure 5.1.4 and the Irish catch map is shown in Figure 5.1.2. In 2021 the majority of the catch was taken in the fourth quarter mainly in 6aS and close inshore.

### 5.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

### 5.2.1 Catches in numbers-at-age

Catch-at-age data for this fishery are shown in Table 5.2.1.1 and Figure 5.2.1 and in percentage terms since 1994 in Table 5.2.1.2. In 2021, the fishery was dominated by 2 - 5 -ringers, accounting for $95 \%$ of the catch (Table 5.2.1.2). Smaller proportions of 6-9 ringers are evident in the catch data and account for $5 \%$ of the total. 3 ringers are the dominant age class ( $58 \%$ ) followed by 4 ringers $(15 \%), 2(13 \%), 5(9 \%) .2019$ was the first year since 2012 that 1 ringers are well represented in the catch-at-age data. These have followed through as 2 ringers in 2020 and 3 ringers in 2021.
The proportion-at-age in the catches from the fishery are similar to the catches from the split Malin shelf acoustic survey for most years. In 2020 the proportions of 1 ringers was higher in the acoustic survey than the catch while in 2019 a higher proportion of 1 ringers were found in the catch (Figure 5.3.1.3). In 2021 the catch picks up a high proportion of 3 ringers ( 2018 year class) while the survey peaks at 2 ring (2019 year class).

### 5.2.2 Quality of the catch and biological data

The 6.aS, 7.b-c stock is well sampled and there have been sufficient samples to achieve the precision level sought by the ICES advice on the monitoring fishery since 2016. The number of samples and the associated biological data collected by Ireland are shown in Table 5.2.2.

### 5.3 Fishery-independent Information

### 5.3.1 Acoustic surveys (A9526)

The Malin Shelf Acoustic Survey (MSHAS) is carried out annually in June/July. The Malin Shelf index includes all herring in the stock complex located in ICES areas $6 . a$ and 7.b, c. The survey area is bounded in the west and north by the 200 m depth contour, in the south by the $53.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, and in the east by the $4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. The survey targets herring of $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ spawning origin in mixed feeding aggregations on the Malin Shelf in the summer. Full details about the survey and the genetic sampling and splitting procedure are presented in O'Malley et al. 2021 and summarised below.

Genetic samples have been collected since 2014 and averaged about 6 samples per year, but varied between 3 samples in 2019 and 10 samples in 2020. The target for an individual sample was 120 fish per haul, with most sampling events reaching that target. In the early years of the project,
sampling effort was targeted only at fish $>23 \mathrm{~cm}$, this was to align with a corresponding effort that was underway looking into stock splitting using morphometric methods; a continuation of the SGHERWAY project methods (ICES SGHERWAY, 2010). Prior to 2018, hauls comprising mostly $<23 \mathrm{~cm}$ fish were not sampled. The stock had also been at a low level during these years, some of the lowest in the time-series, meaning that obtaining samples on the MSHAS survey was generally very difficult during this time.

## Application of the Genetic Assignments

Genetic Analyses: Baseline spawning samples and putatively mixed MSHAS samples were analysed with a panel of 45 informative genetic markers ( 45 SNPs ) derived from whole genome sequencing analyses undertaken as part of a Norwegian/Swedish/Danish funded project entitled 'GENetic adaptations underlying population Structure IN herring' (GENSINC) (Han et al., 2020). The baseline genetic analyses indicated that herring in ICES Division 6.a comprise at least three distinct populations; $6 . \mathrm{aS}$ herring, $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ autumn spawning herring and $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ spring spawning herring. The $6 . a S$ herring are a primarily a winter spawning population though there is a later spawning component present in the area also. These components are currently inseparable and for the purposes of stock assessment should be combined as $6 . a S$ herring. The Celtic Sea herring and Irish Sea herring are distinct from each other and from the populations in ICES Divisions 6.a however the current genetic marker panel is not optimised for their inclusion in the baseline assignment dataset. This is not considered to be a significant issue as there is no robust evidence that Irish Sea herring are found in large abundance west of the Hebrides during summer. Subsequent to the completion of the EASME project further analyses were undertaken and additional baseline samples added to the $6 . a S$ herring and $6 . a \mathrm{~N}$ autumn spawning herring baselines. The revised baseline was used for the final assignment of the MSHAS 2014-2020 samples.

Genetic Assignment method: A Support Vector Machine learning (SVM) algorithm was used for classification of fish from mixed MSHAS samples to baselines, based on (Approach 1) prior knowledge of baseline sample origin and (Approach 2) genetic clustering of baseline samples. Approach 2 is more precautionary but neither approach would artificially inflate either stock in the resulting split as each approach allows for 'mixed' and 'unknown' categories that would not be included in either 6 aN or 6 aS indices. Both approaches resulted in self-assignment rates of $>90 \%$ indicating a high level of assignment accuracy and both were endorsed in an independent review by the ICES Stock Identification Methods Working Group (ICES SIMWG 2021). The more objective classification method of approach 2 , genetic clustering, was therefore chosen by the sub-group. All further reference to genetic assignment refers to approach 2.

Successful Assignment Threshold (0.67): A probability of classification of 0.67 was used as the threshold for successful stock assignment of an individual herring. This threshold indicated that an individual was twice as likely to be from one baseline group than the alternate group. The effects of different assignment thresholds were investigated by the sub-group. The results of this work are presented in the working document. Most resulting probabilities for approach 2 were in the region of 0.95 and the sub-group decided that a threshold probability of 0.67 struck an appropriate balance between certainty of stock assignment and retaining as many fish as possible in the analysis.

Genotyping fails vs. threshold fails: It was decided by the sub-group that genotyping fails were to be disregarded from the analysis (e.g. samples that could not be genetically analysed due to DNA degradation or did not pass genotyping quality control etc. See section 4.8 page 81 of the EASME report for details Farrell et al 2021). Such samples were NOT included as 'unknown' her27.6 a bc when proportioning biomass. Threshold failures however WERE included in the analysis and were therefore counted towards 'unknown' her-27.6a7bc.

StoX survey analysis software: The group decided that using StoX (Johnsen et al. 2019) would be the preferred method to split the MSHAS index. StoX is the accepted survey analysis software tool used by MSHAS and the wider WGIPS group dealing with acoustic surveys for herring in the Northeast Atlantic. StoX programmers (IMR, Norway) designed the StoX project and functions to suit the MSHAS split work. This helps ensure that the project is easily implemented in the Transparent Assessment Framework (ICES TAF) and that the survey projects can be re-run by any StoX user by downloading files from the ICES DB. The StoX project is designed to include bootstrapping of results to generate associated CVs.

## MSHAS Splitting Results

The SSB time series for each index from 2014-2021 is presented in Figure 5.3.1.1. Herring in 6aS, 7 bc (her-irlw) shows a significant increase in biomass since the low SSB seen in all components in 2016. The catch numbers at age from the split are presented in Table 5.3.1.1. The CVs on the split survey estimates are within expected values for acoustic surveys for herring in this area (Table 5.3.1.1). The mean weights from the split survey are presented in Table 5.3.2.2. The maturity at age from the survey shows the most variability at 2 winter ring, with between $25 \%$ and $100 \%$ of fish mature at that age (Table 5.3.1.3). Cohort tracking of the catch numbers at age of the split MSHAS for $6 a S, 7 . b, \mathrm{c}$ is shown in figure 5.3.1.2. Some cohorts can be tracked and this is expected to improve when more data is added.

A comparison of the proportions at age in the catch versus the split MSHAS 6aS,7b, c index is shown in figure 5.3.1.3. Smaller and younger fish, particularly 1-wr fish are caught sporadically on this survey, and in some years don't appear in the samples on the survey. Younger immature fish may be outside of the survey area during the survey, and can be difficult to sample in some years.

The internal consistency for the split Malin shelf survey is presented in Figure 5.3.1.4. and is variable across ages. The time series is relatively short and the internal consistency is expected to improve when more data becomes available.

### 5.3.2 Industry-Science Acoustic survey

An industry science acoustic survey has been carried out in $6 a S, 7 b, c$ since 2016. The survey design has been evolving since its inception in 2016. The survey area covered in the first 3 years (201618) included significant offshore coverage in areas 6 aS and 7 b . The survey in 2019 was much reduced and mostly confined to inshore bays because of poor weather. The survey design changed in 2020 compared with previous years in that only 6 core areas with prior knowledge of herring distribution from the monitoring fishery were targeted for surveying. This was largely based on the results from ICES WKHASS (ICES 2020) and from lessons learned in the previous surveys in this area from 2016-2019. This design resulted in a much reduced survey area compared to previous years, but with better coverage of most of the important inshore bays where the monitoring fishery takes place. The survey design objective remained the same; to capture the distribution of winter spawning herring in the $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}$ area. The timing of surveys in the core areas was flexible from the outset by design. It was decided that greater flexibility would allow for a targeted spatial and temporal approach, which avoided the inevitable poor weather that can happen in this area during this time of the year and which lead to reduced survey effort in 2019, but also to some extent in 2017 and 2018. Using smaller vessels allowed surveys to be conducted in shallow inshore areas where herring are known to inhabit during this time of the year.

The 2021 survey again focused on 6 core areas and was carried out in December 2021 and January 2022. The 2021 survey was conducted using five vessels; MFVs Crystal Dawn WD201, Ros Ard SO745, Girl Kate SO427, K-Mar-K SO695 and Rachel D SO976. This survey is the sixth consecutive annual acoustic survey for pre-spawning herring in this area at this time of the year. A pole-
mounted system with a combi 38 kHz (split) 200 kHz (single) transducer was used successfully for the survey on small vessels $(<18 \mathrm{~m})$ in 2021. Herring were again distributed inshore in shallow areas, and the improved survey design and use of small vessels for the survey resulted in a good measure of uncertainty $(C V=0.23)$. The stock was not overall contained in 2021, particularly in the Donegal Bay area (Malin Beg, etc.) and more effort is required to target surveys earlier and later than December and January when herring tend to show up in these areas in difficult to predict patterns. Very strong herring marks were evident in Lough Foyle and Lough Swilly in the channel in marks that extended for many miles in some cases. This was in areas where smaller boats in the fishery were concentrating effort. Herring had left the Swilly by mid-December and the Foyle by mid-January. There was also a series of strong herring marks in Bruckless Bay, Fintra Bay (SE of Inishduff) and Inver Bay in discreet areas. The monitoring fishery was being conducted on smaller boats in the same areas and close to the same time as the survey and biological samples from some of these vessels were used. There was a fairly tight distribution of length classes in all hauls, with most hauls dominated by larger (> 22 cm ) mature fish. The 2 - and $3-w r$ age class of herring accounted for $74 \%$ of the overall numbers in 2021. The total stock biomass (TSB) estimate of 35,944 tonnes is considered to be a minimum estimate of herring in the $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}$ survey area at the time of the survey. The flexible survey design and focusing on discreet areas was generally successful and is providing a good template for future survey designs. The NASC values from the 2020 and 2021 surveys is presented in Figure 5.3.2.1.

The full time series of herring acoustic surveys carried out in this area since 1994 is presented in Table 5.3.2.1. Surveys were not conducted every year and there are gaps in the time series. These surveys had different timing and design changes and are not comparable. The biomass estimates from the industry survey (2016-2021) are included in this table.

### 5.3.3 Bottom-trawl surveys

As part of the benchmark (WKNSCS, 2022), a herring index was developed from three groundfish surveys (IBTS), namely

- IE-IGFS - Irish Groundfish Survey (2003-2020) (G7212)
- SWC-IBTS - Scottish West Coast Groundfish Survey (1985-2009) (G1179, G4299)
- SCOWCGFS - Scottish West Coast Groundfish Survey (2011-2020) (G4748, G4815)

Using the same methodology as that used for the index calculations for many herring stocks, the model combines GAMs and continuation ratio logits (CRL) to model the probability of age given fish length and location. A geographic split was used, i.e. hauls were only included in the index calculation if they occurred within ICES divisions 6 aS or $7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ (Figure 5.3.3.1). The optimum model includes the effect of haul location, depth and time of day. The internal consistency of this time series is presented in Figure 5.3.3.2. The internal consistency of the index is poor outside of the range 2-7 and ages 1,8 and 9 were excluded from exploratory assessment runs.

### 5.4 Mean Weights-at-age, Maturity-at-age and natural mortality

### 5.4.1 Mean weight-at-age

Weights-at-age in the catches for 6.aS, 7.b-c are presented in Table 5.4.1.1 and Figure 5.4.1.1. Catch weights are calculated from Irish sampling data from all quarters of the fishery. Over much of the time series the mean weight there is little trend with weights stable from the late 80 s up to the late 00s. The mean weights have been declining since about 2012 for many age classes. Increases can be seen for many age classes in 2021.

Weights-at-age in the stock are presented in Table 5.4.1.2 and Figure 5.4.1.2. Variable mean weights are available from 1985. In the previous separate assessment, the stock weights were calculated from Irish samples collected during the main spawning period that extends from October to February. These weights are used from 1985-2007. Mean weights from the Malin shelf acoustic survey are used from 2008-2013 and from the split acoustic survey from 2014. There is a downward trend in the stock weights over time but it is not as pronounced as for the catch weights. Greater variability is seen at the older ages. The weights-at-age in the stock have also increased for many age classes in 2021. In some years there were no 1 wr fish found on the survey. In these years a three year running average is used.

### 5.4.2 Maturity ogive

The proportions at age of herring in $6 . \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ that are considered mature are presented in Figure 5.4.2. Prior to 2007 a constant maturity ogive was used which assumes $0 \%, 57 \%$ and $96 \%$ maturity at 1,2 and 3 wr respectively and from 2008 to the present the ogive is derived from the summer acoustic survey in quarter 3 . The full survey is used from 2008-2013 and the split survey used from 2014-2021. The majority of herring in this area are mature at 4 wr with the greatest annual variability seen for 2 and 3 wr herring. The proportion mature at 2 wr is highly variable without any apparent trend and varies between $25 \%$ and $100 \%$. For 3 wr herring the proportion mature varies between $64 \%$ and $100 \%$. A high proportion of immature fish were encountered in the 2020 survey. Overall, it is not clear what drives this annual variability and it is also seen for other herring stocks such as North Sea and Irish Sea herring. It is likely a combination of limited sampling of that age group, varying proportions of herring from each population within the survey area and natural variability (ICES, 2015).

### 5.4.3 Natural mortality

Following the procedure agreed at WKWEST 2015 and applied to other herring stock around Ireland, the natural mortality values for the assessment were updated. The average M at age over the time series 1974-2019 from the 2020 SMS key run was calculated and is presented in figure 5.4.3 with the previous values used in the combined assessment for comparison. The updated values show a lower natural mortality across all ages and are presented in the text table below.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.528 | 0.303 | 0.255 | 0.225 | 0.207 | 0.193 | 0.186 | 0.180 | 0.180 |

A Detailed explanation regarding the natural mortality estimates can be found in the Stock Annex.

### 5.5 Recruitment

There is little information on terminal year recruitment in the catch-at-age data and there are as yet no recruitment indices from the surveys. Numbers of 1-ringers in the catches vary widely but, with the exception of 2012 (2010 cohort), have been consistently low. In 2019, however 1ringers represented a significant proportion (15\%) of the catch-at-age. In 2020 the number of 1ringers in the catch was lower than 2019 but higher than 2013-2018. In 2021 the numbers of 1ringers is lower than 2019 and 2020 and similar to the levels from 2013. Since the mid-1990s recruitment has been low, based on exploratory assessments.

### 5.6 Assessment of 6.aS and 7.b-c herring

The assessment presented here follows the procedure agreed by the recent benchmark (WKNSCS 2022)

### 5.6.1 Data Exploration

A comparison of the age structure in the catch data, acoustic survey and IBTS survey, is presented in Figure 5.6.1. In some years the surveys picks up a larger proportion of 1 winter ring fish but this is variable between years. Some years the 1 winter ring fish are not found in the catch or the survey but may be found in considerable quantities the following year as 2 winter ring fish.

1 ringers in 2019 were not found in a high proportion in the acoustic survey but were found in the catch and contributed to a high proportion of the IBTS data. This 2018 year-class was found by the catch and the survey as 2 ringers in 2020 and 3 ringers in 2021.

The 2017 year-class was found in high quantities by the IBTS survey and was strong in the acoustic survey but not in the catch in 2018. In 2019 this 2017 year-class was strong in the catch data and this has followed through to 4 ringers in 2021. The 2019 year-class was strongest in the acoustic survey in 2020 and is seen in significant proportions in 2021 in both surveys but is not as strong in the catch data. The ability of each of the data sources to track cohorts is variable.

The Malin shelf acoustic survey is used as the index in the assessment because this index is split genetically and known to contain fish from this stock only. The IBTS survey was not used in the final assessment as further investigations are needed to evaluate its utility in the assessment. The fact that the series begins in 2003 means it could be an important element to include in future analytical assessments at the next benchmark. The time-series of the Industry/Science acoustic survey is relatively short and the methodology has been evolving so the index was ultimately not included. While the genetically split MSHAS was the best biomass index available for the chr calculation, the reasons behind the variable internal consistency across age pairs need to be further investigated, particularly if this stock is to move to a category 1 or age-based assessment in the future.

### 5.6.2 Final Assessment for 6.aS and 7.b-c herring

The final assessment method applied to herring in $6 a S, 7 b, c$ and agreed at the 2022 benchmark (WKNSCS) was the category three method 2.2 - constant harvest rate (the chr rule).


### 5.6.2.1 Calculation of $\mathbf{k}$

The growth parameter k was calculated using length data from commercial catch sampling. Herring samples from 6 aS and 7 b from 2000-2021 were included in the analysis. This totaled over 594 thousand individual herring caught in a variety of gear types. The R packages 'FSA' and 'nlstools' were used to estimate the growth parameters and to plot the fit of the growth curve (Figure 5.2.6.1). The resulting growth parameters were:

- $\quad \mathrm{k}=0.339$
- $\quad$ Linf $=30.50 \mathrm{~cm}$
- $\quad \mathrm{t} 0=-2.61$

Catches of $6 \mathrm{aS7bc}$ herring have been taken close to the north-west coast of Ireland since the introduction of the monitoring TAC in 2015. To ensure the growth fit was not influenced by mixed catches before 2015, an estimate using length data from 2015-2021 was also run. The resulting $k$ was almost identical. This value is further supported by the literature, with a k of 0.37 for herring north-west of Ireland reported by Brunel and Dickey-Collas (2010); albeit calculated on the weight rather than the length.

As a further test, k was also calculated using length data from the genetically split MSHAS (6aS only). Due to sampling protocols, herring less than 23 cm were not routinely sampled for genetics prior to 2018 so only split data from 2018 onwards were included. The resulting k from this further analysis was 0.5 , which is quite different to the other values presented and would place herring $6 \mathrm{aS7bc}$ in the short lived species bracket. It is thought that this unusual growth estimate is due to the difference in timing of the survey versus the catch, which can be separated by up to 6 months. 1-ringed fish encountered during the summer survey would have recently turned 1 whereas 1 ringed fish in the catch would be approaching 2 . Further work is required to understand the different survey k but nevertheless the most appropriate k to use for the category 3 flowchart and the chr calculation is that from the catch sampling (0.339) as far more data points exist over a much wider timeframe.

### 5.6.2.2 Calculation of Constant Harvest Rate (chr)

Method 2.2 of WKLIFEX is the constant harvest rate (chr), also called the Fproxy rule or the "Icelandic" rule. It applies a constant harvest rate ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY proxy }}$ ) that is considered a proxy for an MSY
harvest rate, and applies this to the biomass index (split MSHAS). As per the WKLIFEX (2021) report, advised catch $\left(\mathrm{C}_{y+1}\right)$ is calculated as follows:

$$
C_{y+1}=I_{y-1} \times F_{\text {proxy,MSY }} \times b \times m
$$

Definitions of the components used to calculate chr are presented in Table 5.6.2.2. This information is explained in further detail in the WKLIFEX report (see table 3.4.2.1 of that report for a full description of how FMSY proxy is calculated).

Table 5.6.2.3. shows the estimate of natural mortality (M) used in the exploratory assessments for herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{bc}$ and various $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio calculations. Most appropriate $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio highlighted in bold.

## Target Harvest Rate

The derivation of the target harvest rate, FMSY proxy, from length frequency data requires calculating the target reference length, $\mathrm{Lf}=\mathrm{M}$. Target reference length is calculated using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}}=\left(0.75 \times \mathrm{Lc}_{(y)}\right)+\left(0.25 \times \mathrm{L}_{\text {inf }}\right)
$$

where $L_{c}$ refers to the length at first catch. This calculation assumes that the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio is equal to 1.5 (ICES 2018). The actual $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio for $6 \mathrm{aS7bc}$ herring is 0.649 , which is considerably different to the assumed value. ICES Technical Guidelines (2018) state that stock specific $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ values can be applied by using the following alternative Lf=m equation from Jardim et al. (2015):

$$
L_{F=\gamma M, K=\theta M}=\frac{\theta L_{\infty}+L_{c}(\gamma+1)}{\theta+\gamma+1}
$$

Using the assumed $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ of 1.5 and the best estimate of $\mathrm{k}, 0.339$, implies a natural mortality of 0.51 , which differs substantially from that used in the exploratory SAM and ASAP runs: Average for ages 3-6 of 0.22 . It was therefore deemed appropriate to use the stock specific $M / k$ and the Jardim et al. (2015) equation to calculate FmSY proxy, for herring in 6aS,7bc.

All other calculations followed the WKLIFEX protocols.

### 5.6.2.3 Constant Harvest Rate Results

The split survey index is increasing since 2016 and the latest biomass estimate is above the trigger, which is 1.4 times the lowest observed survey biomass (Figure 5.6.2.3.1).

FMSY proxy is estimated at 0.034 and the target reference length for the latest year is 27.11 cm . Length frequency distribution are presented in Figure 5.6.2.3.2 These values will update for each year of data added to the time series.

The multiplier, m, was set at 0.5 as per ICES WKLIFEX guidelines for this method.
See table 5.6.2.3.1 for full details of the constants and calculations used.

## Stability Clause

A stability clause constraining the change in advised catch to $-30 \%$ or $+20 \%$ is also included. ICES guidelines state the mean of the previous 3 years' catch should be used when calculating the stability clause for the first time, which in this case is appropriate given the uptake of the monitoring quota in those years. It was agreed at WKNSCS that the most appropriate starting value
would be the average catch in the past three years (ICES, 2021h). Subsequent years will use the previously advised catch as the basis of the stability clause.

## Summary

Category 3 method 2.2 chr rule using a stock specific $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ value was recommended by the benchmark group. Table 5.6.2.3.2 presents a summary table and resultant advice based on a chr using length, survey and catch data from 2014 - 2021 (inclusive). Note that Fmš proxy, can change with each year of additional data. In conjunction with herring in 6 aN , implementation of these calculations in R is being developed and will be uploaded to TAF.

### 5.7 State of the Stock

The genetically split Malin shelf acoustic survey abundance and biomass estimates for 2014-2021 (incl.) provide the most reliable index for this stock. The biomass has been increasing since 2016 ( $36,706 \mathrm{t}$ ) with the 2021 estimate of 189,856 the second highest since the time series began in 2014 (Table 5.3.1.1. and Figure 5.3.1.1). Recent catches are among the lowest in the time series. A monitoring TAC has been in place for this stock since 2016 and this has restricted fishing mortality. There is little information on terminal year recruitment in the catch-at-age data and there are as yet no recruitment indices from the surveys. Recruitment of the 2018 year-class was good and this year class is now 3 winter ring and accounted for $58 \%$ of the catch numbers at age in 2021.

### 5.8 Short-term Projections

### 5.8.1 Short-term projections

No short term forecast was conducted at HAWG 2022.

### 5.8.2 Yield-per-recruit

No yield-per-recruit analysis was conducted at HAWG 2022.

### 5.9 Precautionary and Yield Based Reference Points

FMSY proxy is estimated at 0.034 for the years 2014-2021 (inclusive) and the target reference length for the latest year is 27.11 cm . These values will update for each year of data added to the time series.

### 5.10 Quality of the Assessment

Herring in 6.a South, 7.b-c have been part of a combined assessment with 6.a North since 2015 (ICES, 2015a). Following a benchmark meeting in 2022 (ICES, 2022a), these two stocks are now assessed separately. This was made possible by the development of a genetically split acoustic survey index (MSHAS; ICES, 2022b). This assessment represents one stock 6.aS,7.b-c herring.

A proportion of the acoustic survey biomass remains unassigned to either $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{bc}$ or 6 aN (Figure 5.10.1). There is a spring spawning category that could be 6 aN fish or late spawning $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ fish. There is also an unknown category that contains a mix of herring from $6 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{bc}$ and are unknown or below threshold. Continued genetic work will reduce the portion of this unassigned biomass in future years.

The calculation of the length-based indicator (LBI) portion of the constant harvest rate (chr) requires adequate length frequency data from the commercial catch. Catch sampling in $6 . a S, 7 . b-c$ has been comprehensive in all years included in the current assessment (2014-2021).This sampling will continue in future years.

The length at first capture ( Lc ) and the target reference length were calculated independently for every year of data in order to be more responsive to changes in the stock and/or fishery selectivity as the stock rebuilds. As such, the FMSY proxy reference point may change in subsequent years.

### 5.11 Management Considerations

From 2015 to 2021 this stock was jointly assessed with herring in 6.a North because it was not possible to segregate the two stocks in commercial catches or surveys. The development of a genetic method to split the summer acoustic survey (MSHAS) into the component stocks means that separate advice is now possible. The survey index has been genetically split from 2014-present but catches are still apportioned geographically (south of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and west of $7^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ). This is not an issue in recent years as the agreed 6.aS,7.b-c monitoring TAC has been taken close to the Irish coast at a time when the stocks are geographically isolated. Genetic sampling to split the commercial catches is required, particularly as the stocks recover and fishing expands. The Malin shelf acoustic survey index is an important part of this assessment and the continuation of the genetic sampling and analysis of this survey is also required. New baseline samples should be collected annually if possible and analysed at least with the established 45 SNP panel detailed in Farrell et al. (2021). Particular attention should be paid to building up the baseline samples of late spawning 6.a.S and the spring spawning 6 aN fish to improve the assignment of these fish.

### 5.12 Ecosystem Considerations

The Atlantic herring, Clupea haregus, is numerically one of the most important pelagic species in North Atlantic ecosystems. As well as being a commercially important species, herring represent an important prey species in the ecosystem west of the British Isles (ICES, 2021). Herring link zooplankton production with higher trophic levels (fish, sea mammals and birds) but also can act as predators on other fish species by their predation on fish eggs (ICES, 2015).

In this area the main oceanographic features are the Islay and Irish Shelf fronts. The waters to the west of Ireland are separated by the Irish shelf front. These fronts create turbulence and this may bring nutrients from deep waters to the surface, promoting the growth of phytoplankton and dinoflagellates in areas of increased stratification. Aggregations of fish are associated with these areas of increased productivity. The Islay front persists throughout the winter due to the stratification of water masses at different salinities (ICES, 2006). The ability to quantify any variability in frontal location and strength is an important element in understanding fisheries recruitment (Nolan and Lyons, 2006). These fronts play an important role in the transport of larvae and juveniles.

### 5.13 Changes in the Environment

Grainger (1978; 1980) found significant negative correlations between sea surface temperature and catches from the west of Ireland component of this stock at a time-lag of 3-4 years later. This indicates that recruitment responds favourably to cooler temperatures. The influence of the environment on herring productivity means that the biomass will always fluctuate (Dickey-Collas et al., 2010).

Changes in environmental conditions can have significant impacts for a variety of marine fish species. Oceanographic variation associated with temperature and salinity fluctuations appears to impact herring in the first year of life, possibly during the winter larval drift (Grainger, 1980). In addition, temperature increases and a positive AMO (Atlantic multi-decadal oscillation) index are thought to be related to drops in weight-at-age in Celtic Sea herring (Lyashevska, 2020). This study by Lyashevska, 2020 also found more stable size at age for herring in $6 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ and this may reflect the stocks more northerly distribution, where there is less exposure to sub optimal temperatures. Reductions in size of after 1990 are noted which indicates a vulnerability to future temperature rises.

Table 5.1.4 Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Estimated Herring catches in tonnes, 1992-2021. These data do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | UK (England \& Wales) | UK Scotland | Total landings | Unallocated / area misreported | Discards * | ICES estimated catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | 0 | 250 | 26000 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 27150 | 4600 | 100 | 31850 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 27600 | 2500 | 0 | 200 | 30300 | 6250 | 250 | 36800 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 24400 | 2500 | 50 | 0 | 26950 | 6250 | 700 | 33900 |
| 1995 | 0 | 11 | 25450 | 1207 | 24 | 0 | 26692 | 1100 | 0 | 27792 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 23800 | 1800 | 0 | 0 | 25600 | 6900 | 0 | 32500 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 24400 | 3400 | 0 | 0 | 27800 | 700 | 50 | 28550 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 25200 | 2500 | 0 | 0 | 27700 | 11200 | 0 | 38900 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 16325 | 1868 | 0 | 0 | 18193 | 7916 | 0 | 26109 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 10164 | 1234 | 0 | 0 | 11398 | 8448 | 0 | 19846 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 12820 | 2088 | 0 | 0 | 14908 | 1390 | 0 | 16298 |
| 2002 | 515 | 0 | 13072 | 366 | 0 | 0 | 13953 | 3873 | 0 | 17826 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 12921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12921 | 3581 | 0 | 16502 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 12290 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 12354 | 2813 | 0 | 15167 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 13351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13351 | 2880 | 0 | 16231 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 14840 | 353 | 0 | 6 | 15199 | 4000 | 0 | 19199 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 12662 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 12675 | 5116 | 0 | 17791 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 10237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10237 | 3103 | 0 | 13340 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 8533 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8533 | 1935 | 0 | 10468 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 7513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7513 | 2728 | 0 | 10241 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 4247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4247 | 2672 | 0 | 6919 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 3727 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3791 | 2780 | 0 | 6571 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 1460 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1500 | 2468 | 0 | 3968 |


| Year | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | UK (England \& Wales) | UK Scotland | Total landings | Unallocated / area misreported | Discards* | ICES estimated catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 2933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2933 | 2163 | 0 | 5096 |
| 2015 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 78 | 1000 | 0 | 1078 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 1171 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1243 | 971 | 0 | 2214 |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 1707 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1707 | 520 | 0 | 2227 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 525 | 0 | 1495 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1690 | 0 | 0 | 1690 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 1138 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1141 | 79 | 0 | 1220 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 1715 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1715 | 106 | 0 | 1821 |

*Unraised discards

Table 5.2.1.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Catch in numbers-at-age (winter rings) from 1970-2021.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 135 | 35114 | 26007 | 13243 | 3895 | 40181 | 2982 | 1667 | 1911 |
| 1971 | 883 | 6177 | 7038 | 10856 | 8826 | 3938 | 40553 | 2286 | 2160 |
| 1972 | 1001 | 28786 | 20534 | 6191 | 11145 | 10057 | 4243 | 47182 | 4305 |
| 1973 | 6423 | 40390 | 47389 | 16863 | 7432 | 12383 | 9191 | 1969 | 50980 |
| 1974 | 3374 | 29406 | 41116 | 44579 | 17857 | 8882 | 10901 | 10272 | 30549 |
| 1975 | 7360 | 41308 | 25117 | 29192 | 23718 | 10703 | 5909 | 9378 | 32029 |
| 1976 | 16613 | 29011 | 37512 | 26544 | 25317 | 15000 | 5208 | 3596 | 15703 |
| 1977 | 4485 | 44512 | 13396 | 17176 | 12209 | 9924 | 5534 | 1360 | 4150 |
| 1978 | 10170 | 40320 | 27079 | 13308 | 10685 | 5356 | 4270 | 3638 | 3324 |
| 1979 | 5919 | 50071 | 19161 | 19969 | 9349 | 8422 | 5443 | 4423 | 4090 |
| 1980 | 2856 | 40058 | 64946 | 25140 | 22126 | 7748 | 6946 | 4344 | 5334 |
| 1981 | 1620 | 22265 | 41794 | 31460 | 12812 | 12746 | 3461 | 2735 | 5220 |
| 1982 | 748 | 18136 | 17004 | 28220 | 18280 | 8121 | 4089 | 3249 | 2875 |
| 1983 | 1517 | 43688 | 49534 | 25316 | 31782 | 18320 | 6695 | 3329 | 4251 |
| 1984 | 2794 | 81481 | 28660 | 17854 | 7190 | 12836 | 5974 | 2008 | 4020 |
| 1985 | 9606 | 15143 | 67355 | 12756 | 11241 | 7638 | 9185 | 7587 | 2168 |
| 1986 | 918 | 27110 | 27818 | 66383 | 14644 | 7988 | 5696 | 5422 | 2127 |
| 1987 | 12149 | 44160 | 80213 | 41504 | 99222 | 15226 | 12639 | 6082 | 10187 |
| 1988 | 0 | 29135 | 46300 | 41008 | 23381 | 45692 | 6946 | 2482 | 1964 |
| 1989 | 2241 | 6919 | 78842 | 26149 | 21481 | 15008 | 24917 | 4213 | 3036 |
| 1990 | 878 | 24977 | 19500 | 151978 | 24362 | 20164 | 16314 | 8184 | 1130 |
| 1991 | 675 | 34437 | 27810 | 12420 | $\begin{aligned} & 10044 \\ & \Lambda \end{aligned}$ | 17921 | 14865 | 11311 | 7660 |
| 1992 | 2592 | 15519 | 42532 | 26839 | 12565 | 73307 | 8535 | 8203 | 6286 |
| 1993 | 191 | 20562 | 22666 | 41967 | 23379 | 13547 | 67265 | 7671 | 6013 |
| 1994 | 11709 | 56156 | 31225 | 16877 | 21772 | 13644 | 8597 | 31729 | 10093 |
| 1995 | 284 | 34471 | 35414 | 18617 | 19133 | 16081 | 5749 | 8585 | 14215 |
| 1996 | 4776 | 24424 | 69307 | 31128 | 9842 | 15314 | 8158 | 12463 | 6472 |
| 1997 | 7458 | 56329 | 25946 | 387421 | 14583 | 5977 | 8351 | 3418 | 4264 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 7437 | 72777 | 80612 | 38326 | 30165 | 9138 | 5282 | 3434 | 2942 |
| 1999 | 2392 | 51254 | 61329 | 34901 | 10092 | 5887 | 1880 | 1086 | 949 |
| 2000 | 4101 | 34564 | 38925 | 30706 | 13345 | 2735 | 1464 | 690 | 1602 |
| 2001 | 2316 | 21717 | 21780 | 17533 | 18450 | 9953 | 1741 | 1027 | 508 |
| 2002 | 4058 | 32640 | 37749 | 18882 | 11623 | 10215 | 2747 | 1605 | 644 |
| 2003 | 1731 | 32819 | 28714 | 24189 | 9432 | 5176 | 2525 | 923 | 303 |
| 2004 | 1401 | 15122 | 32992 | 19720 | 9006 | 4924 | 1547 | 975 | 323 |
| 2005 | 209 | 28123 | 30896 | 26887 | 10774 | 5452 | 1348 | 858 | 243 |
| 2006 | 598 | 22036 | 36700 | 30581 | 21956 | 9080 | 2418 | 832 | 369 |
| 2007 | 76 | 24577 | 43958 | 23399 | 13738 | 5474 | 1825 | 231 | 131 |
| 2008 | 483 | 12265 | 19661 | 28483 | 11110 | 5989 | 2738 | 745 | 267 |
| 2009 | 202 | 12574 | 12077 | 12096 | 12574 | 5239 | 2040 | 853 | 17 |
| 2010 | 1271 | 13507 | 20127 | 6541 | 7588 | 6780 | 2563 | 661 | 189 |
| 2011 | 121 | 14207 | 9315 | 9114 | 3386 | 3780 | 2871 | 980 | 95 |
| 2012 | 5142 | 12844 | 16387 | 4042 | 1776 | 553 | 541 | 103 | 21 |
| 2013 | 61 | 3118 | 4532 | 12238 | 1665 | 1792 | 425 | 382 | 202 |
| 2014 | 34 | 465 | 8825 | 6735 | 12146 | 2406 | 1045 | 437 | 204 |
| 2015 | 27 | 1842 | 598 | 2553 | 1699 | 685 | 96 | 9 | 0 |
| 2016 | 69 | 1983 | 4252 | 1369 | 3025 | 2085 | 824 | 43 | 9 |
| 2017 | 30 | 1051 | 5241 | 4078 | 1025 | 2250 | 1061 | 480 | 76 |
| 2018 | 6 | 1567 | 1838 | 3280 | 2288 | 613 | 700 | 260 | 29 |
| 2019 | 1995 | 2627 | 3259 | 1509 | 1895 | 1166 | 381 | 464 | 171 |
| 2020 | 140 | 5164 | 2683 | 1703 | 597 | 684 | 265 | 98 | 48 |
| 2021 | 25 | 1975 | 8818 | 2297 | 1302 | 315 | 410 | 116 | 21 |

Table 5.2.1.2. Herring in divisions $6 . a S, 7 . b-c$. Percentage age composition (winter rings).

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 6\% | 28\% | 15\% | 8\% | 11\% | 7\% | 4\% | 16\% | 5\% |
| 1995 | 0\% | 23\% | 23\% | 12\% | 13\% | 11\% | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| 1996 | 3\% | 13\% | 38\% | 17\% | 5\% | 8\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| 1997 | 5\% | 34\% | 16\% | 23\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| 1998 | 3\% | 29\% | 32\% | 15\% | 12\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1999 | 1\% | 30\% | 36\% | 21\% | 6\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2000 | 3\% | 27\% | 30\% | 24\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2001 | 2\% | 23\% | 23\% | 18\% | 19\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2002 | 3\% | 27\% | 31\% | 16\% | 10\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2003 | 2\% | 31\% | 27\% | 23\% | 9\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2004 | 2\% | 18\% | 38\% | 23\% | 10\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2005 | 0\% | 27\% | 29\% | 26\% | 10\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2006 | 0\% | 18\% | 29\% | 25\% | 18\% | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2007 | 0\% | 22\% | 39\% | 21\% | 12\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2008 | 1\% | 15\% | 24\% | 35\% | 14\% | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2009 | 0\% | 22\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% | 9\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2010 | 2\% | 23\% | 34\% | 11\% | 13\% | 11\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2011 | 0\% | 32\% | 21\% | 21\% | 8\% | 9\% | 7\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| 2012 | 12\% | 31\% | 40\% | 10\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2013 | 0\% | 13\% | 19\% | 50\% | 7\% | 7\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 2014 | 0\% | 1\% | 27\% | 21\% | 38\% | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2015 | 0\% | 25\% | 8\% | 34\% | 23\% | 9\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2016 | 0\% | 15\% | 31\% | 10\% | 22\% | 15\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2017 | 0\% | 7\% | 34\% | 27\% | 7\% | 15\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% |
| 2018 | 0\% | 15\% | 17\% | 31\% | 22\% | 6\% | 7\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 15\% | 20\% | 24\% | 11\% | 14\% | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| 2020 | 1\% | 45\% | 24\% | 15\% | 5\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2021 | 0\% | 13\% | 58\% | 15\% | 9\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% |

Table 5.2.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Sampling intensity of catches in 2021.

| Year | Quarter | Landings (t) | No. Samples | No. aged | No. Measured | Aged/1000 t |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 . a S$ | 1 | 426 | 6 | 382 | 1841 | 896 |
| $6 . a S$ | 4 | 1395 | 34 | 1655 | 8162 | 1187 |
| Total | 2021 | 1821 | 40 | 2037 | 10003 | 1119 |

Table 5.4.1.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Mean weights-at-age in the catches 1970-2021.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1971 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1972 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1973 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1974 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1975 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1976 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1977 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1978 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1979 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1980 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1981 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1982 | 0.110 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1983 | 0.090 | 0.129 | 0.165 | 0.191 | 0.209 | 0.222 | 0.231 | 0.237 | 0.241 |
| 1984 | 0.106 | 0.141 | 0.181 | 0.210 | 0.226 | 0.237 | 0.243 | 0.247 | 0.248 |
| 1985 | 0.077 | 0.122 | 0.161 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.206 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.230 |
| 1986 | 0.095 | 0.138 | 0.164 | 0.194 | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.208 | 0.288 |
| 1987 | 0.085 | 0.102 | 0.150 | 0.169 | 0.177 | 0.193 | 0.205 | 0.215 | 0.220 |
| 1988 | 0.082 | 0.098 | 0.133 | 0.153 | 0.166 | 0.171 | 0.183 | 0.191 | 0.201 |
| 1989 | 0.080 | 0.130 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.174 | 0.183 | 0.192 | 0.193 | 0.203 |
| 1990 | 0.094 | 0.138 | 0.148 | 0.160 | 0.176 | 0.189 | 0.194 | 0.208 | 0.216 |
| 1991 | 0.089 | 0.134 | 0.145 | 0.157 | 0.167 | 0.185 | 0.199 | 0.207 | 0.230 |
| 1992 | 0.095 | 0.141 | 0.147 | 0.157 | 0.165 | 0.171 | 0.180 | 0.194 | 0.219 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | 0.112 | 0.138 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.181 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.229 | 0.236 |
| 1994 | 0.081 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.177 | 0.189 | 0.187 | 0.191 | 0.204 | 0.220 |
| 1995 | 0.080 | 0.140 | 0.161 | 0.173 | 0.182 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.206 | 0.217 |
| 1996 | 0.085 | 0.135 | 0.172 | 0.182 | 0.199 | 0.209 | 0.220 | 0.233 | 0.237 |
| 1997 | 0.093 | 0.135 | 0.155 | 0.181 | 0.201 | 0.217 | 0.217 | 0.231 | 0.239 |
| 1998 | 0.095 | 0.136 | 0.145 | 0.173 | 0.191 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.222 | 0.217 |
| 1999 | 0.106 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.163 | 0.186 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.216 | 0.222 |
| 2000 | 0.102 | 0.129 | 0.154 | 0.172 | 0.180 | 0.184 | 0.204 | 0.203 | 0.204 |
| 2001 | 0.086 | 0.122 | 0.139 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.188 | 0.222 | 0.222 | 0.213 |
| 2002 | 0.097 | 0.127 | 0.140 | 0.155 | 0.175 | 0.196 | 0.204 | 0.218 | 0.226 |
| 2003 | 0.102 | 0.134 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.183 | 0.196 | 0.216 | 0.210 | 0.228 |
| 2004 | 0.085 | 0.140 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.182 | 0.193 | 0.222 | 0.221 | 0.285 |
| 2005 | 0.105 | 0.135 | 0.150 | 0.162 | 0.174 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.237 | 0.296 |
| 2006 | 0.106 | 0.137 | 0.141 | 0.158 | 0.169 | 0.178 | 0.199 | 0.221 | 0.243 |
| 2007 | 0.118 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.168 | 0.179 | 0.189 | 0.197 | 0.233 | 0.237 |
| 2008 | 0.1108 | 0.1478 | 0.1503 | 0.1663 | 0.1745 | 0.1845 | 0.1938 | 0.1990 | 0.2407 |
| 2009 | 0.077 | 0.146 | 0.171 | 0.194 | 0.200 | 0.207 | 0.211 | 0.218 | 0.275 |
| 2010 | 0.104 | 0.131 | 0.168 | 0.189 | 0.201 | 0.212 | 0.218 | 0.226 | 0.229 |
| 2011 | 0.094 | 0.122 | 0.141 | 0.174 | 0.193 | 0.202 | 0.217 | 0.218 | 0.246 |
| 2012 | 0.09 | 0.134 | 0.179 | 0.196 | 0.214 | 0.237 | 0.228 | 0.243 | 0.236 |
| 2013 | 0.083 | 0.121 | 0.141 | 0.170 | 0.181 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.226 | 0.226 |
| 2014 | 0.105 | 0.139 | 0.136 | 0.155 | 0.168 | 0.175 | 0.184 | 0.183 | 0.187 |
| 2015 | 0.090 | 0.113 | 0.145 | 0.152 | 0.161 | 0.168 | 0.176 | 0.185 | 0.188 |
| 2016 | 0.09 | 0.125 | 0.149 | 0.163 | 0.182 | 0.188 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.201 |
| 2017 | 0.072 | 0.106 | 0.132 | 0.145 | 0.159 | 0.168 | 0.172 | 0.179 | 0.183 |
| 2018 | 0.085 | 0.101 | 0.127 | 0.144 | 0.155 | 0.166 | 0.172 | 0.170 | 0.174 |
| 2019 | 0.063 | 0.099 | 0.127 | 0.147 | 0.159 | 0.164 | 0.180 | 0.174 | 0.172 |
| 2020 | 0.059 | 0.091 | 0.109 | 0.121 | 0.134 | 0.146 | 0.152 | 0.158 | 0.168 |
| 2021 | 0.080 | 0.108 | 0.116 | 0.124 | 0.134 | 0.141 | 0.147 | 0.151 | 0.173 |

Table 5.4.1.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Mean weights-at-age in the stock at spawning time 1970-2021.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1971 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1972 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1973 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1974 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1975 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1976 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1977 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1978 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1979 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1980 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1981 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1982 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1983 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1984 | 0.120 | 0.169 | 0.210 | 0.236 | 0.260 | 0.273 | 0.283 | 0.290 | 0.296 |
| 1985 | 0.100 | 0.150 | 0.196 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.251 | 0.252 | 0.269 | 0.284 |
| 1986 | 0.098 | 0.169 | 0.209 | 0.238 | 0.256 | 0.276 | 0.280 | 0.287 | 0.312 |
| 1987 | 0.097 | 0.164 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.296 | 0.317 |
| 1988 | 0.097 | 0.164 | 0.206 | 0.233 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.280 | 0.296 | 0.317 |
| 1989 | 0.138 | 0.157 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.227 | 0.238 | 0.245 |
| 1990 | 0.113 | 0.152 | 0.170 | 0.180 | 0.200 | 0.217 | 0.225 | 0.233 | 0.255 |
| 1991 | 0.102 | 0.149 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.195 | 0.206 | 0.226 | 0.236 | 0.248 |
| 1992 | 0.102 | 0.144 | 0.167 | 0.182 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.214 | 0.218 | 0.242 |
| 1993 | 0.118 | 0.166 | 0.196 | 0.205 | 0.214 | 0.220 | 0.223 | 0.242 | 0.258 |
| 1994 | 0.098 | 0.156 | 0.192 | 0.209 | 0.216 | 0.223 | 0.226 | 0.230 | 0.247 |
| 1995 | 0.090 | 0.144 | 0.181 | 0.203 | 0.217 | 0.226 | 0.227 | 0.239 | 0.246 |
| 1996 | 0.086 | 0.137 | 0.186 | 0.206 | 0.219 | 0.234 | 0.233 | 0.249 | 0.253 |
| 1997 | 0.094 | 0.135 | 0.169 | 0.194 | 0.210 | 0.224 | 0.231 | 0.230 | 0.239 |
| 1998 | 0.095 | 0.136 | 0.145 | 0.173 | 0.191 | 0.196 | 0.202 | 0.222 | 0.217 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 0.104 | 0.145 | 0.154 | 0.174 | 0.200 | 0.222 | 0.230 | 0.240 | 0.246 |
| 2000 | 0.100 | 0.134 | 0.157 | 0.177 | 0.197 | 0.207 | 0.217 | 0.230 | 0.245 |
| 2001 | 0.091 | 0.125 | 0.150 | 0.172 | 0.191 | 0.200 | 0.203 | 0.203 | 0.216 |
| 2002 | 0.092 | 0.127 | 0.146 | 0.170 | 0.190 | 0.201 | 0.210 | 0.227 | 0.229 |
| 2003 | 0.094 | 0.131 | 0.155 | 0.175 | 0.192 | 0.203 | 0.232 | 0.222 | 0.243 |
| 2004 | 0.081 | 0.133 | 0.151 | 0.175 | 0.194 | 0.207 | 0.238 | 0.233 | 0.276 |
| 2005 | 0.095 | 0.127 | 0.15 | 0.172 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.223 | 0.234 | 0.274 |
| 2006 | 0.092 | 0.130 | 0.133 | 0.162 | 0.177 | 0.186 | 0.209 | 0.238 | 0.247 |
| 2007 | 0.114 | 0.133 | 0.133 | 0.171 | 0.186 | 0.196 | 0.208 | 0.228 | 0.229 |
| 2008 | 0.098 | 0.136 | 0.140 | 0.174 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.192 | 0.205 | 0.234 |
| 2009 | 0.072 | 0.141 | 0.162 | 0.197 | 0.215 | 0.223 | 0.225 | 0.221 | 0.286 |
| 2010 | 0.092 | 0.128 | 0.157 | 0.189 | 0.208 | 0.227 | 0.234 | 0.239 | 0.247 |
| 2011 | 0.082 | 0.118 | 0.136 | 0.177 | 0.199 | 0.207 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.240 |
| 2012 | 0.084 | 0.135 | 0.182 | 0.203 | 0.214 | 0.226 | 0.225 | 0.21 | 0.226 |
| 2013 | 0.074 | 0.114 | 0.140 | 0.170 | 0.188 | 0.198 | 0.204 | 0.223 | 0.222 |
| 2014 | 0.093 | 0.128 | 0.135 | 0.154 | 0.169 | 0.170 | 0.188 | 0.169 | 0.206 |
| 2015 | 0.077 | 0.112 | 0.146 | 0.155 | 0.165 | 0.173 | 0.179 | 0.183 | 0.217 |
| 2016 | 0.078 | 0.119 | 0.147 | 0.164 | 0.185 | 0.191 | 0.197 | 0.21 | 0.175 |
| 2017 | 0.064 | 0.099 | 0.130 | 0.145 | 0.163 | 0.173 | 0.176 | 0.185 | 0.180 |
| 2018 | 0.072 | 0.097 | 0.126 | 0.146 | 0.156 | 0.168 | 0.172 | 0.169 | 0.170 |
| 2019 | 0.062 | 0.098 | 0.124 | 0.149 | 0.164 | 0.166 | 0.180 | 0.180 | 0.175 |
| 2020 | 0.056 | 0.088 | 0.110 | 0.125 | 0.144 | 0.154 | 0.157 | 0.164 | 0.168 |
| 2021 | 0.070 | 0.109 | 0.151 | 0.171 | 0.182 | 0.196 | 0.203 | 0.205 | 0.211 |

Table 5.3.1.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c Total numbers (millions) and biomass (tonnes) of herring June-July 20142021. From the Split Malin Shelf acoustic survey

| Year | Age(-wr) | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ | CV | SSB (t) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | her-irlw |  | 30.02 | 118.63 | 271.01 | 252.21 | 99.34 | 31.38 | 10.39 | 4.90 | 0.26 | 149270 |
| 2015 | her-irlw |  | 122.52 | 255.67 | 395.26 | 254.82 | 225.28 | 58.96 | 9.38 |  | 0.24 | 226293 |
| 2016 | her-irlw |  | 8.09 | 45.22 | 42.18 | 38.06 | 42.34 | 26.05 | 1.71 | 0.91 | 0.23 | 36707 |
| 2017 | her-irlw |  | 6.55 | 112.57 | 87.69 | 39.22 | 58.66 | 39.21 | 21.65 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 66342 |
| 2018 | her-irlw | 572.95 | 303.59 | 68.30 | 199.14 | 92.34 | 36.80 | 47.08 | 14.63 | 6.14 | 0.57 | 96138 |
| 2019 | her-irlw | 3.80 | 170.70 | 213.96 | 103.46 | 91.97 | 47.16 | 5.93 | 17.27 | 8.92 | 0.26 | 92364 |
| 2020 | her-irlw | 895.11 | 776.20 | 401.75 | 188.20 | 71.45 | 120.21 | 24.77 | 6.64 | 8.51 | 0.24 | 135335 |
| 2021 | her-irlw | 173.49 | 1389.15 | 532.79 | 105.14 | 66.21 | 27.17 | 46.06 | 12.62 | 12.82 | 0.31 | 189856 |

Table 5.3.1.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Mean Weights at age of herring June-July 2014-2021. From the Split Malin Shelf acoustic survey

| Year | Age(-wr) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | her-irlw |  | 134.74 | 159.19 | 177.5 | 201.06 | 211.04 | 213.03 | 224.16 | 231.2 |
| 2015 | her-irlw |  | 134.47 | 173.81 | 188 | 194.66 | 201.2 | 205.55 | 206.98 |  |
| 2016 | her-irlw |  | 130.72 | 133.84 | 168.5 | 204.33 | 204.86 | 206.58 | 210.52 | 274.3 |
| 2017 | her-irlw |  | 133.46 | 161.43 | 172.3 | 185.24 | 196.36 | 194.56 | 202.98 | 177 |
| 2018 | her-irlw | 48.67 | 107.92 | 149.17 | 172.5 | 183.84 | 206.14 | 208.64 | 210.24 | 218.7 |
| 2019 | her-irlw | 86.42 | 116.56 | 153.2 | 167.5 | 190.95 | 182.68 | 189.54 | 220.5 | 218.9 |
| 2020 | her-irlw | 54.98 | 110.01 | 136.84 | 157.8 | 171.39 | 190.92 | 203.78 | 201.1 | 233.3 |
| 2021 | her-irlw | 70.22 | 108.67 | 151.23 | 171.12 | 182.24 | 195.80 | 203.31 | 205.02 | 210.58 |

Table 5.3.1.3. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Maturity at age of herring June-July 2014-2021. From the Split Malin Shelf acoustic survey

| Year | Age(-wr) | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | her-irlw | 0 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2015 | her-irlw | 0 | 0.41 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1 |  |
| 2016 | her-irlw | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2017 | her-irlw | 0 | 1 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | her-irlw | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.82 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2019 | her-irlw | 0 | 0.51 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2020 | her-irlw | 0 | 0.25 | 0.64 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | her-irlw | 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.92 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 5.3.2.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Details of acoustic surveys dedicated to the 6a.S/7.b-c stock.

| Year | Type | Biomass | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | Feeding phase | - | 353772 |
| 1995 | Feeding phase | 137670 | 125800 |
| 1996 | Feeding phase | 34290 | 12550 |
| 1997 | - | - | - |
| 1998 | - | - | - |
| 1999 | Autumn | 23762 | 22788 |
| 2000 | Autumn | 21000 | 20500 |
| 2001 | Autumn | 11100 | 9800 |
| 2002 | Winter | 8900 | 7200 |
| 2003 | Winter | 10300 | 9500 |
| 2004 | Winter | 41700 | 41399 |
| 2005 | Winter | 71253 | 66138 |
| 2006 | Winter | 27770 | 27200 |
| 2007 | Winter | 14222 | 13974 |
| 2016 | Winter | 35475 | 35475 |
| 2017 | Winter | 40646 | 40646 |
| 2018 | Winter | 50145 | 49523 |
| 2019* | Winter | 25289 | 22386 |
| 2020** | Winter |  | 45046 |
| 2021** | Winter |  | 3594435859 |
| *reduced survey area |  |  |  |
| ** Survey design changed significantly compared to other years, only 6 core areas covered |  |  |  |

Table 5.6.2.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Definitions of the components used to calculate chr (from WKLIFEX, see table 3.4.2.1 of that report for a full description of how $F_{\text {MSY proxy }}$ is calculated).

| Component | Definition |
| :---: | :--- |
| $F_{\text {proxy }, M S Y}$ | Description and use |
| The index in year $y-1$. |  |

Table 5.6.2.3. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Estimate of natural mortality $(M)$ used in the exploratory assessments for herring in $\mathbf{6 a S}$, 7 bc and various $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio calculations. Most appropriate $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ ratio highlighted in bold.

| Age | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ to $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | 0.528 | 0.303 | 0.255 | 0.225 | 0.207 | 0.193 | 0.186 | 0.180 | 0.180 | 0.251 | 0.216 |
| $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.220 |  |  |

Table 5.6.2.3.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Constants, lengths, survey index and catch data used in the calculation of $F_{\text {MSY proxy }}$ and target reference lengths.

| Year | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch (t) | 5,096 | 1,078 | 2,213 | 2,227 | 1,495 | 1,690 | 1,220 | 1,821 |
| Biomass estimates (I) | 149,270 | 226,293 | 36,707 | 66,342 | 96,138 | 92,364 | 135,335 | 189,856 |
| modal length in catch L | 28.00 | 27.00 | 28.00 | 26.00 | 27.00 | 25.50 | 24.00 | 25.5 |
| $L_{c}$ (Length of first capture) | 26.00 | 26.50 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.50 | 23.00 | 22.50 | 24.5 |
| Mean length $>L_{c}$ in catch | 27.996 | 27.68 | 27.298 | 27.006 | 27.184 | 26.17 | 25.03 | 25.99 |
| Target reference length ( $L_{F=\gamma M, ~} \mathrm{k}=\theta \mathrm{M}$ ) | 27.958 | 28.241 | 27.393 | 27.393 | 27.676 | 26.264 | 25.981 | 27.11 |
| f | 1.001 | 0.98 | 0.996 | 0.986 | 0.982 | 0.996 | 0.963 | 0.959 |
| $C_{y} / \mathrm{l}$ y where f > 1 | 0.034 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY proxy }}$ | 0.034 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$ | 30.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | 0.220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k | 0.339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\gamma$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\theta(=k / M)$ | 1.541 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Catch ( t ) Catch from 6aS7bc only Biomass estimates
(I)
modal length in catch L
Lc
Mean length > Lc in catch Target reference length $\quad \mathrm{LF}=\gamma \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{k}=$ em using Jardim et al. (2015) equation (see text)

The quantity $f$ is the ratio of the mean length in the observed catch that is above the length of first capture relative to the target reference length (mean length/target reference length).
Is the ratio Cy/Iy for the set of historical years $U$ for which the quantity $f>1$, and $u$ is the
$C_{y} / I_{y}$ where $\mathrm{f}>1$
number of years in the set.
Is the mean of the ratio $C_{y} / I_{y}$ for the set of historical years $U$ for which the quantity $f>1$, and
F, MSY proxy $\quad u$ is the number of years in the set.
$\mathrm{L}_{\infty} \quad$ L infinity estimated from catch sampled length data
M Mean natural mortality ages 3-6
k von Bertalannfy growth parameter estimated from catch sampled length data
$\gamma \quad$ Gamma set to 1
$\theta \quad$ Theta $=k / M$

Table 5.6.2.3.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. chr summary table and advice using length, survey and catch data from 2014-2021 (inclusive).

| Catch ${ }_{y}-1$ (mean of last 3 years catch) | $1,577 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Index $_{\mathrm{y}-1}($ survey SSB) | $189,856 \mathrm{t}$ |
| FMSY proxy | 0.034 |
| b (biomass safeguard) | 1 |
| m (multiplier) | 0.5 |
| chr (Cyy+1 $=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}-1} \times \mathrm{F}_{\text {proxy,MSY } \times \mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{m})}$ | $3,241 \mathrm{t}$ |
| \% Change (from previous 3 yr catch) | $+106 \%$ |
| Stability Clause Applied $(-30 \%$ or $+20 \%)$ | $1,892 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Advised Catch $\mathrm{y}+1$ | $1,892 \mathrm{t}$ |



Figure 5.1.2 Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Irish catches in 2021.


Figure 5.1.4 Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Working group estimate of catches from 1957-2021.

6aS 7bc Herring Mean Standardised Catch Numbers At Age






Figure 5.2.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. catch numbers-at-age standardized by year for the fishery 1957-2021.


Figure 5.3.1.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c . SSB (t) time-series for the individual MSHAS split indices (2014 - 2020). her-irlw refers to her.27.6aS,7b,c

Split Acoustic Survey Catch Numbers At Age


Figure 5.3.1.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Malin Shelf Acoustic Survey - split catch numbers at age.

Proportions at age from the catch and the split acoustic survey 2014-2021


Figure 5.3.1.3. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Proportions-at-age in the 6aS, 7.b-c catch and 6aS, 7.b-c Split Malin Shelf acoustic survey (MSHAS) 2014-2021.

Split_MSHAS


Lower right panels show the Coefficient of Determination $\left(r^{2}\right)$

Figure 5.3.1.4 Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Internal consistency between ages (rings) in the Split MSHAS herring acoustic survey time-series (2014-2021).


Figure 5.3.2.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. NASC distribution in the industry science surveys 2020 and 2021


Figure 5.3.3.1 Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c . IBTS hauls positons from IE-IGFS (green), SWC-IBTS (red) and SCOWCGFS (blue) surveys, left - all hauls, right hauls in div 6 a , south of $56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and divisions 7 b and 7 c


Figure 5.3.3.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Internal consistency plot showing pairwise regressions and associated $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ values from the IBTS Index.


Figure 5.4.1.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Mean weights in the catch (kg) by age in winter rings (1980-2021). Prior to 1981 weights were fixed.

6aS 7b,c Mean Weights in the stock


Figure 5.4.1.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Mean weights in the stock (kg) at spawning time by age in winter rings (1980-2021). Prior to 1981 weights were fixed.


Figure 5.4.2. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Maturity Ogive.


Figure 5.4.3. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Natural Mortality at age updated at the benchmark in 2022 and the previously used value.


Figure 5.6.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Proportions-at-age in the 6aS, 7.b-c catch and 6aS, 7.b-c Split Malin Shelf acoustic survey (MSHAS) and the IBTS survey 2014-2021.


Figure 5.6.2.1. Herring in divisions $6 . a S, 7 . b-c$. Fit of growth curve to length data from commercial catch of herring in 6 aS and $7 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{n}=594 \mathrm{k}$.


Figure 5.6.2.3.1 Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. MSHAS 6aS Split Spawning Stock Biomass (tonnes) by year. Black line shows lowest observed value ( $I_{\text {loss }}$ ); Red line shows $1.4 * I_{\text {loss }}\left(I_{\text {trigger }}\right)$.
 (Lc), Mean length above Lc (Mean>Lc), the median and the mode from catch sampling data.


Figure 5.10.1. Herring in divisions 6.aS, 7.b-c. Proportions of the MSHAS genetically assigned.

## 6 Herring in the Celtic Sea (divisions 7.a South of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and 7.g, 7.h and 7.j)


#### Abstract

The assessment year for this stock runs from 1st April until 31st March. Unless otherwise stated, year and year class are referred to by the first year in the season i.e. 2021 refers to the 2021-2022 season.

The WG notes that the use of "age", "winter rings", "rings" and "ringers" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings", "ringers", "winter ringers" or "wr" instead of "age" throughout the report. However, if the word "age" is used it is qualified in brackets with one of the ring designations. It should be observed that, for autumn and winter spawning stocks such as this one, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". Further elaboration on the rationale behind this, specific to each stock, can be found in the individual Stock Annexes. It is the responsibility of any user of age-based data for any of these herring stocks to consult the relevant annex and if in doubt consult a relevant member of the Working Group.


### 6.1 The Fishery

### 6.1.1 Advice and management applicable to 2021-2022

The TAC is set by calendar year. In 2019, the EC requested ICES to advise on the minimum level of catches (tonnages) required in a sentinel TAC, which would provide sufficient data for ICES in order to continue providing scientific advice on the state of the stock (ICES, 2019). ICES advised that at least 17 samples from the main and the sentinel fleet would be required to provide advice on similar bases as with a commercial fishery. Those samples could be obtained through a monitoring catch of 869 t . As a result, the monitoring TAC agreed by the Council of the European Union for 2021 and 2022 was 869 t.

### 6.1.2 The fishery in 2021-2022

In 2021, the Irish fishery took place in 7.g in Q3 and in 7.g and 7.a.S in Q4 as in previous years. There was also catch taken from 7.j in Q1 2022.

The Irish fishery is divided into two fleets, the main fleet and the sentinel fleet. The Celtic Sea Herring Management Advisory Committee (CSHMAC) provide input to the management of the Celtic Sea Herring. Fishing began in 7.g in September and continued until early November, with over 500 t landed in total. The fishery in 7 a .5 started in late November and continued until mid-December. In Q1 2022 all of the catch was taken in 7.j.

The Netherlands, Germany, France and the UK did not utilize their quota. The area 7.h is part of the management area, but it is unclear if it is part of the stock area.

The spatial distribution of the 2021 landings is presented in Figure 6.1.2.1. There was not full quota uptake in 2021.
The estimated catches from 1988-2021 for the combined areas (7.a.S, 7.g, 7.h, 7.j) by quota year and by assessment year ( 1 April-31 March) are given in tables 6.1.2.1 and 6.1.2.2 respectively. The catch taken during the 2021-2022 season increased from 132 t in 2020 to 745 t in 2021 (Figure 6.1.2.2).

The catch data include discards in the directed fishery until 1997. An independent observer study of the Celtic Sea herring fishery was conducted annually from 2012 to 2017. This observer programme was discontinued in 2018. Discards from these trips were raised to the total international catch using a weighted average for each year from 2012 to 2017.

## Regulations and their effects

Under the previous rebuilding plan, the closure of Subdivision 7.a.S from 2007-present, except for a sentinel fishery, meant that only small dry hold vessels, no more than 50 feet total length, could fish in that area. In 2012, local quota management arrangements were adopted to restrict fishing in 7.a.S to vessels under 50 feet, but the total quota allocation increased from $8 \%$ to $11 \%$. Therefore, from 2012 there was a slight increase in landings from this area. There is evidence that closure of Subdivision 7.a.S under the rebuilding plan helped to reduce fishing mortality (Clarke and Egan, 2017). The exact mechanisms for this are unclear.

### 6.1.3 Changes in fishing patterns

In 2019, the high prevalence of fish $<\operatorname{MCRS}(<20 \mathrm{~cm})$ limited the main fleet to 5 days and prevented it from catching the quota. There were no issues with < MCRS fish in 2021 and 745 t of the 869 t available was taken.

Vessels greater than 50 feet total length are excluded from 7.a.S under local Irish legislation. This has shifted effort onto The Smalls/Celtic Deep ground, south of the $52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ line, in an area which straddles the boundary between the Irish and UK exclusive economic zones (EEZs).

### 6.1.4 Discarding

As in all pelagic fisheries, estimation of discarding is very difficult. Individual instances of discarding may be quite infrequent in occurrence. However individual slippages could result in considerable quantities of herring being discarded. The estimates produced by the HAWG in 2012 provided a sensitivity analysis of the assessment to maximum possible discarding. The risk of discarding (slippage induced by restrictive vessel quotas) is now reduced, due to the flexibility mechanism introduced in quota allocation since 2012. Available evidence is that the discard rate is negligible in directed fisheries. In 2021 two observer trips were carried out during the Celtic Sea herring fishery by the Marine Institute with no discarding observed.
Estimates of discarding from observer trips for the purposes of marine mammal bycatch studies, reported $1 \%$ discarding in 2012, $0.8 \%$ in 2013 (McKeogh and Berrow, 2013), 3.4\% in 2014 (McKeogh and Berrow, 2014), 1.4\% in 2015 in the main fishery and $1.5 \%$ in the $7 . a . S$ small boat fishery (Pinfield and Berrow, 2015,), 1.13\% in 2016 (O'Dwyer et al., 2016) and $1.19 \%$ in 2017 (O'Dwyer and Berrow, 2017). This observer programme was discontinued in 2018; no discard estimates are available for subsequent years.

Since 2015, this stock is covered by the landings obligation.

### 6.2 Biological composition of the catch

### 6.2.1 Catches in numbers-at-age

Catch numbers-at-age are available for the period 1958-2021. Two winter ringers were the dominant age class in 2020 ( $61 \%$ ), and this year class is again dominant in 2021 at 3 ring ( $61 \%$ ) (Table 6.2.1.1.). In 2021 the proportion of 2 ringers is $25 \%$ followed by 4 ringers at $9 \%$. The yearly
mean standardized catch numbers-at-age are shown in Figure 6.2.1.1. Older ages 6, 7, 8 and 9 wr were barely observed in the catch. Truncation of ages is again evident in this stock.

The overall proportions-at-age in the catch and the survey are presented in Figure 6.2.1.2. There is generally good agreement between the data sources. The Q4 acoustic survey picks up 1-wr fish in larger proportions than the catch data in some years. The 2018-year class is being tracked by the catch and the survey. A high proportion of 1 ringers were found in the catch and the survey in 2019 and these have been caught as 2 ringers in 2020 and 3 ringers in 2021.

Length-frequency data by division and quarter are presented in Table 6.2.1.2. In 2019 a significant amount of fish less than the MCRS $(<20 \mathrm{~cm})$ in the Q3 catches of 7.g led to the early closure of this fishery. Catches in 7.aS Q4 in 2021 did not exhibit a high proportion of below MCRS herring. The length frequencies sampled is very similar between all ICES divisions and quarters.

### 6.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

Biological sampling of the catches was carried out in the area exploited by the Irish fishery (Table 6.2.2.1) in 2021. The 23 samples obtained from the monitoring TAC for the main and sentinel fleets in 2021 exceeded the 17 sample minimum advised by ICES in order to provide advice on a similar basis to a commercial fishery.

### 6.3 Fishery-Independent Information

### 6.3.1 Acoustic Surveys

The Celtic Sea herring acoustic survey (CSHAS) time-series currently used in the assessment runs from 2002 to 2021, excluding 2004 (no survey) and 2017 (insufficient biological data). The full survey time-series is presented in Table 6.3.1.1. The internal consistency between ages 1-9 from the acoustic survey is good and presented in Figure 6.3.1.4.

The acoustic survey of the 2021-2022 season was carried out from 8 to 28 October 2021, on the Celtic Explorer (O'Donnell et al., 2021, https://oar.marine.ie/handle/10793/1732). Geographical coverage was comparable to $2020(+2 \%)$. The herring stock was considered contained within the Celtic Sea survey area with no aggregations observed along the survey periphery, inshore or offshore. The acoustic survey track is shown in Figure 6.3.1.1.

The 2021 survey again consisted of laddered replicate surveys (two broad-scale passes and adaptive mini-surveys) covering the same area. Pass 1, the pass with the largest geographical coverage, provided the biomass and numbers-at-age that were used as input data to tune the assessment model. NASC distribution plots from the broad-scale survey are presented in Figure 6.3.1.2. The herring stock was considered contained within the Celtic Sea survey area with no aggregations observed along the survey periphery, inshore or offshore. Immature herring were observed primarily in coastal waters and were well represented in the survey estimate. Mature herring were observed in two main areas; offshore in a discreet patch and inshore within the confines of Waterford Harbour. In previous years herring were only found inshore.
Herring TSB (total-stock biomass) and abundance (TSN) estimates from the 2021 survey were $9,877 \mathrm{t}$ and 310 million individuals respectively. This is an increase on the low 2020 values of $4,717 \mathrm{t}$ and a total abundance of 67.3 million individuals.

A total of 27 trawl hauls were carried out during the survey in 2021, with twelve containing herring. Herring were observed either within 10 nmi of the coast and made up of immature
individuals or as offshore aggregations clustered around one particular area and composed of mature fish. Ten hauls contained immature herring from 1-14\% of the catch by weight.

The survey estimate is dominated by 3-wr fish representing over $43 \%$ of the total biomass. This 2018 year-class is now considered recruited to the spawning stock and has been successfully tracked across surveys. A significant proportion of 0 wr fish were found in the 2021 survey representing $33 \%$ of the total stock biomass and $82 \%$ of total stock numbers. The potential of this year class will be monitored through successive summer and autumn surveys.

### 6.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age and Natural Mortality

The mean weights in the catch and mean weights in the stock at spawning time are presented in Figure 6.4.1.1 and Figure 6.4.1.2 respectively. There has been an overall downward trend in mean weights-at-age in the catch since the early 1980s. After a slight increase around 2008, they have declined again. In 2018 slight increases in mean weights at some ages were observed but subsequent years exhibited further decreases for almost all year classes. In 2021 increases in mean weight can be seen for all age classes. Mean weights in the stock at spawning time were calculated from biological samples from Q4 (Figure 6.4.1.2). The overall trends in stock weights are the same as the catch weights with increases also seen across all ages in 2021.

In the assessment, $50 \%$ of 1 -wr fish are considered mature. Sampling data from the Celtic Sea catches suggest that greater than $50 \%$ of 1-wr fish are mature (Lynch, 2011). However, the 2014 benchmark (ICES, 2014) concluded that there was insufficient information to change the maturity ogive.

Following the final procedure of HAWG 2015, natural mortality values used in the final assessment incorporated the SMS run as obtained in 2011.

The time-invariant natural mortalities and maturities-at-age are presented in the text table below.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maturity | 0.5 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Natural mortality | 0.767 | 0.385 | 0.356 | 0.339 | 0.319 | 0.314 | 0.307 | 0.307 | 0.307 |

### 6.5 Recruitment

At present there are no independent recruitment estimates for this stock.

### 6.6 Assessment

This stock was benchmarked in 2015 by WKWEST (ICES, 2015) and inter-benchmarked by WKPELA 2018.

### 6.6.1 Stock Assessment

This update assessment was carried out using ASAP. The assessment was tuned using the Celtic Sea herring acoustic survey (CSHAS) ages 2-7 winter rings and excluding the 2004 and 2017
surveys. The input data are presented in tables 6.6.1.1 and 6.6.1.2. The ASAP settings are as per the 2018 inter-benchmark (Table 6.6.1.3). The stock summary is presented in Table 6.6.1.4.

Figure 6.6.1.1 shows the catch proportions-at-age residuals. The residuals are large for the young ages, which is to be expected because these are estimated with low precision. Larger residuals can be seen in recent years. Overall there is no consistent pattern in the residuals. Figure 6.6.1.2 shows the observed and predicted catches. The model closely followed the observed catches. The observed and predicted catch proportions-at-age are shown in Figure 6.6.1.3. There is some divergence in the most recent years, most notable at 9-wr, with a larger proportion predicted than observed catches. Overall the fits are good throughout the full time-series.

The selection pattern in the fishery for the final assessment run is shown in Figure 6.6.1.4. Selection is fixed at 1 for $3-w r$ which is the age that Celtic Sea herring are considered to be fully selected. Selection at all other ages is estimated by the model. This gives a dome-shaped selection pattern which is considered appropriate to this fishery. The model predicts a drop in selection at-age 9 -wr. This may be the case given the low abundance of 9 -wr in the catch data.

Figure 6.6.1.5 shows the residuals of the index proportions-at-age. In previous years the largest residuals can be seen at the younger ages. The index fit shows generally good agreement with the exception of the very large survey index in 2012 (Figure 6.6.1.6). The selectivity parameters were adjusted at the inter-benchmark. Selection is now fixed for ages 3-5. This gives a more dome-shaped selection pattern with selection declining at older ages ( 6 and 7 wr ) (Figure 6.6.1.7).

The analytical retrospective for SSB, fishing pressure and recruitment is shown in Figure 6.6.1.8. The Mohn's Rho on SSB calculated by ASAP is 1.34 over a five-year peel. This is another significant increase compared to the previous update assessments (1.39 in 2021) and it is significantly higher than the 0.2 threshold. Regarding SSB (top panel of Figure 6.6.1.8), 2 of the last 5 peels were out of the $95 \%$ CI bounds. This is most likely due to the current low level of the stock, the low level of the survey index (associated with high CV) and the absence of index for the year 2017. Following the decision tree provided by WKFORBIAS, advice was given for this stock because SSB is less than Blim.

Figure 6.6.1.9 shows uncertainties over time in the assessment estimates. Overall, the uncertainty is higher at the start and at the end of the time-series. Recruitment exhibits the highest uncertainty from 2013 to 2021. This may be related to the lack of a fisheries-independent estimate of recruitment.

## State of the stock

The stock summary plots from the final assessment in 2021 and the update assessment in 2022 are presented in Figure 6.6.1.10 and the stock summary in Table 6.6.1.4. The assessment shows SSB is very low and is estimated to be 15084 t in 2021, still well below $\operatorname{Blim}(34000 \mathrm{t}$ ). The 2022 assessment shows a similar SSB trajectory to the 2021 assessment but with SSB in 2020 revised downwards. An increase can be seen in 2021. The assessment indicates that the stock has been below Blim since 2016.

The update assessment estimated mean F ( $2-5$ ring) in 2021 to be 0.069 , decreasing from the high of 1.2 for 2018 and increasing in from 0.02 in 2020. F was estimated to be above $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}(0.27)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{mSy}}$ (0.26) from 2014 until 2019 and above Flim (0.45) from 2015 until 2019. The sharp increase in $F$ in 2016 and 2017 that was seen in the 2021 assessment is again evident in the 2022 assessment.

Recruitment was good for several years with strong cohorts in 2005, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 having entered the stock. However, since 2013, recruitment has been below average and no strong cohort has entered the fishery. The uptick in recruitment for 2020 predicted by the model in the 2021 assessment was revised downwards in 2022. An increase in recruitment can be seen in 2021.

### 6.7 Short-term projections

### 6.7.1 Deterministic Short-Term Projections

The short-term forecast followed the procedure agreed at the 2014 benchmark (ICES 2014/ACOM 43).

Recruitment (final year, interim year and advice year) in the short-term forecast is to be set to the same value based on the segmented stock-recruit relationship, based on the SSB in the forecast year-2 (2020). As this SSB value (8741t) is below the change-point (16 887 t ), the following adjustment is applied.
Recruitment $_{\text {forecast year }}=$ plateau recruitment $\times \frac{S S B_{\text {forecast year }-2}}{S S B_{\text {changepoint }}}$
Recruitment $_{2022}=380686.6 \times \frac{8740.64}{16886.81}=197044$
Interim year catch was taken to be the monitoring TAC ( 869 t ), which has been agreed for 2022. No carryover on the national quotas was used as it is a monitoring TAC. Non-Irish intermediate year catches were not adjusted based on recent quota uptake as done in recent years.

The deterministic short-term forecast was performed in FLR. The input data are presented in Table 6.7.1.1.

The results of the short-term projection are presented in Table 6.7.1.2. Fishing in accordance with the MSY approach implies a zero catch in 2023.

### 6.7.2 Multiannual short-term forecasts

No multiannual simulations were conducted in 2021.

### 6.7.3 Yield-per-recruit

No yield-per-recruit analyses were conducted in 2021.

### 6.8 Long-term simulations

Long-term simulations were carried out as part of the ICES evaluation of the long-term management plan for Celtic Sea herring. ICES advised that the harvest control rule was no longer consistent with the precautionary approach. The management plan resulted in $>5 \%$ probability of the stock falling below Blim in several years throughout the 20 year simulated period. The simulations indicated the management plan could not ensure that the stock is fished and maintained at levels that can produce maximum sustainable yield as soon as or by 2020. The long-term management plan is no longer used to give advice for this stock.

In the framework of the development of a monitoring TAC for the CSH, long-term simulations were carried out to study the recovery of the stock under 2 scenarios, no catch and monitoring TAC (869 t). A shortcut approach implemented in SimpSim was used (ICES, 2016). The operating model was the update assessment agreed by the HAWG in 2019 (ICES, 2019). The simulations showed that in the no catch scenario, the stock would recover in 2023 (risk to Blim < 5\%). The recovery would be delayed by one year if the monitoring TAC would be taken. (ICES, 2019, special request monitoring TAC).

### 6.9 Precautionary and yield-based reference points

Reference points were re-estimated by WKPELA 2018.

| Framework | Reference point | Value | Technical basis | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSY approach | MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ | er 54000 t | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ | ICES (2018a) |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.26 | Stochastic simulations using segmented regression stock-recruitment relationship from 1970-2014 | ICES (2018a) |
| Precautionary approach | $\mathrm{Bl}_{\text {lim }} \quad 3$ | 34000 t | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {loss }}=$ the lowest observed SSB (1980) | ICES (2018a) |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}} \quad 5$ | 54000 t | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }} \times \exp (1.645 \times \sigma \mathrm{B})$, with $\sigma \mathrm{B}=0.29$. | ICES (2018a) |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }} \quad 0$ | 0.45 | Equilibrium F maintaining SSB $>\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ with $50 \%$ probability | ICES (2018a) |
|  | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}} \quad 0$ | 0.26* | The F that provides a 95\% probability for SSB to be above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P} .05}$ with advice rule) | - ICES (2018a) |

*Fpa changed in 2021; Fpa now equal to Fp0.5 (ICES 2021)

### 6.10 Quality of the Assessment

Figure 6.6.1.9 shows uncertainties over time in the assessment estimates for the three key parameters (SSB, recruitment and F). The CVs for each of the parameters are between 0.1 and 0.3 for the majority of the time-series; uncertainties have increased in the final years. Recruitment estimates in the final year show the highest uncertainty.

The SSB and F values based on the assessment and forecast in 2021 are compared with the assessment outputs in 2022 and are shown in the table below. The assessment in 2022 shows SSB revised upward in 2019 but downwards in 2020 and 2021. F is revised down in 2019 and upwards in 2020 and 2021. This can also be seen in the historical retrospective plot in Figure 6.10.1.

|  | 2021 Assessment |  |  |  | 2022 Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% change in the estimates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | SSB | Catch | F 2-5 | Year | SSB | Catch | F 2-5 | SSB | F 2-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 5790 | 1841 | 0.77 | 2019 | 6168 | 1841 | 0.73 | $7 \%$ | $-5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 11680 | 132 | 0.02 | 2020 | 8741 | 132 | 0.02 | $-25 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 | $19278^{*}$ | $869^{*}$ | $0.06^{*}$ | 2021 | 15084 | 745 | 0.07 | $-22 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* from intermediate year in STF.

The 2021 acoustic survey estimate is an increase on the 2020 estimate but is still at a very low level with an SSB estimate of $6,634 \mathrm{t}$. The survey time-series used in the assessment includes data from 2002 to 2021 (no survey in 2004 and the 2017 survey excluded). The 2018 year class was the strongest encountered in the survey and the catch in 2021. Beginning in 2014 herring had been observed close to the bottom in the acoustic dead-zone of the echosounder meaning the survey estimate was less reliably. This issue was not as pronounced in 2020 and 2021 although the number of herring marks seen was again very low.
Estimates of recruitment are uncertain and this may be related to the lack of a fisheries-independent recruitment estimator. In the Irish Sea, mixing occurs between juvenile winter spawned Celtic Sea fish and autumn spawned Irish Sea fish but the level of mixing is unquantified.

### 6.11 Management Considerations

The stock has declined substantially from a high in 2012, as older cohorts have moved through the fishery. Recruitment has been below average since 2013. The stock is again forecast to be below $B_{\lim }$ in 2023. F is now below $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}(0.26)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {lim }}(0.45)$. The advice provided for this stock for 2023 is based on the ICES MSY approach, as in recent years. The Council of the European Union set the 2020-2022 TACs based on the response to a special request where ICES advised that monitoring catches of 869 t would be required to collect sufficient information to provide advice on similar bases as with a commercial fishery.
The change in fish behaviour that was observed by the acoustic survey since 2014, whereby fish were located close to the bottom and therefore difficult to detect acoustically, seems to have dissipated.
The closure of the Subdivision 7.aS as a measure to protect first-time spawners has been in place since 2007-2008, with limited fishing allowed. Currently only vessels of no more than 50 feet in registered length are permitted to fish in this area. A maximum catch limitation of $11 \%$ of the Irish quota is allocated to this fishery.

### 6.12 Ecosystem considerations

Herring are an important prey species in the ecosystem and also one of the dominant planktivorous fish.
The spawning grounds for herring in the Celtic Sea are well known and are located close to the coast (O'Sullivan et al., 2013). These spawning grounds may contain one or more spawning beds on which herring deposit their eggs. Individual spawning beds within the spawning grounds have been mapped and consist of either gravel or flat stone (Breslin, 1998). Spawning grounds tend to be vulnerable to anthropogenic influences such as dredging, sand and gravel extraction, dumping of dredge spoil, waste from fish cages, and the erection of structures such as wind turbines. There has been an increase in marine anthropogenic activity. Activities that have a negative impact on the spawning habitat of herring are a cause for concern (see for example Groot, 1979, 1996; ICES, 2003, 2015a).

Herring fisheries are considered to be clean with little bycatch of other fish. Mega-fauna bycatch is unquantified, though anecdotal reports suggest that seals, blue sharks, tunas, and whitefish are caught from time to time. In the 2017 observer study of the Celtic Sea herring fishery, whiting was the most frequently recorded bycatch species followed by haddock and mackerel. No marine mammals or seabirds were recorded as bycatch in the fishery, with only one elasmobranch (an unidentified dogfish species) recorded. A total of 26 marine mammal sightings were recorded during observer trips (O'Dwyer and Berrow, 2017).

### 6.13 Changes in the environment

Weights in the catch and in the stock at spawning time have shown fluctuations over time (figures 6.4.4.1 and 6.4.1.2), but with a decline to lowest observations in the series at the end. The declines in mean weights are a cause for concern, because of their impact on yield and yield-perrecruit. Harma (unpublished) and Lyashevska et al. (2020) found that global environmental factors, reflecting recent temperature increases (AMO and ice extent) were linked to changes in the size characteristics during the 1970s-1980s. Outside this period, size-at-age patterns were correlated with more local factors (SST, salinity, trophic and fishery-related indicators). Generally, length-at-age was mostly correlated with global temperature-related indices (AMO and Ice),
and weight was linked to local temperature variables (SST). There was no evidence of densitydependent growth in the Celtic Sea herring population, which is in accordance with previous studies (Molloy, 1984; Brunel and Dickey-Collas, 2010; Lynch, 2011). Rather, stock size exhibited a positive relationship with long-term size-at-age of Celtic Sea herring (Harma, unpublished).
In the Celtic Sea, a change towards spawning taking place later in the season has been documented by Harma et al. (2013). The causes of this are likely to be environmental, though to date they have not been elucidated (Harma et al., 2013). The study noted that declines in mean weights are not explained by the relative contribution of heavier-at-age autumn spawners. Rather, both autumn and winter spawners experienced concurrent declines in mean weights in recent years.
A shift towards later spawning has also been reported by local fishers in this area. WKWEST received a submission from the Celtic Sea Herring Management Advisory Committee of substantial spawning aggregations in Division 7.j in January 2015. This area is mainly an autumn spawning area (O'Sullivan et al., 2012).
Analyses of productivity changes over time in European herring stocks was examined by ICES (HAWG, 2006). It was found that this stock was the only one not to experience a change in productivity or so-called regime shift. This is also seen in the surplus production per unit stock biomass using information from the 2013 assessment. Evidence from the new ASAP assessment, in terms of recruits per spawner, does not alter this perception (ICES, WKWEST 2015).

Table 6.1.2.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Landings by quota year ( t ), 1988-2021. (Data provided by Working Group members). These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | Denmark | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | UK | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | - | - | - | 16800 | - | - | - | 2400 | 19200 |
| 1989 | - | + | - | 16000 | 1900 | - | 1300 | 3500 | 22700 |
| 1990 | - | + | - | 15800 | 1000 | 200 | 700 | 2500 | 20200 |
| 1991 | - | + | 100 | 19400 | 1600 | - | 600 | 1900 | 23600 |
| 1992 | - | 500 | - | 18000 | 100 | + | 2300 | 2100 | 23000 |
| 1993 | - | - | - | 19000 | 1300 | + | -1100 | 1900 | 21100 |
| 1994 | - | + | 200 | 17400 | 1300 | + | -1500 | 1700 | 19100 |
| 1995 | - | 200 | 200 | 18000 | 100 | + | -200 | 700 | 19000 |
| 1996 | - | 1000 | 0 | 18600 | 1000 | - | -1800 | 3000 | 21800 |
| 1997 | - | 1300 | 0 | 18000 | 1400 | - | -2600 | 700 | 18800 |
| 1998 | - | + | - | 19300 | 1200 | - | -200 | - | 20300 |
| 1999 | - |  | 200 | 17900 | 1300 | + | -1300 | - | 18100 |
| 2000 | - | 573 | 228 | 18038 | 44 | 1 | -617 | - | 18267 |
| 2001 | - | 1359 | 219 | 17729 | - | - | -1578 | - | 17729 |
| 2002 | - | 734 | - | 10550 | 257 | - | -991 | - | 10550 |
| 2003 | - | 800 | - | 10875 | 692 | 14 | -1506 | - | 10875 |
| 2004 | - | 801 | 41 | 11024 | - | - | -801 | - | 11065 |
| 2005 | - | 821 | 150 | 8452 | 799 | - | -1770 | - | 8452 |
| 2006 | - | - | - | 8530 | 518 | 5 | -523 | - | 8530 |
| 2007 | - | 581 | 248 | 8268 | 463 | 63 | -1355 | - | 8268 |
| 2008 | - | 503 | 191 | 6853 | 291 | - | -985 | - | 6853 |
| 2009 | - | 364 | 135 | 5760 | - | - | -499 | - | 5760 |
| 2010 | - | 636 | 278 | 8406 | 325 | - | -1239 | na | 8406 |
| 2011 | - | 241 | - | 11503 | 7 | - | -248 | na | 11503 |
| 2012 | - | 3 | 230 | 16132 | 3135 | - | 2104 | 161* | 21765 |
| 2013 | - | - | 450 | 14785 | 832 | - | - | 118 | 16185 |
| 2014 | - | 244 | 578 | 17287 | 821 | - |  | 644 | 19574 |
| 2015 | - | - | 477 | 15798 | 1304 | + | - | 247 | 17826 |
| 2016 | - | - | 419 | 15107 | 1025 | 559 | -451 | 182 | 16841 |
| 2017 | - | - | 298 | 10184 | 648 | 64 |  | 130 | 11324 |
| 2018 | - |  |  | 4398 | 436 |  | -245 |  | 4589 |


| Year | Denmark | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | UK | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | - | - | - | 1803 | 38 | - | - | - | 1841 |
| 2020 | - | - | - | 132 | + | - | - | - | 132 |
| 2021 | 1 | - | - | 608 | - | - | - | - | 609 |

* Added in 2014 after report of 1\% discarding.

Table 6.1.2.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Landings ( $t$ ) by assessment year (1 April-31 March) 1988/1989-2021/2022. (Data provided by Working Group members). These figures may not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Year | Denmark | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | UK | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988/1989 | - | - | - | 17000 | - | - | - | 3400 | 20400 |
| 1989/1990 | - | + | - | 15000 | 1900 | - | 2600 | 3600 | 23100 |
| 1990/1991 | - | + | - | 15000 | 1000 | 200 | 700 | 1700 | 18600 |
| 1991/1992 | - | 500 | 100 | 21400 | 1600 | - | -100 | 2100 | 25600 |
| 1992/1993 | - | - | - | 18000 | 1300 | - | -100 | 2000 | 21200 |
| 1993/1994 | - | - | - | 16600 | 1300 | + | -1100 | 1800 | 18600 |
| 1994/1995 | - | + | 200 | 17400 | 1300 | + | -1500 | 1900 | 19300 |
| 1995/1996 | - | 200 | 200 | 20000 | 100 | + | -200 | 3000 | 23300 |
| 1996/1997 | - | 1000 | - | 17900 | 1000 | - | -1800 | 750 | 18850 |
| 1997/1998 | - | 1300 | - | 19900 | 1400 | - | -2100 | - | 20500 |
| 1998/1999 | - | + | - | 17700 | 1200 | - | -700 | - | 18200 |
| 1999/2000 | - |  | 200 | 18300 | 1300 | + | -1300 | - | 18500 |
| 2000/2001 | - | 573 | 228 | 16962 | 44 | 1 | -617 | - | 17191 |
| 2001/2002 | - | - | - | 15236 | - | - | - | - | 15236 |
| 2002/2003 | - | 734 | - | 7465 | 257 | - | -991 | - | 7465 |
| 2003/2004 | - | 800 | - | 11536 | 610 | 14 | -1424 | - | 11536 |
| 2004/2005 | - | 801 | 41 | 12702 | - | - | -801 | - | 12743 |
| 2005/2006 | - | 821 | 150 | 9494 | 799 | - | -1770 | - | 9494 |
| 2006/2007 | - | - | - | 6944 | 518 | 5 | -523 | - | 6944 |
| 2007/2008 | - | 379 | 248 | 7636 | 327 | - | -954 | - | 7636 |
| 2008/2009 | - | 503 | 191 | 5872 | 150 | - | -844 | - | 5872 |
| 2009/2010 | - | 364 | 135 | 5745 | - | - | -499 | - | 5745 |
| 2010/2011 | - | 636 | 278 | 8370 | 325 | - | -1239 | na | 8370 |
| 2011/2012 | - | 241 | - | 11470 | 7 | - | -248 | na | 11470 |
| 2012/2013 | - | 3 | 230 | 16132 | 3135 | - | 2104 | 161* | 21765 |
| 2013/2014 | - | - | 450 | 14785 | 832 | - | - | 118 | 16185 |
| 2014/2015 | - | 244 | 578 | 17287 | 821 | - | - | 644 | 19574 |
| 2015/2016 | - | - | 477 | 16320 | 1304 | + | - | 254 | 18355 |


| Year | Denmark | France | Germany | Ireland | Netherlands | UK | Unallocated | Discards | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016 / 2017$ | - | - | 419 | 14585 | 1025 | 559 | -451 | 182 | 16319 |
| $2017 / 2018$ | - | - | 298 | 9627 | 648 | 64 | - | 130 | 10767 |
| $2018 / 2019$ | - | - | - | 4227 | 436 | - | -245 | - | 4418 |
| $2019 / 2020$ | - | - | - | 1803 | 38 | - | - | - | 1841 |
| $2020 / 2021$ | 1 | - | - | 132 | + | - | - | - | 133 |
| $2021 / 2022$ | - | - | - | 745 | - | - | - | - | 745 |

* Added in 2014 after report of 1\% discarding.

Table 6.2.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Comparison of age distributions (percentages) in the catches of Celtic Sea and 7.j herring from 1970-2021/2022. Age is in winter rings.

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 1\% | 24\% | 33\% | 17\% | 12\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 1971 | 8\% | 15\% | 24\% | 27\% | 12\% | 7\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| 1972 | 4\% | 67\% | 9\% | 8\% | 7\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1973 | 16\% | 26\% | 38\% | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 1974 | 5\% | 43\% | 17\% | 22\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1975 | 18\% | 22\% | 25\% | 11\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| 1976 | 26\% | 22\% | 14\% | 14\% | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| 1977 | 20\% | 31\% | 22\% | 13\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1978 | 7\% | 35\% | 31\% | 14\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 1979 | 21\% | 26\% | 23\% | 16\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1980 | 11\% | 47\% | 18\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 1981 | 40\% | 22\% | 22\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 1982 | 20\% | 55\% | 11\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 1983 | 9\% | 68\% | 18\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1984 | 11\% | 53\% | 24\% | 9\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1985 | 14\% | 44\% | 28\% | 12\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1986 | 3\% | 39\% | 29\% | 22\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1987 | 4\% | 42\% | 27\% | 15\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1988 | 2\% | 61\% | 23\% | 7\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1989 | 5\% | 27\% | 44\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |


| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2\% | 35\% | 21\% | 30\% | 7\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1991 | 1\% | 40\% | 24\% | 11\% | 18\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1992 | 8\% | 19\% | 25\% | 20\% | 7\% | 13\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% |
| 1993 | 1\% | 72\% | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1994 | 10\% | 29\% | 50\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1995 | 6\% | 49\% | 14\% | 23\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1996 | 3\% | 46\% | 29\% | 6\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 1997 | 3\% | 26\% | 37\% | 22\% | 6\% | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 1998 | 5\% | 34\% | 22\% | 23\% | 11\% | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 1999 | 11\% | 27\% | 28\% | 11\% | 12\% | 7\% | 1\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| 2000 | 7\% | 58\% | 14\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2001 | 12\% | 49\% | 28\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2002 | 6\% | 46\% | 32\% | 9\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2003 | 3\% | 41\% | 27\% | 16\% | 6\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 2004 | 5\% | 10\% | 50\% | 24\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2005 | 12\% | 38\% | 30\% | 10\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2006 | 3\% | 58\% | 19\% | 4\% | 11\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2007 | 12\% | 17\% | 56\% | 9\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2008 | 3\% | 31\% | 20\% | 38\% | 6\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| 2009 | 24\% | 11\% | 30\% | 12\% | 20\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2010 | 4\% | 33\% | 13\% | 25\% | 8\% | 16\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| 2011 | 7\% | 19\% | 38\% | 8\% | 15\% | 6\% | 6\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2012 | 6\% | 34\% | 24\% | 20\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| 2013 | 5\% | 24\% | 33\% | 18\% | 13\% | 3\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| 2014 | 11\% | 16\% | 25\% | 22\% | 15\% | 7\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| 2015 | 0\% | 9\% | 18\% | 24\% | 21\% | 15\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| 2016 | 2\% | 8\% | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 18\% | 8\% | 4\% | 1\% |
| 2017 | 1\% | 15\% | 34\% | 17\% | 12\% | 10\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| 2018 | 4\% | 19\% | 51\% | 15\% | 6\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% |


| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | $60 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 2020 | $13 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 2021 | $0 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Table 6.2.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Length frequency distributions of the Irish catches (raised numbers in '000s) in the 2021/2022 season.

|  | 7gQ3 | 7gQ4 | 7aSQ4 | 7jQ1 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.5 |  |  | 0.31 |  |
| 19 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 19.5 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 20 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| 20.5 |  |  | 0.63 |  |
| 21 |  | 9.98 | 10.37 |  |
| 21.5 | 11.35 | 19.96 | 19.49 |  |
| 22 | 79.46 | 72.36 | 42.44 | 54.53 |
| 22.5 | 79.46 | 152.21 | 77.02 | 13.63 |
| 23 | 283.79 | 227.06 | 100.91 | 149.95 |
| 23.5 | 289.47 | 361.80 | 105.63 | 149.95 |
| 24 | 368.93 | 494.04 | 126.06 | 218.10 |
| 24.5 | 306.50 | 441.64 | 129.83 | 211.29 |
| 25 | 266.76 | 281.95 | 88.65 | 204.47 |
| 25.5 | 147.57 | 122.26 | 46.84 | 61.34 |
| 26 | 45.41 | 77.35 | 17.61 | 47.71 |
| 26.5 | 34.06 | 29.94 | 11.95 | 34.08 |
| 27 | 5.68 | 9.98 | 3.46 | 20.45 |
| 27.5 | 5.68 | 7.49 | 0.95 | 6.82 |
| 28 | 5.68 |  | 0.63 |  |
| 28.5 |  |  | 0.31 |  |

Table 6.2.2.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Sampling intensity of commercial catches (2021-2022). Only Ireland provides samples of this stock.

| Division | Year | Quarter | Catch <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ | No. Sam- <br> ples | No. aged | No. Meas- <br> ured | Aged/1000 t |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7 . \mathrm{g}$ | 2021 | 3 | 245 | 4 | 194 | 340 | 791 |
| $7 . \mathrm{g}$ | 2021 | 4 | 273 | 4 | 200 | 925 | 733 |
| $7 . \mathrm{aS}$ | 2021 | 4 | 90 | 14 | 600 | 2494 | 6654 |
| $7 . \mathrm{j}$ | 2022 | 1 | 135 | 1 | 100 | 174 | 739 |
| Total |  |  | 744 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 2}$ |

Table 6.3.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Revised acoustic index of abundance used in the assessment. Total stock num-bers-at-age ( $10^{6}$ ) estimated using combined acoustic surveys (age refers in winter rings, biomass and SSB in 000's tonnes). 2-7 ring abundances are used in tuning. There was no survey in 2004. The survey in 2017 (shaded) was excluded as it was not recommended for tuning by HAWG in 2018.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 24 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 99 | 239 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 4 |
| 1 | 42 | 13 | - | 65 | 21 | 106 | 64 | 381 | 346 | 342 | 270 | 698 |
| 2 | 185 | 62 | - | 137 | 211 | 70 | 295 | 112 | 549 | 479 | 856 | 291 |
| 3 | 151 | 60 | - | 28 | 48 | 220 | 111 | 210 | 156 | 299 | 615 | 197 |
| 4 | 30 | 17 | - | 54 | 14 | 31 | 162 | 57 | 193 | 47 | 330 | 43 |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | - | 22 | 11 | 9 | 27 | 125 | 65 | 71 | 49 | 38 |
| 6 | 7 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 91 | 24 | 121 | 10 |
| 7 | 3 | 0 | - | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 33 | 25 | 5 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 |  | 6 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| SSB | 41 | 20 | - | 33 | 36 | 46 | 90 | 91 | 122 | 122 | 246 | 71 |
| CV | .49 | .34 | - | .48 | .35 | .25 | .20 | .24 | .20 | .28 | .25 | .28 |


|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 98 | 1 | 252.6 |
| 1 | 41 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 55 | 22 | 27.2 |  |
| 2 | 117 | 40 | 21 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 32.2 | 17.2 |
| 3 | 112 | 48 | 43 | 3 | 27 | 0.5 | 5 | 35.3 |
| 4 | 69 | 41 | 40 | 7 | 6 | 0.3 | 1 | 3.3 |
| 5 | 20 | 38 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.2 |
| 6 | 24 | 7 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0.6 |
| 8 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nos. | 408 | 184 | 301 | 27 | 213 | 129 | 67 | 310 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| SSB | 48 | 25 | 30 | 4 | 8 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 6.6 |
| CV | 0.59 | 0.18 | 0.33 | - | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.44 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Natural mortality inputs to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

| Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.767 | 0.385 | 0.356 | 0.339 | 0.319 | 0.314 | 0.307 | 0.307 | 0.307 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Continued. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Maturity inputs to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

| Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Age 5 | Age 6 | Age 7 | Age 8 | Age 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Continued. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Weight-at-age in the catch inputs to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 0.096 | 0.115 | 0.162 | 0.185 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.227 | 0.232 | 0.23 |
| 1959 | 0.087 | 0.119 | 0.166 | 0.185 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.217 | 0.23 | 0.231 |
| 1960 | 0.093 | 0.122 | 0.156 | 0.191 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.22 | 0.225 | 0.239 |
| 1961 | 0.098 | 0.127 | 0.156 | 0.185 | 0.207 | 0.212 | 0.22 | 0.235 | 0.235 |
| 1962 | 0.109 | 0.146 | 0.17 | 0.187 | 0.21 | 0.227 | 0.232 | 0.237 | 0.24 |
| 1963 | 0.103 | 0.139 | 0.194 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.23 | 0.237 | 0.245 | 0.251 |
| 1964 | 0.105 | 0.139 | 0.182 | 0.215 | 0.225 | 0.23 | 0.237 | 0.245 | 0.253 |
| 1965 | 0.103 | 0.143 | 0.18 | 0.212 | 0.232 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.256 | 0.26 |
| 1966 | 0.122 | 0.154 | 0.191 | 0.212 | 0.237 | 0.248 | 0.24 | 0.253 | 0.257 |
| 1967 | 0.119 | 0.158 | 0.185 | 0.217 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.256 | 0.259 | 0.264 |
| 1968 | 0.119 | 0.166 | 0.196 | 0.215 | 0.235 | 0.248 | 0.256 | 0.262 | 0.266 |
| 1969 | 0.122 | 0.164 | 0.2 | 0.217 | 0.237 | 0.245 | 0.264 | 0.264 | 0.262 |
| 1970 | 0.128 | 0.162 | 0.2 | 0.225 | 0.24 | 0.253 | 0.264 | 0.276 | 0.272 |
| 1971 | 0.117 | 0.166 | 0.2 | 0.225 | 0.245 | 0.253 | 0.262 | 0.267 | 0.283 |
| 1972 | 0.132 | 0.17 | 0.194 | 0.22 | 0.245 | 0.259 | 0.264 | 0.27 | 0.285 |
| 1973 | 0.125 | 0.174 | 0.205 | 0.215 | 0.245 | 0.262 | 0.262 | 0.285 | 0.285 |
| 1974 | 0.141 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.225 | 0.237 | 0.259 | 0.262 | 0.288 | 0.27 |
| 1975 | 0.137 | 0.187 | 0.215 | 0.24 | 0.251 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.279 | 0.284 |
| 1976 | 0.137 | 0.174 | 0.205 | 0.235 | 0.259 | 0.27 | 0.279 | 0.288 | 0.293 |
| 1977 | 0.134 | 0.185 | 0.212 | 0.222 | 0.243 | 0.267 | 0.259 | 0.292 | 0.298 |
| 1978 | 0.127 | 0.189 | 0.217 | 0.24 | 0.279 | 0.276 | 0.291 | 0.297 | 0.302 |
| 1979 | 0.127 | 0.174 | 0.212 | 0.23 | 0.253 | 0.273 | 0.291 | 0.279 | 0.284 |
| 1980 | 0.117 | 0.174 | 0.207 | 0.237 | 0.259 | 0.276 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.275 |
| 1981 | 0.115 | 0.172 | 0.21 | 0.245 | 0.267 | 0.276 | 0.297 | 0.309 | 0.315 |
| 1982 | 0.115 | 0.154 | 0.194 | 0.237 | 0.262 | 0.273 | 0.279 | 0.288 | 0.293 |
| 1983 | 0.109 | 0.148 | 0.198 | 0.22 | 0.276 | 0.282 | 0.276 | 0.319 | 0.325 |
| 1984 | 0.093 | 0.142 | 0.185 | 0.213 | 0.213 | 0.245 | 0.246 | 0.263 | 0.262 |
| 1985 | 0.104 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.201 | 0.234 | 0.248 | 0.256 | 0.26 | 0.263 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 0.112 | 0.155 | 0.172 | 0.187 | 0.215 | 0.248 | 0.276 | 0.284 | 0.332 |
| 1987 | 0.096 | 0.138 | 0.186 | 0.192 | 0.204 | 0.231 | 0.255 | 0.267 | 0.284 |
| 1988 | 0.097 | 0.132 | 0.168 | 0.203 | 0.209 | 0.215 | 0.237 | 0.257 | 0.283 |
| 1989 | 0.106 | 0.129 | 0.151 | 0.169 | 0.194 | 0.199 | 0.21 | 0.221 | 0.24 |
| 1990 | 0.099 | 0.137 | 0.153 | 0.167 | 0.188 | 0.208 | 0.209 | 0.229 | 0.251 |
| 1991 | 0.092 | 0.128 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 0.19 | 0.206 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.251 |
| 1992 | 0.096 | 0.123 | 0.15 | 0.177 | 0.191 | 0.194 | 0.212 | 0.228 | 0.248 |
| 1993 | 0.092 | 0.129 | 0.155 | 0.18 | 0.201 | 0.204 | 0.21 | 0.225 | 0.24 |
| 1994 | 0.097 | 0.135 | 0.168 | 0.179 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.218 | 0.217 | 0.227 |
| 1995 | 0.088 | 0.126 | 0.151 | 0.178 | 0.188 | 0.198 | 0.207 | 0.227 | 0.227 |
| 1996 | 0.088 | 0.118 | 0.147 | 0.159 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.207 | 0.219 | 0.231 |
| 1997 | 0.093 | 0.124 | 0.141 | 0.157 | 0.172 | 0.192 | 0.206 | 0.216 | 0.22 |
| 1998 | 0.099 | 0.121 | 0.153 | 0.163 | 0.173 | 0.185 | 0.199 | 0.204 | 0.225 |
| 1999 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.149 | 0.167 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.202 | 0.209 | 0.208 |
| 2000 | 0.092 | 0.111 | 0.148 | 0.168 | 0.185 | 0.187 | 0.197 | 0.21 | 0.224 |
| 2001 | 0.082 | 0.107 | 0.139 | 0.162 | 0.177 | 0.19 | 0.185 | 0.204 | 0.229 |
| 2002 | 0.096 | 0.115 | 0.139 | 0.156 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.203 | 0.211 | 0.226 |
| 2003 | 0.089 | 0.102 | 0.128 | 0.146 | 0.165 | 0.184 | 0.195 | 0.202 | 0.214 |
| 2004 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.134 | 0.151 | 0.159 | 0.174 | 0.203 | 0.215 | 0.225 |
| 2005 | 0.077 | 0.102 | 0.142 | 0.147 | 0.158 | 0.168 | 0.181 | 0.208 | 0.252 |
| 2006 | 0.093 | 0.105 | 0.127 | 0.151 | 0.155 | 0.165 | 0.174 | 0.186 | 0.198 |
| 2007 | 0.074 | 0.106 | 0.123 | 0.141 | 0.166 | 0.162 | 0.17 | 0.171 | 0.229 |
| 2008 | 0.091 | 0.12 | 0.144 | 0.156 | 0.172 | 0.191 | 0.194 | 0.199 | 0.224 |
| 2009 | 0.078 | 0.122 | 0.146 | 0.16 | 0.169 | 0.185 | 0.187 | 0.197 | 0.211 |
| 2010 | 0.076 | 0.111 | 0.131 | 0.145 | 0.158 | 0.159 | 0.163 | 0.178 | 0.19 |
| 2011 | 0.07 | 0.104 | 0.127 | 0.141 | 0.154 | 0.161 | 0.167 | 0.18 | 0.179 |
| 2012 | 0.072 | 0.094 | 0.124 | 0.138 | 0.152 | 0.157 | 0.164 | 0.164 | 0.171 |
| 2013 | 0.062 | 0.101 | 0.122 | 0.142 | 0.153 | 0.164 | 0.17 | 0.166 | 0.18 |
| 2014 | 0.067 | 0.1 | 0.127 | 0.14 | 0.153 | 0.161 | 0.163 | 0.179 | 0.176 |


|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2015 | 0.071 | 0.102 | 0.122 | 0.137 | 0.143 | 0.151 | 0.158 | 0.167 | 0.182 |
| 2016 | 0.061 | 0.095 | 0.119 | 0.131 | 0.140 | 0.144 | 0.151 | 0.157 | 0.162 |
| 2017 | 0.06 | 0.080 | 0.090 | 0.123 | 0.143 | 0.160 | 0.163 | 0.171 | 0.178 |
| 2018 | 0.067 | 0.092 | 0.11 | 0.124 | 0.136 | 0.146 | 0.162 | 0.143 | 0.15 |
| 2019 | 0.06 | 0.085 | 0.109 | 0.123 | 0.131 | 0.155 | 0.153 | 0.156 | 0.163 |
| 2020 | 0.052 | 0.078 | 0.096 | 0.117 | 0.124 | 0.128 | 0.144 | 0.169 | 0.052 |
| 2021 | 0.066 | 0.103 | 0.12 | 0.131 | 0.145 | 0.158 | 0.18 | 0.164 | 0.177 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Continued. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Weight-at-age in the stock inputs to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 0.096 | 0.115 | 0.162 | 0.185 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.227 | 0.232 | 0.23 |
| 1959 | 0.087 | 0.119 | 0.166 | 0.185 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.217 | 0.23 | 0.231 |
| 1960 | 0.093 | 0.122 | 0.156 | 0.191 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.22 | 0.225 | 0.239 |
| 1961 | 0.098 | 0.127 | 0.156 | 0.185 | 0.207 | 0.212 | 0.22 | 0.235 | 0.235 |
| 1962 | 0.109 | 0.146 | 0.17 | 0.187 | 0.21 | 0.227 | 0.232 | 0.237 | 0.24 |
| 1963 | 0.103 | 0.139 | 0.194 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.23 | 0.237 | 0.245 | 0.251 |
| 1964 | 0.105 | 0.139 | 0.182 | 0.215 | 0.225 | 0.23 | 0.237 | 0.245 | 0.253 |
| 1965 | 0.103 | 0.143 | 0.18 | 0.212 | 0.232 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.256 | 0.26 |
| 1966 | 0.122 | 0.154 | 0.191 | 0.212 | 0.237 | 0.248 | 0.24 | 0.253 | 0.257 |
| 1967 | 0.119 | 0.158 | 0.185 | 0.217 | 0.243 | 0.251 | 0.256 | 0.259 | 0.264 |
| 1968 | 0.119 | 0.166 | 0.196 | 0.215 | 0.235 | 0.248 | 0.256 | 0.262 | 0.266 |
| 1969 | 0.122 | 0.164 | 0.2 | 0.217 | 0.237 | 0.245 | 0.264 | 0.264 | 0.262 |
| 1970 | 0.128 | 0.162 | 0.2 | 0.225 | 0.24 | 0.253 | 0.264 | 0.276 | 0.272 |
| 1971 | 0.117 | 0.166 | 0.2 | 0.225 | 0.245 | 0.253 | 0.262 | 0.267 | 0.283 |
| 1972 | 0.132 | 0.17 | 0.194 | 0.22 | 0.245 | 0.259 | 0.264 | 0.27 | 0.285 |
| 1973 | 0.125 | 0.174 | 0.205 | 0.215 | 0.245 | 0.262 | 0.262 | 0.285 | 0.285 |
| 1974 | 0.141 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.225 | 0.237 | 0.259 | 0.262 | 0.288 | 0.27 |
| 1975 | 0.137 | 0.187 | 0.215 | 0.24 | 0.251 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.279 | 0.284 |
| 1976 | 0.137 | 0.174 | 0.205 | 0.235 | 0.259 | 0.27 | 0.279 | 0.288 | 0.293 |
| 1977 | 0.134 | 0.185 | 0.212 | 0.222 | 0.243 | 0.267 | 0.259 | 0.292 | 0.298 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 0.127 | 0.189 | 0.217 | 0.24 | 0.279 | 0.276 | 0.291 | 0.297 | 0.302 |
| 1979 | 0.127 | 0.174 | 0.212 | 0.23 | 0.253 | 0.273 | 0.291 | 0.279 | 0.284 |
| 1980 | 0.117 | 0.174 | 0.207 | 0.237 | 0.259 | 0.276 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.275 |
| 1981 | 0.115 | 0.172 | 0.21 | 0.245 | 0.267 | 0.276 | 0.297 | 0.309 | 0.315 |
| 1982 | 0.115 | 0.154 | 0.194 | 0.237 | 0.262 | 0.273 | 0.279 | 0.288 | 0.293 |
| 1983 | 0.109 | 0.148 | 0.198 | 0.22 | 0.276 | 0.282 | 0.276 | 0.319 | 0.325 |
| 1984 | 0.093 | 0.142 | 0.185 | 0.213 | 0.213 | 0.245 | 0.246 | 0.263 | 0.262 |
| 1985 | 0.104 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.201 | 0.234 | 0.248 | 0.256 | 0.26 | 0.263 |
| 1986 | 0.112 | 0.155 | 0.172 | 0.187 | 0.215 | 0.248 | 0.276 | 0.284 | 0.332 |
| 1987 | 0.096 | 0.138 | 0.186 | 0.192 | 0.204 | 0.231 | 0.255 | 0.267 | 0.284 |
| 1988 | 0.097 | 0.132 | 0.168 | 0.203 | 0.209 | 0.215 | 0.237 | 0.257 | 0.283 |
| 1989 | 0.106 | 0.129 | 0.151 | 0.169 | 0.194 | 0.199 | 0.21 | 0.221 | 0.24 |
| 1990 | 0.099 | 0.137 | 0.153 | 0.167 | 0.188 | 0.208 | 0.209 | 0.229 | 0.251 |
| 1991 | 0.092 | 0.128 | 0.168 | 0.182 | 0.19 | 0.206 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.251 |
| 1992 | 0.096 | 0.123 | 0.15 | 0.177 | 0.191 | 0.194 | 0.212 | 0.228 | 0.248 |
| 1993 | 0.092 | 0.129 | 0.155 | 0.18 | 0.201 | 0.204 | 0.21 | 0.225 | 0.24 |
| 1994 | 0.097 | 0.135 | 0.168 | 0.179 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.218 | 0.217 | 0.227 |
| 1995 | 0.088 | 0.126 | 0.151 | 0.178 | 0.188 | 0.198 | 0.207 | 0.227 | 0.227 |
| 1996 | 0.088 | 0.118 | 0.147 | 0.159 | 0.185 | 0.196 | 0.207 | 0.219 | 0.231 |
| 1997 | 0.093 | 0.124 | 0.141 | 0.157 | 0.172 | 0.192 | 0.206 | 0.216 | 0.22 |
| 1998 | 0.099 | 0.121 | 0.153 | 0.163 | 0.173 | 0.185 | 0.199 | 0.204 | 0.225 |
| 1999 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.149 | 0.167 | 0.18 | 0.183 | 0.202 | 0.209 | 0.208 |
| 2000 | 0.092 | 0.111 | 0.148 | 0.168 | 0.185 | 0.187 | 0.197 | 0.21 | 0.224 |
| 2001 | 0.082 | 0.107 | 0.139 | 0.162 | 0.177 | 0.19 | 0.185 | 0.204 | 0.229 |
| 2002 | 0.096 | 0.115 | 0.139 | 0.156 | 0.184 | 0.196 | 0.203 | 0.211 | 0.223 |
| 2003 | 0.078 | 0.1 | 0.13 | 0.141 | 0.156 | 0.158 | 0.168 | 0.2 | 0.213 |
| 2004 | 0.077 | 0.127 | 0.133 | 0.151 | 0.156 | 0.168 | 0.216 | 0.228 | 0.257 |
| 2005 | 0.074 | 0.103 | 0.145 | 0.143 | 0.155 | 0.161 | 0.175 | 0.221 | 0.233 |
| 2006 | 0.085 | 0.104 | 0.123 | 0.153 | 0.15 | 0.157 | 0.164 | 0.177 | 0.188 |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 0.068 | 0.101 | 0.122 | 0.138 | 0.156 | 0.159 | 0.163 | 0.167 | 0.251 |
| 2008 | 0.083 | 0.117 | 0.14 | 0.156 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.177 | 0.189 | 0.232 |
| 2009 | 0.076 | 0.117 | 0.142 | 0.158 | 0.168 | 0.176 | 0.17 | 0.186 | 0.226 |
| 2010 | 0.076 | 0.106 | 0.127 | 0.139 | 0.152 | 0.157 | 0.164 | 0.188 | 0.18 |
| 2011 | 0.067 | 0.108 | 0.127 | 0.138 | 0.148 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.194 | 0.197 |
| 2012 | 0.061 | 0.094 | 0.125 | 0.138 | 0.149 | 0.159 | 0.161 | 0.165 | 0.167 |
| 2013 | 0.06 | 0.101 | 0.126 | 0.144 | 0.153 | 0.159 | 0.168 | 0.17 | 0.186 |
| 2014 | 0.065 | 0.1 | 0.128 | 0.142 | 0.153 | 0.158 | 0.163 | 0.177 | 0.169 |
| 2015 | 0.065 | 0.098 | 0.119 | 0.133 | 0.14 | 0.146 | 0.153 | 0.16 | 0.162 |
| 2016 | 0.059 | 0.096 | 0.117 | 0.131 | 0.139 | 0.143 | 0.150 | 0.160 | 0.165 |
| 2017 | 0.055 | 0.079 | 0.088 | 0.116 | 0.139 | 0.158 | 0.164 | 0.170 | 0.177 |
| 2018 | 0.065 | 0.095 | 0.121 | 0.142 | 0.154 | 0.166 | 0.171 | 0.166 | 0.170 |
| 2019 | 0.055 | 0.087 | 0.106 | 0.122 | 0.127 | 0.141 | 0.15 | 0.161 | 0.16 |
| 2020 | 0.047 | 0.082 | 0.099 | 0.124 | 0.128 | 0.138 | 0.148 | 0.175 | 0.162 |
| 2021 | 0.055 | 0.094 | 0.118 | 0.131 | 0.141 | 0.153 | 0.174 | 0.173 | 0.163 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Continued. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Fishery Selectivity block inputs (1-9) to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

| Age | Selectivity | Block | $\# 1$ | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Continued. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Catch numbers-at-age and total catch inputs to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 1642 | 3742 | 33094 | 25746 | 12551 | 23949 | 16093 | 9384 | 5584 | 22978 |
| 1959 | 1203 | 25717 | 2274 | 19262 | 11015 | 5830 | 17821 | 3745 | 7352 | 15086 |
| 1960 | 2840 | 72246 | 24658 | 3779 | 13698 | 4431 | 6096 | 4379 | 4151 | 18283 |
| 1961 | 2129 | 16058 | 32044 | 5631 | 2034 | 5067 | 2825 | 1524 | 4947 | 15372 |
| 1962 | 772 | 18567 | 19909 | 48061 | 8075 | 3584 | 8593 | 3805 | 5322 | 21552 |
| 1963 | 297 | 51935 | 13033 | 4179 | 20694 | 2686 | 1392 | 2488 | 2787 | 17349 |
| 1964 | 7529 | 15058 | 17250 | 6658 | 1719 | 8716 | 1304 | 577 | 2193 | 10599 |
| 1965 | 57 | 70248 | 9365 | 15757 | 3399 | 4539 | 12127 | 1377 | 7493 | 19126 |
| 1966 | 7093 | 19559 | 59893 | 9924 | 13211 | 5602 | 3586 | 8746 | 3842 | 27030 |
| 1967 | 7599 | 39991 | 20062 | 49113 | 9218 | 9444 | 3939 | 6510 | 6757 | 27658 |
| 1968 | 12197 | 54790 | 39604 | 11544 | 22599 | 4929 | 4170 | 1310 | 4936 | 30236 |
| 1969 | 9472 | 93279 | 55039 | 33145 | 12217 | 17837 | 4762 | 2174 | 3469 | 44389 |
| 1970 | 1319 | 37260 | 50087 | 26481 | 18763 | 7853 | 6351 | 2175 | 3367 | 31727 |
| 1971 | 12658 | 23313 | 37563 | 41904 | 18759 | 10443 | 4276 | 4942 | 2239 | 31396 |
| 1972 | 8422 | 137690 | 17855 | 15842 | 14531 | 4645 | 3012 | 2374 | 1020 | 38203 |
| 1973 | 23547 | 38133 | 55805 | 7012 | 9651 | 5323 | 3352 | 2332 | 1209 | 26936 |
| 1974 | 5507 | 42808 | 17184 | 22530 | 4225 | 3737 | 2978 | 903 | 827 | 19940 |
| 1975 | 12768 | 15429 | 17783 | 7333 | 9006 | 3520 | 1644 | 1136 | 1194 | 15588 |
| 1976 | 13317 | 11113 | 7286 | 7011 | 2872 | 4785 | 1980 | 1243 | 1769 | 9771 |
| 1977 | 8159 | 12516 | 8610 | 5280 | 1585 | 1898 | 1043 | 383 | 470 | 7833 |
| 1978 | 2800 | 13385 | 11948 | 5583 | 1580 | 1476 | 540 | 858 | 482 | 7559 |
| 1979 | 11335 | 13913 | 12399 | 8636 | 2889 | 1316 | 1283 | 551 | 635 | 10321 |
| 1980 | 7162 | 30093 | 11726 | 6585 | 2812 | 2204 | 1184 | 1262 | 565 | 13130 |
| 1981 | 39361 | 21285 | 21861 | 5505 | 4438 | 3436 | 795 | 313 | 866 | 17103 |
| 1982 | 15339 | 42725 | 8728 | 4817 | 1497 | 1891 | 1670 | 335 | 596 | 13000 |
| 1983 | 13540 | 102871 | 26993 | 3225 | 1862 | 327 | 372 | 932 | 308 | 24981 |
| 1984 | 19517 | 92892 | 41121 | 16043 | 2450 | 1085 | 376 | 231 | 180 | 26779 |
| 1985 | 17916 | 57054 | 36258 | 16032 | 2306 | 228 | 85 | 173 | 132 | 20426 |


| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 4159 | 56747 | 42881 | 32930 | 8790 | 1127 | 98 | 29 | 12 | 25024 |
| 1987 | 5976 | 67000 | 43075 | 23014 | 14323 | 2716 | 1175 | 296 | 464 | 26200 |
| 1988 | 2307 | 82027 | 30962 | 9398 | 5963 | 3047 | 869 | 297 | 86 | 20447 |
| 1989 | 8260 | 42413 | 68399 | 19601 | 8205 | 3837 | 2589 | 767 | 682 | 23254 |
| 1990 | 2702 | 41756 | 24634 | 35258 | 8116 | 3808 | 1671 | 695 | 462 | 18404 |
| 1991 | 1912 | 63854 | 38342 | 16916 | 28405 | 4869 | 2588 | 954 | 593 | 25562 |
| 1992 | 10410 | 26752 | 35019 | 27591 | 10139 | 18061 | 3021 | 6285 | 689 | 21127 |
| 1993 | 1608 | 94061 | 9372 | 10221 | 4491 | 2790 | 5932 | 855 | 508 | 18618 |
| 1994 | 12130 | 35768 | 61737 | 3289 | 3025 | 4773 | 1713 | 1705 | 474 | 19300 |
| 1995 | 9450 | 79159 | 22591 | 36541 | 3686 | 3420 | 2651 | 1859 | 842 | 23305 |
| 1996 | 3476 | 61923 | 38244 | 7943 | 16114 | 2077 | 1586 | 1507 | 1025 | 18816 |
| 1997 | 3849 | 37440 | 53040 | 31442 | 8318 | 6142 | 1148 | 827 | 603 | 20496 |
| 1998 | 5818 | 41510 | 27102 | 28274 | 13178 | 3746 | 2675 | 597 | 387 | 18041 |
| 1999 | 14274 | 34072 | 36086 | 14642 | 15515 | 8877 | 1865 | 2012 | 551 | 18485 |
| 2000 | 9953 | 77378 | 18952 | 12060 | 5230 | 6227 | 2320 | 662 | 578 | 17191 |
| 2001 | 15724 | 62153 | 35816 | 5953 | 4249 | 1774 | 1145 | 466 | 386 | 15269 |
| 2002 | 3495 | 26472 | 18532 | 5309 | 1416 | 1269 | 437 | 154 | 201 | 7465 |
| 2003 | 2711 | 37006 | 24444 | 14763 | 5719 | 3363 | 2335 | 388 | 542 | 11536 |
| 2004 | 4276 | 9470 | 46243 | 21863 | 8638 | 1412 | 473 | 191 | 75 | 12743 |
| 2005 | 15419 | 30710 | 5766 | 18666 | 7349 | 1923 | 435 | 77 | 60 | 9494 |
| 2006 | 1460 | 33894 | 10914 | 2469 | 6261 | 2331 | 561 | 57 | 48 | 6944 |
| 2007 | 8043 | 11028 | 36223 | 5509 | 1365 | 2040 | 410 | 56 | 4 | 7636 |
| 2008 | 1288 | 12468 | 8144 | 15565 | 2328 | 518 | 321 | 58 | 11 | 5872 |
| 2009 | 10171 | 4465 | 12859 | 4887 | 8458 | 971 | 279 | 247 | 80 | 5745 |
| 2010 | 2468 | 20929 | 8183 | 15917 | 4846 | 10080 | 919 | 273 | 321 | 8370 |
| 2011 | 6384 | 17151 | 33453 | 7301 | 13087 | 5347 | 5165 | 1089 | 141 | 11470 |
| 2012 | 11712 | 62528 | 44819 | 37500 | 6303 | 11811 | 5549 | 3540 | 347 | 21820 |
| 2013 | 6191 | 30471 | 42133 | 22649 | 16687 | 3305 | 5463 | 1778 | 535 | 16247 |
| 2014 | 16664 | 24120 | 39102 | 33320 | 22450 | 11165 | 3047 | 2774 | 1022 | 19574 |


| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Total catch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2015 | 286 | 12247 | 23835 | 32140 | 27382 | 19861 | 9820 | 4207 | 3279 | 18355 |
| 2016 | 2023 | 9822 | 25030 | 22800 | 25310 | 22447 | 10484 | 4684 | 1464 | 16318 |
| 2017 | 707 | 14144 | 31912 | 16004 | 10718 | 8963 | 6722 | 2401 | 1473 | 10767 |
| 2018 | 1654 | 7646 | 20545 | 5974 | 2296 | 1011 | 264 | 380 | 188 | 4418 |
| 2019 | 14146 | 4371 | 1857 | 2265 | 612 | 212 | 88 | 73 | 33 | 1841 |
| 2020 | 213 | 979 | 242 | 57 | 70 | 24 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 132 |
| 2021 | 3 | 1550 | 3825 | 586 | 148 | 109 | 23 | 22 | 2 | 745 |

Table 6.6.1.1. Continued. Herring in the Celtic Sea: Index selectivity inputs (2-7) to the ASAP model. Age is in winter rings.

| Age (wr) | Index-1 | Selectivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.8 | 4 |
| 3 | 1 | -1 |
| 4 | 1 | -1 |
| 5 | 1 | -1 |
| 7 | 1 | 4 |

Table 6.6.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Survey data input to ASAP. Age is in winter rings.

| year | value | CV | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | Sample <br> Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2002 | 381900 | 0.5 | 185200 | 150600 | 29700 | 6600 | 7100 | 2700 | 15 |
| 2003 | 146400 | 0.5 | 61700 | 60400 | 17200 | 5400 | 1400 | 300 | 15 |
| 2004 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| 2005 | 246700 | 0.5 | 137100 | 28200 | 54200 | 21600 | 4900 | 700 | 18 |
| 2006 | 284999 | 0.5 | 211000 | 48000 | 14000 | 11000 | 1000 | -1 | 17 |
| 2007 | 346120 | 0.5 | 69800 | 220000 | 30600 | 8970 | 13100 | 3650 | 21 |
| 2008 | 606000 | 0.5 | 295000 | 111000 | 162000 | 27000 | 6000 | 5000 | 21 |
| 2009 | 519370 | 0.5 | 112040 | 209850 | 57490 | 124630 | 11710 | 3650 | 23 |
| 2010 | 1060760 | 0.5 | 548940 | 155860 | 193030 | 65240 | 91040 | 6650 | 18 |
| 2011 | 953000 | 0.5 | 479000 | 299000 | 47000 | 71000 | 24000 | 33000 | 16 |
| 2012 | 1995300 | 0.5 | 856000 | 615000 | 330000 | 48500 | 121000 | 24800 | 13 |
| 2013 | 584900 | 0.5 | 291400 | 197400 | 43700 | 37900 | 9800 | 4700 | 9 |
| 2014 | 349000 | 0.5 | 117300 | 112100 | 69400 | 19800 | 23600 | 6800 | 5 |


| year | value | CV | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | Sample <br> Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2015 | 179400 | 0.5 | 40100 | 48100 | 41200 | 37700 | 6800 | 5500 | 6 |
| 2016 | 169376 | 0.5 | 20629 | 42736 | 39835 | 36124 | 24590 | 5462 | 10 |
| 2017 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 49130 | 0.5 | 16104 | 26831 | 5984 | 110 | 101 | 0 | 9 |
| 2019 | 8873 | 0.5 | 98229 | 7934 | 524 | 284 | 131 | 0 | 3 |
| 2020 | 38383 | 0.5 | 32190 | 4625 | 1348 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2021 | 57592 | 0.5 | 17213 | 35326 | 3271 | 1198 | 0 | 584 | 12 |

Table 6.6.1.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. ASAP final Run settings.

| Discards Included | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Use likelihood constant | No |
| Mean $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}\right)$ age (wr)range | 2-5 |
| Number of selectivity blocks | 1 |
| Fleet selectivity | By Age: 1-9-wr: 0.3,0.5,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 Fixed at-age 3-wr |
| Index units | 2 (numbers) |
| Index month | October (10) |
| Index selectivity linked to fleet | -1 (not linked) |
| Index Years | 2002-2021 (no survey in 2004 and 2017 not included) |
| Index age (wr)range | 2-7 |
| Index Selectivity | 0.8,1,1,1,1,1 Fixed from ages 3-5-wr |
| Index CV | 0.5 all years |
| Sample size | No of herring samples collected per survey |
| Phase for F-Mult in 1st year | 1 |
| Phase for F-Mult deviations | 2 |
| Phase for recruitment deviations | 3 |
| Phase for N in 1st Year | 1 |
| Phase for catchability in 1st Year | 1 |
| Phase for catchability deviations | -5 |
| Phase for Stock recruit relationship | 1 |
| Phase for steepness - | -5 (Do not fit stock-recruitment curve) |
| Recruitment CV by year | 1 |
| Lambdas by index | 1 |
| Lambda for total catch in weight by fleet | 1 |
| Catch total CV | 0.2 for all years |
| Catch effective sample size | No of samples from Irish sampling programme. Downweighted to 5 in 2015-2021 |
| Lambda for F-Mult in 1st year | 0 (freely estimated) |
| CV for F mult in the first year | 0.5 |
| Lambda for F-Mult deviations | 0 (freely estimated) |


| CV for f mult deviations by fleet | 0.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lambda for N in 1st year deviations | 0 (freely estimated) |
| CV for N in the 1st year deviations | 1 |
| Lambda for recruitment deviations | 1 |
| Lambda for catchability in 1st year index | 0 |
| Lambda for catchability deviations | 1 |
| CV for catchability deviations | 1 |
| Lambda for deviation from initial steep- ness in 1st year by index | 0 |
| CV for deviation from initial steepness | 1 |
| Lambda for deviation from unexplained stock size | 0 |
| CV for deviation from unexplained stock size | 1 |

Table 6.6.1.4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Update assessment stock summary table. Recruitment is at 1-winter ring.

| Year | Catch | SSB | TSB | $F_{\text {bar }}$ 2-5 | Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 22978 | 206015 | 279910.7 | 0.130 | 408556 |
| 1959 | 15086 | 197957 | 324109.2 | 0.112 | 1577690 |
| 1960 | 18283 | 189253 | 255888.9 | 0.126 | 362961 |
| 1961 | 15372 | 159919 | 221516.5 | 0.119 | 393717 |
| 1962 | 21552 | 156601 | 253026.9 | 0.192 | 843980 |
| 1963 | 17349 | 145174 | 207444.2 | 0.153 | 402905 |
| 1964 | 10599 | 165103 | 288344.5 | 0.096 | 1381900 |
| 1965 | 19126 | 169927 | 239714.9 | 0.139 | 416515 |
| 1966 | 27030 | 165194 | 265757.7 | 0.199 | 735267 |
| 1967 | 27658 | 159041 | 260064.8 | 0.225 | 768497 |
| 1968 | 30236 | 162296 | 274660.7 | 0.243 | 899711 |
| 1969 | 44389 | 141929 | 229285.7 | 0.362 | 461941 |
| 1970 | 31727 | 107098 | 165717 | 0.331 | 248671 |
| 1971 | 31396 | 97961.8 | 192767.7 | 0.453 | 821309 |
| 1972 | 38203 | 85876.7 | 148540.5 | 0.559 | 279417 |
| 1973 | 26936 | 64565.1 | 118059.3 | 0.518 | 325406 |


| Year | Catch | SSB | TSB | Fbar 2-5 | Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 19940 | 50061.5 | 86055.94 | 0.495 | 160325 |
| 1975 | 15588 | 39631.1 | 73729.2 | 0.517 | 202064 |
| 1976 | 9771 | 36803.9 | 68499.4 | 0.388 | 226223 |
| 1977 | 7833 | 37415 | 64383.57 | 0.291 | 184803 |
| 1978 | 7559 | 36168 | 59015.88 | 0.268 | 145587 |
| 1979 | 10321 | 36021.9 | 70583.65 | 0.425 | 278555 |
| 1980 | 13130 | 33005.8 | 59941.31 | 0.544 | 166477 |
| 1981 | 17103 | 36516.9 | 86692.73 | 0.837 | 464972 |
| 1982 | 13000 | 57440 | 126449.6 | 0.458 | 724433 |
| 1983 | 24981 | 76388.2 | 158892.5 | 0.556 | 784556 |
| 1984 | 26779 | 78994.4 | 148574.7 | 0.472 | 666197 |
| 1985 | 20426 | 85081.3 | 153927 | 0.319 | 642488 |
| 1986 | 25024 | 93072.5 | 170578.9 | 0.366 | 654169 |
| 1987 | 26200 | 105472 | 211262.6 | 0.389 | 1200230 |
| 1988 | 20447 | 108978 | 170626 | 0.232 | 475514 |
| 1989 | 23254 | 95703.8 | 164342.7 | 0.285 | 575732 |
| 1990 | 18404 | 89224 | 147151.9 | 0.248 | 503380 |
| 1991 | 25562 | 71049.2 | 111654.8 | 0.381 | 207415 |
| 1992 | 21127 | 70955.4 | 152792.7 | 0.485 | 962480 |
| 1993 | 18618 | 73640.4 | 119453 | 0.326 | 359813 |
| 1994 | 19300 | 80405.3 | 151766.9 | 0.322 | 768796 |
| 1995 | 23305 | 81906.9 | 149916.5 | 0.388 | 722078 |
| 1996 | 18816 | 72427.7 | 116557.3 | 0.309 | 352309 |
| 1997 | 20496 | 59883.5 | 104813.8 | 0.408 | 372858 |
| 1998 | 18041 | 47983.6 | 83141.82 | 0.446 | 248780 |
| 1999 | 18485 | 41993.8 | 87829.46 | 0.624 | 486666 |
| 2000 | 17191 | 42058.1 | 87380.49 | 0.633 | 477218 |
| 2001 | 15269 | 41689.8 | 83394.23 | 0.534 | 493295 |
| 2002 | 7465 | 53818.3 | 99795.25 | 0.210 | 541125 |


| Year | Catch | SSB | TSB | Fbar 2-5 | Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 11536 | 42832.6 | 65097.02 | 0.307 | 141584 |
| 2004 | 12743 | 39041.1 | 70912.93 | 0.394 | 361343 |
| 2005 | 9494 | 54401.2 | 116887 | 0.309 | 1057130 |
| 2006 | 6944 | 67023.5 | 102609.6 | 0.133 | 355901 |
| 2007 | 7636 | 69764.4 | 116908.3 | 0.132 | 723893 |
| 2008 | 5872 | 82686.6 | 116763.7 | 0.079 | 294385 |
| 2009 | 5745 | 94170.2 | 160941.2 | 0.076 | 1011860 |
| 2010 | 8370 | 102117 | 160671.2 | 0.101 | 751592 |
| 2011 | 11470 | 110331 | 176543.3 | 0.130 | 956829 |
| 2012 | 21820 | 100126 | 155754.2 | 0.253 | 631242 |
| 2013 | 16247 | 88219.4 | 128422.2 | 0.213 | 365882 |
| 2014 | 19574 | 68224.7 | 105261.3 | 0.322 | 304081 |
| 2015 | 18355 | 44041 | 70638.95 | 0.460 | 175780 |
| 2016 | 16318 | 25999.6 | 49273.82 | 0.766 | 204642 |
| 2017 | 10767 | 11791 | 24166.33 | 1.176 | 60833.1 |
| 2018 | 4418 | 6081.53 | 13009.89 | 1.165 | 51314 |
| 2019 | 1841 | 6168 | 14465.95 | 0.725 | 180019 |
| 2020 | 132 | 8740.64 | 13607.22 | 0.023 | 108106 |
| 2021 | 745 | 15084.2 | 26967.53 | 0.069 | 260375 |

Table 6.7.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Input data for short-term forecast.

| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| 1 | 197044 | 0.767 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 2 | 120316 | 0.385 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.09 |
| 3 | 32354 | 0.356 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.11 |
| 4 | 34559 | 0.339 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.12 |
| 5 | 3844 | 0.319 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.13 |
| 6 | 1079 | 0.314 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.15 |


| 2022 | $\mathbf{N a g e}$ | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ag | CWt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 774 | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.16 |
| 8 | 196 | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.16 |
| 9 | 2011 | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.17 |


| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |  |  | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | N | 197043.9 | 0.767 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.06 |
| 2 | - | 0.385 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.09 |  |
| 3 | - | 0.356 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.11 |  |
| 4 | - | 0.339 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.12 |  |
| 5 | - | 0.319 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.13 |  |
| 7 | - | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.15 |  |
| 8 | - | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.16 |  |
| 9 | - | 0.307 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.16 |  |  |


| $\mathbf{2 0 2 4}$ | N | M | Mat | PF | PM | SWt | Sel | CWt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 197043.9 | 0.767 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.052 | 0.019 | 0.059 |
| 2 | - | 0.385 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.088 | 0.212 | 0.089 |
| 3 | - | 0.356 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.108 | 0.293 | 0.108 |
| 4 | - | 0.339 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.126 | 0.293 | 0.124 |
| 5 | - | 0.319 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.132 | 0.293 | 0.133 |
| 7 | - | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.144 | 0.293 | 0.147 |
| 8 | - | 0.307 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.157 | 0.278 | 0.159 |
| 9 | - | 0.307 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.170 | 0.279 | 0.163 |  |

Table 6.7.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Results of short-term deterministic forecast.

| Rationale | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}$ (2022) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catch } \\ & \text { (2022) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB } \\ & \text { (2022) } \end{aligned}$ | Fbar (2023) | Catch (2023) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB } \\ & \text { (2023) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSB } \\ & \text { (2024) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch(2023) = Zero | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22746 | 25875 |
| Catch (2023) $=2022$ TAC | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.046 | 869 | 22319 | 24746 |
| $\operatorname{Fbar}(2023)=$ Fmsy | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.260 | 4475 | 20454 | 20049 |
| $\operatorname{Fbar}(2023)=\mathrm{Fpa}$ | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.260 | 4475 | 20454 | 20049 |
| $\operatorname{Fbar}(2023)=$ Flim | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.450 | 7150 | 18953 | 16914 |
| Fbar(2023) $=$ F2022 | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.058 | 1091 | 22209 | 24390 |
| Fbar(2023) $=$ Fmsy * SSB2022 /MSY Btrigger | 0.058 | 869 | 19348.6 | 0.093 | 1725 | 21891 | 23546 |



Figure 6.1.2.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Total official herring catches by statistical rectangle in 2021/2022.


Figure 6.1.2.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Working Group estimates of herring catches per season.


Figure 6.2.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch numbers-at-age standardized by yearly mean. 9 -wr is the plus group. Age in winter rings.

CS herring catch numbers at age


Figure 6.2.1.1 (cont.) Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch numbers-at-age (unstandardized). 9-wr is the plus group. Age in winter rings.

Proportions at age from the catch and acoustic survey 2002-2021


Figure 6.2.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Proportions at age in the survey (1-9 wr) and the commercial fishery (1-9 wr) by year. Age in winter rings.


Figure 6.3.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Top panel: Core replicate acoustic survey effort cruise tracks and numbered haul stations. (Pass 1: black track, Pass 2: orange track). Bottom panel: Adaptive and scouting survey effort mini surveys 1-6. Replicate coverage shown as orange track.


Figure 6.3.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. NASC (Nautical area scattering coefficient) distribution plot of the distribution of herring in $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ from combined survey effort.


Figure 6.3.1.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. NASC (nautical area scattering coefficient) plot of the distribution of herring in 2021 in the adaptive mini-surveys.


Figure 6.3.1.4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Internal consistency between ages in the Celtic Sea Herring acoustic survey timeseries. Age in winter rings.


Figure 6.4.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Trends over time in mean weight-at-age in the catch from 1958-2021 for 1-9+.


Figure 6.4.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Trends over time in mean weight-at-age in the stock at spawning time from 19582021 for 1-9+. Age in winter rings.

## Catch proportions-at-age residuals



Figure 6.6.1.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Catch proportion-at-age residuals. Age in winter rings.


Figure 6.6.1.2. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Observed catch and predicted catch for the final ASAP assessment.


Figure 6.6.1.3. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Observed and predicted catch proportions-at-age for the final ASAP assessment.

Fleet selectivty at age


Figure 6.6.1.4. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Selection pattern in the fishery from the final ASAP assessment.


Figure 6.6.1.5. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Index proportions-at-age residuals (observed-predicted). Age in winter rings.


Figure 6.6.1.6. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Index fits.

## Selectivity at age 2-7



Figure 6.6.1.7. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Survey Selectivity pattern from the final assessment run.


Figure 6.6.1.8. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Retrospective plots for SSB (top), Mean F (bottom left), and Recruitment (bottom). The shaded area is the $95 \%$ confidence interval.

## Uncertainty of key parameters



Figure 6.6.1.9. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Uncertainty of key parameters in the final assessment.


Figure 6.6.1.10. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Stock Summary from the final assessment run showing SSB (top), Recruitment (middle) and Mean F2-5 (bottom)


Rec at age (wr) $\mathbf{1}$ (Millions)


Figure 6.10.1. Herring in the Celtic Sea. Historical retrospectives from the final assessments 2016-2022

## 7 Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea)


#### Abstract

The stock was benchmarked in 2017 and a state-space assessment model, SAM, was proposed as the assessment model for the stock (WKIRISH, 2017).

The WG notes that the use of "age", "winter rings", "rings" and "ringers" still causes confusion outside the group (and sometimes even among WG members). The WG tries to avoid this by consequently using "rings", "ringers", "winter ringers" or "wr" instead of "age" throughout the report. However, if the word "age" is used it is qualified in brackets with one of the ring designations. It should be observed that, for autumn and winter spawning stocks such as this one, there is a difference of one year between "age" and "rings". Further elaboration on the rationale behind this, specific to each stock, can be found in the individual Stock Annexes. It is the responsibility of any user of age based data for any of these herring stocks to consult the relevant annex and if in doubt consult a relevant member of the Working Group.


### 7.1 The Fishery

### 7.1.1 Current advice

ICES advised that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2021 should be no more than 7341 tonnes. ICES advised that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2022 should be no more than 8455 tonnes.

### 7.1.2 The fishery in 2021

The catches reported from each country for the period 1987 to 2021 are given in Table 7.1.1, and total catches from 1987 to 2021 in Figure 7.1.1. Reported international landings in 2021 for the Irish Sea amounted to 7208 t with UK vessels acquiring the majority of the quota through swaps with the Republic of Ireland. The majority of catches in 2021 were taken during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter, with landings also made in quarter 4 , this is typical of the annual fishery pattern.

As in previous years, the 2021 7.a (N) herring fishery began in late August, with catches taken to the north-west of the Isle of Man, before moving to the Douglas Bank. The majority of catches were taken by Northern Irish and Irish midwater pelagic fishing vessels. In previous years an extensive 'Mourne' gillnet fishery was active, this is limited to boats under 40 ft usually in October and November, this fishery landed 55 t in 2021.

### 7.1.3 Regulations and their effects

Closed areas for herring fishing in the Irish Sea along the east coast of Ireland and within 12 nautical miles of the west coast of Britain were maintained throughout the year. The traditional gillnet fishery on the Mourne herring has a derogation to fish within the Irish closed box. The area to the east of the Isle of Man, encompassing the Douglas Bank spawning ground (described in ICES 2001, ACFM:10), was closed from 21 September to 15 November. Boats from the Republic of Ireland are not permitted to fish east of the Isle of Man.

The arrangement of closed areas in Division 7.a(N) prior to 1999 is discussed in detail in ICES (1996/ACFM:10) with a change to the closed area to the east of the Isle of Man being altered in

1999 (ICES 2001/ACFM:10). The closed areas consist of: all year juvenile closures along part of the east coast of Ireland, and the west coast of Scotland, England and Wales; spawning closures along the east coast of the Isle of Man from 21 September to 15 November, and along the east coast of Ireland all year-round. In 2020 theses restrictions were no longer in place due to the changes within the EU Technical Regulations (EU) 2019/1241, however, national licensing measures still restrict vessels from fishing in some areas and seasons.

### 7.1.4 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns

UK Northern Irish and Irish pelagic pair and single trawlers take the majority of catches during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quarters. A small local fishery continues to record landings on the traditional Mourne herring grounds during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ or $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter. This fishery resumed in 2006 and has seen increasing catches of herring since, peaking at $\sim 171 \mathrm{t}$ in 2009 , there was less than 10 t landings attributed to this fishery in 2018, no catches in 2019, 33 t in 2020 and 55 t in 2021. Recently there has been a marked increase in the landings made by Irish vessels comprising $19 \%$ of the landings in 2018, $21 \%$ in 2019 and $27 \%$ in 2020. This decreased in 2021 to be $10 \%$ but remains above the previous low levels of on average of 2\% during 2015-2017.

### 7.2 Biological Composition of the Catch

### 7.2.1 Catch in numbers

Routine sampling of the main catch component was conducted in 2021. Sampling was carried out on landings at fish processing factories for both Irish, Northern Irish vessels and UK English vessels. There was no biological sampling of the main catch component (pair trawlers) in 2009 due to a failure to acquire samples from the landings. Catches in numbers-at-age are given in Table 7.6.3.1 for the years 1972 to 2021 and a graphical representation is given in Figure 7.2.1. The catch in numbers at length is given in Table 7.2.2 for 1995 to 2021, excluding 2009.

### 7.2.2 Quality of catch and biological data

The number of samples acquired from the main catch component was 34 in 2021, which are similar sampling levels than has been achieved in the past. The number of measurements also remained similar to past sampling levels. At sea observer data have been collected since $2010(\sim 15 \%$ of fishing trips sampled annually) with no discards observed. In 2020 at-sea observations were not carried out due to the Covid-19'social distancing' requirements, observations were reinstated in 2021 and discarding is not thought to be a feature of this fishery. Details of sampling are given in Table 7.2.3.

As a result of quality issues identified with the ageing of herring in the Irish Sea, a larger scale otolith exchange was completed in 2015. The results indicated relatively good agreement between ages and a consistent issue with inexperience readers that can be solved through further training.

The 2017 benchmark concluded to conduct future assessments only to include data back to 1980. Data extends back to 1961 and the entire data series was included in the assessment up to 2016, but there are well documented concerns over the quality of historic landings information, especially in the 1970s (see Stock Annex). Recent landings data, particularly since the introduction of buyers and sellers regulation in 2006, are considered to be of good quality.

### 7.3 Fishery Independent Information

### 7.3.1 Acoustic surveys AC (7.aN)

The information on the time-series of acoustic surveys in the Irish Sea is given in Table 7.3.1. The SSB estimates from the survey are calculated using the (annually varying) maturity ogives from the commercial catch data.

The acoustic survey in 2021 was carried out over the period $29^{\text {th }}$ August- $12^{\text {th }}$ September. The survey conditions were good. A survey design of stratified, systematic transects was employed, as in previous years (Figure 7.3.1). There was an area reduction in the survey due to logistical issues with vessel access to the survey area. Sprat and 0-group herring were distributed around the periphery of the Irish Sea (Figure 7.3.1). Highest abundance of $1+$ herring targets in 2021 were observed on the western sides of the Isle of Man (Figure 7.3.1). Local areas of high abundance of herring were also observed on the known spawning banks toward the county Down coast. The survey followed the methods described in the ICES WGIPS International Pelagic Survey Manual. Sampling intensity was high during the 2021 survey with 31 successful trawls completed. The length frequencies generated from these trawls highlight the spatial heterogeneous nature of herring age groups in the Irish Sea (Figure 7.3.2).

The age-disaggregated acoustic estimates of the herring abundance, excluding 0-ring fish, are given in Table 7.3.2. Results of a microstructure analysis of 1-ringer+ fish (Figure 7.3.6-7) have not been updated since 2011. Winter hatched fish, of which the majority are thought to be of Celtic Sea origin, are present in the prespawning aggregations sampled in the Irish Sea during the acoustic survey. The presence of these winter hatched fish has implications for the estimates of 1-ringer+ biomass and SSB, as well as confounding traditional cohort type assessment methods. However, removal of winter hatched fish, leaving only fish of autumn spawning origin, does not change the perception of a significant increase in biomass estimates (Figures 7.3.6-7). The benchmark working group (ICES WKPELA 2012) investigated the mixing issue and its impact on the assessment. The benchmark group concluded that the data should be treated as for a mixed stock. Both the fishery and survey operate on this mixture and by using the data without adjustment for winter hatched fish, the assessment is conducted on the mixed stock. The recruitment data ( 1 winter rings) have the largest proportion of "alien" stock. The benchmark suggested that this is considered in the assessment model configuration and dealt with objectively within the model.

### 7.3.2 Spawning-stock biomass survey (7.aNSpawn)

A series of additional acoustic surveys has been conducted since 2007 by Northern Ireland, following the annual pelagic acoustic survey (conducted during the beginning of September). This enhanced survey programme was initiated to investigate the temporal and spatial variability of the population estimates from the routine acoustic survey. The purpose was to track the spawning migration entering into the Irish Sea via the North Channel on route to the main spawning grounds of the Douglas Bank. Thisinformed design of the current survey to concentrate on the spawning grounds surrounding the Isle of Man and the Scottish coastal waters (Figure 7.3.3). Herring found in this area represents $>75 \%$ of the SSB index generated from the routine survey. In 2021 the survey was conducted from the 3rd to 6th of October. The spawning stock biomass was estimated to be 57.1 kt , this is a increase from $2020(47.9 \mathrm{kt})$ but remains within the previously observed range ( $28.4-114.0 \mathrm{kt}$ ).

The historic density distributions from the surveys highlight the temporal and spatial complexity of the herring distributions. Problems with timing of the survey are further exacerbated by the
significant interannual variation in the migration patterns, evident from the changes in density distributions. The results confirm the high estimate of abundance observed during the routine annual acoustic survey estimates. The survey results support the high abundance of herring in the Irish Sea. Since 2012 this extended survey series has been reduced to one repeat survey in late September/early October to coincide with the main spawning time. The primary aim to generate an SSB index constituted from her- ring on or around the Irish Sea spawning ground to eliminate some of the ageing and mixing issues.

The 2012 benchmark (ICES WKPELA 2012) also suggested that the survey series could be used to fine tune the main survey used as the tuning fleet in the assessment. The survey uses a stratified design similar to the $\mathrm{AC}(7 . a . N$.$) . Survey methodology, data processing and subsequent analysis$ is exactly the same as for $\mathrm{AC}(7 . a . \mathrm{N})$ and follows standard protocols for surveys coordinated by WGIPS. The survey was presented to WGIPS in 2017 prior to inclusion into the benchmark. The results of the survey are reported in the WGIPS 2018 report (ICES, 2018) and updated annually. The survey is included in the assessment as an SSB index. A comparison with the SSB estimates from this survey and the acoustic survey that is conducted earlier confirms the high abundance of herring in the Irish Sea, but with some clear year effect (Figure 7.3.5). This index is generated from a survey where the timing mostly coinciding with the spawners being present on the Douglas Bank. The survey has been conducted on a chartered commercial vessel since 2007, timing of the survey is directed by input from the commercial fishery reporting movements of fish onto the spawning grounds.

### 7.4 Mean weight, maturity and natural mortality-at-age

Biological sampling in 2021 was used to calculate mean weights-at-age in the catch (Table 7.6.3.2). The mean weights-at-age in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter catches (for the time-series 1980 to present) are used as estimates of stock weights at spawning time (Table 7.6.3.3). Mean weights-at-age have shown a general downward trend (Figure 7.4.1). This has also been observed in other stocks. It is recommended that potential drivers for this decline is investigated to explore potential large- scale ecosystem changes. No biological sampling information was available for 2009 and the weights at age for 2009 were replaced by averaging the weight at age observed in 2008 and 2010. The final agreed model from the 2012 benchmark used the natural mortality estimates from the North Sea (Table 7.6.3.4). These were again reviewed at the 2017 benchmark and although not considered ideal it is still the best available in the absence of specific Irish Sea derived natural mortality estimates. A variable maturity ogive is used based on the corresponding annual quarter 3 biological sampling from the catch (Table 7.6.3.5).

### 7.5 Recruitment

An estimate of total abundance of 0-ringers and 1-ringers is provided by the $\mathrm{AC}(7 . \mathrm{aN})$ acoustic survey, with trends also provided by the groundfish surveys. There is evidence that a proportion of these are of Celtic Sea origin (e.g. Brophy and Danilowicz, 2002). Further, the SAM assessment provides estimates of the recruitment of herring in which information from the catch and from all fishery independent indices is incorporated. The recruitment trends from the assessment are dealt with in Section 7.6.

### 7.6 Assessment

### 7.6.1 Data exploration and preliminary modelling

The stock was benchmarked in 2017. The assessment model did not change and was applied without change in 2022. At the benchmark the following changes were made to the input data and model setting:

- The input data series was shortened to include data only from 1980 onwards, to remove poor quality historic data. Mohn's rho on SSB was reduced from 13.3 to $9 \%$ under shortened time-series, which will improve the basis for advice ( $9 \%$ in the current assessment);
- Minor changes have been made to the variance and parameter bindings, to improve the model fit (see Table 7.6.3.10);
- The random walk assumption on recruitment was removed. Recruitment patterns are now estimated from cohort back-tracking from older ages;
- Includes a new SSB survey index (derived from acoustic methods; see Section 7.3.2). The primary aim is to generate an SSB index constituting mainly herring on or around spawning ground to eliminate some of the age and mixing issues. The larval survey (also an indicator of SSB) was removed as it contributes little to the assessment model. In addition, the modelling framework did not allow from a technical perspective to include two SSB surveys;
- The SSB survey index was included in the assessment without estimating catchability, which effectively implies an assumed catchability of 1 , with variance fixed at 0.4 (this corresponded to the observation variance value when catchability was freely estimated in a trial run).

The benchmark accepted the assessment and model settings, but requested further exploration of the sensitivity to catchability assumption for the SSB survey. This was completed post benchmark, however, the reviewers could not reach consensus and proposed that HAWG is best place to propose a final assessment model.

HAWG in 2017 had discussions on the final assessment model that could form the basis for the advice. This process is described in detail in Section 1.9 in the HAWG 2017 report. Despite ongoing concerns over the catchability assumption and the mixing issues from some members, the decision was made to use the SAM assessment settings agreed at the benchmark, together with the catchability assumptions discussed at HAWG, as the final model.

The primary issue with the current perception of stock status of Irish Sea herring is trying to reconcile the SAM model estimates of stock size (primarily driven by catch data) and the much higher estimate of stock size estimates from surveys that specifically focused on the spawning population within the Irish Sea. By design, acoustic surveys are aimed to produce an absolute estimate of stock biomass (with some uncertainty). This would result in a catchability of $\sim 1$. The previous assessment estimates catchability to be around $\sim 2.5$ for the acoustic survey. The benchmark also revealed very significant issues with the catch data, on which the previous assessment and advice is based on.

The concerns from the benchmark were satisfactorily addressed and did not highlight any major issues that could not be explained. In general, the assessment model fit improved in the proposed model where the SSB survey is included at the catchability set to 1 . Given that the primary aim is to provide credible scientific advice, the best proposal on this trade-off scenario (neither of which are ideal), is to base the assessment and advice on a more balanced assessment model.

HAWG did recognize that this is not an ideal scenario and further work needs to be done in the short term to improve the assessment (see Section 1.9, HAWG 2017)

Acoustic (AC(7.a.N)) 1-8+ winter rings) and the SSB indices are available for the assessment of Irish Sea herring. 2021catch-at-age data are derived from the international landings. The SAM model fits the catch well, with the model being weighted towards the catch information. The residuals are relatively small (figures 7.6.1-17). The residuals in the numbers-at-age in the catch and acoustic survey generally appear to be independent of time, but there are still some patterns in later years. These patterns are somewhat expected and could be explained by annual changes in migration patterns, magnitude and extent of the mixed component and converging trends in the surveys in recent years. The year effect in the 2011 survey is also evident from these plots with consistent negative residuals at older (3+) ages (winter rings).
The acoustic survey fits reasonably well at all ages except for 1 winter rings, with a model overestimate of fish 5 years +. The model fit is poor for SSB survey index (Figure 7.6.17). This is expected considering the catchability assumption, but it also highlights the fact that the model can deviate from the $\mathrm{q}=1$ fit and the realized catchability for the survey deviated from one.

Model fit is poor for 1 ringers in the catch and survey, which is the age with the highest occurrence of fish mixing from different hatching seasons. The modelled acoustic survey catchability parameter and the selectivity of the fishery by pentad are illustrated in figures 7.6.18-19. The variability of fishery selection is thought to reflect variable migration patterns and the effect of the spawning closure.

A feature of the assessment model is the estimation of an observation variance parameter for each dataset (Figure 7.6.20). Overall, the catch data ( $2+$ winter ring) are associated with low observation variances, where 1 ringers (from catch and survey) are perceived to be the noisiest data series. Figure 7.6 .21 shows observation variance vs. uncertainty of the data sources used in the model. Although the majority of the data sources are associated with relatively high observation variances, none of the uncertainty estimates are particularly high. The CVs do not indicate a lack of convergence of the assessment model.

### 7.6.2 Final assessment

The final assessment was carried out by fitting the state-space model (SAM, in the FLR environment) using the settings and data inputs in accordance to the stock annex (as decided at the 2017 benchmark and HAWG 2017). The input data and model settings are shown in Tables 7.6.3.1-11, the SAM output is presented in Tables 7.6.3.13-21, the stock summary in Table 7.6.3.12 and Figure 7.6.22, model fit and parameter estimates in Table 7.6.3.22, and negative log-likelihood for the model fit in Table 7.6.3.23.

Diagnostics and selectivity parameters for this run are presented in Figure 7.6.1-19. The stock parameters are estimated well by the model, as indicated by the relatively low uncertainty associated with the stock parameter (Figure 7.6.23), except for the most recent estimates.

The retrospective pattern shows a very similar perception in SSB, F and recruitment for the years 2016-21 (Figure 7.6.24). The retrospective bias from the model is low.

## Comparison with previous assessments

A comparison of the estimates of this year's assessment with last year's is given in Figure 7.6.25. The stock was benchmarked in 2017, with updates made to the model configurations and input data sources (including a new SSB survey). The new perception of the stock provides biomass estimates more in between the acoustic survey and catch estimates. Recruitment assumptions in the assessment were changed, which resulted in higher interannual variability. While the trend in
fishing mortality is estimated to be stable, a historical comparison of the current assessment with previous assessments shows annual upward revision of fishing mortality and wide confidence intervals. The assessed historic SSB appears to be sensitive to addition of a new year of data resulting in revision during the recent time period.

### 7.6.3 State of the stock

Trends from the final assessment indicate an increase in SSB and recruitment since the mid-2000s, with a stabilizing trend in the most recent years (although uncertain). The associated F has decreased significantly over the last 10 years to below Fmsy. Based on the most recent estimates the stock is being harvested sustainably at, or below, FMSY.

### 7.7 Short-term projections

### 7.7.1 Deterministic short-term projections

A deterministic short-term forecast was conducted for Irish Sea herring with code in R (FLR). Population abundances, F at age and input data were taken from the final SAM assessment, 1980-2021 (Table 7.7.1). Geometric mean recruitment of 1-ringers (2010-2019) replaced recruitment for 1-ringers in 2021 and is used as the intermediate year assumption. The forecast was based on catches ( 2021 advice $=8455 \mathrm{t}$ ) assuming full uptake of the ICES fishing opportunity advice. Fishing mortality, maturity-at-age, catch weights at age and stock weights were averaged over the most recent three years. Fishing mortality was not scaled to the last year, as the terminal estimate of F was not considered more informative.

The short-term catch option table is given in Table 7.7.2. SSB is expected to be well above MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ in 2022-2024, but is predicted to decrease if fishing at FMSY. SSB with zero catch is forecast to increase $(+14 \%)$. This is largely in response to maturation of the 2022 and 2023 year classes, which will contribute more than $53 \%$ of the SSB in 2024.

### 7.7.2 Yield per recruit

Not available, previous explorations are detailed in the stock annex.

### 7.8 Medium term projections

No medium term stock projections of stock size were conducted by the Working Group.

### 7.9 Reference points

## MSY evaluations

New reference points were derived using the stock-recruit pairs generated by the 2017 assessment (WKIRISH3 and HAWG 2017). Blim was set to the lowest SSB that generate above average recruitment, 8500 t . $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}, 11800 \mathrm{t}$ calculated from Blim with assessment error ( $\sigma=0.201$, based on the average CV from the terminal assessment year) MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ is set to $B_{p a}$ as the stock has not been fished at or below FmSY for more than five years. FMSY median point estimates is 0.27 (0.266). The upper bound of the FMSY range giving at least $95 \%$ of the maximum yield was estimated to $0.35(0.345)$ and the lower bound at $0.20(0.198)$. Flim is estimated to be $0.40(0.397)$ as F with $50 \%$
probability of $\mathrm{SSB}<\mathrm{B}_{\lim }$ with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ was modified to $\mathrm{Fp}_{05}$ as 0.309 calculated as the F that leads to $\mathrm{SSB} \geq$ Blim with $95 \%$ probability.

### 7.10 Quality of the assessment

The data used within the assessment, the assessment methods and settings were scrutinized during the 2017 benchmark (WKIRISH3 2017). The benchmark group performed sensitivity tests to test model configurations and optimized the model fit to the data with the least amount of parameters estimated. The Working Group checked for convergence and judged that a good model fit was found. FLSAM will not run if convergence criteria are not achieved.

The stock is very well sampled and catch information is representative of the fishery (with the exception of 2009 when no samples were provided). The current assessment, being a time-series model, can estimate the missing catch numbers in 2009.

The main issues with the stock are stock mixing (at younger ages from fish of different spawning season origin) and the different trends in mortality observed in the survey and the commercial catches. The majority of this variation may arise from the inter-annual variation in herring migration patterns and their effect on the selectivity of both the fishery and acoustic survey, but is also affected by the effect the annual closure of the Douglas Bank spawning grounds has on the fishery patterns. There are some inconsistencies between observed and modelled landings. The magnitude of these differs between years, but is on average $+/-12 \%$ over the assessment period and mostly falls within the confidence limits of the estimate. The reason behind these needs further investigation, but might be due to conflicting mortality signals from the surveys and catches and the use of a constant M throughout the time-series.

The data are treated as for a mixed stock. Both the fishery and survey operate on this mixture and by using the data without adjustment for winter hatched fish, the assessment is conducted on the mixed stock. The mixing issue was considered in detail during the 2012 benchmark, but no further analysis was performed at the 2017 benchmark given that there was no new information presented. The noise in the data due to juvenile stock mixing resulted in increased estimates of F , catchability estimates $>1$ across the younger ages in the survey, or most likely a combination of these. Most of the mixing occurs at younger ages, and this is objectively, but only partially, corrected for in the model through a high catchability estimated for the acoustic survey. Currently, the model doesn't have the structure to specifically deal with the contribution of small herring from other stocks.

The $F_{b a r}$ range 4-6 is considered representative of the mortality (Figure 7.6.26) on the autumn spawning stock in the Irish Sea, excluding most the ages with significant mixed components and represent the age range with highest fish mortality.

The survey data quality is good, but the survey index is linked to the migration and biological characteristics of the stock and the need to assess similar stock components which the fishery exploits to ensure the sustainable exploitation of the Irish Sea spawning stock.

No major violations of the assumptions underpinning the assessment model were found. The final assessment model is dominated by information from the catch, but with the noise being added to the survey information as age and year effects. The model does fit the catch data significantly better despite the significant quality issues with the catch data reported at the 2017 benchmark. This is not desirable. The new survey information adds more weight to the previously observed increase abundance trend observed from the main age-disaggregated acoustic survey. The 2017 assessment model attempted to provide a more balanced model, giving more weight to the SSB survey.

SAM down weights the 1 ring data and survey information in general. The uncertainty estimates of the model parameters, suggest the model is both appropriate for the available data and that the model describes these data reasonably well. Whilst, the trend in fishing mortality is estimated to be stable the historic comparison of the current assessment with previous assessments shows an annual upward revision of fishing mortality. The confidence range of Fishing mortality estimates are large and inter-annual signal difficult to observe. This should be further explored.

### 7.11 Management considerations

Given the historical landings from this stock and the knowledge that fishing pressure is light and mostly confined to one pair of UK vessels it can be assumed that fishing pressure and activity has not varied considerably in recent years. The catches have been close to TAC levels and the main fishing activity has not varied considerably as shown from landing data (Figure 7.1.1).

The current assessment indicates SSB in 2021 to be the highest in the time-series and fishing mortalities below Fmsy. The forecast predicts a reduction in SSB in 2022. The Working Group supports the development of a long-term management plan for this stock. Such a plan should be further developed with stakeholders and for- warded to ICES for evaluation.
Characteristically of most herring stocks, the Irish Sea herring represents a mixture and management of this stock should be considered as part of a metapopulation. The consequence of this needs to be further evaluated for management and advice.

### 7.12 Ecosystem Considerations

The Sixth Workshop on an Ecosystem Based Approach to Fishery Management for the Irish Sea (WKIRISH6), set out to operationalise the WKIrish regional benchmark process. WKIrish aimed to incorporate ecosystem information into the ICES single-species stock assessment process for the Irish Sea. Three independent ecosystems models have been in development for the Irish Sea. Of these, an Ecopath with Ecosim (EwE) model has been reviewed by the ICES Working Group on Multispecies Assessment Methods (WGSAM). WKIrish propose to use relevant ecosystem indicators to inform the FMSY within the established F ranges (FmsyLower to Fmsyupper). Feco uses indicaors of current ecosystem suitability for individual stocks to refine the F target values within these precautionary ranges. FIND is based on finding ecosystem indicators which are positively related to the stock development over the model tuning range, and where the likely underlying mechanisms for this link are likely to continue acting in the short to medium term. The EwE model was used to provide ecosystem indicator(s) for individual stocks (cod, whiting, haddock, sole, plaice, herring, and Nephrops) in the Irish Sea. The selection of the indicator aimed to cover a range of possible ecosystem processes on each stock. For herring, the large zooplankton index was observed to be strongly positively correlated with stock biomass and therefore selected as an appropriate indicator of favourable environmental condition for the stock.

Table 7.1.1 Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of $52^{\circ} \mathbf{3 0}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea)Herring in Division 7.a North (Irish Sea).Working Group catch estimates in tonnes by country, 1987-2021. The total catch does not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.

| Country | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ireland | 1200 | 2579 | 1430 | 1699 | 80 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK | 3290 | 7593 | 3532 | 4613 | 4318 | 4864 | 4408 | 4828 | 5076 |
| Unallocated | 1333 | 5823 | 10172 | 4962 | 6312 | 4398 | 5270 | 4408 | 4828 |
| Total | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| Country | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 862 | 286 | 0 | 749 |
| Ireland | 5180 | 6651 | 4905 | 4127 | 2002 | 4599 | 2107 | 2399 | 1782 |
| UK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unallocated | 22 | 6651 | 4905 | 4127 | 2002 | 5461 | 2393 | 2399 | 2531 |
| Total | 5302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$

| Country | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ireland | 1153 | 581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| UK | 3234 | 3821 | 4629 | 4895 | 4594 | 4894 | 5202 | 5675 | 4828 |

Unallocated

| Total | 4387 | 4402 | 4629 | 4895 | 4594 | 4894 | 5202 | 5693 | 4828 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Country | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ireland | 119 | 0 | 82 | 200 | 1299 | 1317 | 1957 | 753 |
| UK | 5089 | 4868 | 4245 | 3696 | 5504 | 5061 | 5969 | 6455 |
| Unallocated |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5208 | 4891 | 4327 | 3896 | 6804 | 6378 | 7927 | 7208 |

Table 7.2.2 Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of $5 \mathbf{2}^{\circ} \mathbf{3} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea)Herring in Division 7.a North (Irish Sea).Catch at length data 1995-2021. Numbers of fish in thousands. Table amended with 1990-1994 year-classes removed (see Annex 8).

|  | 늑 | ু | 국 |  | 욱 | 응 | O- | No | Ò O | ষ্ণ | 이N | O O | Ò | - | $\stackrel{*}{\circ}$ | Oì | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{7}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{m}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\underset{N}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | ㅇN | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| 14.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 0 | 11 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  | 31 | 50 | 11 |  |
| 15.5 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 93 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 54 | 74 |  |  |
| 16 | 21 | 21 | 17 |  | 19 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | - | 107 | 30 |  | 8 | 0 |  | 109 |  | 47 | 233 |  |  |
| 16.5 | 55 | 51 | 94 |  | 53 | 49 | 27 |  |  | 13 | 1 | 44 | 33 | 1 | - | 487 | 165 |  | 84 | 14 |  | 174 |  | 176 | 401 | 106 |  |
| 17 | 139 | 127 | 281 | 26 | 97 | 67 | 53 |  |  | 25 | 39 | 140 | 69 | 3 | - | 764 | 356 | 89 | 202 | 213 | 16 | 261 | 86 | 431 | 883 | 428 | 37 |
| 17.5 | 148 | 200 | 525 | 30 | 82 | 97 | 105 |  |  | 84 | 117 | 211 | 286 | 11 | - | 1155 | 851 | 143 | 470 | 808 | 32 | 413 | 62 | 749 | 1170 | 1250 | 54 |
| 18 | 300 | 173 | 1022 | 123 | 145 | 115 | 229 |  |  | 102 | 291 | 586 | 852 | 34 | - | 1574 | 1406 | 301 | 533 | 1644 | 72 | 326 | 148 | 594 | 1532 | 1934 | 124 |
| 18.5 | 280 | 415 | 1066 | 206 | 135 | 134 | 240 | 36 |  | 114 | 521 | 726 | 2088 | 64 | - | 1405 | 841 | 533 | 555 | 3246 | 64 | 457 | 148 | 1097 | 1346 | 2913 | 144 |
| 19 | 310 | 554 | 1720 | 317 | 234 | 164 | 385 | 18 |  | 203 | 758 | 895 | 2979 | 85 | - | 866 | 1029 | 479 | 588 | 5357 | 136 | 522 | 234 | 841 | 1051 | 2832 | 337 |
| 19.5 | 305 | 652 | 1263 | 277 | 82 | 97 | 439 | 0 | 29 | 269 | 933 | 1246 | 3527 | 108 | - | 673 | 1026 | 493 | 680 | 5371 | 199 | 718 | 382 | 928 | 1331 | 1996 | 368 |
| 20 | 326 | 749 | 1366 | 427 | 218 | 109 | 523 | 0 | 73 | 368 | 943 | 984 | 3516 | 100 | - | 787 | 1062 | 298 | 1041 | 4025 | 271 | 826 | 1121 | 1608 | 1585 | 2438 | 825 |
| 20.5 | 404 | 867 | 1029 | 297 | 242 | 85 | 608 | 18 | 215 | 444 | 923 | 1443 | 2852 | 133 | - | 888 | 1502 | 511 | 1419 | 2905 | 279 | 1087 | 1343 | 1881 | 2263 | 2857 | 970 |
| 21 | 468 | 886 | 1510 | 522 | 449 | 115 | 1086 | 307 | 272 | 862 | 1256 | 1521 | 3451 | 192 |  | 1470 | 1874 | 643 | 2364 | 2608 | 439 | 1783 | 3154 | 3352 | 2716 | 3624 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & -18 \end{aligned}$ |


|  | 슥 | இঃ | 숙 | o̊ | ু | O- | O- | No | ÒN | Oi | 스N | O O | 우N | ONN | 围 |  | 프국 | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{A}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{N}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | Ò N | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.5 | 782 | 1258 | 1192 | 549 | 362 | 138 | 1201 | 433 | 290 | 1007 | 1380 | 1621 | 2929 | 217 | - | 1758 | 1396 | 1104 | 2963 | 2381 | 854 | 1762 | 3007 | 3838 | 3340 | 5419 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 870 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | 1509 | 1530 | 2607 | 1354 | 1261 | 289 | 1748 | 1750 | 463 | 1495 | 1361 | 2748 | 3821 | 271 | - | 2363 | 2372 | 1586 | 3052 | 2906 | 1896 | 2588 | 4374 | 5232 | 4676 | 6594 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \hline 058 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22.5 | 2541 | 2190 | 2482 | 1099 | 2305 | 418 | 1763 | 1949 | 600 | 2140 | 1448 | 3629 | 3503 | 229 | - | 3362 | 2778 | 2404 | 3599 | 2766 | 2028 | 2675 | 2711 | 6046 | 4289 | 7828 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 312 \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | 4198 | 2362 | 3508 | 2493 | 4784 | 607 | 2670 | 2490 | 1158 | 2089 | 1035 | 4358 | 4196 | 322 | - | 4530 | 4100 | 3920 | 3432 | 2596 | 2470 | 2893 | 3475 | 7485 | 4476 | 7872 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 176 \end{aligned}$ |
| 23.5 | 4547 | 2917 | 3902 | 2041 | 4183 | 951 | 2254 | 1552 | 1380 | 2214 | 1256 | 2920 | 3697 | 264 | - | 5232 | 3394 | 6024 | 3039 | 1775 | 1977 | 3110 | 2625 | 6404 | 3745 | 7378 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & -135 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | 4416 | 3649 | 4714 | 3695 | 4165 | 1436 | 3489 | 1029 | 1273 | 2054 | 1276 | 3679 | 3178 | 259 | - | 4559 | 4759 | 8849 | 3882 | 2161 | 2124 | 2849 | 2649 | 6912 | 4841 | 6065 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 580 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24.5 | 3391 | 4077 | 4138 | 2769 | 3397 | 1783 | 4098 | 758 | 1249 | 2269 | 1083 | 2431 | 2136 | 204 | - | 3616 | 3729 | 7777 | 3985 | 1879 | 1911 | 2523 | 2144 | 4992 | 5033 | 5004 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 086 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | 3100 | 4015 | 5031 | 2625 | 2620 | 2144 | 5566 | 776 | 1163 | 1749 | 1086 | 3438 | 1503 | 148 | - | 3083 | 3430 | 7020 | 3364 | 2282 | 2367 | 2414 | 2378 | 4462 | 3713 | 3362 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 586 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25.5 | 2358 | 3668 | 3971 | 2797 | 1817 | 1791 | 4785 | 1335 | 1211 | 1206 | 584 | 2198 | 952 | 114 | - | 2582 | 2662 | 5759 | 2693 | 2264 | 2319 | 2458 | 1824 | 2632 | 2079 | 3102 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 26 | 2334 | 2480 | 3871 | 3115 | 1694 | 1349 | 3814 | 1570 | 1140 | 823 | 438 | 1714 | 643 | 78 | - | 1777 | 2343 | 4835 | 1934 | 1612 | 1962 | 1936 | 1331 | 1455 | 1401 | 1945 | 772 |
| 26.5 | 1807 | 2177 | 2455 | 2641 | 1547 | 840 | 2243 | 1552 | 1573 | 587 | 203 | 605 | 330 | 42 | - | 950 | 1595 | 2664 | 1026 | 900 | 1016 | 1631 | 739 | 798 | 421 | 900 | 290 |
| 27 | 1622 | 1949 | 1711 | 2992 | 1475 | 616 | 1489 | 776 | 1607 | 510 | 165 | 445 | 147 | 23 | - | 460 | 1083 | 1716 | 412 | 498 | 827 | 826 | 370 | 458 | 210 | 342 | 181 |
| 27.5 | 990 | 1267 | 1131 | 1747 | 867 | 479 | 644 | 433 | 1189 | 383 | 60 | 155 | 72 | 10 | - | 216 | 472 | 629 | 179 | 326 | 252 | 283 | 123 | 198 | 41 | 119 | 76 |
| 28 | 834 | 906 | 638 | 1235 | 276 | 212 | 496 | 162 | 726 | 198 | 45 | 104 | 33 | 12 | - | 9 | 248 | 231 | 85 | 256 | 141 | 65 | 37 | 104 | 52 | 29 | 18 |
| 28.5 | 123 | 564 | 440 | 170 | 169 | 58 | 179 | 108 | 569 | 51 | 18 | 9 | 26 | 1 | - |  | 53 | 159 | 28 | 156 | 48 | 65 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 80 | 2 |
| 29 | 248 | 210 | 280 | 111 | 61 | 42 | 10 | 36 | 163 |  | 12 | 46 |  |  | - | 9 |  | 108 |  | 57 | 16 | 22 | 25 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 29.5 | 56 | 79 | 59 | 92 |  | 12 | 0 | 36 | 129 |  |  |  | 7 |  | - |  |  | 54 |  | 14 | 8 |  | 12 | 0 |  |  |  |


|  | 늑 | КЮ | - | \% ${ }_{\sim}^{\circ}$ | - | 응 | $\stackrel{-1}{\text { O- }}$ | N | 웅 | O | 능 | O O | - | Oi | 웅 | Oì | $\stackrel{\underset{N}{7}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{M}{\underset{N}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\text { - }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\underset{N}{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | O | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 40 | 32 | 8 | 84 |  | 6 | 9 |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 17 |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 7.2.3 Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of $5^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea)Herring in Division 7.a North (Irish Sea).Sampling intensity of commercial landings in 2021.

| Quarter | Country | Landings (t) | No. samples | No. fish measured | No. fish aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 784 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | * | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |
| 2 | Ireland | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | * | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |
| 3 | Ireland | 403 | 7 | 1840 | 350 |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 5576 | 24 | 2715 | 1180 |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | * | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Ireland | 350 | 3 | 789 | 150 |
|  | UK (N. Ireland) | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UK (Isle of Man) | * | - | - | - |
|  | UK (Scotland) | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | UK (England \& Wales) | 0 | - | - | - |

* no information, but catch is likely to be negligible

Table 7.3.1. Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of $52^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (Irish Sea)Herring in Division 7.a North (Irish Sea).Summary of acoustic survey AC(7.aN) information for the period 1989-2021. Small clupeoids include sprat and 0ring herring unless otherwise stated. CVs are approximate. Biomass in t . All surveys carried out at $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{~ k H z}$ except December 1996, which was at 120 kHz.

| Year | Area | Dates | herring biomass (1+rings) | CV | herring <br> biomass <br> (SSB) | CV | small clupeoids (biomass) | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | Douglas Bank | $\begin{aligned} & 25 / 09- \\ & 26 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 18000 | - | - | - |


| Year | Area | Dates | herring biomass (1+rings) | CV | herring biomass (SSB) | CV | small <br> clupeoids <br> (biomass) | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | Douglas Bank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26/09- } \\ & 27 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 26600 | - | - | - |
| 1991 | W. Irish Sea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26/07- } \\ & 8 / 08 \end{aligned}$ | 12760 | 0.23 |  |  | 660001 | 0.20 |
| 1992 | W. Irish Sea + IOM E. coast | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 07- \\ & 31 / 07 \end{aligned}$ | 17490 | 0.19 |  |  | 43200 | 0.25 |
| 1994 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28/08- } \\ & 8 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 31400 | 0.36 | 25133 | - | 68600 | 0.10 |
|  | Douglas Bank | $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 09- \\ & 26 / 09 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 28200 | - | - | - |
| 1995 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11/09- } \\ & 22 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 38400 | 0.29 | 20167 | - | 348600 | 0.13 |
|  | Douglas Bank | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 10- \\ & 11 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | - | 9840 | - | - | - |
|  | Douglas Bank | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 10- \\ & 24 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1750 | 0.51 | - | - |
| 1996 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 09- \\ & 12 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 24500 | 0.25 | 21426 | 0.25 | -2 | - |
| 1997 | Area 7.a(N)reduced | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 09- \\ & 12 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 20100 | 0.28 | 10702 | 0.35 | 46600 | 0.20 |
| 1998 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 09- \\ & 14 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 14500 | 0.20 | 9157 | 0.18 | 228000 | 0.11 |
| 1999 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 / 09- \\ & 17 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 31600 | 0.59 | 21040 | 0.75 | 272200 | 0.10 |
| 2000 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 09- \\ & 21 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 40200 | 0.26 | 33144 | 0.32 | 234700 | 0.11 |
| 2001 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 09- \\ & 18 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 35400 | 0.40 | 13647 | 0.42 | 299700 | 0.08 |
| 2002 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/09- } \\ & \text { 20/09 } \end{aligned}$ | 41400 | 0.56 | 25102 | 0.83 | 413900 | 0.09 |
| 2003 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7/09- } \\ & \text { 20/09 } \end{aligned}$ | 49500 | 0.22 | 24390 | 0.24 | 265900 | 0.10 |
| 2004 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 / 09- \\ & 10 / 09 \\ & 15 / 09- \\ & 16 / 09 \\ & 28 / 09- \\ & 29 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 34437 | 0.41 | 21593 | 0.41 | 281000 | 0.07 |
| 2005 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 29 / 08- \\ & 14 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 36866 | 0.37 | 31445 | 0.42 | 141900 | 0.10 |


| Year | Area | Dates | herring biomass (1+rings) | CV | herring <br> biomass (SSB) | CV | small clupeoids (biomass) | CV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 08- \\ & 9 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 33136 | 0.24 | 16332 | 0.22 | 143200 | 0.09 |
| 2007 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29/08- } \\ & 13 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 120878 | 0.53 | 51819 | 0.42 | 204700 | 0.09 |
| 2008 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 27 / 08- \\ & 14 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 106921 | 0.22 | 77172 | 0.23 | 252300 | 0.12 |
| 2009 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 09- \\ & 13 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 95989 | 0.39 | 71180 | 0.47 | 175000 | 0.08 |
| 2010 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 08- \\ & 11 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 131849 | 0.22 | 99877 | 0.22 | 107400 | 0.10 |
| 2011 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 27 / 08- \\ & 10 / 09 \\ & 11-12 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | 131527 | 0.36 | 49128 | 0.22 | 280000 | 0.11 |
| 2012 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 29 / 08- \\ & 12 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 79051 | 0.18 | 56759 | 0.22 | 171190 | 0.11 |
| 2013 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 29 / 08- \\ & 12 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 65649 | 0.24 | 55350 | 0.25 | 255268 | 0.09 |
| 2014 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 27 / 08- \\ & 14 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 79826 | 0.30 | 56629 | 0.33 | 393024 | 0.10 |
| 2015 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29/08- } \\ & \text { 17/09 } \end{aligned}$ | 55773 | 0.24 | 29056 | 0.23 | 237063 | 0.09 |
| 2016 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 31 / 08- \\ & 15 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 102840 | 0.25 | 91332 | 0.28 | 240926 | 0.10 |
| 2017 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28/08- } \\ & 09 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 40974 | 0.21 | 36499 | 0.23 | 219186 | 0.09 |
| 2018 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 29 / 08- \\ & 13 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 54661 | 0.29 | 39997 | 0.31 | 196600 | 0.13 |
| 2019 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 08- \\ & 13 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 68078 | 0.09 | 39318 | 0.08 | 146140 | 0.08 |
| 2020 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26/08- } \\ & 09 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 59645 | 0.09 | 40076 | 0.09 | 110401 | 0.10 |
| 2021 | Area 7.a(N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29/08- } \\ & 12 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | 69432 | 0.09 | 56486 | 0.09 | 84398 | 0.17 |

${ }^{1}$ sprat only
${ }^{2}$ Data can be made available for the IoM waters only

Table 7.3.2. Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of $52^{\circ} \mathbf{3 0}$ 'N (Irish Sea)Herring in Division 7.a North (Irish Sea).Age-disaggregated acoustic estimates (thousands) of herring abundance from the Northern Ireland surveys in September AC(7.aN). Ages in winter rings.

| AGE (RINGS) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 66.8 | 68.3 | 73.5 | 11.9 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 10.1 |
| 1995 | 319.1 | 82.3 | 11.9 | 29.2 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.9 |
| 1996 | 11.3 | 42.4 | 67.5 | 9 | 26.5 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
| 1997 | 134.1 | 50 | 14.8 | 11 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| 1998 | 110.4 | 27.3 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| 1999 | 157.8 | 77.7 | 34 | 5.1 | 10.3 | 13.5 | 1.6 | 6.3 |
| 2000 | 78.5 | 103.4 | 105.3 | 27.5 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
| 2001 | 387.6 | 93.4 | 10.1 | 17.5 | 7.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| 2002 | 391 | 71.9 | 31.7 | 24.8 | 31.3 | 14.8 | 2.8 | 4.5 |
| 2003 | 349.2 | 220 | 32 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 2004 | 241 | 115.5 | 29.6 | 15.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 2005 | 94.3 | 109.9 | 97.1 | 17 | 8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 5.8 |
| 2006 | 374.7 | 96.6 | 15.6 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2007 | 1316.7 | 251.3 | 46.6 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 |


| AGE (RINGS) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 475.7 | 452.4 | 114.2 | 39.1 | 26.4 | 17.1 | 4.3 | 0.6 |
| 2009 | 371.2 | 182.6 | 177.8 | 92.7 | 32.5 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 6.9 |
| 2010 | 580.6 | 561.2 | 117.7 | 120.8 | 34.3 | 16.8 | 4.3 | 6.5 |
| 2011 | 1927.0 | 330.2 | 43.9 | 15.0 | 21.9 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| 2012 | 369.1 | 191.9 | 161.0 | 51.4 | 21.6 | 19.3 | 12.1 | 3.1 |
| 2013 | 100.0 | 285.2 | 81.6 | 54.3 | 41.2 | 13.4 | 11.1 | 6.8 |
| 2014 | 299.7 | 193.3 | 127.3 | 29.7 | 43.1 | 17.3 | 7.8 | 12.5 |
| 2015 | 491.9 | 141.9 | 25.2 | 17.0 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 4.3 |
| 2016 | 131.5 | 449.3 | 257.2 | 110.2 | 32.2 | 18.3 | 8.2 | 7.0 |
| 2017 | 42.2 | 89.7 | 104.1 | 56.5 | 9.0 | 20.3 | 4.4 | 11.8 |
| 2018 | 237.9 | 120.7 | 63.3 | 110.9 | 29.6 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 5.1 |
| 2019 | 148.9 | 247.5 | 44.7 | 21.2 | 14.6 | 9.0 | 1.8 | 0.9 |


| AGE (RINGS) | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2020 | 247.4 | 96.7 | 115.6 | 16.2 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| 2021 | 101.8 | 423.9 | 177.6 | 24.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

Table 7.6.3.1. Irish Sea Herring. Catch in number. Units: thousands

| age/year | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5840 | 5050 | 5100 | 1305 | 1168 | 2429 | 4491 | 2225 | 2607 | 1156 | 2313 | 1999 | 12145 |
| 2 | 25760 | 15790 | 16030 | 12162 | 8424 | 10050 | 15266 | 12981 | 21250 | 6385 | 12835 | 9754 | 6885 |
| 3 | 19510 | 3200 | 5670 | 5598 | 7237 | 17336 | 7462 | 6146 | 13343 | 12039 | 5726 | 6743 | 6744 |
| 4 | 8520 | 2790 | 2150 | 2820 | 3841 | 13287 | 8550 | 2998 | 7159 | 4708 | 9697 | 2833 | 6690 |
| 5 | 1980 | 2300 | 330 | 445 | 2221 | 7206 | 4528 | 4180 | 4610 | 1876 | 3598 | 5068 | 3256 |
| 6 | 910 | 330 | 1110 | 484 | 380 | 2651 | 3198 | 2777 | 5084 | 1255 | 1661 | 1493 | 5122 |
| 7 | 360 | 290 | 140 | 255 | 229 | 667 | 1464 | 2328 | 3232 | 1559 | 1042 | 719 | 1036 |
| $8+$ | 230 | 240 | 380 | 59 | 479 | 724 | 877 | 1671 | 4213 | 1956 | 1615 | 815 | 392 |


| age/year | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 646 | 1970 | 3204 | 5335 | 9551 | 3069 | 1810 | 1221 | 2713 | 179 | 694 | 3225 | 8692 |
| 2 | 14636 | 7002 | 21330 | 17529 | 21387 | 11879 | 16929 | 3743 | 11473 | 9021 | 4694 | 8833 | 13980 |
| 3 | 3008 | 12165 | 3391 | 9761 | 7562 | 3875 | 5936 | 5873 | 7151 | 1894 | 3345 | 5405 | 10555 |
| 4 | 3017 | 1826 | 5269 | 1160 | 7341 | 4450 | 1566 | 2065 | 13050 | 1866 | 2559 | 2161 | 3287 |
| 5 | 2903 | 2566 | 1199 | 3603 | 1641 | 6674 | 1477 | 558 | 3386 | 2395 | 882 | 623 | 1422 |
| 6 | 1606 | 2104 | 1154 | 780 | 2281 | 1030 | 1989 | 347 | 936 | 953 | 2945 | 213 | 415 |
| 7 | 2181 | 1278 | 926 | 961 | 840 | 2049 | 444 | 251 | 650 | 474 | 872 | 673 | 292 |
| $8+$ | 848 | 1991 | 1452 | 1364 | 1432 | 451 | 622 | 147 | 803 | 337 | 605 | 127 | 368 |


| age | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5669 | 20290 | 8939 | NA | 9588 | 7454 | 2491 | 3889 | 27377 | 1654 | 2216 | 2112 |
| 2 | 15253 | 18291 | 18974 | NA | 17627 | 17598 | 9664 | 18916 | 9567 | 15414 | 19064 | 12844 |
| 3 | 8198 | 4980 | 7487 | NA | 6679 | 8984 | 12247 | 6836 | 7917 | 4840 | 5992 | 12419 |
| 4 | 6318 | 1655 | 2696 | NA | 6201 | 3982 | 7944 | 6631 | 1997 | 7376 | 4677 | 4407 |
| 5 | 1325 | 1062 | 2082 | NA | 3200 | 3671 | 3061 | 2901 | 1759 | 1613 | 2050 | 609 |
| 6 | 605 | 325 | 1761 | NA | 925 | 1751 | 3158 | 1472 | 964 | 4276 | 1421 | 1065 |
| 7 | 262 | 122 | 328 | NA | 370 | 690 | 1591 | 625 | 409 | 1678 | 896 | 487 |
| 8+ | 246 | 111 | 216 | NA | 185 | 425 | 652 | 352 | 830 | 1112 | 759 | 623 |
| age |  | 2018 |  |  | 2019 |  |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 7991 |  |  | 12176 |  |  | 15260 |  | 5708 |  |  |
| 2 |  | 22903 |  |  | 23112 |  |  | 29059 |  | 3533 |  |  |
| 3 |  | 15657 |  |  | 11083 |  |  | 20869 |  | 1374 |  |  |
| 4 |  | 12364 |  |  | 6776 |  |  | 4099 |  | 3033 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 3240 |  |  | 6661 |  |  | 3355 |  | 1163 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 538 |  |  | 1360 |  |  | 3200 |  | 976 |  |  |
| 7 |  | 391 |  |  | 182 |  |  | 777 |  | 140 |  |  |
| 8+ |  | 50 |  |  | 194 |  |  | 209 |  | 26 |  |  |

Table 7.6.3.2. Irish Sea Herring. Weights-at-age in the catch. Units: kg

| age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.076 | 0.087 | 0.068 | 0.058 | 0.070 | 0.081 | 0.096 | 0.073 |
| 2 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.142 | 0.125 | 0.143 | 0.130 | 0.124 | 0.128 | 0.140 | 0.123 |
| 3 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.187 | 0.157 | 0.167 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.155 | 0.166 | 0.155 |
| 4 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.213 | 0.186 | 0.188 | 0.175 | 0.170 | 0.174 | 0.175 | 0.171 |
| 5 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.221 | 0.202 | 0.215 | 0.194 | 0.180 | 0.184 | 0.187 | 0.181 |
| 6 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 0.243 | 0.209 | 0.228 | 0.210 | 0.198 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.190 |
| 7 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.240 | 0.222 | 0.239 | 0.218 | 0.212 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.198 |
| $8+$ | 0.278 | 0.278 | 0.278 | 0.278 | 0.273 | 0.258 | 0.254 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 0.218 | 0.218 | 0.217 |


| age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.062 | 0.089 | 0.070 | 0.075 | 0.067 | 0.064 | 0.080 | 0.069 | 0.064 | 0.067 | 0.085 | 0.081 |
| 2 | 0.114 | 0.127 | 0.123 | 0.121 | 0.116 | 0.118 | 0.123 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.106 | 0.113 | 0.116 |
| 3 | 0.140 | 0.157 | 0.153 | 0.146 | 0.148 | 0.146 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.148 | 0.139 | 0.144 | 0.136 |
| 4 | 0.155 | 0.171 | 0.170 | 0.164 | 0.162 | 0.165 | 0.163 | 0.167 | 0.168 | 0.156 | 0.167 | 0.160 |
| 5 | 0.165 | 0.182 | 0.180 | 0.176 | 0.177 | 0.176 | 0.181 | 0.176 | 0.188 | 0.168 | 0.180 | 0.167 |
| 6 | 0.174 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.181 | 0.199 | 0.188 | 0.177 | 0.188 | 0.204 | 0.185 | 0.184 | 0.172 |
| 7 | 0.181 | 0.198 | 0.202 | 0.193 | 0.200 | 0.204 | 0.188 | 0.190 | 0.200 | 0.198 | 0.191 | 0.186 |
| $8+$ | 0.197 | 0.212 | 0.212 | 0.207 | 0.214 | 0.216 | 0.222 | 0.210 | 0.213 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.199 |


| age | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.073 | 0.067 | 0.064 | 0.067 | 0.071 | 0.0620 | 0.053 | 0.058 | 0.070 | 0.059 | 0.066 | 0.070 |
| 2 | 0.107 | 0.103 | 0.105 | 0.112 | 0.110 | 0.1080 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.120 | 0.100 | 0.110 | 0.106 |
| 3 | 0.130 | 0.136 | 0.131 | 0.135 | 0.135 | 0.1330 | 0.131 | 0.134 | 0.138 | 0.130 | 0.146 | 0.136 |
| 4 | 0.157 | 0.156 | 0.149 | 0.158 | 0.153 | 0.1490 | 0.145 | 0.152 | 0.152 | 0.142 | 0.177 | 0.148 |
| 5 | 0.165 | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.173 | 0.156 | 0.1545 | 0.153 | 0.159 | 0.164 | 0.157 | 0.174 | 0.155 |
| 6 | 0.187 | 0.180 | 0.177 | 0.183 | 0.182 | 0.1730 | 0.164 | 0.175 | 0.174 | 0.165 | 0.176 | 0.157 |
| 7 | 0.200 | 0.191 | 0.184 | 0.199 | 0.196 | 0.1855 | 0.175 | 0.187 | 0.179 | 0.170 | 0.196 | 0.167 |
| $8+$ | 0.205 | 0.209 | 0.211 | 0.227 | 0.206 | 0.1890 | 0.172 | 0.196 | 0.191 | 0.180 | 0.198 | 0.171 |


| age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2020 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.054 | 0.072 | 0.060 | 0.057 | 0.057 | 0.069 |
| 2 | 0.102 | 0.093 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.095 | 0.101 |
| 3 | 0.126 | 0.121 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.119 | 0.119 |
| 4 | 0.143 | 0.140 | 0.132 | 0.137 | 0.138 | 0.133 |
| 5 | 0.159 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.148 |
| 6 | 0.161 | 0.154 | 0.159 | 0.156 | 0.152 | 0.148 |
| 7 | 0.167 | 0.154 | 0.164 | 0.159 | 0.160 | 0.160 |
| $8+$ | 0.177 | 0.162 | 0.204 | 0.181 | 0.174 | 0.167 |

Table 7.6.3.3. Irish Sea Herring. Weights-at-age in the stock. Units: kg.

| age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.076 | 0.087 | 0.068 | 0.058 | 0.070 | 0.081 | 0.077 | 0.070 |
| 2 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.142 | 0.125 | 0.143 | 0.130 | 0.124 | 0.128 | 0.135 | 0.121 |
| 3 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.195 | 0.187 | 0.157 | 0.167 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.155 | 0.163 | 0.153 |
| 4 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.219 | 0.213 | 0.186 | 0.188 | 0.175 | 0.170 | 0.174 | 0.175 | 0.167 |
| 5 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.221 | 0.202 | 0.215 | 0.194 | 0.180 | 0.184 | 0.188 | 0.180 |
| 6 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 0.243 | 0.209 | 0.229 | 0.210 | 0.198 | 0.195 | 0.196 | 0.189 |
| 7 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.240 | 0.222 | 0.239 | 0.218 | 0.212 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.195 |
| $8+$ | 0.278 | 0.278 | 0.278 | 0.278 | 0.273 | 0.258 | 0.254 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 0.218 | 0.217 | 0.214 |


| age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.061 | 0.088 | 0.073 | 0.072 | 0.067 | 0.063 | 0.073 | 0.068 | 0.063 | 0.066 | 0.085 | 0.081 |
| 2 | 0.111 | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.120 | 0.115 | 0.119 | 0.121 | 0.121 | 0.120 | 0.105 | 0.113 | 0.116 |
| 3 | 0.136 | 0.157 | 0.154 | 0.147 | 0.148 | 0.148 | 0.150 | 0.145 | 0.149 | 0.139 | 0.144 | 0.136 |
| 4 | 0.151 | 0.171 | 0.174 | 0.168 | 0.162 | 0.167 | 0.166 | 0.168 | 0.171 | 0.156 | 0.167 | 0.160 |
| 5 | 0.159 | 0.183 | 0.181 | 0.180 | 0.177 | 0.178 | 0.179 | 0.178 | 0.188 | 0.167 | 0.180 | 0.167 |
| 6 | 0.171 | 0.191 | 0.190 | 0.185 | 0.195 | 0.189 | 0.190 | 0.189 | 0.204 | 0.183 | 0.184 | 0.172 |
| 7 | 0.179 | 0.198 | 0.203 | 0.197 | 0.199 | 0.206 | 0.200 | 0.199 | 0.205 | 0.199 | 0.191 | 0.186 |
| $8+$ | 0.191 | 0.214 | 0.214 | 0.212 | 0.212 | 0.214 | 0.230 | 0.214 | 0.215 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.199 |


| age | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.064 | 0.073 | 0.071 | 0.0660 | 0.060 | 0.057 | 0.059 | 0.057 | 0.069 | 0.070 |
| 2 | 0.114 | 0.103 | 0.105 | 0.114 | 0.110 | 0.1140 | 0.118 | 0.109 | 0.109 | 0.100 | 0.112 | 0.106 |
| 3 | 0.144 | 0.136 | 0.131 | 0.137 | 0.135 | 0.1350 | 0.134 | 0.136 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.150 | 0.136 |
| 4 | 0.161 | 0.156 | 0.149 | 0.158 | 0.153 | 0.1500 | 0.147 | 0.155 | 0.149 | 0.142 | 0.178 | 0.148 |
| 5 | 0.170 | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.174 | 0.156 | 0.1550 | 0.153 | 0.162 | 0.153 | 0.157 | 0.174 | 0.155 |
| 6 | 0.192 | 0.180 | 0.177 | 0.183 | 0.182 | 0.1740 | 0.165 | 0.177 | 0.162 | 0.167 | 0.176 | 0.157 |
| 7 | 0.202 | 0.191 | 0.184 | 0.199 | 0.196 | 0.1860 | 0.176 | 0.188 | 0.168 | 0.175 | 0.196 | 0.167 |
| $8+$ | 0.214 | 0.209 | 0.211 | 0.227 | 0.206 | 0.1895 | 0.173 | 0.197 | 0.190 | 0.180 | 0.202 | 0.171 |


| age | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.054 | 0.072 | 0.060 | 0.057 | 0.057 | 0.069 |
| 2 | 0.102 | 0.093 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.095 | 0.101 |
| 3 | 0.126 | 0.121 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.119 | 0.119 |
| 4 | 0.143 | 0.140 | 0.132 | 0.137 | 0.138 | 0.133 |
| 5 | 0.159 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.148 |
| 6 | 0.161 | 0.154 | 0.159 | 0.156 | 0.152 | 0.148 |
| 7 | 0.167 | 0.154 | 0.164 | 0.159 | 0.160 | 0.160 |
| 8+ | 0.177 | 0.162 | 0.204 | 0.181 | 0.174 | 0.167 |

Table 7.6.3.4 Irish Sea Herring. Natural mortality. Units: NA

| age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 |
| 2 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 |
| 3 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 |
| 4 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 |
| 5 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 |
| 6 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 |
| 7 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |
| $8+$ | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |


| age | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2001 | 2002 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 |
| 2 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 |
| 3 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 |
| 4 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 |
| 5 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 |
| 6 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 |
| 7 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |
| $8+$ | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |


| age | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 | 0.787 |
| 2 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.380 |
| 3 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 | 0.353 |
| 4 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.335 |
| 5 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.315 |
| 6 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 | 0.311 |
| 7 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |
| 8+ | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.304 |
| age |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| 1 |  | 0.787 |  | 0.787 |  |  |  |  | 0.787 |  | 0.787 |  |
| 2 |  | 0.380 |  | 0.380 |  |  |  |  | 0.380 |  | 0.380 |  |
| 3 |  | 0.353 |  | 0.353 |  |  |  |  | 0.353 |  | 0.353 |  |
| 4 |  | 0.335 |  | 0.335 |  |  |  |  | 0.335 |  | 0.335 |  |
| 5 |  | 0.315 |  | 0.315 |  |  |  |  | 0.315 |  | 0.315 |  |
| 6 |  | 0.311 |  | 0.311 |  |  |  |  | 0.311 |  | 0.311 |  |
| 7 |  | 0.304 |  | 0.304 |  |  |  |  | 0.304 |  | 0.304 |  |
| $8+$ |  | 0.304 |  | 0.304 |  |  |  |  | 0.304 |  | 0.304 |  |

Table 7.6.3.5. Irish Sea Herring. Proportion mature. Units: NA.

| age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.80 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.46 |
| 3 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.99 |
| 4 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $8+$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| age | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 7 2008 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.114 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| 2 | 0.86 | 0.60 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.54 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 1.000 | 0.97 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.84 | 0.82 |
| 3 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.970 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| 4 | 0.99 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 1.000 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8+ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| age | 2010 | 2011 |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |  | 2020 | 2021 |
| 1 | 0.11 | 0.08 |  | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.11 |  | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.16 |  | 0.04 | 0.12 |
| 2 | 0.92 | 0.90 |  | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 0.87 |  | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.67 | 0.90 |  | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| 3 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| 4 | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Table 7.6.3.6. Irish Sea Herring. Fraction of harvest before spawning. Units: NA

| age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| $8+$ | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| age | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| $8+$ | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| $8+$ | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |

Table 7.6.3.7. Irish Sea Herring. Fraction of natural mortality before spawning. Units: NA

| age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 2 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 3 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 4 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 7 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| $8+$ | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| age | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 22003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 2 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 3 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 4 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 6 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 7 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 8+ | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| age | 2010 | 2011 |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |  | 2020 | 2021 |
| 1 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 2 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 3 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 4 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 6 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 7 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 8+ | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 |

## TABLE 7.6.3.9 Irish Sea Herring. STOCK OBJECT CONFIGURATION

min maxplusgroup minyear maxyear minfbar maxfbar
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 8 & 8 & 1980 & 2020 & 4 & 6\end{array}$

TABLE 7.6.3.10 Irish Sea Herring. sam CONFIGURATION SETTINGS
name :

```
desc :
```

range : min maxplusgroup minyear maxyear minfbar maxfbar
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { range } & : & 1 & 8 & 8 & 1980 & 2021 & 4 & 6\end{array}$
fleets : catch AC(VIIaN) VIIaNSpawn
fleets : $0 \quad 2 \quad 3$
plus.group : TRUE
states : age
states $\quad$ fleet 12345678
states : catch 12345677
states : AC(VIIaN) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
states : VIIaNSpawn NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
logN.vars : 11111111
catchabilities: age
catchabilities: fleet 12345678
catchabilities : catch NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
catchabilities: AC(VIIaN) 12344444
catchabilities: VIIaNSpawn NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
power.law.exps: age
power.law.exps:fleet 12345678
power.law.exps : catch NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
power.law.exps : AC(VIIaN) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
power.law.exps: VIIaNSpawn NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
f.vars : age
f.vars : fleet 12345678
f.vars : catch 11222344
f.vars : AC(VIIaN) NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
f.vars : VIlaNSpawn NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
obs.vars : age
obs.vars : fleet 12345678
obs.vars : catch 12223333

```
obs.vars : AC(VIIaN) 45555666
obs.vars : VIIaNSpawn NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
srr :0
cor.F : FALSE
nohess : FALSE
timeout :3600
sam.binary :
```

TABLE 7.6.3.11 Irish Sea Herring. FLR, R SOFTWARE VERSIONS
FLSAM.version 1.02
FLCore.version 2.6.6
R.version $\quad R$ version 3.2.0 (2015-04-16)
platform i386-w64-mingw32
run.date 2021-03-18 19:44:30

## 8 Stocks with limited data

Three herring stocks have very little data associated with them and have been poorly described in recent reports. These are Clyde herring, part of Division 6aN (Section 5.11 in ICES 2005a), herring in 7.e,f and herring in the Bay of Biscay (Subarea 8). In this section, only the time-series of landings are maintained.

### 8.1 Clyde herring

In 2011, under the provisions of the TAC and Quota Regulations (57/2011), the European Commission delegated the function of setting the TAC for certain stocks which are only fished by one Member State, to that Member State. This provision currently applies to herring in the Firth of Clyde with TAC setting responsibility delegated to Scotland. The stock is as such not an ICES stock with limited data, but it has been decided to continue to display the updated historical landings table for reasons of continuity. Since 1998 the agreed TAC for Clyde herring has never been reached. No reported catches occurred since 2014. However, reported catches in 2021 were 180 tonnes, and the TAC was 583 t in 2021 (Table 12.1).

### 8.2 Division 7.e.f

Figure 12.1 shows the time-series of landings over the period 1974-2021 in Division 7.e and 7.f. Data are taken from the ICES historical and official nominal databases and adjusted, where possible, with data supplied by working group members.

Since 1999, landings in Division 27.7.e are stable and have fluctuated between 5 and 800 t except in 2008 where they reached more than 1000 t (Figure 12.1).

In Division 27.7.f, it can be seen that there was a pulse of landings in the late 1970s. Since then landings have fluctuated between 200 t and a very few tonnes in recent years, without any obvious trend. In 2021, landings amount to 85 tonnes. (Figure 12.1).

### 8.3 Subarea 8 (Bay of Biscay)

In the Bay of Biscay, French landings peaked at 1700 t in 1976, declining gradually to very low levels by the late 1980s. More recently there was a sudden peak pulse of Dutch landings of 8000 t in 2002, declining to low levels since (Figure 12.2, Table 12.3). Data before 2005 were taken from the FISHSTAT database, and data from Spain updated. Data for later years were adjusted, where possible, with data supplied by working group members and from ICES official and preliminary catch statistics.

### 8.4 Division 6.aN, spring spawners

Following the WKNSCS benchmark in 2022 (ICES, 2022), the combined assessment for herring in 6.a, 7.b-c was split into separate assessments for $6 . \mathrm{aN}$ and $6 . \mathrm{aS}, 7 . \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$ following the genetic splitting of the acoustic survey. These methods were only able to split out the autumn spawning component in 6.aN (Farrell, et.al., 2021), therefore the biomass estimates and assessment in place is not relevant to the spring spawning population found in the Minch. The fishery in division 6.aN is focused on the autumn spawning herring around Cape Wrath, and therefore there is no recent catch information available for the spring spawning population.

Table 12.1 Herring from the Firth of Clyde. Catch in tonnes by country, 1959-2021. Spring and autumn-spawners combined.

| Year | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10530 | 15680 | 10848 | 3989 | 7073 | 14509 | 15096 | 9807 | 7929 | 9433 | 10594 | 7763 | 4088 | 4226 | 4715 | 4061 |
| Year | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 |
| All Catches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3664 | 4139 | 4847 | 3862 | 1951 | 2081 | 2135 | 4021 | 4361 | 5770 | 4800 | 4650 | 3612 | 1923 | 2343 | 2259 |
| Year | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Scotland | 713 | 929 | 852 | 608 | 392 | 598 | 371 | 779 | 16 | 1 | 78 | 46 | 88 | - | - | - |
| Other UK | - | - | 1 | - | 194 | 127 | 475 | 310 | 240 | 0 | 392 | 335 | 240 | - | 318 | 512 |
| Unallocated* | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Discards | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Agreed TAC | 2900 | 2300 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Total | 731 | 929 | 853 | 608 | 586 | 725 | 846 | 1089 | 256 | 1 | 480 | 381 | 328 | 0 | 318 | 512 |
| Year | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |
| Scotland | 163 | 54 | 266 | - | 90 | 119 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |  |
| Other UK | 458 | 622 | 488 | 301 | 111 | 184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Unallocated* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Discards | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Agreed TAC | 800 | 800 | 800 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 648 | 648 | 583 | 583 | 583 | 583 | 583 | 583 | 583 |  |
| Total | 621 | 676 | 754 | 301 | 201 | 303 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |  |

[^8]**Reported to be at a low level, assumed to be zero, for 1989-1995.

Table 12.2. Stocks with limited data. Landings of herring in Divisions 7.e. Source: ICES official landings database 2009-2019, national databases and ICES preliminary catch statistics 2020 and 2021.

| Country | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | 0 | 89 | 57 | 231 | 32 | 14 | 3 | 148 | 69 | 199 | 162 | 83 | 151 | 161 | 69 | 221 | 206 | 399 | 294 | 855 | 430 | 446 | 471 | 482 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 193 | 21 | 8 | 12 | 50 | 27 | 21 | 56 | 176 | 195 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 42 | 3 | 12 | 503 | 22 | 551 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 8 | 147 | 292 | 17 | 234 | 133 | 566 | 470 | 2110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| total | 193 | 118 | 474 | 535 | 118 | 276 | 157 | 770 | 715 | 2504 | 356 | 85 | 169 | 161 | 70 | 221 | 296 | 485 | 355 | 868 | 451 | 949 | 493 | 1033 |


| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | 377 | 165 | 159 | 193 | 163 | 315 | 199 | 66 | 189 | 106 | 78 | 130 | 185 | 218 | 162 | 274 | 435 | 268 | 204 | 22 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 4 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 26 | 0 | 335 | 526 | 500 | 497 | 496 | 516 | 516 | 502 | 499 | 489 | 493 | 486 | 278 | 7 | 314 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 380 | 193 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 7.e | 403 | 165 | 494 | 719 | 663 | 812 | 695 | 582 | 705 | 608 | 1010 | 619 | 680 | 710 | 440 | 281 | 753 | 272 | 205 | 23 | 391 | 201 | 12 | 5 |

*Preliminary data

Table 12.3. Stocks with limited data. Landings of herring in Divisions 7.f. Source: ICES official landings database 2009-2019, national databases and ICES preliminary catch statistics 2020 and 2021.

| Country | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 469 | 83 | 226 | 99 | 69 | 27 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 101 | 1233 | 692 | 611 | 173 | 137 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK | 21 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| USSR | 0 | 2062 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 7.f | 591 | 3379 | 1006 | 711 | 242 | 165 | 42 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 155 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |


| Country | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| France | 0 | 0 | 150 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| UK | 14 | 12 | 81 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 47 | 198 | 76 | 115 | 29 | 8 | 23 | 78 | 113 | 136 | 20 | 111 | 227 | 28 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 66 |
| USSR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Total 7.f | 14 | 12 | 231 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 47 | 198 | 76 | 115 | 29 | 8 | 23 | 104 | 113 | 136 | 20 | 111 | 227 | 28 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 85 |

*Preliminary data

Table 12.4. Stocks with limited data. Landings of herring in Subarea 8.

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020* | 2021* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France | 50 | 82 | 22 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Netherlands | 502 | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Portugal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Spain | 38 | 54 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| UK | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Total | 590 | 358 | 24 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 |




Figure 12.1. Stocks with limited data. Landings over time of herring in divisions 7.e (upper panel) and 7.f (lower panel).


Figure 12.2. Stocks with limited data. Landings over time of herring in Subarea 8.

## 9 Sandeel in Division 3.a and Subarea 4 and Division 6.a

Larval drift models and studies on recruitment and growth differences have indicated that the assumption of a single stock unit in the area is invalid. As a result, the total stock is divided in several sub-populations (ICES, 2016, Figure 9.1.1), each of which is assessed by area specific assessments. Currently fishing takes place in five out of these seven areas (sandeel area (SA) $1 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{r}$, $3 \mathrm{r}, 4$, and 6). Analytical stock assessments are currently carried out in SA $1 \mathrm{r}-3 \mathrm{r}$ and 4 , whereas SA 6 is managed under the ICES approach for data limited stocks (Category 5).
In 2010, the SMS-effort model was used for the first time to estimate fishing mortalities and stock numbers-at-age by half year, using data from 1983 to 2010. This model assumes that fishing mortality is proportional to fishing effort and is still used to assess sandeel in SAs $1 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{r}, 3 \mathrm{r}$ and 4.

Further information on the stock areas and assessment model can be found in the Stock Annex and in the benchmark report (ICES, 2016).

### 9.1 General

### 9.1.1 Ecosystem aspects

Sandeel in the North Sea can be divided into a number of more or less reproductively isolated sub-populations (see the Stock Annex). A decline in the sandeel population in several areas in recent years concurrent with a marked change in distribution has increased the concern about local depletion, of which there has been some evidence (ICES, 2007; ICES, 2008, ICES 2016). Since 2010 this has been accounted for by dividing the North Sea and 3.a into seven management areas.

Local depletion of sandeel aggregations at a distance less than 100 km from seabird colonies may affect some species of birds, especially black-legged kittiwake and sandwich tern, whereas the more mobile marine mammals and fish are likely to be less vulnerable to local sandeel depletion.

The Stock Annex contains a comprehensive description of ecosystem aspects.

### 9.1.2 Fisheries

General information about the sandeel fishery can be found in the Stock Annex.
The size distribution of the Danish fleet has changed through time, with a clear tendency towards fewer and larger vessels (ICES, 2007). During the last fifteen years, the number of Danish vessels participating in the North Sea sandeel fishery has been stable with around 100 active vessels.

The same tendency has been seen for the Norwegian vessels towards fewer and larger vessels. In 2008, 42 vessels participated in the sandeel fishery, but in 2020, 27 vessels participated in the fishery. From 2011 to 2020, the average GRT per vessel in the Norwegian fleet increased from 1100 to 1540 tonnes.

The rapid changes of the structure of the fleet that have occurred in the past may introduce more uncertainty in the assessment, as the fishing pattern and efficiency of the current fleet may differ from the previous fleet and the participation of fewer vessels has limited the spatial coverage of the fishery. This is to some degree accounted for in the stock assessments through the introduction of separate catchability periods.

The sandeel fishery in 2020 was opened 1 April and continued until the end of July. In NEEZ the fishery opened 15 April and ended 23 June.

### 9.1.3 ICES Advice

ICES advised that the fishery in 2020 should be allowed only if the analytical stock assessment indicated that the stock would be above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ by 2021 (Escapement strategy). This approach resulted in an advised TAC for 2020 in SA 1r, SA 2r, SA 3r, and 4 of 113987 t , 62658 t , 155072 t and 39611 t, respectively. Advised catches for SA 5, SA 6, and SA 7 for 2019 and 2020 were based on data limited approaches and set at $0 \mathrm{t}, 175 \mathrm{t}$ and 0 t , respectively.

### 9.1.4 Norwegian advice

Based on a recommendation from the Norwegian Institute for Marine Research, an opening TAC of 70000 tonnes for 2020 was given. As the acoustic survey abundance estimate of age 1 and the total biomass estimate ( 659000 tonnes, $\mathrm{RSE}=0.18 \%$ ) was the highest observed in the time series the final TAC increased to 250000 tonnes. Fishery was allowed in the subareas 1a, 1c, 2b, 2c, $3 \mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{c}$, 4a (see Stock Annex for area definitions).

### 9.1.5 Management

## Norwegian sandeel management plan

An Area Based Sandeel Management Plan for the Norwegian EEZ was fully implemented in 2011 but was also partly used in 2010. The areas with known sandeel fishing grounds are divided into 5 areas (each divided into subareas). An area is closed for fishery unless the biomass (Age1+) is at least 20000 tonnes. If an Area is open for fishery, one of the sub-areas is closed. A preliminary TAC for all Areas combined is given in February based on a precautionary prediction of total biomass and a harvesting rate of 0.4. An updated in-season TAC is given 15 May as the $40 \%$ percentile of the survey biomass estimate and harvesting rate of 0.4 . Areas can be opened based on the updated information (Johnsen 2020).

## Closed periods

From 2005 to 2007, the fishery in the Norwegian EEZ opened 1 April and closed again 23 June. In 2008, the ordinary fishery was stopped 2 June, and only a restricted fishery with five vessels continued. No fishery was allowed in 2009. From 2010 to 2014 the fishing season was 23 April23 June, and from 2015 and onwards from 15 April to 23 June in the Norwegian EEZ.
Since 2005, Danish vessels have not been allowed to fish sandeel before 31 March and after 1 August.

## Closed areas

The Norwegian EEZ was only open for an exploratory fishery in 2006 based on the results of a three-week RTM fishery. In 2007, no regular fishery was allowed north of $57^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and in the ICES rectangles 42F4 and 42F5 after the RTM fishery ended. In 2008, the ordinary fishery was closed except in ICES rectangles 42F4 and 44F4, and for five vessels only, the ICES rectangles 44F3, 45F3, 44F2 and 45F2 were open. The Norwegian EEZ was closed to fishery in 2009. In accordance with the Norwegian sandeel management plan, many of the Norwegian management subareas have been closed each year (see Stock Annex for details).

In the light of studies linking low sandeel availability to poor breeding success of kittiwake, there has been a moratorium on sandeel fisheries on Firth of Forth area along the U.K. coast since 2000. Note that a limited fishery for stock monitoring purposes occurs in May-June in this area.

### 9.1.6 Catch

## Adjustment of official catches

Previously, there has been substantial misreporting of catches between areas (ICES, 2015, 2016b (HAWG)). Since 2015, the Danish regulation has not allowed fishing in several stock areas on a single fishing trip. This eliminated the misreporting issue for Danish catches. However, German and Swedish catches were still high in the four rectangles, and an analysis of Swedish VMS for the years 2012 to 2015 indicated that misreporting had also occurred of Swedish catches in 2014 and 2015 (see HAWG 2017). Because of this, the working in accordance with previous year's reallocated reported catches ( 14781 t ) from rectangles 41F2, 41F3 and 41F4 to SA 1 in 2015. From 2016 onwards, no correction was made.

## Catch and trends in catches

Catch statistics for Division 4 are given by country in Table 9.1.1. Catch statistics and effort by assessment area are given in Tables 9.1.2-9.1.7. Figure 9.1.1 shows the areas for which catches are tabulated.

The sandeel fishery developed during the 1970s, and catches peaked in 1997 and 1998 with more than 1 million $t$. Since 1983 the total catches have fluctuated between 1.2 million $t(1997)$ and 73420 t (2016) (Figure 9.1.3).

## Spatial distribution of catches

Yearly catches for the period 2000-2020 distributed by ICES rectangle are shown in Figure 9.1.2 (with no spatial adjustment of official catches distribution in 2014 and 2015). The spatial distribution is variable from one year to the next, however with common characteristics. The Dogger Bank area includes the most important fishing banks for SA 1r sandeel. The fishery in SA 3r has varied over time, primarily as a result of changes in regulations and very low abundance of sandeel on the northern fishing grounds.

Table 9.1.2 shows catch weight by area. There are large differences in the regional patterns of the catches. SAs 1 r and 3 r have consistently been the most important with regard to sandeel catches. On average, these areas together have contributed $\sim 76 \%$ of the total sandeel catches in the period since 1983.
The third most important area for the sandeel fishery is SA 2r. In the period since 2003 catches from this area contributed $\sim 16 \%$ of the total catches on average.
SA 4 has contributed about $6 \%$ of the total catches since 1994, but there have been a few outstanding years with particular high catches (1994, 1996 and 2003 contributing 19, 17 and 20\% of the total catches, respectively). In 2017 and 2018, the first non-monitoring fishery was advised in the area since 2011 with a total TAC of 54043 t and 59345 t , respectively. In 2019, only a monitoring TAC was advised but in 2020, a TAC of 39 611t was advised
Several banks in the northern areas of Norwegian EEZ have not provided catches between 2001 and 2008. In this period, almost all catches from the Norwegian EEZ came from the Vestbank area (Norwegian management area 3 in Figure 9.1.5). From 2010, catches have been taken mainly from the Norwegian management areas 1, 2 and 3, and from area 4 from 2016.

## Effect of vessel size on CPUE

In order to avoid bias in effort introduced by changes in the average size of fishing vessels over time, the CPUEs are used to estimate a vessel standardization coefficient, $b$. The parameter $b$ was estimated using a mixed model for separate periods. Because the model estimates the parameter from several years of data, the time-series for the most recent period is updated for all years as
the parameter $b$ is updated with the most recent data. More information can be found in the Stock Annex.

### 9.1.7 Sampling the catch

Sampling activity for commercial catches is shown in Table 9.1.8.

### 9.1.8 Survey indices

Abundance of sandeel is monitored by a Danish/Norwegian dredge survey (covering SA $1 \mathrm{r}-3 \mathrm{r}$ ) and a Scottish dredge survey (SA 4) in November/December. See the Stock Annex for more details. An acoustic survey was carried out in Norwegian EEZ in April/May following the standard procedures described in the benchmark report (ICES, 2010a).

The dredge survey in 2020 was carried out as planned in areas $1 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{r}$ and 3 r and nearly all planned positions were covered in accordance with the survey protocol. However, because of bad weather and a temporary technical obstacle, the survey was extended by 1 week and a few of the low-priority stations were not visited (all high-priority stations were visited).. The survey in area 1 r and 2 r was expanded to the south in 2017, where new positions were visited south of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Since 2017 two vessels were used to complete the survey. This was arranged to ensure that all positions can be visited within the 3-week period of the survey (note that new positions have been included gradually over time). All available data were included in the estimated dredge index by area. In area 4, the coverage of the dredge survey was low in 2020, and only 11 stations were sampled and only two out of four main banks (compared to around 50 stations in 2019).

### 9.2 Sandeel in SA 1r

### 9.2.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for SA 1 is given in tables 9.1.2-9.1.4. Catch numbers-at-age by halfyear is given in Table 9.2.1.
In 2021, 1-group and 2-group were equally represented in the catches. The catches contained very few 3-group and older (Figure 9.2.1).

### 9.2.2 Weight-at-age

The methods applied to compile age-length-weight keys and mean weights-at-age in the catches and in the stock are described in the Stock Annex.

The mean weights-at-age observed in the catch are given in Table 9.2.2 and Figure 9.2.2 by half year. Mean weight-at-age in the first half year decreased in 2021 and is below the long term mean.

### 9.2.3 Maturity

Maturity estimates are obtained from the average observed in the Danish dredge survey in December as described in the Stock Annex. The values used are given in Table 9.2.3.

### 9.2.4 Natural mortality

In 2020, WGSAM provided updated estimates of natural mortality-at-age from multispecies modelling of southern sandeel (SMS, WGSAM 2020). Natural mortality was therefore updated. The full time-series was replaced and 3-year moving averages was used (same procedure as last time the time-series was updated). The new time-series did not affect the stock-recruitment plot to an extent that required a revision of reference points. The new time-series contains values of M that are equal to or slightly higher than the values in the old time-series, except for 2018 and onward where the new values are slightly lower in the $1^{\text {st }}$ half of the year. The values used in the 2018 and 2019 assessments were simply replicates of the 3-year average value from 2015. Natural mortalities are listed in Table 9.2.8.

### 9.2.5 Effort and research vessel data

## Trends in overall effort and CPUE

Tables 9.1.5-9.1.7 and Figure 9.2.3 show the trends in the international effort over years measured as number of fishing days standardized to a 200 GRT vessel. The standardization includes just the effect of vessel size and does not take changes in efficiency into account. Total international standardized effort peaked in 2001, after which substantial effort reduction has taken place. Effort has fluctuated without a trend since 2006.

The average CPUE in the period 1994 to 2002 was around $60 t^{\text {t-day }}$. In 2003, CPUE declined to the all-time lowest at 21 t -day. Since 2004, the CPUE has increased and reached the all-time highest ( $101 t^{\text {-day }}$ ) in 2010 followed by progressively lower CPUEs ending with CPUEs in 2013-2014 below long-term average. CPUE peaked again in 2016-2017, but have decreased to levels below average in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021.

## Tuning series used in the assessments

A commercial tuning series (RTM) describing the average catch in numbers-at-age per fishing day of a standard vessel in April/early May is used in the assessment.
CPUE data from the dredge survey (Table 9.2.4 and Figure 9.2.5) in 2021 show indices of age 0 and 1 well below the average.
The internal consistency, i.e. the ability of the RTM to follow cohorts, (shows a good consistency correlation between the 1-group and 2-group as well as between 2 and 3-group (i.e. $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.47$ and 0.54 , respectively on log scales).

### 9.2.6 Data analysis

Following the two latest Benchmark assessments (ICES, 2010, 2016) the SMS-effort model was used to estimate fishing mortalities and stock numbers-at-age by half year, using data from 1983 to 2021. In the SMS model, it is assumed that fishing mortality is proportional to fishing effort. For details about the SMS model and model settings, see the Stock Annex.

The diagnostics output from SMS are shown in Table 9.2.5. The seasonal effect on the relation between effort and F ("F, Season effect" in the table) is rather constant over the 5-year ranges used. The "age selection" ("F, age effect" in the table) shows a change in the fishery pattern where the fishery was mainly targeting the age $2+$ sandeel in the beginning of the assessment period, to a fishery targeting age $1+$ in a similar way, and then in the most recent period back to mainly targeting $2+$ sandeel.

The CV of the dredge survey ("sqrt (Survey variance) $\sim \mathrm{CV}$ " in the table) is low (0.49) for age 0 and high (0.78) for age 1 . The survey residual plot (Figure 9.2.6) shows no clear patterns.

The CV of the RTM time-series is low to moderate for ages 1,2 , and 3 ( $0.53,0.43$, and 0.49 ). The survey residual plot (Figure 9.2.6b) shows no clear patterns.

The model CV of catch-at-age ("sqrt(catch variance) $\sim \mathrm{CV}$ ", in Table 9.2 .5 is low (0.35) for age 1 and age 2 in the first half of the year and moderate to high $(>0.5)$ for the remaining ages and season combinations. The catch-at-age residuals (Figure 9.2.7) show a tendency for the cohorts to die out more rapidly than expected in 2019, 2020 and 2021 (negative catch residuals for all ages).

The CV of the fitted Stock recruitment relationship (Table 9.2.5) is high (0.86), which is also indicated by the stock recruitment plot (Figure 9.2.8). The high CV of recruitment is probably due to biological characteristic of the stock (i.e. weak stock-recruitment relationship) and not so much due to the quality of the assessment. The a priori weight on likelihood contributions from SSR-R observations is therefore set low ( 0.05 in "objective function weight" in Table 9.2.5) such that SSB-R estimates do not contribute much to the overall likelihood and model fit.

The retrospective analysis (Figure 9.2.9) shows consistent assessment results from one year to the next for F. For recruitment and SSB, there seems to have been an overestimation in the previous assessments. It is likely that this is connected to the short period used for the latest exploitation pattern, a decision made under the benchmark to accommodate an intermediate period around 2009 with a significantly different exploitation pattern. Further, the negative catch and dredge residuals observed in 2019-2021 will tend to decrease the recruitment estimate as fish of the different cohorts are observed less frequently than expected after the initial dredge index of recruitment. The stability of F estimates is partly due to the assumed robust relationship between effort and F, which is rather insensitive to removal of a few years. Recruitment and SSB estimates show a retrospective bias (5-year Mohn's Rho for R and SSB is 0.43 and 0.87 , respectively).

Uncertainties of the estimated SSB, F and recruitment (Figure 9.2.10) are in general small. The overall pattern with a lower F:effort ratio for older data indicates that the model assumption of no efficiency creeping is violated across periods but not within catchability periods.

### 9.2.7 Final assessment

The output from the assessment is presented in Tables 9.2 .6 (fishing mortality-at-age by year), 9.2.7 (fishing mortality-at-age by half year), 9.2.9 (stock numbers-at-age) and 9.2.10 (stock summary).

### 9.2.8 Historic Stock Trends

The stock summary (Figure 9.2.13 and Table 9.2.10) shows that SSB have been at or below $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ from 2004 to 2007 and again in 2013-2015. $\mathrm{F}_{(1-2)}$ is estimated to have been just below the long-time average since 2010. Recruitment in 2017 was estimated to be the lowest observed in the timeseries. 2018 recruitment was also low whereas 2019 shows average recruitment. In 2020 and 2021 the recruitment was below average again.

### 9.2.9 Short-term forecasts

## Input

Input to the short-term forecast is given in Table 9.2.11. Stock numbers in the TAC year are taken from the assessment for age 1 and older. Recruitment in 2022 is the geometric mean of the
recruitment 1983-2020 (111 billion-at-age 0). The exploitation pattern and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ is taken from the assessment values in 2021. However, as the SMS-model assumes a fixed exploitation pattern since 2010, the choice of years is not critical. Mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the sea is the average value for the years 2017-2021. Natural mortality is the same as applied in the assessment in the final year. The Stock Annex gives more details about the forecast methodology.

## Output

The short-term forecast (Table 9.2.12) shows that even a fishing mortality of zero will bring SSB below $B_{\text {pa. However, }}$ a monitoring TAC of 5000 t is recommended to ensure the quality of the assessment, consistent with previous year's advice (ICES, 2019).

### 9.2.10 Biological reference points

$B_{\text {lim }}$ is set at $110000 t$ and $B_{p a}$ at $145000 t$. MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ is set at $B_{p a}$.
Further information about biological reference points for sandeel in 1 can be found in the Stock Annex.

### 9.2.11 Quality of the assessment

The quality of the present assessment has improved compared to the combined assessment for the whole of the North Sea previously presented by ICES before 2010. This is mainly due to the fact that the present division of stock assessment areas better reflects the spatial stock structure and dynamics of sandeel. Addition of fishery independent data from the dredge survey has also improved the quality of the assessment. Together with the application of the statistical assessment model SMS-effort, this has removed the retrospective bias in F and SSB for the most recent years. The model provides rather narrow confidence limits for the model estimates of F, SSB and recruitment, but a poorer fit for the oldest data.

The model uses effort as basis for the calculation of F. The total international effort is derived from Danish CPUE and total international catches. Danish catches are by far the largest in the area, but effort data from the other countries could improve the quality of the assessment.

Abundance of the 1-group, which in most years dominates the catches, is estimated on the basis of the 0 -group index from the dredge survey in December of the preceding year. The model estimates a low variance on the survey index for age 0 . There are indications of a retrospective pattern in recent years as older fish do not seem to appear in the catches at the expected level. This pattern can be caused by uncertainty in the selection pattern when using a relatively short period to estimate this or unallocated mortality caused by e.g. overwintering mortality increasing when fish condition is low.

### 9.2.11.1 Status of the stock

The SSB was below $B_{\lim }$ in 2019 and 2020. In 2021, it was estimated to be above $B_{\text {lim, }}$ but below $B_{p a .}$ SSB in 2022 is similar to 2021. As noted in last year's report (ICES, 2019), the introduction of a very low recruitment in 2018 combined with a continued decrease in mean weight-at-age led to a stock below MSY Blim and $B_{\text {trigger }}$ at the beginning of 2020. The SSB in 2022 is slightly lower than expected from the forecast in 2021. There can be several reasons for that, such as reduced weight-at-age and catches exceeding the TAC advice (due to borrowing and banking).

### 9.2.12 Management Considerations

A management plan needs to be developed. The ICES approach for MSY based management of a short-lived species such as sandeel is the so-called escapement strategy, i.e. to maintain SSB above MSY Btrigger after the fishery has taken place. Management strategy evaluations presented at the ICES WKMSYREF2 and WKMSYREF5 meetings (ICES, 2014a, 2017) indicated that the es-capement-strategy is not sustainable for short-lived species, unless the strategy is combined with a ceiling ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ ) on the fishing mortality. This means that if the TAC that comes out of the escapement strategy corresponds to an $F_{b a r}$ that exceeds $F_{\text {cap }}$, then the escapement strategy should be disqualified and the TAC is instead determined based on a fishing mortality corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap. }} \mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ for SA 1 r is 0.49 (ICES, 2017).

Based on the misreporting of catches as observed in 2014 and 2015, management measures to avoid area misreporting (only one fishing area per trip) have been mandatory for the Danish fishery since 2015. There are indications of area misreporting for other nations (e.g. Sweden) in 2015 but likely not in the most recent years. Similar management measures as used for the Danish fishery would reduce further the risk of misreporting for other nations as well.

Self-sampling on board the commercial vessels for biological data should be mandatory for all nations utilising a monitoring TAC. Today samples are only obtained from the Danish fishery.

### 9.3 Sandeel in SA 2r

### 9.3.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for SA $2 r$ is given in tables 9.1.2-9.1.4. Catch numbers-at-age by halfyear are given in Table 9.3.1.

The proportion of the 1-group in the catch was high in both 2020 and 2021, although not as high as in 2017 ( $98 \%$ ), following the high recruitment in 2016. The 2016 year class was even seen in the 2019 catch as a high proportion of 3-group fish (52\%) (Figure 9.3.1).

### 9.3.2 Weight-at-age

The methods applied to compile age-length-weight keys and mean weights-at-age in the catches and in the stock are described in the Stock Annex.

The mean weights-at-age observed in the catch are given in Table 9.3 .2 by half year. It is assumed that the mean weights in the sea are the same as in the catch. The time-series of mean weight in the catch and in the stock is shown in Figure 9.3.2. Mean weight-at-age for all age groups in 2019 was above the historic average, reaching 108\% of the long-term average on average. In 2020, a slight decrease in weights was observed for the 1-group compared to 2019, whereas weight at age of older age-groups increased. In 2021, weights had declined across all age-groups compared to 2020.

### 9.3.3 Maturity

Maturity estimates are obtained from the average observed in the Danish dredge survey in December as described in the Stock Annex. The values used are given in Table 9.3.3.

### 9.3.4 Natural mortality

Long-term averages of natural mortality-at-age from multispecies modelling of southern and northern sandeel (SMS, WGSAM 2015, ICES 2016) were used. More details are given in the Stock Annex. Natural mortalities are listed in Table 9.3.8. Mortalities were not updated in response to the new WGSAM key run (WGSAM 2020) as the update is not likely to affect long-term averages greatly.

### 9.3.5 Effort and research vessel data

## Trends in overall effort and CPUE

Tables 9.1.5-9.1.7 and Figure 9.3.3 show the trends in the international effort over years measured as number of fishing days standardised to a 200 GRT vessel. The standardisation includes just the effect of vessel size and does not take changes in efficiency into account.

Total international standardized effort in 2021 was the second lowest in the time-series, but also the CPUE was the second lowest, coming down from a relatively high CPUE in 2020.

## Tuning series used in the assessments

No commercial tuning series are used in the present assessment.
The dredge survey in SA 2 r (Table 9.3.4 and Figure 9.3.5) increased coverage in 2010 and this is therefore used as the start year of the dredge time-series for the assessment. The coverage has however varied somewhat in this period and the time-series is still short. Details about the dredge survey are given in the Stock Annex and the benchmark report (ICES, 2016). Dredge CPUEs were high in 2021, and in particularly high in the Northern parts, resulting in the second highest age-0 index in the time-series. This year a few explorative hauls were taken close to some of the existing stations. However, catch rates in these hauls were not much different from the adjacent fixed station hauls. The explorative hauls were uploaded to the database as valid hauls, and were therefore included in the survey index.

## Adjustment to standard settings to accommodate retrospective pattern in recruitment

In previous years, there has been a large overestimation of recruitment in the terminal year in cases where the dredge survey showed large abundance of age 0 . In 2020, the working group examined the relationship between dredge survey catches-at-age 0 and the number of recruits as estimated in the SPALY run and considered that the retrospective pattern could be caused by ignoring density dependence in catchability (increased catchability at high abundance). The relationship seemed to be well fitted using a power relationship between dredge index and abundance, with no indication of this given errors in estimated abundance in high or low abundance years. The use of a power model for survey catchability of the youngest age groups is routinely used for North Sea sprat (ICES 2018). It is an adjustment of the model where one additional parameter is estimated. HAWG evaluated the retrospective bias in recruitment in 2020 without density dependent catchability (Mohn's ro $=0.63$ ) and with density dependent catchability (Mohn's ro $=0.52$ ). The AIC of the model including density dependent was unchanged. Based on these considerations, HAWG 2020 decided to include density dependent catchability in the final run. HAWG 2021 re-examined the density dependent parameter and found it still to be significant.

### 9.3.6 Data analysis

The diagnostics output from SMS-effort are shown in Table 9.3.5.

The CV of the dredge survey (Table 9.3.5) is low ( 0.30 for the 0 -group) after the introduction of the density dependent catchability for age 0 , indicating a high consistency between the results from the dredge survey and the overall model results. The residual plot (Figure 9.3.6) shows no bias for this time-series.

The model CV of catch-at-age 1 and 2 is low (0.40) in the first half of the year and medium or high (>0.70) for the remaining ages and season combinations. The residual plots for catch-at-age (Figure 9.3.7) confirm that the fit is generally poor except for age 1 and 2 in the first half year. The residual plot (Figure 9.3.7) shows no long-term bias for this time-series for ages 1 and 2 in the first half year.

The CV of the fitted stock recruitment relationship (Table 9.3.5) is high (1.02 which is also indicated by the stock recruitment plot (Figure 9.3.8). The high CV of recruitment is probably due to highly variable recruitment success and less due to the quality of the assessment.
Uncertainties of the estimated SSB, F and recruitment (Figure 9.3.10) are in general low, which gives narrow confidence limits on estimated values (Figure 9.3.11).

The plot of standardized fishing effort and estimated F (Figure 9.3.12) shows a good relationship between effort and F as specified by the model. As the model assumes a different efficiency and catchability for the five periods 1983-1988, 1989-1998, 1999-2004, 2005-2009, and 2010-2020, the relation between effort and F varies between these periods. An effort unit in the early part of the time-series gives a smaller F than an effort unit in the most recent years. This indicates technical creep, i.e. a standard 200 GT vessel has become more efficient over time (see Stock Annex for further discussion, ICES 2016).

The retrospective analysis (Figure 9.3.9) shows consistent assessment estimates of F from one year to the next. There has been a systematic overestimation of SSB in most years since 2011 (with few exceptions), some times, but not always, as a result of an overestimation of recruitment (and therefore lower than expected abundance of these cohorts in the subsequent catches). This pattern was improved by the introduction of density dependent catchability in the model. The 5year Mohn's Rho values are, however, still fairly high ( 0.55 and 0.37 for SSB and recruitment, respectively). Reasons for the previous pattern can be connected to either overestimation of recruitment in the dredge survey lower than expected survival of the two cohorts, or lower than expected catchability of these cohorts in the fishery. Both the selectivity pattern and the dredge survey are based on a relatively short time-series, and hence variation between years is to be expected.

### 9.3.7 Final assessment

The output from the assessment is presented in tables 9.3.6 (fishing mortality-at-age by year), 9.3.7 (fishing mortality-at-age by half year), 9.3.9 (stock numbers-at-age) and 9.3.10 (stock summary).

### 9.3.8 Historic Stock Trends

The stock summary (Figure 9.3.13 and Table 9.3.10) show that recruitment has been highly variable and with a weak decreasing trend over the full time-series until the 2016 year class, which is estimated to be the $4^{\text {th }}$ strongest on record, followed by a 2017 year class which is estimated to be the lowest observed and a 2018 year class which was the fifth lowest on record. In 2019, the recruitment was average and in 2020 below average. SSB has been at or below Blim in 1989, 2002, from 2004 to 2010 and again from 2012 to 2017 and 2019 to 2022. Since 2004, SSB has been below $B_{\mathrm{pa}}$ in all years. $\mathrm{F}_{1-2}$ is estimated to have been below the long-time average since 2010 with the exception of 2013, 2017 and 2020.

### 9.3.9 Short-term forecasts

## Input

Input to the short-term forecast is given in Table 9.3.11. Stock numbers for age 1 and older in the TAC year are taken from the assessment. Recruitment in 2022 is the geometric mean of the recruitment in 2011-2020. The exploitation pattern and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ is taken from the assessment values in 2021. As the SMS-model assumes a fixed exploitation pattern since 2010, the choice of year is not critical. Mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the sea is the average (i.e. 5-year mean) value for the years 2017-2021. Natural mortality and proportion mature are the fixed values applied in the terminal year in the assessment.

## Output

The short-term forecast (Table 9.3.12) shows that a fishing mortality of 0.57 will bring SSB down to $B_{p a}$ in 2023. However, since $F_{\text {cap }}$ for this area is 0.44 , the TAC should instead be based on a fishing mortality of 0.44 , which results in a TAC of 71859 tonnes in 2022.

Blim is set at 56000 t and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ at 84000 t . MSY Btrigger is set at $\mathrm{B}_{\text {pa. }}$. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ is set at 0.44 (ICES, 2016). Further information about biological reference points can be found in the Stock Annex and Benchmark report from 2016 (WKSAND, 2016).

### 9.3.10 Quality of the assessment

This stock was benchmarked between the 2016 and 2017 assessments where the ICES statistical rectangles included in sandeel area 2 changed. The assessment now includes fisheries independent information from a dredge survey representative for the area. The assessment is considered to be of good quality but with some indications of a retrospective pattern in recent years as older fish do not seem to appear in the catches at the expected level. This pattern can be caused by uncertainty in the selection pattern when using a relatively short period to estimate this or unallocated mortality caused by e.g. overwintering mortality increasing when fish condition is low (van Deurs et al., 2011.). HAWG also highlighted that the pattern might also have a link to the possible multispecies fishery within this area (i.e. suspected to catch Ammodytes tobianus). The dredge survey time-series in SA 2 is still short (2010-2021) and the quality of the assessment will likely improve once a longer time-series becomes available. Next benchmark will take place in 2022.

### 9.3.11 Status of the Stock

A moderate F in most of the years from 2010 in combination with a low recruitment have given a slow increase in SSB since the historical low values in 2004 to 2010 . SSB in 2020 are estimated below $B_{\text {lim }}$ for the second year in a row. In 2021 the stock is expected to be just above $B_{l i m}$. The stock has been below $B_{\lim }$ in 17 out of the last 20 years and only at or above $B_{p a}$ in 1 out of 20 years (20 years ago)., Recruitment in 2016 is estimated to be the fourth highest on record. The 2019recruitment was estimated to be the fifth highest since 1997. Recruitment in 2017 and 2018 were extremely low. Recruitment in 2019 was average and recruitment in 2020 was low is medium. The recruitment in 2021 appears to be high. However, based on the retrospective patterns of this stock, we anticipate some down-scaling in the coming years.

### 9.3.12 Management considerations

A management plan needs to be developed. The ICES approach for MSY based management of a short-lived species such as sandeel is the escapement strategy, i.e. to maintain SSB above MSY
$B_{\text {trigger }}$ after the fishery has taken place. Management strategy evaluations (ICES, 2016) established that the escapement-strategy is not sustainable for short-lived species, unless the strategy is combined with a ceiling ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ ) on the fishing mortality and estimated this $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ for SA 2 r sandeel at 0.44. This means that if the TAC that results from the escapement strategy corresponds to an $\mathrm{Fbar}_{\mathrm{b}}$ that exceeds $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$, then the TAC is determined based on a fishing mortality corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$.

### 9.4 Sandeel in SA 3r

### 9.4.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for SA 3 is given in tables 9.1.2-9.1.4. Catch numbers-at-age by halfyear is given in Table 9.4.1.

In 2021, the 1-group and 2-group fish dominated the catches, but also a large proportion (second largest in the time-series) of 4-groups was observed. 3-groups were the least frequent.

### 9.4.2 Weight-at-age

The mean weights-at-age observed in the catch are given in Table 9.4 .2 by half year. It is assumed that the mean weights in the sea are the same as in the catch. The time-series of mean weight in the catch and in the stock is shown in Figure 9.4.2. Mean weight-at-age in the first half-year has increased for four consecutive years in all age-groups, and is now the highest ever observed for age- 1 and age- 2 .

### 9.4.3 Maturity

Maturity estimates are obtained from the average observed in the dredge survey in December as described in the Stock Annex. The values used are given in Table 9.4.3.

### 9.4.4 Natural mortality

In 2020, WGSAM provided updated estimates of natural mortality-at-age from multispecies modelling of northern sandeel (SMS, WGSAM 2020).

The effect of using 3-year averages of these new values on historical development and stock recruitment relationship of the stock was evaluated by the working group and it was decided that the new natural mortality values resulted in a substantial change in the historic perception of the stock, including possible changes to reference points. For this reason, it was decided not to use the new natural mortalities but to refer to HAWG for consideration of whether new reference points should be estimated.
3-year averages of natural mortality-at-age from the 2015 multispecies modelling of southern and northern sandeel (SMS, WGSAM 2015, ICES 2016) were used. The last value provided was used for all years following the latest data point. More details are given in the stock annex. Natural mortalities are listed in Table 9.4.8.

### 9.4.5 Effort and research vessel data

## Trends in overall effort and CPUE

Tables 9.1.5-9.1.7 and Figure 9.4.3 show the trends in the international effort over years measured as number of fishing days standardised to a 200 GRT vessel. The standardisation includes just
the effect of vessel size and does not take changes in efficiency into account. Total international standardized effort peaked in 1998 and declined thereafter and has been less than 2000 days per year since 2003. The last two years, effort has increased, reaching 3492 days in 2020. In 2021, effort is down to the same level as in 2021. CPUE has been increasing for four consecutive years, and in 2021 it was the fourth highest of the time-series.

## Tuning series used in the assessments

CPUE data from the dredge survey (Table 9.4.4 and Figure 9.4.5) in 2021 show average indices for both age 0 and age 1 (Table 9.4.4). The internal consistency plot (Figure 9.4.4) shows medium consistency for age 0 vs. age 1 (i.e. $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.38$ on log scales). In 2014, 13 new positions were included in the survey in SA 3r. Only two of the new positions were taken in squares not included before (42F5 and 42F6). All the new positions have been included in the survey index since 2014 (Table 9.4.4) for assessment purposes, to obtain a better spatial coverage. Details about the dredge survey are given in the Stock Annex and the benchmark report (ICES, 2016).

The Norwegian acoustic survey (2009-2021) carried out in Norwegian EEZ is used as tuning series in the assessment in SA 3r (Table 9.4.13 and figures 9.4.14-9.4.16). The survey covers the main sandeel grounds in SA 3r. This year a few explorative hauls were taken close to one of the existing stations. However, catch rates in these hauls were not much different from the adjacent fixed station hauls. The explorative hauls were uploaded to the database as valid hauls, and were therefore included in the survey index. The acoustic estimate in number of individuals by age and survey is presented in Table 9.4.13.

## Adjustment to standard settings to accommodate retrospective pattern in recruitment

In previous years, there has been a large overestimation of recruitment in the terminal year in cases where the dredge survey showed large abundance of age 0 . The working group examined the relationship between dredge survey catches-at-age 0 and the number of recruits as estimated in the SPALY run (see figure below, where I is the survey index of age-0 and N0 the number of recruits) and considered that the retrospective pattern could be caused by ignoring density dependence in catchability (increased catchability at high abundance). The relationship seemed to be well fitted using a power relationship between dredge index and abundance, with no indication of this given errors in estimated abundance in high or low abundance years. The use of a power model for survey catchability of the youngest age groups is routinely used for North Sea sprat (ICES 2018). It is an adjustment of the model where one additional parameter is estimated. HAWG evaluated the retrospective bias in recruitment without density dependent catchability (Mohn's rho $=0.57$ ) and with density dependent catchability (Mohn's rho $=0.13$ ). The AIC of the model including density dependent was unchanged. Based on these considerations, HAWG 2020 decided to include density dependent catchability in the final run. This approach was continued in 2021 and 2022.

### 9.4.6 Data Analysis

The diagnostics output from SMS-effort model is shown in Table 9.4.5.
The CV of the dredge survey (Table 9.4.5) is medium for age $0(0.69)$ and high for age 1 ( 0.79 ), showing an overall poor consistency between the results from the dredge survey of age 1 and the overall model results. The internal consistency of the survey seems to indicate the large and small year classes can be followed in the dredge, but the exact size of small or large cohorts cannot.

The CV of the acoustic survey (Table 9.4.5) is medium for both age 1 and age $2(0.60)$ and high for age 3 (1.08), showing an overall medium consistency between the results from the acoustic
survey and the overall model results. The residual plot shows high positive residuals in 2020, indicating that the very high acoustic indices were not confirmed by the model.

The model CV of catch-at-age is medium (0.69) for age 1 and age 2 in the first half of the year (Table 9.4.5). For the older ages and for all ages in the second half year, the CVs are high (>1.00). The catch residual plots for catch-at-age (Figure 9.4.7) confirm that the fits are generally very poor except for age 1 and 2 in the first half year. There is a tendency for clusters of negative or positive residuals for ages 1 and 2 but no trend in recent years.
The CV of the fitted stock recruitment relationship (Table 9.4.5) is high (1.07), which is also indicated by the stock recruitment plot (Figure 9.4.8). The high CV of recruitment is probably due to the biological characteristics of the stock and less due to the quality of the assessment. The a priori weight on likelihood contributions from SSR-R observations is therefore set low ( 0.01 in "objective function weight" in Table 9.4.5) such that SSB-R estimates do not contribute much to the overall model likelihood and fit.

There used to be a large retrospective pattern in the recruitment that consistently overestimated large recruiting year-classes. However, after implementing density dependence on the relationship between recruitment and the dredge survey in 2020 (i.e. increasing catchability with increasing densities), the retrospective bias was reduced from a Mohn's Rho $>0.5$ to -0.10 in the present year's assessment.

Uncertainties of the estimated SSB, F and recruitment (Figure 9.4.10) are in general medium, which gives wide confidence limits (Figure 9.4.11) on output variables.

The plot of standardized fishing effort and estimated F (Figure 9.4.12) shows a moderate relation between effort and F as assumed by the model specification. As the model assumes a different catchability-at-age for the three periods 1986-1998, 1999-present, the relation between effort and F varies between these periods. There is a shift in the ratio between effort and F over the full time-series. In the year range 1986-1998, F is in generally lower than effort on the plot, while the opposite is the case for the remaining periods, corresponding to a technical creep over time (ICES, 2016).

### 9.4.7 Final assessment

The output from the final assessment is presented in Tables 9.4.6 (fishing mortality-at-age), 9.4.7 (fishing mortality-at-age by half year), 9.4.9 (stock numbers-at-age) and 9.4.10 (Stock summary).

### 9.4.8 Historic Stock Trends

SSB has been at or below $B_{\lim }$ from 1999 to 2006 after which SSB increased to above $B_{\text {pa }}$ in 2008. This was followed by SSB below Blim in 2013 (Figure 9.4.16 and Table 9.4.17). Above average recruitments in 2016, 2018, 2019 and 2020 together with a fishing mortality below average in most years and increased weights have resulted in SSB being above $B_{p a}$ in 2015 onwards.

### 9.4.9 Short-term forecasts

## Input

Input to the short-term forecast is given in Table 9.4.11. Stock numbers in the TAC year are taken from the assessment for age 1 and older. Recruitment in 2022 is the geometric mean of the recruitment 1986-2020 (112 billion-at-age 0). The exploitation pattern and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ is taken from the assessment values in 2020. As the SMS-model assumes a fixed exploitation pattern since 1999, the choice of year is not critical. Mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the sea is the average value
(i.e. 5-year mean) for the years 2017-2021. Proportion mature and natural mortality are equal to the terminal assessment year.

The Stock Annex gives more details about the forecast methodology.

## Output

The short-term forecast (Table 9.4.12) shows that a TAC of 85559 t in 2021 will result in a fishing mortality of 0.29 , identical to Fcap, $_{\text {, and leave SSB }} 151563 \mathrm{t}$, well above MSY B trigger 129000 t , in 2021. The TAC according to the escapement strategy is therefore 151563 t in 2021.

### 9.4.10 Biological reference points

$B_{\text {lim }}$ is set at $80000 t$ and $B_{p a}$ is estimated to $129000 t$. MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ is set at $B_{p a}$. Further information about biological reference points can be found in the Stock Annex and in the benchmark report from 2016 (WKSAND, 2016).

### 9.4.11 Quality of the assessment

This stock was benchmarked between the 2016 and 2017 assessment. The new sandeel area 3 r is slightly different from the previous sandeel area 3, and mainly consists of fishing grounds in Norwegian EEZ. There is a large retrospective pattern in the recruitment that overestimates high recruitments. This pattern may be caused by a variety of issues in the assessment, most likely of which are the shift in 2011 from using Danish to using Norwegian effort data and the change in the spatial coverage of the dredge survey. Even though the new assessment for SA 3r sandeel is considered uncertain, it is considered adequate as the basis for TAC advice.

### 9.4.12 Status of the Stock

The SSB has increased from below Blim in 2013 to above $B_{p a}$ since 2015, due to above average recruitment in 2013, 2014, 2016, 2018 to 2020 combined with a low fishing mortality. However, fishing mortality has increased since 2016, peaking in 2020. SSB decreased considerably between 2021 and 2022, due to high fishing mortality and decreasing recruitment (but SSB is still well above $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ ). Recruitment estimates for 2018-2020 were all above average, but declining since 2019. Recruitment in 2021 was estimated to be below average.

### 9.4.13 Management Considerations

Since 2011 the Norwegian sandeel fishery in the current SA3r has been managed according to an area-based management plan for the Norwegian EEZ and an advice provided by the IMR in Bergen.

### 9.5 Sandeel in SA 4

### 9.5.1 Catch data

Catch numbers-at-age by half-year from area SA 4 is given in Table 9.5.1. Total catch weight by year for SA 4 is given in tables $9.5 .2-9.5 .4$. In 2021, catch numbers were dominated by ages of 1 and 2-groups, whereas older age-groups were not common. This was also the case in 2016 (Figure 9.5.1).

### 9.5.2 Weight-at-age

The methods applied to compile age-length-weight keys and mean weights-at-age in the catches and in the stock are described in the Stock Annex. The mean weights-at-age observed in the catch are given in Table 9.5.2 and Figure 9.5.2 by half year. Mean weight-at-age in the first half year seems to have recovered to above average and currently stable for all ages after the very low levels in 2001 to 2005. The second half year the mean weights are affected by the very limited sampling at this time of year.

### 9.5.3 Maturity

Maturity estimates are obtained from the averages observed in the dredge survey (1983-2016) in December as described in the Stock Annex. Maturities are listed in Table 9.5.3.

### 9.5.4 Natural mortality

Long-term averages of natural mortality-at-age from multispecies modelling of northern sandeel (SMS, WGSAM 2015, ICES 2016) were used. More details are given in the stock annex. Natural mortalities are listed in Table 9.5.8. Mortalities were not updated in response to the new WGSAM key run (WGSAM, 2020) as the update is not likely to affect long-term averages greatly.

### 9.5.5 Effort and research vessel data

## Trends in overall effort and CPUE

Table 9.5.5-9.5.7 and Figure 9.5.3 show the trends in the international effort over years measured as number of fishing days standardized to a 200 GRT vessel. The standardization includes just the effect of vessel size and does not take changes in efficiency into account. Total international standardized effort peaked in 1994, after which substantial effort reduction has taken place. The effort in 2021 were the third highest in the time-series reflecting the high TAC given. This is in contrast to the most recent decades since 2004 with the effort reflects either a closed or very limited fishery, where only 2018 showed any evident effort that lower than average.

## Tuning series used in the assessments

No commercial tuning series are used in the present assessment. CPUE data from the dredge survey (Table 9.5.4 and Figure 9.5.5) show that 2021 around average, whereas for consecutive two years prior (2019 and 2020) strong year-classes have entered.

The ability of the area 4 dredge survey to provide accurate estimates of abundance by age was discussed in detail. All of the values are estimated as stratified mean values (mean within position followed by mean within square followed by mean across squares), an approach which is known to be sensitive to skewed data at low sampling levels. Up to 2018, indices of cohorts at age 1 averaged at 1.22 times the catch of the index of the cohort at age 0 (range $0.6-2.35$ ). The corresponding number from age 1 to 2 was 0.46 (range $0.09-1.58$ ). In 2019, the index of 1 -year olds ( 2018 cohort) was 5.75 times the index of the cohort at age 0 . This pattern persisted in 2020 where the index of 1 -year olds ( 2019 cohort) was 5.10 times the index of the cohort at age 0 . The 2020 index of the 2018 cohort was 1.87 times the 2019 index of the cohort. In all cases, these values represent all time high appearance relative to earlier estimates of the cohort. In the 2021 survey index, the 2019 and the 2020 cohorts were registered as 0.24 and 0.03 times the values observed in 2020. Both of these values are the lowest relative changes observed in the time series. This led to the question of whether the 2020 should be considered a year where the survey for unknown reasons had much higher than usual catchability or the 2020 survey was accurate but a large
mortality even had eliminated the sandeel. As the decline was observed in both the fished and closed area, it was considered most likely that the large mortality was caused by factors other than fishing. A possible reason mentioned was harmful algal blooms. A first look at the sandeel dredge data at the station level indicated that internal consistency (abundance of age 0 at $t$ and abundance of age 1 at $t+1$ ) was normal between 2019 (age 0) and 2020 (age 1) and followed the general relationship observed at station level between 2008 and 2021. However, between 2020 (age 0) and 2021 (age 1) the relationship showed a clear lack of age 1 fish in 2021. This suggests that catchability was not the issue as values consistent with the time-series were observed for the 2019 cohort and that the issue with the recent indices are likely related to the stratified mean approach in years with reduced sampling at the most productive stations. In addition, the lack of age 1 fish of the 2020 cohort in 2021, also apparent from the station level analysis, is consistent with a large mortality event. In the 2021 assessment, the 2020 index was downscaled to account for changes in sampling distribution as the 2020 index was considered to be likely to be too high due to differences in sampling distribution in this year. The group decided to keep the revised values from 2020 but to run an exploratory assessment excluding this survey year to investigate the impact that the 2020 survey index had on the 2022 assessment.

The internal consistency, i.e. the ability of the survey to follow cohorts, (Figure 9.5.4) shows a high correlation between the 0 -group and 1-group explaining $54 \%$ of the variation.


Relationship between index estimated for all stations (vertical axis) and index estimated for the $\mathbf{1 1}$ stations sampled in 2020 (horizontal axis).

### 9.5.6 Data analysis

Following the Benchmark assessment (ICES, 2016) the SMS-effort model was used to estimate fishing mortalities and stock numbers-at-age by half year, using data from 1993 to 2021. In the SMS model, it is assumed that fishing mortality is proportional to fishing effort. For details about the SMS model and model settings, see the Stock Annex.

The diagnostics output from SMS are shown in Table 9.5.5. The CV of the dredge survey ("sqrt (Survey variance) $\sim \mathrm{CV}^{\prime \prime}$ in the table) is low to moderate ( $<0.60$ ) for all ages. However, the CV have increased for age 0 from 0.3 to 0.55 from the 2021 to the 2022 assessment. The survey residuals in 2020 are large and positive for both ages, indicating that the large observed indices in 2020 are not supported by other information about the abundance of these cohorts.

The model CV of catch-at-age ("sqrt(catch variance) $\sim$ CV", in Table 9.5.5 is moderate (0.74) for age 1 and 2. The catch-at-age residuals (Figure 9.5.6) show no alarming patterns, except for a tendency to positive residuals (observed catch is higher than model catch) for age 1 in the beginning of the time-series.

The CV of the fitted Stock recruitment relationship (Table 9.5.5) is high (1.50), which is also indicated by the stock recruitment plot (Figure 9.5.7). The high CV of recruitment is probably due to biological characteristic of the stock and not so much due to the quality of the assessment. The $a$ priori weight on likelihood contributions from SSR-R observations is therefore set low ( 0.05 in "objective function weight" in Table 9.5.5) such that SSB-R estimates do not contribute much to the overall likelihood and model fit.

The retrospective analysis (Figure 9.5.9) shows very consistent assessment results from one year to the next with the exception of the 2020 peel. The high recruitment in the 2019 and 2020 cohort expected from the 2020 survey was downscaled after adding the 2021 survey, leading to a very high retrospective bias in both recruitment and SSB in 2019 and 2020.

As a result of the indications that the 2020 survey may have had an abnormally high catchability, an explorative assessment was conducted removing the 2020 survey index. The results showed an assessment where the 0 -group CV of the dredge survey returned to previous levels:

| Assessment | CV 0-group in <br> the survey | CV 1-group in <br> the survey | Recruitment 2020 <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{9}\right)$ | Recruitment 2021 <br> $\left(10^{9}\right)$ | SSB 2022 <br> $\left(10^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{t}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 0.30 | 0.40 |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 303 | 46.5 | 72.8 |
| 2022 all data | 0.55 | 0.30 | 62.4 | 63.5 | 53.5 |
| 2022 without 2020 <br> survey | 0.30 | 0.42 | 36.3 |  |  |

The impact on the latest two recruitments and terminal year SSB were substantial ( -40 to $+37 \%$ ). Having considered these changes, the group decided that the survey index should be investigated in detail at the upcoming benchmark but that excluding individual years in the survey time series in an update assessment should be avoided. Therefore, the final assessment presented below includes all survey data.

Uncertainties of the estimated SSB, F and recruitment (Figure 9.5.9) are moderate to high.

### 9.5.7 Final assessment

The output from the assessment is presented in tables 9.5 .6 (fishing mortality-at-age by year), 9.5.7 (fishing mortality-at-age by half year), 9.5.9 (stock numbers-at-age) and 9.5.10 (stock summary).

### 9.5.8 Historic Stock Trends

The stock summary (Figure 9.5.13 and Table 9.5.10) shows that SSB have been at or below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ from 2007 to 2010. Since 2010, SSB has been above $B_{\lim }$ in 2011, 2016 and 2021, but below $B_{p a}$ in 2015 only. SSB is estimated at 72766 in 2022. $\mathrm{F}_{(1-2)}$ is estimated to have been very low since 2005 increasing in 2018 to the highest since 2004 with a decrease in 2019 and 2020, to a record-high (second) F in 2021. Recruitment has been high in 2014, 2016, 2017 and 2019. The high F in 2021 was the result of the lack of confirmation in the 2021 survey of the high survey indices in 2020. The biomass did however not decline below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$.

### 9.5.9 Short-term forecasts

## Input

Input to the short-term forecast is given in Table 9.5.11. Stock numbers in the TAC year are taken from the assessment for age 1 and older. Recruitment in 2022 is the geometric mean of the recruitment 2011-2020 (55 billion-at-age 0). The exploitation pattern and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ is taken from the assessment values in 2021. However, as the SMS-model assumes a fixed exploitation pattern, the choice of years is not critical. Mean weight-at-age in the catch and in the sea is the average value (i.e. 5-year mean) for the years 2017-2021. Natural mortality and maturity are as applied in the assessment in final year. The Stock Annex gives more details about the forecast methodology.

## Output

The short-term forecast (Table 9.3.12) shows that a SSB will be below the MSY B trigger of 102000 t and above $B_{\lim }$ of $48.000 t$ in 2022. Although, even a fishing mortality of zero will bring SSB below Bpa. The TAC is therefore $0 t$ in 2022. However, a monitoring TAC of $5000 t$ is recommended to ensure the quality of the assessment, consistent with previous year's advice (ICES, 2019).

### 9.5.10 Biological reference points

$B_{\lim }$ is set at 48000 t and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ at 102000 t . MSY $\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger }}$ is set at $\mathrm{B}_{\text {pa }}$.
Further information about biological reference points for sandeel in SA 4 can be found in the Stock Annex.

### 9.5.10.1 Quality of the assessment

The analytical assessment of SA 4 was initiated in 2017 following the 2016 benchmark of the stock.

Abundance of the 1-group, which in most years dominates the catches in most years, is estimated on the basis of the 0 -group index from the dredge survey in December of the preceding year. The model estimates a low variance on the survey index for age 0 but the CV on SSB in 2022 is high (0.40).

### 9.5.10.2 Status of the Stock

Recruitment in 2014, 2016, 2017, 2019, 2020 and 2021 are all above the long-term average, while 2018 is lower. A very restrictive F since 2005 together with the return of recruitment to historic levels has resulted in SSB above $B_{p a}$ in 2016 to 2019 and in 2021. It is between $B_{l i m}$ and $B_{p a}$ in 2020 and 2022.

### 9.5.10.3 Management considerations

A management plan needs to be developed. The ICES approach for MSY based management of a short-lived species such as sandeel is the escapement strategy, i.e. to maintain SSB above MSY $B_{\text {trigger }}$ after the fishery has taken place. Management strategy evaluations presented at the ICES WKMSYREF2 and WKMSYREF5 meeting (ICES, 2014a, 2017) indicated that the escapementstrategy is not sustainable for short-lived species, unless the strategy is combined with a ceiling ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ ) on the fishing mortality. This means that if the TAC that comes out of the Escapementstrategy corresponds to an $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ that exceeds $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$, then the Escapement-strategy should be disqualified and the TAC is instead determined based on a fishing mortality corresponding to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {capp }}$. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}$ for SA 4 (in accordance with the concepts of a conventional management strategy evaluation and a selection criterion of 0.05 probability of $\mathrm{SSB}<\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ ) is set at 0.15 (ICES, 2016).

However, it is important to acknowledge that the assessment model does not consider that a significant part of SA 4 (East coast of Scotland, sand banks covered by the dredge survey) is closed to fishing. Accordingly, the estimated TAC would in practice be achieved in a much smaller region than the whole SA 4 which raises concerns of local depletion. Therefore, such a high TAC may not be sustainable and future work should consider how to incorporate the spatial management in place in future advice.

### 9.6 Sandeel in SA 5r

### 9.6.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for SA 5 is given in tables 9.1.2-9.1.4. No catches from this area have been taken since 2004. Acoustic surveys have been carried out since 2005 on Vikingbanken, which is the main sandeel ground in SA 5. The survey estimates show that the biomass of sandeel on Vikingbanken still is very low (Table 9.6.1)

### 9.7 Sandeel in SA 6

### 9.7.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for SA 6 is given in tables 9.1.2-9.1.4.

### 9.8 Sandeel in SA 7

### 9.8.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for SA 7 is given in tables 9.1.2-9.1.4 No catches from this area have been taken since 2003.

### 9.9 Sandeel in ICES Division 6.a

### 9.9.1 Catch data

Total catch weight by year for sandeel in ICES Division 6.a is given in Table 9.9.1 Catches from this area have been zero or very low since 2005.
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Table 9.1.1 Sandeel. Official catches ('000 t), 1952-2021 for area 27.4 and 27.3.a. Note that catches from 27.3.a are only available from 1973-2021.

| Year | Area | Denmark | Germany | Faroes | Ireland | Netherlands | Norway | Sweden | UK | Lithuania | France | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1952 | 27.4 | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.6 |
| 1953 | 27.4 | 4.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5 |
| 1954 | 27.4 | 10.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10.8 |
| 1955 | 27.4 | 37.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37.6 |
| 1956 | 27.4 | 81.9 | 5.3 | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | 88.7 |
| 1957 | 27.4 | 73.3 | 25.5 | - | - | 3.7 | 3.2 | - | - | - | - | 105.7 |
| 1958 | 27.4 | 74.4 | 20.2 | - | - | 1.5 | 4.8 | - | - | - | - | 100.9 |
| 1959 | 27.4 | 77.1 | 17.4 | - | - | 5.1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 107.6 |
| 1960 | 27.4 | 100.8 | 7.7 | - | - | - | 12.1 | - | - | - | - | 120.6 |
| 1961 | 27.4 | 73.6 | 4.5 | - | - | - | 5.1 | - | - | - | - | 83.2 |
| 1962 | 27.4 | 97.4 | 1.4 | - | - | - | 10.5 | - | - | - | - | 109.3 |
| 1963 | 27.4 | 134.4 | 16.4 | - | - | - | 11.5 | - | - | - | - | 162.3 |
| 1964 | 27.4 | 104.7 | 12.9 | - | - | - | 10.4 | - | - | - | - | 128.0 |
| 1965 | 27.4 | 123.6 | 2.1 | - | - | - | 4.9 | - | - | - | - | 130.6 |
| 1966 | 27.4 | 138.5 | 4.4 | - | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | 143.1 |
| 1967 | 27.4 | 187.4 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 188.7 |
| 1968 | 27.4 | 193.6 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 193.7 |
| 1969 | 27.4 | 112.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | 113.3 |
| 1970 | 27.4 | 187.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.6 | - | - | 191.4 |
| 1971 | 27.4 | 371.6 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 2.1 | - | 8.3 | - | - | 382.1 |
| 1972 | 27.4 | 329.0 | - | - | - | - | 18.6 | 8.8 | 2.1 | - | - | 358.5 |
| 1973 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 282.9 | - | 1.4 | - | - | 17.2 | 1.1 | 4.2 | - | - | 306.8 |
| 1974 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 432.0 | - | 6.4 | - | - | 78.6 | 0.2 | 15.5 | - | - | 532.7 |
| 1975 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 372.0 | - | 4.9 | - | - | 54 | 0.179 | 13.6 | - | - | 444.7 |


| Year | Area | Denmark | Germany | Faroes | Ireland | Netherlands | Norway | Sweden | UK | Lithuania | France | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 446.1 | - | - | - | - | 44.2 | 0.067 | 18.7 | - | - | 509.1 |
| 1977 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 680.4 | - | 11.4 | - | - | 78.7 | 6.132 | 25.5 | - | - | 802.1 |
| 1978 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 669.2 | - | 12.102 | - | - | 93.5 | 2.321 | 32.5 | - | - | 809.7 |
| 1979 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 483.1 | - | 13.2 | - | - | 101.4 | 0.003 | 13.4 | - | - | 611.1 |
| 1980 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 581.6 | - | 7.2 | - | - | 144.8 | 0.009 | 34.3 | - | - | 767.9 |
| 1981 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 523.8 | - | 4.9 | - | - | 52.6 | 0.044 | 46.7 | - | - | 628.1 |
| 1982 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 528.4 | - | 4.9 | - | - | 46.5 | 0.405 | 52.2 | - | - | 632.4 |
| 1983 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 515.2 | - | 2 | - | - | 12.378 | 0.23 | 37 | - | - | 566.8 |
| 1984 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 618.9 | - | 11.3 | - | - | 28.3 | - | 32.6 | - | - | 691.1 |
| 1985 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 601.7 | - | 3.9 | - | - | 13.1 | - | 17.2 | - | - | 635.9 |
| 1986 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 832.7 | - | 1.2 | - | - | 82.1 | 0.002 | 12 | - | - | 928.0 |
| 1987 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 609.2 | - | 18.6 | - | - | 193.4 | - | 7.2 | - | - | 828.4 |
| 1988 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 708.8 | - | 15.5 | - | - | 185.265 | - | 5.8 | - | - | 915.3 |
| 1989 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 841.6 | - | 16.6 | - | - | 186.84 | - | 11.5 | - | - | 1056.3 |
| 1990 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 512.1 | - | 2.2 | - | 0.3 | 88.999 | - | 3.9 | - | - | 607.5 |
| 1991 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 726.5 | - | 11.2 | - | - | 128.8 | - | 1.2 | - | - | 867.7 |
| 1992 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 803.7 | - | 9.1 | - | - | 89.349 | 0.588 | 4.9 | - | - | 907.6 |
| 1993 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 533.4 | - | 0.344 | - | - | 95.5 | - | 1.5 | - | - | 630.8 |
| 1994 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 688.6 | - | 10.3 | - | - | 165.8 | 0.02 | 5.9 | - | - | 870.7 |
| 1995 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 672.6 | - | - | - | - | 263.4 | 0.04 | 6.7 | - | - | 942.8 |
| 1996 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 649.5 | - | 5 | - | - | 160.7 | - | 9.7 | - | - | 824.8 |
| 1997 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 831.8 | - | 11.2 | - | - | 350.209 | 0.001 | 24.6 | - | - | 1217.8 |
| 1998 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 628.2 | - | 11 | - | - | 343.3 | 8.565 | 23.8 | - | - | 1014.8 |
| 1999 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 511.3 | - | 13.2 | 0.4 | - | 187.6 | 23.21 | 11.5 | - | - | 747.1 |
| 2000 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 557.3 | - | - | - | - | 119 | 28.643 | 10.8 | - | - | 715.7 |


| Year | Area | Denmark | Germany | Faroes | Ireland | Netherlands | Norway | Sweden | UK | Lithuania | France | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 650.0 | - | - | - | - | 183 | 49.979 | 1.3 | - | - | 884.3 |
| 2002 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 659.5 | - | 0.025 | - | - | 176 | 19.211 | 4.9 | - | - | 859.6 |
| 2003 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 282.8 | - | - | - | - | 29.6 | 21.822 | 0.5 | - | - | 334.7 |
| 2004 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 288.8 | 2.7 | - | - | - | 48.5 | 33.331 | - | - | - | 373.3 |
| 2005 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 158.9 | - | - | - | - | 17.3 | 0.472 | - | - | - | 176.6 |
| 2006 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 255.4 | 3.2 | - | - | - | 5.6 | 27.858 | - | - | - | 292.8 |
| 2007 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 166.9 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 51.1 | 7.875 | 1 | - | - | 229.9 |
| 2008 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 246.9 | 4.4 | 2.4 | - | - | 81.6 | 12.51 | - | - | - | 347.8 |
| 2009 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 293.0 | 12.2 | 2.5 | - | 1.8 | 27.4 | 12.4 | 3.6 | - | - | 352.9 |
| 2010 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 285.9 | 13 | - | - | - | 78 | 32.72 | 4 | 0.6 | - | 414.2 |
| 2011 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 278.5 | 9.8 | - | - | - | 109 | 32.717 | 6.1 | 1.65 | - | 437.8 |
| 2012 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 51.8 | 1.70844 | - | - | 0.317 | 42.4804 | 5.652 | - | - | 0.00328 | 101.9 |
| 2013 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 208.7 | 7.89833 | - | - | 0.387 | 30.44615 | 26.811 | 2.436 | 1.32035 | 0.00387 | 278.0 |
| 2014 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 156.5 | 5.05196 | - | - | - | 82.49885 | 18.815 | 0.03 | 0.82463 | 0.00262 | 263.8 |
| 2015 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 166.5 | 9.09745 | - | - | - | 100.85862 | 33.43879 | 2.00003 | - | $4 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 311.9 |
| 2016 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 28.4 | - | - | - | - | 40.86736 | 4.2595 | - | - | - | 73.5 |
| 2017 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 353.9 | 5.7985 | - | - | - | 120.20534 | 42.33624 | 3.32389 | - | - | 525.5 |
| 2018 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 175.6 | 5.937 | - | - | - | 69.53076 | 16.655512 | 1.848779 | - | - | 269.6 |
| 2019 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 93.7 | 3.95 | - | - | $1.2 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 124.7855 | 11.54334 | 1.05792 | - | - | 235.1 |
| 2020 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 169.2 | 3.81522 | - | - | - | 244.37908 | 25.5189974 | 3.89595 | - | $2 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 446.8 |
| 2021 | 27.3.a + 27.4 | 69.9 | 1.8223 | - | - | - | 146.442119 | 14.977623 | - | - | - | 233.2 |

Table 9.1.2 Sandeel. Total catch (tonnes) by area as estimated by ICES.

|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 382629 | 156208 | 24828 | 2782 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 566810 |
| 1984 | 498671 | 133398 | 49111 | 2563 | 5821 | 791 | 744 | 691098 |
| 1985 | 460057 | 111889 | 20859 | 38122 | 3004 | 1927 | 0 | 635858 |
| 1986 | 382844 | 225581 | 282334 | 12718 | 628 | 13219 | 10650 | 927973 |
| 1987 | 373021 | 49067 | 395298 | 8154 | 1713 | 1163 | 0 | 828417 |
| 1988 | 422805 | 151543 | 336919 | 1338 | 0 | 2726 | 0 | 915330 |
| 1989 | 446129 | 227292 | 374252 | 4384 | 2903 | 909 | 450 | 1056318 |
| 1990 | 306302 | 133796 | 163224 | 3314 | 374 | 499 | 0 | 607508 |
| 1991 | 332204 | 215565 | 274839 | 41372 | 1168 | 17 | 2529 | 867694 |
| 1992 | 558602 | 184241 | 87022 | 68905 | 1099 | 4277 | 3455 | 907600 |
| 1993 | 144389 | 147964 | 200123 | 133136 | 586 | 4490 | 80 | 630768 |
| 1994 | 193241 | 244944 | 267281 | 158690 | 2757 | 3748 | 4 | 870666 |
| 1995 | 400759 | 122155 | 213168 | 52591 | 152274 | 1830 | 0 | 942776 |
| 1996 | 291709 | 186460 | 159304 | 158490 | 27570 | 1263 | 1 | 824796 |
| 1997 | 426414 | 242680 | 474093 | 58446 | 10772 | 2372 | 3061 | 1217839 |
| 1998 | 372604 | 99305 | 474843 | 58911 | 3010 | 941 | 5228 | 1014841 |
| 1999 | 425478 | 70085 | 193621 | 53338 | 145 | 0 | 4415 | 747083 |
| 2000 | 374724 | 101952 | 196525 | 37792 | 303 | 0 | 4371 | 715667 |
| 2001 | 540248 | 97210 | 196209 | 47918 | 1678 | 26 | 971 | 884260 |
| 2002 | 610161 | 120520 | 115207 | 12762 | 8 | 493 | 453 | 859604 |
| 2003 | 178642 | 56248 | 35365 | 64049 | 44 | 111 | 260 | 334718 |
| 2004 | 215352 | 116837 | 33658 | 6882 | 0 | 573 | 0 | 373302 |
| 2005 | 126261 | 34569 | 13994 | 1557 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 176640 |
| 2006 | 247510 | 37952 | 7094 | 86 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 292802 |
| 2007 | 110395 | 44069 | 75376 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 229855 |
| 2008 | 236069 | 35655 | 74943 | 1168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 347836 |
| 2009 | 309712 | 37049 | 6161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352922 |
| 2010 | 300896 | 52470 | 60542 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 414183 |
| 2011 | 320241 | 24310 | 92450 | 270 | 0 | 489 | 0 | 437761 |
| 2012 | 45954 | 12672 | 40141 | 2618 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 101599 |
| 2013 | 214787 | 48172 | 9838 | 5119 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 277989 |
| 2014 | 99059 | 64707 | 95426 | 4505 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 263762 |
| 2015 | 162861 | 39492 | 104607 | 4736 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 311894 |
| 2016 | 15407 | 9569 | 44074 | 6232 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 75405 |
| 2017 | 242069 | 141314 | 115642 | 18474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 517499 |
| 2018 | 131898 | 20240 | 75143 | 42298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269579 |


|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | 86723 | 5151 | 136901 | 6666 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 235537 |
| 2020 | 108944 | 70198 | 247411 | 20116 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 446765 |
| 2021 | 16944 | 4980 | 157752 | 53370 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 233178 |
| arith. mean | 284941 | 99423 | 151938 | 30619 | 5535 | 1119 | 940 | 574516 |

Table 9.1.3 Sandeel. Total catch (tonnes) by area, first half year as estimated by ICES.

|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 314744 | 92566 | 21008 | 2782 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 431465 |
| 1984 | 419640 | 86141 | 43578 | 2563 | 5821 | 735 | 744 | 559223 |
| 1985 | 377702 | 76422 | 17131 | 37900 | 3004 | 973 | 0 | 513132 |
| 1986 | 346053 | 181733 | 138020 | 12539 | 108 | 12020 | 7832 | 698305 |
| 1987 | 307194 | 36400 | 394339 | 7833 | 1713 | 1091 | 0 | 748570 |
| 1988 | 395186 | 107289 | 288174 | 1257 | 0 | 2114 | 0 | 794020 |
| 1989 | 435721 | 173510 | 371557 | 4382 | 1587 | 897 | 450 | 988104 |
| 1990 | 285321 | 101899 | 105554 | 2926 | 0 | 485 | 0 | 496185 |
| 1991 | 257591 | 153869 | 215770 | 17140 | 1168 | 17 | 2529 | 648083 |
| 1992 | 521575 | 135823 | 83068 | 67068 | 1099 | 4270 | 3455 | 816357 |
| 1993 | 129403 | 86179 | 155984 | 123143 | 250 | 4393 | 3 | 499354 |
| 1994 | 177685 | 184792 | 242027 | 147019 | 2754 | 3222 | 4 | 757503 |
| 1995 | 365681 | 70518 | 203151 | 52497 | 152269 | 1829 | 0 | 845945 |
| 1996 | 257507 | 63193 | 110862 | 48496 | 14551 | 1168 | 0 | 495777 |
| 1997 | 345199 | 178735 | 394181 | 47668 | 8615 | 2194 | 2448 | 979040 |
| 1998 | 352275 | 70075 | 354639 | 57373 | 2907 | 939 | 4565 | 842773 |
| 1999 | 395813 | 27461 | 94655 | 51183 | 145 | 0 | 2152 | 571409 |
| 2000 | 333044 | 82405 | 192474 | 37792 | 288 | 0 | 3808 | 649812 |
| 2001 | 368782 | 49319 | 59951 | 47492 | 1678 | 26 | 735 | 527983 |
| 2002 | 604584 | 105397 | 114646 | 12762 | 8 | 493 | 101 | 837991 |
| 2003 | 155006 | 25111 | 22803 | 62580 | 44 | 111 | 187 | 265841 |
| 2004 | 199483 | 91405 | 21632 | 6860 | 0 | 571 | 0 | 319951 |
| 2005 | 121795 | 24841 | 13982 | 1557 | 0 | 259 | 0 | 162434 |
| 2006 | 241345 | 23497 | 6959 | 55 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 272015 |
| 2007 | 110389 | 44069 | 75376 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 229849 |
| 2008 | 232249 | 32602 | 74943 | 1168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340963 |
| 2009 | 293529 | 25399 | 6024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324952 |
| 2010 | 293359 | 44910 | 60251 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398796 |
| 2011 | 316351 | 24045 | 92450 | 270 | 0 | 489 | 0 | 433605 |
| 2012 | 45946 | 11520 | 40141 | 2618 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 100438 |
| 2013 | 207886 | 43818 | 9838 | 5119 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 266733 |
| 2014 | 94278 | 62110 | 95426 | 4505 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 256383 |
| 2015 | 162860 | 38723 | 104607 | 4736 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 311123 |


|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 15407 | 9519 | 44074 | 6232 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 75354 |
| 2017 | 239742 | 130640 | 115642 | 18474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 504498 |
| 2018 | 125303 | 19957 | 74567 | 42298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 262126 |
| 2019 | 71590 | 5148 | 136896 | 6666 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 220396 |
| 2020 | 107762 | 69894 | 247411 | 19896 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 445060 |
| 2021 | 16481 | 4978 | 157627 | 51075 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 230293 |
| arith. mean | 257473 | 71690 | 128242 | 26057 | 5077 | 1021 | 744 | 490304 |

Table 9.1.4 Sandeel. Total catch (tonnes) by area, second half year as estimated by ICES.

|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 67885 | 63641 | 3820 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135345 |
| 1984 | 79031 | 47257 | 5532 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 131875 |
| 1985 | 82355 | 35468 | 3728 | 222 | 0 | 953 | 0 | 122726 |
| 1986 | 36791 | 43848 | 144314 | 179 | 519 | 1199 | 2818 | 229668 |
| 1987 | 65828 | 12667 | 959 | 321 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 79847 |
| 1988 | 27619 | 44254 | 48744 | 81 | 0 | 612 | 0 | 121310 |
| 1989 | 10407 | 53782 | 2694 | 2 | 1316 | 12 | 0 | 68214 |
| 1990 | 20981 | 31896 | 57670 | 388 | 374 | 14 | 0 | 111323 |
| 1991 | 74613 | 61697 | 59069 | 24232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219611 |
| 1992 | 37027 | 48418 | 3954 | 1837 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 91243 |
| 1993 | 14986 | 61785 | 44138 | 9993 | 336 | 97 | 78 | 131414 |
| 1994 | 15557 | 60152 | 25254 | 11671 | 3 | 526 | 0 | 113163 |
| 1995 | 35078 | 51637 | 10017 | 94 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 96831 |
| 1996 | 34202 | 123267 | 48441 | 109994 | 13020 | 95 | 1 | 329019 |
| 1997 | 81215 | 63945 | 79912 | 10779 | 2157 | 179 | 613 | 238799 |
| 1998 | 20329 | 29230 | 120203 | 1538 | 103 | 1 | 663 | 172068 |
| 1999 | 29666 | 42624 | 98967 | 2155 | 0 | 0 | 2263 | 175674 |
| 2000 | 41680 | 19547 | 4051 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 562 | 65855 |
| 2001 | 171466 | 47891 | 136258 | 426 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 356277 |
| 2002 | 5577 | 15123 | 561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 | 21613 |
| 2003 | 23636 | 31137 | 12562 | 1469 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 68877 |
| 2004 | 15869 | 25432 | 12026 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 53351 |
| 2005 | 4466 | 9728 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14206 |
| 2006 | 6165 | 14455 | 136 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20787 |
| 2007 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2008 | 3821 | 3053 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6873 |
| 2009 | 16183 | 11650 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27970 |
| 2010 | 7537 | 7560 | 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15387 |
| 2011 | 3891 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4156 |
| 2012 | 8 | 1153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1161 |


|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2013 | 6902 | 4354 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11256 |
| 2014 | 4781 | 2598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7379 |
| 2015 | 1 | 769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 771 |
| 2016 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 2017 | 2327 | 10673 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13000 |
| 2018 | 6595 | 283 | 576 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7453 |
| 2019 | 15133 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15141 |
| 2020 | 1182 | 304 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1705 |
| 2021 | 463 | 3 | 125 | 2295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2885 |
| arith. mean | 27468 | 27733 | 23696 | 4563 | 458 | 98 | 196 | 84213 |

Table 9.1.5 Sandeel. Effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) by area, as estimated by ICES.

|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 8992 | 4719 | 864 | 63 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14649 |
| 1984 | 10166 | 4009 | 1378 | 48 | 212 | 50 | 37 | 15901 |
| 1985 | 10876 | 3570 | 619 | 655 | 139 | 65 | 0 | 15923 |
| 1986 | 7372 | 5038 | 4641 | 284 | 12 | 469 | 145 | 17962 |
| 1987 | 5680 | 1153 | 5094 | 177 | 64 | 45 | 0 | 12213 |
| 1988 | 7980 | 3876 | 7472 | 42 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 19460 |
| 1989 | 8553 | 6552 | 7677 | 57 | 31 | 44 | 0 | 22914 |
| 1990 | 8529 | 4209 | 5143 | 55 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 17960 |
| 1991 | 5991 | 5117 | 5864 | 338 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 17330 |
| 1992 | 8805 | 4944 | 2383 | 571 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 16900 |
| 1993 | 3893 | 4396 | 5124 | 1387 | 29 | 265 | 0 | 15093 |
| 1994 | 3149 | 4230 | 4854 | 1588 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 13934 |
| 1995 | 5899 | 2497 | 3791 | 437 | 1915 | 50 | 0 | 14589 |
| 1996 | 5497 | 4608 | 4352 | 1464 | 605 | 48 | 0 | 16573 |
| 1997 | 5366 | 5308 | 7749 | 622 | 0 | 60 | 6 | 19111 |
| 1998 | 6580 | 2743 | 11062 | 611 | 96 | 26 | 0 | 21118 |
| 1999 | 8900 | 1975 | 6179 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17904 |
| 2000 | 7141 | 2597 | 4117 | 421 | 5 | 0 | 149 | 14429 |
| 2001 | 11021 | 2505 | 4726 | 669 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18921 |
| 2002 | 8162 | 3162 | 2491 | 140 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 13968 |
| 2003 | 6805 | 2351 | 1634 | 1098 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 11913 |
| 2004 | 7057 | 4208 | 1264 | 203 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 12758 |
| 2005 | 3412 | 1131 | 468 | 88 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5109 |
| 2006 | 4160 | 1235 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5606 |
| 2007 | 1560 | 874 | 1214 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3650 |
| 2008 | 2878 | 906 | 1344 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5136 |
| 2009 | 3551 | 802 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4464 |


|  | Area 1r | Area 2 r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 2859 | 1136 | 1446 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5444 |
| 2011 | 3195 | 677 | 924 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 4821 |
| 2012 | 585 | 472 | 561 | 68 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1699 |
| 2013 | 3876 | 1799 | 273 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5992 |
| 2014 | 2270 | 1416 | 1072 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4812 |
| 2015 | 2073 | 1233 | 1412 | 43 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4767 |
| 2016 | 146 | 429 | 561 | 79 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1220 |
| 2017 | 2711 | 2082 | 1198 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6157 |
| 2018 | 3126 | 563 | 1437 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5651 |
| 2019 | 2823 | 136 | 1957 | 203 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5121 |
| 2020 | 2696 | 1384 | 3392 | 165 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7642 |
| 2021 | 418 | 336 | 2049 | 1378 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4185 |
| arith. mean | 5250 | 2574 | 3028 | 374 | 81 | 43 | 9 | 11359 |

Table 9.1.6 Sandeel. Effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) by area, first half year as estimated by ICES.

|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 6926 | 3032 | 739 | 63 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10770 |
| 1984 | 7910 | 2471 | 1172 | 48 | 212 | 46 | 37 | 11896 |
| 1985 | 8449 | 2564 | 508 | 652 | 139 | 29 | 0 | 12341 |
| 1986 | 6568 | 3884 | 2508 | 281 | 4 | 437 | 81 | 13763 |
| 1987 | 4287 | 779 | 5063 | 161 | 64 | 42 | 0 | 10395 |
| 1988 | 7172 | 2660 | 6030 | 40 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 15970 |
| 1989 | 8240 | 4852 | 7586 | 56 | 31 | 42 | 0 | 20808 |
| 1990 | 8008 | 3380 | 3738 | 49 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 15201 |
| 1991 | 4588 | 3538 | 4750 | 111 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 13008 |
| 1992 | 7926 | 3793 | 2290 | 309 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 14514 |
| 1993 | 3496 | 2597 | 3950 | 1200 | 29 | 256 | 0 | 11527 |
| 1994 | 2852 | 3097 | 4411 | 1410 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 11867 |
| 1995 | 5298 | 1527 | 3589 | 436 | 1915 | 50 | 0 | 12815 |
| 1996 | 4805 | 1627 | 3147 | 519 | 441 | 48 | 0 | 10587 |
| 1997 | 3997 | 3440 | 5895 | 490 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 13874 |
| 1998 | 6011 | 1707 | 7059 | 576 | 93 | 26 | 0 | 15473 |
| 1999 | 7875 | 772 | 3204 | 850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12702 |
| 2000 | 6181 | 1991 | 4040 | 421 | 5 | 0 | 149 | 12786 |
| 2001 | 8041 | 1362 | 1681 | 656 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11741 |
| 2002 | 7942 | 2489 | 2491 | 140 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 13076 |
| 2003 | 5907 | 1034 | 1246 | 1027 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 9239 |
| 2004 | 6601 | 3179 | 862 | 201 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 10870 |
| 2005 | 3288 | 816 | 468 | 88 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4670 |
| 2006 | 3982 | 858 | 200 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5046 |


|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 1560 | 874 | 1214 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3650 |
| 2008 | 2793 | 797 | 1344 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4942 |
| 2009 | 3377 | 608 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4094 |
| 2010 | 2725 | 948 | 1436 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5113 |
| 2011 | 3070 | 665 | 924 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 4684 |
| 2012 | 585 | 447 | 561 | 68 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1674 |
| 2013 | 3704 | 1618 | 273 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5639 |
| 2014 | 2174 | 1344 | 1072 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4645 |
| 2015 | 2073 | 1214 | 1412 | 43 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4748 |
| 2016 | 146 | 413 | 561 | 79 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1205 |
| 2017 | 2661 | 1827 | 1198 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5852 |
| 2018 | 2817 | 558 | 1425 | 524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5324 |
| 2019 | 2489 | 136 | 1957 | 203 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4788 |
| 2020 | 2656 | 1304 | 3392 | 165 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7522 |
| 2021 | 389 | 259 | 2041 | 1266 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3959 |
| arith. mean | 4604 | 1807 | 2450 | 318 | 76 | 40 | 7 | 9302 |

Table 9.1.7 Sandeel. Effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) by area, second half year as estimated by ICES.

|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 2066 | 1687 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3879 |
| 1984 | 2256 | 1538 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4005 |
| 1985 | 2427 | 1005 | 110 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 3582 |
| 1986 | 804 | 1154 | 2133 | 3 | 8 | 32 | 64 | 4199 |
| 1987 | 1393 | 374 | 31 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1817 |
| 1988 | 809 | 1215 | 1442 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 3490 |
| 1989 | 313 | 1700 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2106 |
| 1990 | 520 | 828 | 1405 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2759 |
| 1991 | 1403 | 1579 | 1113 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4322 |
| 1992 | 879 | 1151 | 93 | 262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2385 |
| 1993 | 398 | 1799 | 1174 | 187 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3567 |
| 1994 | 297 | 1133 | 443 | 178 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 2067 |
| 1995 | 601 | 970 | 201 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1774 |
| 1996 | 691 | 2981 | 1205 | 945 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 5986 |
| 1997 | 1369 | 1868 | 1854 | 132 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 5237 |
| 1998 | 568 | 1036 | 4003 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5645 |
| 1999 | 1024 | 1203 | 2975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5202 |
| 2000 | 960 | 606 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1643 |
| 2001 | 2979 | 1143 | 3044 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7180 |
| 2002 | 220 | 672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 892 |
| 2003 | 898 | 1316 | 388 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2673 |


|  | Area 1r | Area 2r | Area 3r | Area 4 | Area 5r | Area 6 | Area 7r | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 456 | 1028 | 402 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1888 |
| 2005 | 124 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 439 |
| 2006 | 178 | 377 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 560 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 85 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
| 2009 | 174 | 194 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370 |
| 2010 | 134 | 187 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 331 |
| 2011 | 126 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 2012 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 2013 | 172 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 |
| 2014 | 96 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| 2015 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 2016 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 2017 | 50 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 |
| 2018 | 309 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 |
| 2019 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 334 |
| 2020 | 40 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 2021 | 29 | 76 | 8 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 |
| arith. mean | 646 | 767 | 578 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2057 |

Table 9.1.8 Sandeel. Number of samples from commercial catches by year and area.

|  | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 | Area 6 | Area 7 | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 79 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 |
| 1984 | 116 | 46 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 180 |
| 1985 | 101 | 32 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 158 |
| 1986 | 26 | 17 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 72 |
| 1987 | 62 | 12 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 136 |
| 1988 | 42 | 15 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 125 |
| 1989 | 40 | 9 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 93 |
| 1990 | 1 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 |
| 1991 | 25 | 32 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 1992 | 56 | 42 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 133 |
| 1993 | 23 | 63 | 64 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 172 |
| 1994 | 20 | 38 | 50 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 127 |
| 1995 | 41 | 32 | 58 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 147 |
| 1996 | 43 | 62 | 113 | 27 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 265 |
| 1997 | 41 | 84 | 116 | 25 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 277 |
| 1998 | 53 | 30 | 145 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 237 |
| 1999 | 263 | 42 | 40 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| 2000 | 102 | 34 | 47 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 |


|  | Area 1 | Area 2 | Area 3 | Area 4 | Area 5 | Area 6 | Area 7 | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 213 | 39 | 32 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 375 |
| 2002 | 288 | 97 | 50 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 497 |
| 2003 | 281 | 75 | 30 | 160 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 547 |
| 2004 | 451 | 217 | 26 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 742 |
| 2005 | 320 | 42 | 34 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 427 |
| 2006 | 550 | 56 | 72 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 682 |
| 2007 | 295 | 79 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 469 |
| 2008 | 290 | 100 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 436 |
| 2009 | 302 | 102 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 |
| 2010 | 169 | 194 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| 2011 | 167 | 54 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 246 |
| 2012 | 220 | 112 | 31 | 21 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 396 |
| 2013 | 292 | 220 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 561 |
| 2014 | 143 | 133 | 29 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 328 |
| 2015 | 308 | 117 | 48 | 38 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 515 |
| 2016 | 154 | 159 | 42 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 |
| 2017 | 279 | 204 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 573 |
| 2018 | 350 | 136 | 162 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 719 |
| 2019 | 282 | 81 | 140 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 535 |
| 2020 | 241 | 182 | 184 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 644 |
| Sum | 6729 | 3042 | 2096 | 918 | 39 | 72 | 0 | 12896 |

Table 9.2.1 Sandeel Area-1r. Catch at age numbers (million) by half year.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, 1st <br> half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, 1st <br> half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, 1st <br> half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 10223 | 1846 | 264 | 28971 | 3085 | 772 | 564 | 320 | 2 |
| 1984 | 0 | 47117 | 9241 | 1701 | 90 | 10002 | 566 | 333 | 43 |
| 1985 | 8524 | 6217 | 1354 | 31364 | 2305 | 1987 | 1595 | 211 | 213 |
| 1986 | 87 | 44940 | 4163 | 7553 | 228 | 1652 | 188 | 31 | 14 |
| 1987 | 187 | 4504 | 1938 | 23572 | 4173 | 1199 | 123 | 171 | 32 |
| 1988 | 0 | 1997 | 0 | 8564 | 162 | 15229 | 1439 | 2354 | 47 |
| 1989 | 0 | 62503 | 757 | 6364 | 77 | 1346 | 16 | 4736 | 58 |
| 1990 | 522 | 16846 | 1257 | 13917 | 417 | 2060 | 62 | 622 | 18 |
| 1991 | 7344 | 14939 | 6917 | 6870 | 209 | 983 | 67 | 338 | 0 |
| 1992 | 104 | 50883 | 3041 | 8451 | 298 | 845 | 122 | 524 | 26 |
| 1993 | 1624 | 2181 | 362 | 5882 | 271 | 1638 | 156 | 491 | 43 |
| 1994 | 0 | 22172 | 1533 | 2669 | 126 | 1195 | 55 | 882 | 78 |
| 1995 | 76 | 36677 | 3440 | 6236 | 940 | 737 | 109 | 289 | 28 |
| 1996 | 6470 | 10402 | 1064 | 12301 | 1027 | 4527 | 211 | 860 | 65 |
| 1997 | 19 | 38667 | 8899 | 2332 | 177 | 3522 | 164 | 713 | 56 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 2, 1st } \\ \text { half } \end{gathered}$ | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 211 | 9387 | 438 | 28364 | 1384 | 2164 | 136 | 1505 | 90 |
| 1999 | 440 | 44621 | 2498 | 5433 | 205 | 10158 | 717 | 699 | 149 |
| 2000 | 7887 | 32625 | 2760 | 3355 | 170 | 630 | 84 | 1076 | 122 |
| 2001 | 47080 | 56780 | 3127 | 8549 | 474 | 1098 | 49 | 972 | 98 |
| 2002 | 16 | 84878 | 605 | 10772 | 108 | 1212 | 15 | 225 | 6 |
| 2003 | 2474 | 3843 | 386 | 13302 | 4390 | 1117 | 141 | 302 | 31 |
| 2004 | 566 | 30654 | 2479 | 786 | 110 | 2364 | 230 | 480 | 47 |
| 2005 | 44 | 11106 | 383 | 4435 | 211 | 263 | 14 | 435 | 27 |
| 2006 | 37 | 33600 | 800 | 2590 | 94 | 817 | 43 | 163 | 19 |
| 2007 | 0 | 10581 | 0 | 4674 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 172 | 0 |
| 2008 | 6 | 26735 | 281 | 4009 | 75 | 1205 | 33 | 214 | 6 |
| 2009 | 979 | 18898 | 2254 | 14265 | 278 | 1556 | 12 | 392 | 3 |
| 2010 | 10 | 39951 | 1184 | 2130 | 35 | 942 | 16 | 108 | 2 |
| 2011 | 5 | 1894 | 39 | 32692 | 325 | 1305 | 14 | 266 | 1 |
| 2012 | 0 | 383 | 0 | 419 | 0 | 3354 | 0 | 129 | 0 |
| 2013 | 3 | 18090 | 598 | 7916 | 131 | 2182 | 100 | 4301 | 49 |
| 2014 | 925 | 8930 | 131 | 3354 | 98 | 401 | 23 | 360 | 25 |
| 2015 | 0 | 25326 | 0 | 1918 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 172 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 1193 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 2017 | 3 | 33038 | 253 | 3015 | 40 | 4604 | 38 | 103 | 7 |
| 2018 | 91 | 1699 | 158 | 14468 | 792 | 971 | 44 | 331 | 10 |
| 2019 | 5947 | 4703 | 96 | 830 | 18 | 1885 | 19 | 101 | 0 |
| 2020 | 54 | 11911 | 80 | 1098 | 12 | 270 | 2 | 457 | 5 |
| 2021 | 4 | 1069 | 41 | 940 | 25 | 50 | 1 | 31 | 1 |
| arith. <br> mean | 2614 | 22380 | 1611 | 8648 | 578 | 2237 | 184 | 664 | 36 |

Table 9.2.2 Sandeel Area-1r. Individual mean weight (gram) at age in the catch and in the sea.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 17.2 | 13.2 | 20.5 | 11.6 |
| 1984 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 13.4 | 15.8 |
| 1985 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 16.4 | 12.9 | 17.9 | 16.6 |
| 1986 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 11.7 | 12.7 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 14.7 |
| 1987 | 4.0 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 18.5 | 20.2 | 14.7 | 16.1 |
| 1988 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 24.4 |
| 1989 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 15.5 | 9.1 | 17.2 | 12.0 | 28.3 |
| 1990 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 13.1 | 14.2 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 23.1 |
| 1991 | 3.8 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 14.2 | 11.8 | 37.8 | 32.0 | 19.6 | 17.2 |
| 1992 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 9.5 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 17.7 | 19.7 | 19.0 | 21.2 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 20.2 | 18.2 |
| 1994 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 16.3 | 19.8 | 18.8 |
| 1995 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| 1996 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 12.2 | 9.5 | 17.7 | 14.2 |
| 1997 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 14.2 | 9.9 | 15.5 | 14.4 | 16.1 |
| 1998 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 14.5 |
| 1999 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 14.7 |
| 2000 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 14.5 | 12.2 |
| 2001 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 23.6 |
| 2002 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 14.4 | 13.8 | 16.5 |
| 2003 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 12.8 | 9.1 |
| 2004 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 10.4 | 8.8 |
| 2005 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 13.0 |
| 2006 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 13.0 | 13.7 |
| 2007 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 13.5 | 15.1 | 14.7 | 17.3 |
| 2008 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 10.9 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 16.8 | 15.8 | 19.3 |
| 2009 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 12.1 | 10.5 | 13.2 | 12.1 |
| 2010 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 12.4 | 9.5 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 17.2 | 14.5 |
| 2011 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 14.8 | 12.0 |
| 2012 | 3.8 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 16.2 |
| 2013 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 16.1 |
| 2014 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 13.0 |
| 2015 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 14.8 | 14.0 | 17.0 |
| 2016 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 10.1 | 8.7 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 14.7 | 13.3 |
| 2017 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 12.4 |
| 2018 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 15.5 |
| 2019 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 15.5 |
| 2020 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 15.5 |
| 2021 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 12.8 | 15.5 |
| arith. <br> mean | 3.6 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 16.1 |

Table 9.2.3 Sandeel Area-1r. Proportion mature.

|  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1983-2016$ | 0.02 | 0.8 | 0.99 | 1 |

Table 9.2.4. Sandeel Area-1r. Dredge survey indices.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 140061.87 | 7077.655 |
| 2005 | 277241.20 | 3288.987 |
| 2006 | 117233.03 | 12244.596 |
| 2007 | 402355.16 | 5326.731 |
| 2008 | 35633.70 | 13619.791 |
| 2009 | 474590.87 | 9040.642 |
| 2010 | 49722.00 | 125308.581 |
| 2011 | 77113.07 | 27178.527 |
| 2012 | 136586.42 | 3922.222 |
| 2013 | 80356.85 | 13156.382 |
| 2014 | 235943.73 | 3413.488 |
| 2015 | 23030.02 | 13597.662 |
| 2016 | 304655.46 | 7277.881 |
| 2017 | 32663.00 | 38561.000 |
| 2018 | 165064.00 | 11168.000 |
| 2019 | 199148.10 | 18720.400 |
| 2020 | 71890.40 | 7497.200 |
| 2021 | 65614.29 | 8315.977 |

Table 9.2.5 Sandeel Area-1r. SMS settings and statistics.
Date: 01/26/22 Start time:09:46:31 run time:1 seconds
objective function (negative log likelihood): 17.8446
Number of parameters: 80
Maximum gradient: 0.000100632
Akaike information criterion (AIC): 195.689
Number of observations used in the likelihood:

| Catch | CPUE | S/R Stomach | Sum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351 | 75 | 39 | 0 | 465 |

objective function weight:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Catch } & \text { CPUE } & \text { S/R } \\
1.00 & 1.00 & 0.05
\end{array}
$$

unweighted objective function contributions (total):

| Catch | CPUE | S/R | Stom. | Stom N. Penalty | Sum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25.4 | -8.2 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 31 |

unweighted objective function contributions (per observation):

| Catch | CPUE | S/R | Stomachs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.07 | -0.11 | 0.35 | 0.00 |

contribution by fleet:

Dredge survey 2004-2021
RTM 2007-2021

| total: | 0.941 | mean: | 0.026 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| total: | -9.122 | mean: | -0.234 |

```
F, season effect:
age: 0
    1983-1988: 0.000 1.000
    1989-1998: 0.000 1.000
    1999-2004: 0.000 1.000
    2005-2009: 0.000 1.000
    2010-2021: 0.000 1.000
age: 1 - 4
    1983-1988: 0.457 0.500
    1989-1998: 0.466 0.500
    1999-2004: 0.374 0.500
    2005-2009: 0.254 0.500
    2010-2021: 0.573 0.500
F, age effect:
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr}
------------- & & & & & 4 \\
\(1983-1988:\) & 0.025 & 0.259 & 0.959 & 1.423 & 1.423 \\
\(1989-1998:\) & 0.011 & 0.539 & 0.722 & 0.732 & 0.732 \\
\(1999-2004:\) & 0.067 & 1.027 & 1.142 & 1.135 & 1.135 \\
\(2005-2009:\) & 0.007 & 1.436 & 2.177 & 2.240 & 2.240 \\
\(2010-2021:\) & 0.016 & 0.252 & 0.596 & 1.004 & 1.004
\end{tabular}
```

Exploitation pattern (scaled to mean $\mathrm{F}=1$ )

|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983-1988 | season 1: | 0 | 0.320 | 1.188 | 1.762 | 1.762 |
|  | season 2: | 0.020 | 0.105 | 0.388 | 0.575 | 0.575 |
| 1989-1998 | season 1: | 0 | 0.821 | 1.100 | 1.116 | 1.116 |
|  | season 2: | 0.001 | 0.033 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.045 |
| 1999-2004 | season 1: | 0 | 0.807 | 0.897 | 0.892 | 0.892 |
|  | season 2: | 0.018 | 0.140 | 0.156 | 0.155 | 0.155 |
| 2005-2009 | season 1: | 0 | 0.740 | 1.122 | 1.154 | 1.154 |
|  | season 2: | 0.001 | 0.055 | 0.083 | 0.086 | 0.086 |
| 2010-2021 | season 1: | 0 | 0.570 | 1.347 | 2.269 | 2.269 |
|  | season 2: | 0.003 | 0.025 | 0.058 | 0.097 | 0.097 |

```
sqrt(catch variance) ~ CV:
```

season

| age | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 1.655 |
| 1 | 0.343 | 0.581 |
| 2 | 0.343 | 0.581 |
| 3 | 0.657 | 1.024 |
| 4 | 0.657 | 1.024 |

## Survey catchability:

Dredge survey 2004-2021 RTM 2007-2021
age 0 age 1 age 2 age 3
$2.646 \quad 1.089$ $0.861 \quad 1.820 \quad 2.810$
sqrt(Survey variance) ~ CV:


Table 9.2.6 Sandeel Area-1r. Annual fishing mortality (F) at age.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Avg. 1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 0.012 | 0.286 | 1.029 | 1.511 | 1.519 | 0.657 |
| 1984 | 0.013 | 0.324 | 1.163 | 1.706 | 1.715 | 0.743 |
| 1985 | 0.014 | 0.347 | 1.244 | 1.833 | 1.828 | 0.796 |
| 1986 | 0.005 | 0.245 | 0.875 | 1.277 | 1.272 | 0.560 |
| 1987 | 0.008 | 0.182 | 0.661 | 0.970 | 0.969 | 0.421 |
| 1988 | 0.005 | 0.266 | 0.950 | 1.376 | 1.370 | 0.608 |
| 1989 | 0.001 | 0.818 | 1.064 | 1.068 | 1.061 | 0.941 |
| 1990 | 0.002 | 0.815 | 1.059 | 1.062 | 1.058 | 0.937 |
| 1991 | 0.005 | 0.548 | 0.721 | 0.730 | 0.730 | 0.634 |
| 1992 | 0.003 | 0.823 | 1.079 | 1.084 | 1.084 | 0.951 |
| 1993 | 0.001 | 0.363 | 0.474 | 0.481 | 0.480 | 0.418 |
| 1994 | 0.001 | 0.300 | 0.389 | 0.392 | 0.390 | 0.345 |
| 1995 | 0.002 | 0.562 | 0.727 | 0.732 | 0.729 | 0.645 |
| 1996 | 0.003 | 0.527 | 0.680 | 0.683 | 0.682 | 0.603 |
| 1997 | 0.005 | 0.497 | 0.644 | 0.649 | 0.652 | 0.571 |
| 1998 | 0.002 | 0.652 | 0.826 | 0.828 | 0.828 | 0.739 |
| 1999 | 0.017 | 1.024 | 1.083 | 1.064 | 1.066 | 1.053 |
| 2000 | 0.016 | 0.819 | 0.861 | 0.852 | 0.850 | 0.840 |
| 2001 | 0.049 | 1.239 | 1.323 | 1.315 | 1.318 | 1.281 |
| 2002 | 0.004 | 0.949 | 1.013 | 0.975 | 0.968 | 0.981 |
| 2003 | 0.015 | 0.789 | 0.846 | 0.819 | 0.822 | 0.818 |
| 2004 | 0.007 | 0.833 | 0.880 | 0.848 | 0.849 | 0.857 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.895 | 1.281 | 1.308 | 1.305 | 1.088 |
| 2006 | 0.001 | 1.094 | 1.566 | 1.590 | 1.586 | 1.330 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.413 | 0.594 | 0.604 | 0.600 | 0.504 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.771 | 1.104 | 1.114 | 1.111 | 0.938 |
| 2009 | 0.001 | 0.952 | 1.369 | 1.391 | 1.383 | 1.161 |
| 2010 | 0.002 | 0.418 | 0.932 | 1.496 | 1.487 | 0.675 |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.476 | 1.037 | 1.674 | 1.658 | 0.756 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.090 | 0.199 | 0.324 | 0.321 | 0.145 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.544 | 1.165 | 1.913 | 1.904 | 0.855 |
| 2014 | 0.001 | 0.316 | 0.683 | 1.133 | 1.131 | 0.500 |


|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Avg. 1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.304 | 0.652 | 1.086 | 1.077 | 0.478 |
| 2016 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.047 | 0.078 | 0.077 | 0.034 |
| 2017 | 0.001 | 0.405 | 0.896 | 1.461 | 1.446 | 0.650 |
| 2018 | 0.004 | 0.400 | 0.906 | 1.468 | 1.463 | 0.653 |
| 2019 | 0.004 | 0.391 | 0.885 | 1.437 | 1.433 | 0.638 |
| 2020 | 0.001 | 0.382 | 0.860 | 1.385 | 1.380 | 0.621 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.058 | 0.133 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.096 |
| arith. mean | 0.005 | 0.542 | 0.869 | 1.075 | 1.072 | 0.706 |

Table 9.2.7 Sandeel Area-1r. Fishing mortality (F) at age.

|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 0.012 | 0.193 | 0.063 | 0.714 | 0.233 | 1.059 | 0.345 | 1.059 | 0.345 |
| 1984 | 0.013 | 0.220 | 0.069 | 0.815 | 0.254 | 1.209 | 0.377 | 1.209 | 0.377 |
| 1985 | 0.014 | 0.235 | 0.074 | 0.870 | 0.273 | 1.290 | 0.405 | 1.290 | 0.405 |
| 1986 | 0.005 | 0.183 | 0.024 | 0.677 | 0.091 | 1.004 | 0.135 | 1.004 | 0.135 |
| 1987 | 0.008 | 0.119 | 0.042 | 0.442 | 0.157 | 0.655 | 0.233 | 0.655 | 0.233 |
| 1988 | 0.005 | 0.199 | 0.025 | 0.739 | 0.091 | 1.096 | 0.135 | 1.096 | 0.135 |
| 1989 | 0.001 | 0.664 | 0.027 | 0.889 | 0.036 | 0.902 | 0.037 | 0.902 | 0.037 |
| 1990 | 0.002 | 0.645 | 0.045 | 0.864 | 0.060 | 0.876 | 0.061 | 0.876 | 0.061 |
| 1991 | 0.005 | 0.370 | 0.121 | 0.495 | 0.162 | 0.502 | 0.165 | 0.502 | 0.165 |
| 1992 | 0.003 | 0.639 | 0.076 | 0.855 | 0.102 | 0.868 | 0.103 | 0.868 | 0.103 |
| 1993 | 0.001 | 0.282 | 0.034 | 0.377 | 0.046 | 0.383 | 0.047 | 0.383 | 0.047 |
| 1994 | 0.001 | 0.230 | 0.026 | 0.308 | 0.034 | 0.312 | 0.035 | 0.312 | 0.035 |
| 1995 | 0.002 | 0.427 | 0.052 | 0.572 | 0.070 | 0.580 | 0.071 | 0.580 | 0.071 |
| 1996 | 0.003 | 0.387 | 0.060 | 0.519 | 0.080 | 0.526 | 0.081 | 0.526 | 0.081 |
| 1997 | 0.005 | 0.322 | 0.118 | 0.431 | 0.158 | 0.437 | 0.161 | 0.437 | 0.161 |
| 1998 | 0.002 | 0.491 | 0.049 | 0.658 | 0.066 | 0.667 | 0.067 | 0.667 | 0.067 |
| 1999 | 0.017 | 0.740 | 0.129 | 0.823 | 0.143 | 0.818 | 0.142 | 0.818 | 0.142 |
| 2000 | 0.016 | 0.581 | 0.121 | 0.646 | 0.134 | 0.642 | 0.133 | 0.642 | 0.133 |
| 2001 | 0.049 | 0.756 | 0.374 | 0.840 | 0.416 | 0.836 | 0.414 | 0.836 | 0.414 |
| 2002 | 0.004 | 0.747 | 0.028 | 0.830 | 0.031 | 0.826 | 0.031 | 0.826 | 0.031 |
| 2003 | 0.015 | 0.555 | 0.113 | 0.617 | 0.125 | 0.614 | 0.125 | 0.614 | 0.125 |
| 2004 | 0.007 | 0.620 | 0.057 | 0.689 | 0.064 | 0.686 | 0.063 | 0.686 | 0.063 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.693 | 0.052 | 1.051 | 0.078 | 1.081 | 0.080 | 1.081 | 0.080 |
| 2006 | 0.001 | 0.838 | 0.074 | 1.271 | 0.112 | 1.308 | 0.115 | 1.308 | 0.115 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.329 | 0.000 | 0.498 | 0.000 | 0.513 | 0.000 | 0.513 | 0.000 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.588 | 0.035 | 0.892 | 0.054 | 0.918 | 0.055 | 0.918 | 0.055 |
| 2009 | 0.001 | 0.711 | 0.072 | 1.078 | 0.110 | 1.109 | 0.113 | 1.109 | 0.113 |
| 2010 | 0.002 | 0.310 | 0.013 | 0.733 | 0.031 | 1.234 | 0.053 | 1.234 | 0.053 |


|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.350 | 0.009 | 0.827 | 0.022 | 1.392 | 0.037 | 1.392 | 0.037 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.067 | 0.000 | 0.158 | 0.000 | 0.266 | 0.000 | 0.266 | 0.000 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.421 | 0.000 | 0.995 | 0.000 | 1.675 | 0.000 | 1.675 | 0.000 |
| 2014 | 0.001 | 0.242 | 0.008 | 0.571 | 0.019 | 0.961 | 0.033 | 0.961 | 0.033 |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.236 | 0.000 | 0.557 | 0.000 | 0.938 | 0.000 | 0.938 | 0.000 |
| 2016 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.039 | 0.000 | 0.066 | 0.000 | 0.066 | 0.000 |
| 2017 | 0.001 | 0.314 | 0.005 | 0.743 | 0.012 | 1.251 | 0.020 | 1.251 | 0.020 |
| 2018 | 0.004 | 0.301 | 0.029 | 0.712 | 0.068 | 1.198 | 0.115 | 1.198 | 0.115 |
| 2019 | 0.004 | 0.290 | 0.034 | 0.686 | 0.079 | 1.156 | 0.134 | 1.156 | 0.134 |
| 2020 | 0.001 | 0.302 | 0.004 | 0.715 | 0.009 | 1.203 | 0.016 | 1.203 | 0.016 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.003 | 0.105 | 0.007 | 0.176 | 0.012 | 0.176 | 0.012 |
| arith. | 0.005 | 0.401 | 0.053 | 0.674 | 0.088 | 0.852 | 0.106 | 0.852 | 0.106 |
| mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.2.8 Sandeel Area-1r. Natural mortality (M) at age.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 0.499 | 0.400 | 0.462 | 0.357 | 0.378 | 0.261 | 0.326 | 0.243 | 0.337 |
| 1984 | 0.499 | 0.400 | 0.462 | 0.357 | 0.378 | 0.261 | 0.326 | 0.243 | 0.337 |
| 1985 | 0.519 | 0.385 | 0.468 | 0.345 | 0.382 | 0.281 | 0.358 | 0.253 | 0.337 |
| 1986 | 0.534 | 0.376 | 0.475 | 0.342 | 0.409 | 0.270 | 0.368 | 0.249 | 0.353 |
| 1987 | 0.550 | 0.387 | 0.490 | 0.344 | 0.422 | 0.269 | 0.371 | 0.252 | 0.358 |
| 1988 | 0.553 | 0.396 | 0.484 | 0.357 | 0.418 | 0.282 | 0.358 | 0.270 | 0.344 |
| 1989 | 0.532 | 0.415 | 0.460 | 0.377 | 0.392 | 0.303 | 0.356 | 0.271 | 0.333 |
| 1990 | 0.544 | 0.403 | 0.471 | 0.341 | 0.395 | 0.282 | 0.355 | 0.267 | 0.343 |
| 1991 | 0.560 | 0.394 | 0.457 | 0.326 | 0.384 | 0.230 | 0.344 | 0.227 | 0.344 |
| 1992 | 0.549 | 0.397 | 0.434 | 0.311 | 0.371 | 0.218 | 0.328 | 0.221 | 0.331 |
| 1993 | 0.530 | 0.407 | 0.404 | 0.343 | 0.331 | 0.240 | 0.318 | 0.221 | 0.309 |
| 1994 | 0.530 | 0.386 | 0.447 | 0.327 | 0.362 | 0.243 | 0.329 | 0.217 | 0.315 |
| 1995 | 0.521 | 0.380 | 0.470 | 0.337 | 0.376 | 0.247 | 0.339 | 0.217 | 0.324 |
| 1996 | 0.552 | 0.340 | 0.492 | 0.304 | 0.391 | 0.244 | 0.351 | 0.211 | 0.341 |
| 1997 | 0.567 | 0.372 | 0.508 | 0.323 | 0.389 | 0.271 | 0.349 | 0.224 | 0.341 |
| 1998 | 0.615 | 0.416 | 0.546 | 0.350 | 0.392 | 0.305 | 0.352 | 0.237 | 0.343 |
| 1999 | 0.620 | 0.456 | 0.566 | 0.379 | 0.401 | 0.315 | 0.350 | 0.249 | 0.340 |
| 2000 | 0.608 | 0.469 | 0.551 | 0.391 | 0.369 | 0.322 | 0.334 | 0.243 | 0.309 |
| 2001 | 0.614 | 0.410 | 0.528 | 0.366 | 0.366 | 0.297 | 0.326 | 0.227 | 0.297 |
| 2002 | 0.671 | 0.454 | 0.566 | 0.424 | 0.456 | 0.354 | 0.357 | 0.272 | 0.329 |
| 2003 | 0.690 | 0.475 | 0.585 | 0.442 | 0.472 | 0.388 | 0.377 | 0.320 | 0.368 |
| 2004 | 0.709 | 0.544 | 0.629 | 0.473 | 0.476 | 0.417 | 0.375 | 0.356 | 0.368 |
| 2005 | 0.695 | 0.542 | 0.554 | 0.426 | 0.396 | 0.395 | 0.371 | 0.318 | 0.354 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 0.729 | 0.571 | 0.580 | 0.441 | 0.417 | 0.346 | 0.365 | 0.288 | 0.348 |
| 2007 | 0.769 | 0.549 | 0.566 | 0.405 | 0.433 | 0.312 | 0.396 | 0.270 | 0.376 |
| 2008 | 0.725 | 0.541 | 0.610 | 0.414 | 0.456 | 0.300 | 0.385 | 0.268 | 0.375 |
| 2009 | 0.704 | 0.460 | 0.597 | 0.346 | 0.452 | 0.282 | 0.406 | 0.250 | 0.383 |
| 2010 | 0.715 | 0.475 | 0.667 | 0.366 | 0.540 | 0.299 | 0.443 | 0.256 | 0.419 |
| 2011 | 0.787 | 0.528 | 0.731 | 0.367 | 0.544 | 0.321 | 0.472 | 0.273 | 0.437 |
| 2012 | 0.787 | 0.593 | 0.710 | 0.454 | 0.541 | 0.368 | 0.455 | 0.321 | 0.433 |
| 2013 | 0.732 | 0.591 | 0.655 | 0.495 | 0.435 | 0.369 | 0.407 | 0.324 | 0.388 |
| 2014 | 0.723 | 0.522 | 0.605 | 0.481 | 0.390 | 0.324 | 0.364 | 0.302 | 0.357 |
| 2015 | 0.718 | 0.578 | 0.622 | 0.442 | 0.391 | 0.299 | 0.380 | 0.276 | 0.356 |
| 2016 | 0.725 | 0.526 | 0.617 | 0.394 | 0.396 | 0.288 | 0.384 | 0.268 | 0.354 |
| 2017 | 0.673 | 0.534 | 0.600 | 0.425 | 0.454 | 0.307 | 0.394 | 0.286 | 0.363 |
| 2018 | 0.619 | 0.440 | 0.538 | 0.427 | 0.454 | 0.328 | 0.360 | 0.293 | 0.345 |
| 2019 | 0.619 | 0.440 | 0.538 | 0.427 | 0.454 | 0.328 | 0.360 | 0.293 | 0.345 |
| 2020 | 0.619 | 0.440 | 0.538 | 0.427 | 0.454 | 0.328 | 0.360 | 0.293 | 0.345 |
| 2021 | 0.619 | 0.440 | 0.538 | 0.427 | 0.454 | 0.328 | 0.360 | 0.293 | 0.345 |
| arith. | 0.629 | 0.457 | 0.544 | 0.387 | 0.420 | 0.303 | 0.367 | 0.266 | 0.352 |
| mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.2.9 Sandeel Area-1r. Stock numbers (millions). Age 0 at start of 2 nd half-year, age 1+ at start of the year.

| Age 0 |  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 299015 | 13260 | 52130 | 2841 | 242 |
| 1984 | 75976 | 179350 | 4339 | 9694 | 422 |
| 1985 | 512274 | 45519 | 56771 | 714 | 1152 |
| 1986 | 77581 | 300596 | 14239 | 8751 | 186 |
| 1987 | 47398 | 45284 | 104383 | 3122 | 1514 |
| 1988 | 206586 | 27125 | 16027 | 26651 | 1015 |
| 1989 | 92629 | 118264 | 8995 | 3220 | 4263 |
| 1990 | 131123 | 54377 | 24694 | 1653 | 1563 |
| 1991 | 163993 | 75981 | 11376 | 4692 | 675 |
| 1992 | 37010 | 93162 | 19867 | 2898 | 1553 |
| 1993 | 155890 | 21312 | 19849 | 3854 | 975 |
| 1994 | 223917 | 91585 | 6904 | 6624 | 1810 |
| 1995 | 56134 | 131647 | 30831 | 2461 | 3394 |
| 1996 | 403422 | 33277 | 34864 | 7956 | 1746 |
| 1997 | 63130 | 231744 | 9261 | 9566 | 2939 |
| 1998 | 121133 | 35632 | 61895 | 2518 | 3749 |
| 1999 | 159266 | 65331 | 7933 | 14295 | 1636 |
| 2000 | 252679 | 84243 | 9865 | 1385 | 3158 |
| 2001 | 418211 | 135479 | 15068 | 2117 | 1168 |


| Age 0 |  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 26725 | 215558 | 17118 | 2064 | 524 |
| 2003 | 160692 | 13616 | 35820 | 3000 | 552 |
| 2004 | 67979 | 79446 | 2418 | 6836 | 800 |
| 2005 | 163089 | 33196 | 12486 | 441 | 1647 |
| 2006 | 79307 | 81351 | 5268 | 1774 | 327 |
| 2007 | 194907 | 38213 | 10326 | 560 | 252 |
| 2008 | 77150 | 90322 | 9021 | 2714 | 244 |
| 2009 | 560359 | 37363 | 15321 | 1469 | 566 |
| 2010 | 34547 | 277020 | 5933 | 2103 | 306 |
| 2011 | 42280 | 16878 | 63962 | 1116 | 320 |
| 2012 | 103313 | 19221 | 3346 | 11004 | 158 |
| 2013 | 60111 | 47021 | 4888 | 1056 | 3760 |
| 2014 | 214166 | 28923 | 8874 | 713 | 437 |
| 2015 | 36587 | 103861 | 7304 | 2057 | 216 |
| 2016 | 272957 | 17842 | 24718 | 1819 | 453 |
| 2017 | 19491 | 132257 | 5594 | 10792 | 1098 |
| 2018 | 31171 | 9935 | 30909 | 1092 | 1661 |
| 2019 | 95467 | 16729 | 2686 | 5876 | 384 |
| 2020 | 52902 | 51206 | 4550 | 518 | 870 |
| 2021 | 39617 | 28483 | 14177 | 915 | 213 |
| 2022 |  | 21333 | 10218 | 5259 | 475 |

Table 9.2.10 Sandeel Area-1r. Estimated recruitment, total stock biomass (TBS), spawning stock biomass (SSB), catch weight (modelled yield) and average fishing mortality.

|  | Recruits (thousands) | TSB (tonnes) | SSB (tonnes) | Yield (tonnes) | Mean F $_{1-2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 299013715 | 625840 | 460929 | 378795 | 0.601 |
| 1984 | 75981466 | 1165290 | 196025 | 498626 | 0.679 |
| 1985 | 512084393 | 783157 | 453160 | 437114 | 0.725 |
| 1986 | 77593949 | 1862800 | 270493 | 382844 | 0.487 |
| 1987 | 47393706 | 1512290 | 973838 | 373021 | 0.380 |
| 1988 | 206539038 | 775842 | 574928 | 413646 | 0.527 |
| 1989 | 92618550 | 747470 | 154662 | 446028 | 0.808 |
| 1990 | 131169377 | 647380 | 247707 | 306240 | 0.807 |
| 1991 | 163938186 | 944290 | 330050 | 332204 | 0.574 |
| 1992 | 37021153 | 1042320 | 284361 | 558599 | 0.836 |
| 1993 | 155942826 | 459344 | 260407 | 132024 | 0.370 |
| 1994 | 223964922 | 683504 | 177726 | 193241 | 0.299 |
| 1995 | 56119842 | 1449690 | 399113 | 400588 | 0.560 |
| 1996 | 403222872 | 605958 | 364762 | 265869 | 0.523 |
| 1997 | 63148522 | 1894180 | 232815 | 426089 | 0.515 |


|  | Recruits (thousands) | TSB (tonnes) | SSB (tonnes) | Yield (tonnes) | Mean $\mathrm{F}_{1-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 121084596 | 854977 | 524919 | 377073 | 0.632 |
| 1999 | 159252253 | 577355 | 222348 | 422718 | 0.917 |
| 2000 | 252772391 | 677968 | 142059 | 299167 | 0.741 |
| 2001 | 418003348 | 787195 | 161297 | 531265 | 1.193 |
| 2002 | 26722060 | 1439260 | 156217 | 606466 | 0.818 |
| 2003 | 160691992 | 343463 | 243045 | 148039 | 0.705 |
| 2004 | 67998758 | 491587 | 93246 | 203646 | 0.715 |
| 2005 | 163120541 | 349493 | 116425 | 123422 | 0.937 |
| 2006 | 79319932 | 554785 | 75508 | 240646 | 1.148 |
| 2007 | 194900553 | 320875 | 93620 | 109624 | 0.413 |
| 2008 | 77129779 | 704471 | 129832 | 234447 | 0.784 |
| 2009 | 560309574 | 399923 | 145365 | 290995 | 0.985 |
| 2010 | 34552829 | 1852980 | 129573 | 300508 | 0.544 |
| 2011 | 42287412 | 666689 | 467895 | 318840 | 0.604 |
| 2012 | 103284720 | 281048 | 152970 | 46117 | 0.112 |
| 2013 | 60128831 | 305106 | 83200 | 214359 | 0.708 |
| 2014 | 214109902 | 211344 | 64861 | 78830 | 0.420 |
| 2015 | 36579554 | 655139 | 85221 | 163381 | 0.396 |
| 2016 | 273004818 | 372064 | 231422 | 14613 | 0.028 |
| 2017 | 19481970 | 849954 | 156530 | 241916 | 0.537 |
| 2018 | 31171039 | 293905 | 204843 | 133659 | 0.555 |
| 2019 | 95439204 | 153229 | 71254 | 66444 | 0.545 |
| 2020 | 52904555 | 426837 | 60901 | 106100 | 0.515 |
| 2021 | 39626157 | 318828 | 126880 | 17064 | 0.079 |
| 2022 |  |  | 128284 |  |  |
| arith. mean | 149491895 | 745842 | 236222 | 277802 | 0.608 |
| geo. mean | 106856781 |  |  |  |  |
| arith. mean for the period 1983-2021 geo. mean for the period 1983-2020 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.2.11 Sandeel Area-1r. Input to forecast.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Stock numbers(2022) | 106885.513 | 21333 | 10218.5 | 5258.51 | 474.614 |
| Exploitation pattern 1st half |  | 0.044 | 0.105 | 0.176 | 0.176 |
| Exploitation pattern 2nd half | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.012 | 0.012 |
| Weight in the stock 1st half |  | 5.544 | 8.217 | 10.190 | 11.888 |
| Weight in the catch 1st half | 3.221 | 7.739 | 10.099 | 13.239 | 14.905 |
| weight in the catch 2nd half | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.801 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Proportion mature(2022) | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.801 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Proportion mature(2023) |  | 0.440 | 0.427 | 0.328 | 0.293 |
| Natural mortality 1st half | 0.619 | 0.538 | 0.454 | 0.360 | 0.345 |
| Natural mortality 2nd half |  |  | 8.217 | 10.190 | 11.888 |

Table 9.2.12 Sandeel Area-1r. Short term forecast (000 tonnes).
Basis: $\mathrm{Fsq}=\mathrm{F}(2021)=0.0794$; Yield(2021)=17.064; Recruitment(2021)=39.626157; Recruitment(2022)=geometric mean (GM 1983-2020)=106.885513 billion; SSB(2022)=128.284

| F multiplier | Basis | F(2022) | Catch(2022) | SSB(2023) | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%SSB } \\ \text { change* } \end{gathered}$ | \%TAC change** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{F}=0$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 136.622 | 7 \% | -100 \% |
| 0.99 | Fsq*0.99 | 0.079 | 20.173 | 123.863 | -3 \% | 18 \% |
| 1 | Fsq*1 | 0.079 | 20.290 | 123.790 | -4\% | 19 \% |
| 2 | Fsq*2 | 0.159 | 38.323 | 112.532 | -12 \% | 125 \% |
| 1 | Fsq*1 | 0.079 | 20.290 | 123.790 | -4\% | 19 \% |
| 0.08 | Fsq*0.08 | 0.006 | 1.734 | 135.520 | 6 \% | -90\% |
| 1.8 | Fsq*1.8 | 0.143 | 34.856 | 114.684 | -11\% | 104 \% |
| 2.2 | Fsq*2.2 | 0.175 | 41.642 | 110.477 | -14\% | 144 \% |
| 0.11 | Fsq*0.11 | 0.009 | 2.359 | 135.123 | 5 \% | -86\% |
| No conversion for calculation of MSY catch |  | NA | NA | NA |  |  |
| *SSB in 2023 relative to SSB in 2022 <br> **TAC in 2022 relative to catches in 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.3.1 Sandeel Area-2r. Catch at age numbers (million) by half year.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, 1st <br> half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 12882 | 4162 | 476 | 6190 | 877 | 203 | 104 | 67 | 0 |
| 1984 | 0 | 10284 | 3846 | 912 | 186 | 1154 | 193 | 38 | 10 |
| 1985 | 1827 | 1411 | 392 | 5501 | 768 | 473 | 387 | 109 | 50 |
| 1986 | 1443 | 24479 | 3495 | 3144 | 208 | 436 | 95 | 6 | 7 |
| 1987 | 45 | 831 | 512 | 2621 | 591 | 131 | 17 | 20 | 4 |
| 1988 | 5602 | 1030 | 545 | 3379 | 226 | 3163 | 775 | 478 | 31 |
| 1989 | 2819 | 23364 | 3809 | 1666 | 273 | 938 | 10 | 909 | 34 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 5046 | 7332 | 854 | 3967 | 196 | 587 | 29 | 177 | 9 |
| 1991 | 10053 | 14203 | 3628 | 2099 | 110 | 451 | 35 | 156 | 1 |
| 1992 | 6830 | 12016 | 886 | 4066 | 85 | 475 | 34 | 298 | 7 |
| 1993 | 14083 | 4814 | 873 | 1294 | 660 | 642 | 226 | 475 | 56 |
| 1994 | 0 | 25596 | 4477 | 3619 | 919 | 341 | 275 | 199 | 118 |
| 1995 | 1798 | 4897 | 1316 | 1598 | 1777 | 209 | 211 | 88 | 159 |
| 1996 | 26463 | 2472 | 7161 | 1573 | 475 | 905 | 278 | 260 | 186 |
| 1997 | 284 | 29071 | 8330 | 1640 | 193 | 628 | 83 | 207 | 47 |
| 1998 | 1070 | 645 | 106 | 4749 | 1424 | 437 | 136 | 348 | 144 |
| 1999 | 4130 | 841 | 1113 | 177 | 102 | 855 | 501 | 186 | 149 |
| 2000 | 519 | 8160 | 1066 | 566 | 164 | 217 | 98 | 518 | 134 |
| 2001 | 5767 | 2625 | 2414 | 1010 | 563 | 129 | 73 | 367 | 228 |
| 2002 | 4 | 15855 | 1379 | 891 | 185 | 393 | 35 | 85 | 28 |
| 2003 | 3711 | 267 | 79 | 1723 | 453 | 136 | 43 | 67 | 17 |
| 2004 | 755 | 10761 | 2034 | 711 | 212 | 537 | 297 | 174 | 55 |
| 2005 | 15 | 2171 | 490 | 513 | 336 | 48 | 32 | 116 | 91 |
| 2006 | 8 | 2441 | 1030 | 276 | 125 | 100 | 64 | 27 | 39 |
| 2007 | 0 | 6431 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 2008 | 1 | 4621 | 187 | 434 | 64 | 90 | 36 | 15 | 5 |
| 2009 | 103 | 2817 | 1867 | 671 | 145 | 42 | 25 | 4 | 1 |
| 2010 | 2 | 6490 | 1308 | 193 | 35 | 374 | 27 | 60 | 4 |
| 2011 | 0 | 404 | 19 | 1474 | 91 | 236 | 17 | 59 | 3 |
| 2012 | 0 | 168 | 6 | 194 | 51 | 293 | 6 | 60 | 10 |
| 2013 | 0 | 4824 | 431 | 1158 | 47 | 296 | 16 | 99 | 5 |
| 2014 | 301 | 2987 | 141 | 2371 | 28 | 340 | 3 | 119 | 5 |
| 2015 | 0 | 2275 | 42 | 772 | 9 | 561 | 2 | 197 | 2 |
| 2016 | 4 | 272 | 1 | 136 | 3 | 108 | 0 | 66 | 0 |
| 2017 | 0 | 23040 | 1325 | 243 | 5 | 51 | 25 | 20 | 2 |
| 2018 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1949 | 22 | 63 | 2 | 11 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2020 | 4 | 8068 | 16 | 433 | 1 | 173 | 1 | 356 | 3 |
| 2021 | 0 | 746 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| arith. <br> mean | 2707 | 7004 | 1427 | 1650 | 298 | 421 | 107 | 165 | 42 |

Table 9.3.2 Sandeel Area-2r. Individual mean weight (gram) at age in the catch and in the sea.

|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 16.5 | 12.8 | 22.9 | 15.0 | 27.3 |
| 1984 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 14.1 | 15.6 | 25.8 | 18.8 | 30.1 |
| 1985 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 16.8 | 17.5 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 27.5 |
| 1986 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 15.8 | 12.7 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 |
| 1987 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 12.9 | 16.4 | 21.1 | 14.6 | 19.4 |
| 1988 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 11.1 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 21.0 | 23.1 | 30.6 |
| 1989 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 13.4 | 10.1 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 18.0 |
| 1990 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 11.8 | 14.4 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 20.8 |
| 1991 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 11.0 | 35.8 | 32.6 | 21.2 | 20.1 |
| 1992 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 15.0 | 9.9 | 20.6 | 13.5 | 29.3 | 17.9 | 29.2 |
| 1993 | 2.8 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 22.3 | 22.0 |
| 1994 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 15.3 | 23.0 | 19.5 | 20.7 |
| 1995 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 19.2 | 21.1 |
| 1996 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 17.0 | 15.8 | 27.9 | 24.5 |
| 1997 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 15.9 | 14.9 |
| 1998 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 11.4 | 14.0 | 14.7 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 18.3 |
| 1999 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 19.1 | 19.6 |
| 2000 | 3.1 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 15.2 | 17.9 | 18.1 | 19.5 |
| 2001 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 12.9 | 16.1 | 16.6 | 21.7 | 20.4 | 26.2 |
| 2002 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 13.2 | 9.6 | 15.3 | 17.3 | 17.7 |
| 2003 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 11.3 | 16.0 | 15.1 | 21.4 | 18.2 | 27.2 |
| 2004 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 13.1 | 13.7 |
| 2005 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 17.5 |
| 2006 | 4.6 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 15.9 | 13.9 | 20.6 | 14.8 | 23.4 |
| 2007 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 17.8 | 17.8 |
| 2008 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 18.0 | 17.7 |
| 2009 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 16.5 | 18.6 | 12.2 | 11.0 |
| 2010 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 13.2 | 12.5 |
| 2011 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 14.6 | 18.0 |
| 2012 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 19.6 | 21.8 | 22.3 |
| 2013 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 11.5 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 16.5 |
| 2014 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 14.6 | 18.6 | 16.6 |
| 2015 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 18.4 | 15.3 | 23.9 | 17.3 | 27.1 |
| 2016 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 12.2 | 8.9 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 16.0 | 13.1 |
| 2017 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 13.1 | 10.8 | 14.8 | 15.5 |
| 2018 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 8.2 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 18.6 | 14.0 | 20.7 |


|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 15.4 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 20.7 |
| 2020 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 16.2 | 18.6 | 20.4 | 20.7 |
| 2021 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 13.9 | 13.0 | 18.6 | 16.3 | 20.7 |
| arith. <br> mean | 4.0 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 20.4 |

Table 9.3.3 Sandeel Area-2r. Proportion mature.

|  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1983-2016$ | 0.02 | 0.83 | 1 | 1 |

Table 9.3.4. Sandeel Area-2r. Dredge survey indices.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2010 | 938.752 | 1482.382 |
| 2011 | 2290.448 | 259.021 |
| 2012 | 11342.580 | 94.156 |
| 2013 | 7546.966 | 2103.482 |
| 2014 | 5760.235 | 810.806 |
| 2015 | 706.350 | 106.920 |
| 2016 | 53839.804 | 113.297 |
| 2017 | 899.000 | 2976.000 |
| 2018 | 2326.000 | 372.000 |
| 2019 | 26129.000 | 522.000 |
| 2020 | 7662.000 | 665.000 |
| 2021 | 45488.020 | 499.877 |

Table 9.3.5 Sandeel Area-2r. SMS settings and statistics.
Date: 01/26/22 Start time:09:45:41 run time:0 seconds
objective function (negative log likelihood): 86.0187
Number of parameters: 75
Maximum gradient: 9.66494e-005
Akaike information criterion (AIC): 322.037
Number of observations used in the likelihood:

| Catch | CPUE | S/R | Stomach | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351 | 24 | 39 | 0 | 414 |

objective function weight:

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\text { Catch } & \text { CPUE } & \text { S/R } \\
1.00 & 1.00 & 0.10
\end{array}
$$

unweighted objective function contributions (total):

| Catch | CPUE | S/R | Stom. | Stom N. | Penalty | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90.2 | -6.2 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 104 |

```
unweighted objective function contributions (per observation):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Catch & CPUE & \multicolumn{1}{r}{ S/R } & Stomachs \\
0.26 & -0.26 & 0.52 & 0.00
\end{tabular}
```

contribution by fleet:
Dredge survey 2010-2021 total: -6.243 mean: -0.260

```
F, season effect:
----------------
age: 0
    1983-1988: 0.000 1.000
    1989-1998: 0.000 1.000
    1999-2004: 0.000 1.000
    2005-2009: 0.000 1.000
    2010-2021: 0.000 1.000
age: 1 - 4
    1983-1988: 0.475 0.500
    1989-1998: 0.685 0.500
    1999-2004: 0.421 0.500
    2005-2009: 0.191 0.500
    2010-2021: 0.571 0.500
```

$F$, age effect:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983-1988: | 0.041 | 0.280 | 0.901 | 1.490 | 1.490 |
| 1989-1998: | 0.099 | 0.337 | 0.403 | 0.476 | 0.476 |
| 1999-2004: | 0.041 | 0.598 | 0.717 | 0.721 | 0.721 |
| $2005-2009:$ | 0.001 | 1.960 | 1.647 | 1.731 | 1.731 |
| $2010-2021:$ | 0.001 | 0.270 | 0.440 | 0.555 | 0.555 |

Exploitation pattern (scaled to mean $\mathrm{F}=1$ )

|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983-1988 | season 1: | 0 | 0.299 | 0.962 | 1.592 | 1.592 |
|  | season 2: | 0.051 | 0.175 | 0.564 | 0.932 | 0.932 |
| 1989-1998 | season 1: | 0 | 0.725 | 0.868 | 1.025 | 1.025 |
|  | season 2: | 0.109 | 0.185 | 0.222 | 0.262 | 0.262 |
| 1999-2004 | season 1: | 0 | 0.310 | 0.371 | 0.373 | 0.373 |
|  | season 2: | 0.082 | 0.600 | 0.719 | 0.723 | 0.723 |
| 2005-2009 | season 1: | 0 | 0.540 | 0.454 | 0.477 | 0.477 |
|  | season 2: | 0.001 | 0.546 | 0.459 | 0.482 | 0.482 |
| 2010-2021 | season 1: | 0 | 0.638 | 1.038 | 1.310 | 1.310 |
|  | season 2: | 0.001 | 0.123 | 0.201 | 0.254 | 0.254 |

sqrt(catch variance) ~ CV:
season

|  | season |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| age | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 1.641 |
| 1 | 0.404 | 0.825 |
| 2 | 0.404 | 0.825 |
| 3 | 0.880 | 1.082 |

$4 \quad 0.880 \quad 1.082$

Survey catchability:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dredge survey 2010-2021 | age 0 age 1 |
| $0.356 \quad 23.650$ |  |


| Stock size dependent catchability (power model) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ---1.32 | 1.00 | age 0 |

sqrt(Survey variance) ~ CV:
Dredge survey 2010-2021 age $0 \quad$ age 1

| Recruit-SSB | alfa | beta | recruit s2 | recruit s |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area-2r | 1093.169 | $5.600 \mathrm{e}+004$ | 1.038 | 1.019 |

Table 9.3.6 Sandeel Area-2r. Annual fishing mortality (F) at age.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Avg. 1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 0.037 | 0.369 | 1.175 | 1.936 | 1.935 | 0.772 |
| 1984 | 0.034 | 0.310 | 0.990 | 1.638 | 1.637 | 0.650 |
| 1985 | 0.022 | 0.290 | 0.916 | 1.500 | 1.497 | 0.603 |
| 1986 | 0.025 | 0.416 | 1.302 | 2.115 | 2.111 | 0.859 |
| 1987 | 0.008 | 0.092 | 0.292 | 0.482 | 0.481 | 0.192 |
| 1988 | 0.027 | 0.309 | 0.980 | 1.610 | 1.608 | 0.645 |
| 1989 | 0.076 | 0.728 | 0.854 | 0.996 | 0.994 | 0.791 |
| 1990 | 0.037 | 0.489 | 0.572 | 0.664 | 0.662 | 0.531 |
| 1991 | 0.070 | 0.552 | 0.650 | 0.760 | 0.759 | 0.601 |
| 1992 | 0.051 | 0.561 | 0.657 | 0.765 | 0.763 | 0.609 |
| 1993 | 0.080 | 0.442 | 0.524 | 0.618 | 0.617 | 0.483 |
| 1994 | 0.050 | 0.470 | 0.551 | 0.643 | 0.642 | 0.510 |
| 1995 | 0.043 | 0.255 | 0.302 | 0.356 | 0.355 | 0.279 |
| 1996 | 0.132 | 0.379 | 0.460 | 0.554 | 0.555 | 0.420 |
| 1997 | 0.083 | 0.555 | 0.656 | 0.770 | 0.768 | 0.606 |
| 1998 | 0.046 | 0.286 | 0.339 | 0.398 | 0.397 | 0.312 |
| 1999 | 0.036 | 0.370 | 0.456 | 0.471 | 0.472 | 0.413 |
| 2000 | 0.017 | 0.550 | 0.649 | 0.648 | 0.647 | 0.599 |
| 2001 | 0.036 | 0.479 | 0.581 | 0.594 | 0.595 | 0.530 |
| 2002 | 0.020 | 0.665 | 0.784 | 0.782 | 0.780 | 0.724 |
| 2003 | 0.037 | 0.441 | 0.538 | 0.552 | 0.552 | 0.489 |
| 2004 | 0.030 | 0.897 | 1.060 | 1.060 | 1.059 | 0.978 |
| 2005 | 0.001 | 1.177 | 0.996 | 1.060 | 1.060 | 1.086 |
| 2006 | 0.001 | 1.229 | 1.046 | 1.119 | 1.119 | 1.138 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.752 | 0.615 | 0.631 | 0.628 | 0.684 |


|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Avg. 1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.808 | 0.671 | 0.700 | 0.699 | 0.740 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.773 | 0.653 | 0.695 | 0.695 | 0.713 |
| 2010 | 0.000 | 0.393 | 0.622 | 0.773 | 0.771 | 0.508 |
| 2011 | 0.000 | 0.254 | 0.400 | 0.495 | 0.493 | 0.327 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.145 | 0.228 | 0.282 | 0.281 | 0.187 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.628 | 0.988 | 1.221 | 1.217 | 0.808 |
| 2014 | 0.000 | 0.476 | 0.747 | 0.920 | 0.917 | 0.612 |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.419 | 0.656 | 0.806 | 0.804 | 0.538 |
| 2017 | 0.000 | 0.181 | 0.284 | 0.350 | 0.349 | 0.233 |
| 2018 | 0.001 | 0.815 | 1.280 | 1.581 | 1.577 | 1.047 |
| 2019 | 0.000 | 0.245 | 0.383 | 0.471 | 0.469 | 0.314 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.057 | 0.089 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.073 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.560 | 0.877 | 1.080 | 1.077 | 0.718 |
| arith. mean | 0.000 | 0.110 | 0.172 | 0.211 | 0.211 | 0.141 |
|  | 0.026 | 0.485 | 0.667 | 0.831 | 0.830 | 0.576 |

Table 9.3.7 Sandeel Area-2r. Fishing mortality (F) at age.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 0.037 | 0.216 | 0.127 | 0.696 | 0.408 | 1.151 | 0.674 | 1.151 | 0.674 |
| 1984 | 0.034 | 0.176 | 0.115 | 0.567 | 0.371 | 0.938 | 0.614 | 0.938 | 0.614 |
| 1985 | 0.022 | 0.183 | 0.076 | 0.590 | 0.244 | 0.976 | 0.404 | 0.976 | 0.404 |
| 1986 | 0.025 | 0.277 | 0.087 | 0.891 | 0.279 | 1.474 | 0.461 | 1.474 | 0.461 |
| 1987 | 0.008 | 0.056 | 0.028 | 0.179 | 0.090 | 0.296 | 0.150 | 0.296 | 0.150 |
| 1988 | 0.027 | 0.190 | 0.091 | 0.610 | 0.294 | 1.010 | 0.486 | 1.010 | 0.486 |
| 1989 | 0.076 | 0.501 | 0.128 | 0.600 | 0.153 | 0.709 | 0.181 | 0.709 | 0.181 |
| 1990 | 0.037 | 0.349 | 0.062 | 0.418 | 0.075 | 0.494 | 0.088 | 0.494 | 0.088 |
| 1991 | 0.070 | 0.365 | 0.119 | 0.438 | 0.143 | 0.517 | 0.168 | 0.517 | 0.168 |
| 1992 | 0.051 | 0.392 | 0.087 | 0.469 | 0.104 | 0.554 | 0.123 | 0.554 | 0.123 |
| 1993 | 0.080 | 0.268 | 0.136 | 0.321 | 0.162 | 0.379 | 0.192 | 0.379 | 0.192 |
| 1994 | 0.050 | 0.320 | 0.085 | 0.383 | 0.102 | 0.452 | 0.121 | 0.452 | 0.121 |
| 1995 | 0.043 | 0.158 | 0.073 | 0.189 | 0.088 | 0.223 | 0.103 | 0.223 | 0.103 |
| 1996 | 0.132 | 0.168 | 0.225 | 0.201 | 0.269 | 0.238 | 0.318 | 0.238 | 0.318 |
| 1997 | 0.083 | 0.355 | 0.141 | 0.425 | 0.169 | 0.502 | 0.199 | 0.502 | 0.199 |
| 1998 | 0.046 | 0.179 | 0.078 | 0.214 | 0.093 | 0.253 | 0.110 | 0.253 | 0.110 |
| 1999 | 0.036 | 0.138 | 0.267 | 0.165 | 0.320 | 0.166 | 0.322 | 0.166 | 0.322 |
| 2000 | 0.017 | 0.359 | 0.127 | 0.430 | 0.152 | 0.433 | 0.153 | 0.433 | 0.153 |
| 2001 | 0.036 | 0.222 | 0.267 | 0.266 | 0.321 | 0.268 | 0.322 | 0.268 | 0.322 |
| 2002 | 0.020 | 0.441 | 0.144 | 0.529 | 0.172 | 0.532 | 0.173 | 0.532 | 0.173 |
| 2003 | 0.037 | 0.192 | 0.269 | 0.230 | 0.322 | 0.231 | 0.324 | 0.231 | 0.324 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 0.030 | 0.580 | 0.222 | 0.695 | 0.267 | 0.699 | 0.268 | 0.699 | 0.268 |
| 2005 | 0.001 | 0.583 | 0.590 | 0.490 | 0.495 | 0.515 | 0.521 | 0.515 | 0.521 |
| 2006 | 0.001 | 0.558 | 0.704 | 0.469 | 0.592 | 0.493 | 0.622 | 0.493 | 0.622 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.600 | 0.000 | 0.505 | 0.000 | 0.530 | 0.000 | 0.530 | 0.000 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.529 | 0.189 | 0.444 | 0.159 | 0.467 | 0.167 | 0.467 | 0.167 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.390 | 0.375 | 0.328 | 0.315 | 0.344 | 0.331 | 0.344 | 0.331 |
| 2010 | 0.000 | 0.278 | 0.054 | 0.452 | 0.087 | 0.570 | 0.110 | 0.570 | 0.110 |
| 2011 | 0.000 | 0.187 | 0.020 | 0.305 | 0.032 | 0.385 | 0.040 | 0.385 | 0.040 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.109 | 0.007 | 0.178 | 0.012 | 0.224 | 0.015 | 0.224 | 0.015 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.465 | 0.056 | 0.756 | 0.090 | 0.955 | 0.114 | 0.955 | 0.114 |
| 2014 | 0.000 | 0.364 | 0.021 | 0.592 | 0.034 | 0.748 | 0.043 | 0.748 | 0.043 |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.327 | 0.006 | 0.533 | 0.009 | 0.673 | 0.011 | 0.673 | 0.011 |
| 2016 | 0.000 | 0.139 | 0.004 | 0.226 | 0.007 | 0.285 | 0.009 | 0.285 | 0.009 |
| 2017 | 0.001 | 0.605 | 0.073 | 0.985 | 0.120 | 1.244 | 0.151 | 1.244 | 0.151 |
| 2018 | 0.000 | 0.191 | 0.002 | 0.310 | 0.003 | 0.392 | 0.003 | 0.392 | 0.003 |
| 2019 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.000 | 0.072 | 0.000 | 0.091 | 0.000 | 0.091 | 0.000 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.430 | 0.023 | 0.699 | 0.038 | 0.883 | 0.048 | 0.883 | 0.048 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.085 | 0.000 | 0.139 | 0.000 | 0.176 | 0.000 | 0.176 | 0.000 |
| arith. <br> mean | 0.026 | 0.307 | 0.130 | 0.436 | 0.169 | 0.550 | 0.209 | 0.550 | 0.209 |

Table 9.3.8 Sandeel Area-2r. Natural mortality (M) at age.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1984 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1985 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1986 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1987 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1988 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1989 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1990 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1991 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1992 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1993 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1994 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1995 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1996 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1997 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 1998 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2000 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2001 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2002 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2003 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2004 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2005 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2006 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2007 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2008 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2009 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2010 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2011 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2012 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2013 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2014 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2015 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2016 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2017 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2018 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2019 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2020 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| 2021 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |
| arith. <br> mean | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.41 |

Table 9.3.9 Sandeel Area-2r. Stock numbers (millions). Age 0 at start of 2 nd half-year, age $\mathbf{1 +}$ at start of the year.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 158917 | 16431 | 14521 | 729 | 27 |
| 1984 | 47208 | 61033 | 3656 | 1901 | 58 |
| 1985 | 280397 | 18190 | 14296 | 564 | 198 |
| 1986 | 60449 | 109293 | 4400 | 2449 | 92 |
| 1987 | 35468 | 23489 | 23822 | 539 | 175 |
| 1988 | 174767 | 14019 | 6773 | 7181 | 219 |
| 1989 | 87304 | 67817 | 3319 | 1082 | 792 |
| 1990 | 158712 | 32262 | 11328 | 616 | 370 |
| 1991 | 113021 | 60965 | 6701 | 2730 | 265 |
| 1992 | 117418 | 41991 | 11773 | 1480 | 722 |
| 1993 | 231610 | 44462 | 8156 | 2619 | 538 |
| 1994 | 108224 | 85213 | 9306 | 1984 | 854 |


| Age 0 |  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 77846 | 41013 | 17812 | 2260 | 768 |
| 1996 | 418473 | 29716 | 10206 | 5331 | 1048 |
| 1997 | 16077 | 146094 | 6290 | 2516 | 1752 |
| 1998 | 26957 | 5897 | 27887 | 1370 | 1018 |
| 1999 | 75193 | 10260 | 1429 | 8087 | 799 |
| 2000 | 43989 | 28897 | 2146 | 347 | 2607 |
| 2001 | 133274 | 17230 | 5572 | 473 | 798 |
| 2002 | 10281 | 51217 | 3312 | 1223 | 340 |
| 2003 | 47588 | 4018 | 8950 | 648 | 370 |
| 2004 | 19118 | 18285 | 795 | 2033 | 281 |
| 2005 | 19287 | 7392 | 2570 | 120 | 421 |
| 2006 | 27034 | 7681 | 717 | 378 | 93 |
| 2007 | 40603 | 10764 | 681 | 98 | 74 |
| 2008 | 25407 | 16181 | 1851 | 162 | 49 |
| 2009 | 78639 | 10123 | 2475 | 400 | 54 |
| 2010 | 8418 | 31324 | 1476 | 513 | 110 |
| 2011 | 11325 | 3353 | 7051 | 340 | 151 |
| 2012 | 45359 | 4513 | 855 | 1986 | 154 |
| 2013 | 25698 | 18075 | 1259 | 279 | 805 |
| 2014 | 17956 | 10236 | 3368 | 213 | 180 |
| 2015 | 4966 | 7154 | 2185 | 711 | 86 |
| 2016 | 122957 | 1979 | 1608 | 501 | 192 |
| 2017 | 3783 | 48999 | 538 | 502 | 248 |
| 2018 | 9563 | 1507 | 7791 | 70 | 89 |
| 2019 | 45903 | 3811 | 390 | 2248 | 52 |
| 2020 | 26409 | 18293 | 1143 | 143 | 1002 |
| 2021 | 100926 | 10522 | 3647 | 216 | 219 |
| 2022 |  | 40221 | 3028 | 1252 | 176 |

Table 9.3.10 Sandeel Area-2r. Estimated recruitment, total stock biomass (TBS), spawning stock biomass (SSB), catch weight (modelled yield) and average fishing mortality.

|  | Recruits (thousands) | TSB (tonnes) | SSB (tonnes) | Yield (tonnes) | Mean F $_{1-2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 158934067 | 251523 | 141775 | 155664 | 0.723 |
| 1984 | 47204510 | 410875 | 71396 | 133343 | 0.615 |
| 1985 | 280476360 | 277668 | 134592 | 110546 | 0.547 |
| 1986 | 60430228 | 718573 | 83200 | 225470 | 0.767 |
| 1987 | 35462984 | 413235 | 233748 | 49070 | 0.176 |
| 1988 | 174773254 | 273465 | 184795 | 149466 | 0.593 |
| 1989 | 87312134 | 439951 | 53316 | 223507 | 0.692 |
| 1990 | 158775212 | 353697 | 111190 | 133874 | 0.452 |


|  | Recruits (thousands) | TSB (tonnes) | SSB (tonnes) | Yield (tonnes) | Mean $\mathrm{F}_{1-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 113011484 | 652157 | 180052 | 215508 | 0.532 |
| 1992 | 117388558 | 441433 | 135944 | 184033 | 0.526 |
| 1993 | 231710661 | 521263 | 165215 | 139826 | 0.444 |
| 1994 | 108254988 | 603092 | 137173 | 244939 | 0.445 |
| 1995 | 77827080 | 584986 | 240386 | 113899 | 0.254 |
| 1996 | 418421560 | 454272 | 228891 | 182562 | 0.431 |
| 1997 | 16078604 | 882459 | 117948 | 242094 | 0.545 |
| 1998 | 26963644 | 392074 | 302247 | 99814 | 0.282 |
| 1999 | 75225438 | 240168 | 155749 | 69427 | 0.445 |
| 2000 | 43969202 | 270755 | 74013 | 92908 | 0.534 |
| 2001 | 133284967 | 198800 | 85905 | 90200 | 0.538 |
| 2002 | 10282973 | 335242 | 46444 | 117388 | 0.643 |
| 2003 | 47583661 | 141597 | 100912 | 53710 | 0.506 |
| 2004 | 19115307 | 154485 | 37459 | 110546 | 0.882 |
| 2005 | 19288122 | 93467 | 34269 | 34396 | 1.079 |
| 2006 | 27044656 | 72874 | 14644 | 37860 | 1.162 |
| 2007 | 40588689 | 77448 | 11142 | 43090 | 0.552 |
| 2008 | 25418810 | 116369 | 24441 | 35604 | 0.660 |
| 2009 | 78609255 | 90010 | 26265 | 35687 | 0.704 |
| 2010 | 8418986 | 201457 | 23576 | 51670 | 0.435 |
| 2011 | 11330401 | 108620 | 72475 | 24896 | 0.272 |
| 2012 | 45353595 | 80403 | 42319 | 10594 | 0.153 |
| 2013 | 25699960 | 131764 | 25745 | 47814 | 0.683 |
| 2014 | 17948193 | 93429 | 34787 | 48033 | 0.505 |
| 2015 | 4965378 | 88355 | 35846 | 37902 | 0.437 |
| 2016 | 122914555 | 36435 | 26796 | 5230 | 0.188 |
| 2017 | 3782894 | 286154 | 19141 | 141314 | 0.892 |
| 2018 | 9559060 | 84166 | 64602 | 20307 | 0.253 |
| 2019 | 45901117 | 69640 | 40175 | 5091 | 0.058 |
| 2020 | 26403312 | 157776 | 37235 | 68932 | 0.595 |
| 2021 | 100936282 | 92452 | 35490 | 4979 | 0.112 |
| 2022 |  |  | 51277 |  |  |
| arith. mean | 78372459 | 279297 | 91067 | 97210 | 0.521 |
| geo. mean | 44633712 |  |  |  |  |

arith. mean for the period 1983-2021
geo. mean for the period 1983-2020

Table 9.3.11 Sandeel Area-2r. Input to forecast.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Stock numbers(2022) | 19066.388 | 40221.1 | 3028.4 | 1251.88 | 175.923 |


| Exploitation pattern 1st half |  | 0.085 | 0.139 | 0.176 | 0.176 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Exploitation pattern 2nd half | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Weight in the stock 1st half |  | 5.873 | 10.367 | 13.999 | 16.826 |
| Weight in the catch 1st half | 3.621 | 8.133 | 13.271 | 17.076 | 19.689 |
| weight in the catch 2nd half | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.830 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Proportion mature(2022) | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.830 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Proportion mature(2023) |  | 0.570 | 0.440 | 0.320 | 0.310 |
| Natural mortality 1st half | 0.920 | 0.590 | 0.490 | 0.420 | 0.410 |
| Natural mortality 2nd half |  |  |  | 13.999 | 16.826 |

Table 9.3.12 Sandeel Area-2r. Short term forecast (000 tonnes).
Basis: $\mathrm{Fsq}=\mathrm{F}(2021)=0.1123$; Yield(2021)=4.979; Recruitment(2021)=100.936282; Recruitment(2022)=geometric mean (GM 2011-2020)=19.066388 billion; SSB(2022)=51.277

| F multiplier | Basis | F(2022) | Catch(2022) | SSB(2023) | \%SSB change* | \%TAC change** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | $\mathrm{F}=0$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 137.618 | 168 \% | -100 \% |
| 3.920 | Fsq*3.92 | 0.440 | 71.859 | 93.977 | 83 \% | 1343 \% |
| 1.000 | Fsq*1 | 0.112 | 20.970 | 124.704 | 143 \% | 321 \% |
| 3.040 | Fsq*3.04 | 0.341 | 57.941 | 102.283 | 99 \% | 1064 \% |
| 0.080 | Fsq*0.08 | 0.009 | 1.700 | 136.567 | 166 \% | -66\% |
| 7.000 | Fsq*7 | 0.786 | 112.558 | 70.216 | 37 \% | 2161 \% |
| 9.000 | Fsq*9 | 1.011 | 133.602 | 58.313 | 14 \% | 2583 \% |
| 11.000 | Fsq*11 | 1.235 | 151.324 | 48.551 | -5 \% | 2939 \% |
| 13.000 | Fsq*13 | 1.460 | 166.321 | 40.520 | -21\% | 3241 \% |
| 5.097 | MSY | 0.572 | 88.771 | 84.000 | 64 \% | 1683 \% |

*SSB in 2023 relative to SSB in 2022
**TAC in 2022 relative to catches in 2021

Table 9.4.1 Sandeel Area-3r. Catch at age numbers (million) by half year.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, 1st <br> half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, 1st <br> half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 7965 | 18939 | 7987 | 2063 | 533 | 161 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 5 | 33760 | 65 | 14020 | 4 | 453 | 0 | 200 | 0 |
| 1988 | 8769 | 6584 | 853 | 17321 | 233 | 893 | 144 | 19 | 13 |
| 1989 | 159 | 47004 | 190 | 1844 | 13 | 2806 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 1990 | 9793 | 9302 | 1377 | 2791 | 286 | 413 | 43 | 125 | 13 |
| 1991 | 14442 | 24009 | 942 | 1391 | 30 | 526 | 9 | 184 | 3 |
| 1992 | 525 | 7100 | 87 | 2862 | 8 | 342 | 3 | 215 | 1 |
| 1993 | 9663 | 15164 | 851 | 558 | 155 | 211 | 71 | 1336 | 12 |
| 1994 | 0 | 23742 | 615 | 4818 | 684 | 938 | 78 | 386 | 10 |
| 1995 | 1020 | 25037 | 484 | 1894 | 78 | 238 | 13 | 156 | 17 |
| 1996 | 6263 | 4319 | 3111 | 3394 | 97 | 465 | 33 | 399 | 248 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 2, 1st } \\ \text { half } \end{gathered}$ | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 2975 | 66856 | 10388 | 2912 | 134 | 607 | 13 | 194 | 9 |
| 1998 | 30136 | 3954 | 992 | 28137 | 740 | 2553 | 192 | 290 | 32 |
| 1999 | 6444 | 5182 | 1835 | 1554 | 118 | 1979 | 401 | 421 | 169 |
| 2000 | 0 | 18793 | 344 | 3286 | 4 | 541 | 1 | 533 | 9 |
| 2001 | 18263 | 5327 | 3968 | 992 | 9 | 163 | 2 | 160 | 6 |
| 2002 | 0 | 9075 | 21 | 2680 | 3 | 387 | 1 | 135 | 0 |
| 2003 | 2755 | 939 | 61 | 808 | 53 | 130 | 2 | 78 | 1 |
| 2004 | 1091 | 1976 | 737 | 256 | 16 | 74 | 6 | 92 | 1 |
| 2005 | 0 | 1404 | 1 | 146 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 769 | 3 | 47 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 8600 | 0 | 571 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| 2008 | 0 | 4077 | 0 | 2012 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 73 | 0 |
| 2009 | 1 | 827 | 12 | 69 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 3042 | 51 | 740 | 1 | 1006 | 1 | 173 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 1304 | 0 | 5224 | 0 | 825 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 1157 | 0 | 356 | 0 |
| 2013 | 0 | 648 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 42 | 0 |
| 2014 | 0 | 5384 | 0 | 2373 | 0 | 643 | 0 | 319 | 0 |
| 2015 | 0 | 6451 | 0 | 2340 | 0 | 956 | 0 | 99 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 2006 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 284 | 0 |
| 2017 | 0 | 11734 | 0 | 671 | 0 | 434 | 0 | 409 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 413 | 6 | 6631 | 48 | 40 | 1 | 305 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 7105 | 0 | 716 | 0 | 4241 | 0 | 131 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 21133 | 0 | 1981 | 0 | 391 | 0 | 1249 | 0 |
| 2021 | 11 | 3211 | 6 | 2768 | 1 | 530 | 0 | 1378 | 0 |
| arith. <br> mean | 3341 | 11204 | 972 | 3396 | 90 | 699 | 28 | 272 | 15 |

Table 9.4.2 Sandeel Area-3r. Individual mean weight (gram) at age in the catch and in the sea.

|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 12.7 | 9.7 | 21.0 | 12.4 | 18.9 | 15.9 | 20.4 |
| 1987 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 11.7 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 31.6 | 22.5 | 29.6 |
| 1988 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 13.1 | 16.1 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 36.2 | 31.5 |
| 1989 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 18.8 | 17.5 |
| 1990 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 11.2 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 20.2 | 19.8 |
| 1991 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 13.8 | 36.4 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 16.3 |
| 1992 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 13.4 | 10.3 | 26.7 | 14.7 | 28.7 | 23.0 | 30.9 |
| 1993 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 20.8 | 23.3 | 22.4 |
| 1994 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 13.0 | 14.6 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 35.2 | 21.1 | 27.1 |


|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 16.5 | 16.1 |
| 1996 | 2.5 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 26.1 | 15.7 | 38.5 | 24.0 |
| 1997 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 18.3 | 14.4 |
| 1998 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 15.2 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 20.3 | 20.7 |
| 1999 | 8.7 | 7.4 | 14.5 | 10.1 | 19.4 | 14.1 | 21.1 | 26.3 | 30.7 |
| 2000 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 17.4 | 15.3 | 23.7 | 20.5 | 25.6 |
| 2001 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 13.7 | 16.0 | 17.8 | 15.9 | 23.2 | 25.5 |
| 2002 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 19.7 | 12.7 | 31.6 | 14.6 | 43.2 | 19.2 | 46.7 |
| 2003 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 20.3 | 24.1 | 26.9 | 26.7 |
| 2004 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.5 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 18.4 | 15.1 | 12.7 |
| 2005 | 3.4 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 16.5 | 11.2 | 19.9 | 15.3 | 22.6 | 16.6 |
| 2006 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 23.1 | 13.5 | 36.9 | 17.1 | 50.5 | 26.9 | 54.5 |
| 2007 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 15.1 | 13.9 | 21.7 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 20.5 |
| 2008 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 15.0 | 13.9 | 22.0 | 18.9 | 25.8 | 20.5 |
| 2009 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 14.1 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 12.1 | 20.8 |
| 2010 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 17.7 | 8.3 | 20.7 | 11.4 | 24.3 | 12.3 |
| 2011 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 12.6 | 13.9 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 36.2 | 20.5 |
| 2012 | 4.1 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 15.2 | 13.9 | 22.7 | 18.9 | 30.0 | 20.5 |
| 2013 | 4.1 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 18.9 | 16.2 | 20.5 |
| 2014 | 4.1 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 20.5 |
| 2015 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 12.7 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 20.2 | 30.1 | 21.9 |
| 2016 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 12.4 | 15.4 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 32.1 | 21.9 |
| 2017 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 11.9 | 15.4 | 17.7 | 20.2 | 24.2 | 21.9 |
| 2018 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 21.9 |
| 2019 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 11.6 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 21.9 |
| 2020 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 17.2 | 20.2 | 19.3 | 21.9 |
| 2021 | 3.8 | 12.8 | 8.4 | 19.8 | 15.4 | 27.8 | 20.2 | 34.0 | 21.9 |
| arith. <br> mean | 4.6 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 12.6 | 16.2 | 18.4 | 21.5 | 23.3 | 23.3 |

Table 9.4.3 Sandeel Area-3r. Proportion mature.

|  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1983-2016$ | 0.04 | 0.77 | 1 | 1 |

Table 9.4.4. Sandeel Area-3r. Dredge survey indices.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 68667.988 |  |
| 2006 | 55709.239 | 1225.934 |
| 2007 | 10611.085 | 3717.149 |
| 2008 | 16658.095 | 1521.160 |
| 2009 | 37088.951 | 16328.039 |
| 2010 | 1844.740 | 5076.749 |
| 2011 | 973.111 | 1961.856 |
| 2012 | 47713.266 | 767.514 |
| 2013 | 174467.733 | 790.887 |
| 2016 | 92703.238 | 5349.152 |
| 2017 | 2667.397 | 11100.794 |
| 2018 | 6359.000 | 322.967 |
| 2019 | 82359.000 | 15640.000 |
| 2020 | 112538.400 | 5980.000 |
| 2021 | 69976.000 | 20816.000 |
|  | 23486.023 | 6259.908 |
|  |  |  |

Table 9.4.5 Sandeel Area-3r. SMS settings and statistics.
Date: 01/26/22 Start time:09:44:46 run time:1 seconds
objective function (negative log likelihood): 124.547
Number of parameters: 61
Maximum gradient: 4.83144e-005
Akaike information criterion (AIC): 371.094
Number of observations used in the likelihood:

| Catch | CPUE | S/R |  | Stomach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324 | 85 | 36 | 0 | 445 |

objective function weight:

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\text { Catch } & \text { CPUE } & \text { S/R } \\
1.00 & 1.00 & 0.01
\end{array}
$$

unweighted objective function contributions (total):

| Catch | CPUE | S/R | Stom. | Stom N. | Penalty | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102.4 | 22.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 142 |

unweighted objective function contributions (per observation):

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { Catch } & \text { CPUE } & \text { S/R } & \text { Stomachs } \\
0.32 & 0.26 & 0.50 & 0.00
\end{array}
$$

contribution by fleet:

Dredge survey 2004-2021
Acoustic survey

| total: | 4.217 | mean: | 0.128 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| total: | 17.760 | mean: | 0.342 |

$F$, season effect:

```
age: 0
    1986-1998: 0.000 1.000
    1999-2021: 0.000 1.000
age: 1-4
    1986-1998: 0.883 0.500
    1999-2021: 1.021 0.500
F, age effect:
```

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986-1998: | 0.103 | 0.372 | 0.413 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 1999-2021: | 0.056 | 0.169 | 0.254 | 0.243 | 0.243 |


|  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-1998 | season |  | 0 | 0.640 | 0.710 | 0.574 | 0.574 |
|  | season |  | 0.170 | 0.308 | 0.342 | 0.276 | 0.276 |
| 1999-2021 | season |  | 0 | 0.551 | 0.827 | 0.790 | 0.790 |
|  | season |  | 0.164 | 0.249 | 0.373 | 0.357 | 0.357 |

sqrt(catch variance) ~ CV:
season

$0 \quad 1.132$
$1 \quad 0.673 \quad 1.038$
$2 \quad 0.6731 .038$
$31.021 \quad 1.232$
41.0211 .232

Survey catchability:

|  | age $0 \quad$ age 1 | age 2 | age 3 | age 4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dredge survey 2004-2021 | 0.509 | 0.509 |  |  |  |
| Acoustic survey |  | 3.011 | 4.839 | 4.611 | 4.611 |

Stock size dependent catchability (power model)


Table 9.4.6 Sandeel Area-3r. Annual fishing mortality (F) at age.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 | Avg. 1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 0.076 | 0.453 | 0.495 | 0.399 | 0.401 | 0.474 |
| 1987 | 0.001 | 0.713 | 0.758 | 0.598 | 0.596 | 0.736 |
| 1988 | 0.051 | 0.915 | 0.975 | 0.778 | 0.778 | 0.945 |
| 1989 | 0.003 | 1.033 | 1.097 | 0.885 | 0.882 | 1.065 |
| 1990 | 0.050 | 0.580 | 0.623 | 0.502 | 0.502 | 0.602 |
| 1991 | 0.040 | 0.701 | 0.753 | 0.603 | 0.602 | 0.727 |
| 1992 | 0.003 | 0.326 | 0.346 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.336 |
| 1993 | 0.042 | 0.604 | 0.651 | 0.519 | 0.518 | 0.628 |
| 1994 | 0.016 | 0.646 | 0.692 | 0.540 | 0.537 | 0.669 |
| 1995 | 0.007 | 0.514 | 0.553 | 0.434 | 0.433 | 0.534 |
| 1996 | 0.043 | 0.504 | 0.547 | 0.432 | 0.431 | 0.525 |
| 1997 | 0.066 | 0.906 | 0.982 | 0.790 | 0.786 | 0.944 |
| 1998 | 0.140 | 1.149 | 1.255 | 1.014 | 1.007 | 1.202 |
| 1999 | 0.140 | 0.733 | 1.091 | 1.028 | 1.023 | 0.912 |
| 2000 | 0.004 | 0.754 | 1.089 | 0.993 | 0.987 | 0.922 |
| 2001 | 0.145 | 0.473 | 0.714 | 0.682 | 0.685 | 0.594 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.496 | 0.709 | 0.673 | 0.670 | 0.602 |
| 2003 | 0.019 | 0.265 | 0.383 | 0.368 | 0.367 | 0.324 |
| 2004 | 0.019 | 0.184 | 0.268 | 0.259 | 0.258 | 0.226 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.089 | 0.128 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.108 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.038 | 0.054 | 0.051 | 0.051 | 0.046 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.224 | 0.323 | 0.302 | 0.301 | 0.274 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.242 | 0.349 | 0.332 | 0.331 | 0.295 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.028 | 0.025 |
| 2010 | 0.000 | 0.262 | 0.382 | 0.359 | 0.356 | 0.322 |
| 2011 | 0.000 | 0.170 | 0.246 | 0.233 | 0.230 | 0.208 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.103 | 0.149 | 0.143 | 0.142 | 0.126 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.073 | 0.070 | 0.069 | 0.061 |
| 2014 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.290 | 0.277 | 0.275 | 0.245 |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.262 | 0.381 | 0.364 | 0.362 | 0.322 |
| 2016 | 0.000 | 0.103 | 0.149 | 0.143 | 0.142 | 0.126 |
| 2017 | 0.000 | 0.227 | 0.330 | 0.316 | 0.313 | 0.279 |
| 2018 | 0.000 | 0.243 | 0.352 | 0.337 | 0.335 | 0.297 |
| 2019 | 0.000 | 0.364 | 0.528 | 0.506 | 0.502 | 0.446 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.610 | 0.883 | 0.846 | 0.840 | 0.747 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.370 | 0.537 | 0.514 | 0.510 | 0.453 |
| arith. mean | 0.024 | 0.431 | 0.532 | 0.464 | 0.462 | 0.482 |

Table 9.4.7 Sandeel Area-3r. Fishing mortality (F) at age.

|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 0.076 | 0.285 | 0.137 | 0.317 | 0.152 | 0.256 | 0.123 | 0.256 | 0.123 |
| 1987 | 0.001 | 0.576 | 0.002 | 0.639 | 0.002 | 0.516 | 0.002 | 0.516 | 0.002 |
| 1988 | 0.051 | 0.686 | 0.093 | 0.761 | 0.103 | 0.615 | 0.083 | 0.615 | 0.083 |
| 1989 | 0.003 | 0.863 | 0.006 | 0.957 | 0.007 | 0.774 | 0.005 | 0.774 | 0.005 |
| 1990 | 0.050 | 0.425 | 0.090 | 0.472 | 0.100 | 0.381 | 0.081 | 0.381 | 0.081 |
| 1991 | 0.040 | 0.540 | 0.072 | 0.600 | 0.080 | 0.484 | 0.064 | 0.484 | 0.064 |
| 1992 | 0.003 | 0.261 | 0.006 | 0.289 | 0.007 | 0.234 | 0.005 | 0.234 | 0.005 |
| 1993 | 0.042 | 0.449 | 0.076 | 0.498 | 0.084 | 0.403 | 0.068 | 0.403 | 0.068 |
| 1994 | 0.016 | 0.502 | 0.029 | 0.557 | 0.032 | 0.450 | 0.026 | 0.450 | 0.026 |
| 1995 | 0.007 | 0.408 | 0.013 | 0.453 | 0.014 | 0.366 | 0.012 | 0.366 | 0.012 |
| 1996 | 0.043 | 0.358 | 0.078 | 0.397 | 0.086 | 0.321 | 0.070 | 0.321 | 0.070 |
| 1997 | 0.066 | 0.670 | 0.119 | 0.744 | 0.133 | 0.601 | 0.107 | 0.601 | 0.107 |
| 1998 | 0.140 | 0.794 | 0.254 | 0.881 | 0.282 | 0.712 | 0.228 | 0.712 | 0.228 |
| 1999 | 0.140 | 0.470 | 0.212 | 0.705 | 0.318 | 0.674 | 0.304 | 0.674 | 0.304 |
| 2000 | 0.004 | 0.592 | 0.006 | 0.889 | 0.008 | 0.850 | 0.008 | 0.850 | 0.008 |
| 2001 | 0.145 | 0.247 | 0.220 | 0.371 | 0.330 | 0.354 | 0.315 | 0.354 | 0.315 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.368 | 0.000 | 0.553 | 0.000 | 0.528 | 0.000 | 0.528 | 0.000 |
| 2003 | 0.019 | 0.183 | 0.029 | 0.274 | 0.044 | 0.262 | 0.042 | 0.262 | 0.042 |
| 2004 | 0.019 | 0.126 | 0.029 | 0.190 | 0.043 | 0.181 | 0.041 | 0.181 | 0.041 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.069 | 0.000 | 0.103 | 0.000 | 0.098 | 0.000 | 0.098 | 0.000 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.001 | 0.042 | 0.001 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.178 | 0.000 | 0.267 | 0.000 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.255 | 0.000 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.197 | 0.000 | 0.295 | 0.000 | 0.282 | 0.000 | 0.282 | 0.000 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.000 |
| 2010 | 0.000 | 0.213 | 0.001 | 0.319 | 0.001 | 0.305 | 0.001 | 0.305 | 0.001 |
| 2011 | 0.000 | 0.135 | 0.000 | 0.203 | 0.000 | 0.194 | 0.000 | 0.194 | 0.000 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.082 | 0.000 | 0.123 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 0.000 |
| 2013 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.000 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.057 | 0.000 | 0.057 | 0.000 |
| 2014 | 0.000 | 0.160 | 0.000 | 0.240 | 0.000 | 0.230 | 0.000 | 0.230 | 0.000 |
| 2015 | 0.000 | 0.211 | 0.000 | 0.316 | 0.000 | 0.303 | 0.000 | 0.303 | 0.000 |
| 2016 | 0.000 | 0.082 | 0.000 | 0.123 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 0.000 |
| 2017 | 0.000 | 0.183 | 0.000 | 0.274 | 0.000 | 0.262 | 0.000 | 0.262 | 0.000 |
| 2018 | 0.000 | 0.195 | 0.000 | 0.292 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 0.000 |
| 2019 | 0.000 | 0.294 | 0.000 | 0.441 | 0.000 | 0.422 | 0.000 | 0.422 | 0.000 |
| 2020 | 0.000 | 0.497 | 0.000 | 0.745 | 0.000 | 0.712 | 0.000 | 0.712 | 0.000 |
| 2021 | 0.000 | 0.299 | 0.000 | 0.448 | 0.000 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.428 | 0.000 |
| arith. <br> mean | 0.024 | 0.325 | 0.041 | 0.413 | 0.051 | 0.364 | 0.044 | 0.364 | 0.044 |

Table 9.4.8 Sandeel Area-3r. Natural mortality (M) at age.

|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 1.340 | 0.760 | 0.60 | 0.600 | 0.470 | 0.420 | 0.370 | 0.360 | 0.350 |
| 1987 | 1.430 | 0.750 | 0.57 | 0.600 | 0.440 | 0.420 | 0.350 | 0.360 | 0.340 |
| 1988 | 1.540 | 0.710 | 0.58 | 0.570 | 0.430 | 0.390 | 0.350 | 0.350 | 0.340 |
| 1989 | 1.330 | 0.680 | 0.49 | 0.550 | 0.360 | 0.390 | 0.330 | 0.360 | 0.320 |
| 1990 | 1.280 | 0.630 | 0.48 | 0.490 | 0.350 | 0.340 | 0.300 | 0.310 | 0.290 |
| 1991 | 1.220 | 0.630 | 0.47 | 0.490 | 0.350 | 0.330 | 0.290 | 0.300 | 0.280 |
| 1992 | 1.190 | 0.650 | 0.52 | 0.490 | 0.390 | 0.330 | 0.290 | 0.300 | 0.290 |
| 1993 | 1.140 | 0.670 | 0.52 | 0.510 | 0.400 | 0.350 | 0.320 | 0.330 | 0.310 |
| 1994 | 1.110 | 0.690 | 0.58 | 0.530 | 0.460 | 0.360 | 0.340 | 0.340 | 0.320 |
| 1995 | 1.010 | 0.710 | 0.55 | 0.560 | 0.450 | 0.410 | 0.350 | 0.380 | 0.340 |
| 1996 | 0.990 | 0.660 | 0.57 | 0.530 | 0.470 | 0.390 | 0.360 | 0.360 | 0.350 |
| 1997 | 0.900 | 0.640 | 0.53 | 0.520 | 0.430 | 0.400 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.360 |
| 1998 | 0.970 | 0.630 | 0.51 | 0.490 | 0.410 | 0.380 | 0.360 | 0.350 | 0.330 |
| 1999 | 1.040 | 0.730 | 0.58 | 0.540 | 0.470 | 0.360 | 0.330 | 0.330 | 0.300 |
| 2000 | 1.120 | 0.800 | 0.65 | 0.610 | 0.550 | 0.420 | 0.390 | 0.390 | 0.370 |
| 2001 | 1.190 | 0.820 | 0.78 | 0.660 | 0.670 | 0.490 | 0.510 | 0.450 | 0.490 |
| 2002 | 1.220 | 0.840 | 0.80 | 0.720 | 0.670 | 0.580 | 0.630 | 0.540 | 0.610 |
| 2003 | 1.220 | 0.830 | 0.77 | 0.720 | 0.640 | 0.580 | 0.620 | 0.540 | 0.600 |
| 2004 | 1.210 | 0.850 | 0.70 | 0.710 | 0.570 | 0.560 | 0.550 | 0.510 | 0.530 |
| 2005 | 1.150 | 0.840 | 0.65 | 0.690 | 0.530 | 0.500 | 0.470 | 0.470 | 0.450 |
| 2006 | 1.120 | 0.820 | 0.61 | 0.660 | 0.490 | 0.480 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.410 |
| 2007 | 1.050 | 0.770 | 0.58 | 0.610 | 0.470 | 0.450 | 0.400 | 0.420 | 0.390 |
| 2008 | 0.990 | 0.680 | 0.50 | 0.550 | 0.400 | 0.430 | 0.380 | 0.400 | 0.370 |
| 2009 | 0.990 | 0.590 | 0.47 | 0.480 | 0.390 | 0.370 | 0.340 | 0.340 | 0.330 |
| 2010 | 1.110 | 0.590 | 0.50 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.360 | 0.370 | 0.330 | 0.350 |
| 2011 | 1.210 | 0.660 | 0.55 | 0.510 | 0.460 | 0.390 | 0.420 | 0.350 | 0.390 |
| 2012 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2013 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2014 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2015 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2016 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2017 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2018 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2019 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2020 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| 2021 | 1.190 | 0.700 | 0.54 | 0.550 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.440 | 0.390 | 0.420 |
| arith. <br> mean | 1.166 | 0.712 | 0.57 | 0.565 | 0.462 | 0.419 | 0.406 | 0.386 | 0.389 |

Table 9.4.9 Sandeel Area-3r. Stock numbers (millions). Age 0 at start of 2 nd half-year, age $\mathbf{1 +}$ at start of the year.

| Age 0 |  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 510341 | 81391 | 5618 | 276 | 690 |
| 1987 | 116127 | 123867 | 13687 | 1206 | 318 |
| 1988 | 360728 | 27760 | 18563 | 2547 | 426 |
| 1989 | 107678 | 73467 | 3507 | 2877 | 711 |
| 1990 | 198082 | 28385 | 9565 | 538 | 808 |
| 1991 | 124100 | 52392 | 5586 | 2330 | 458 |
| 1992 | 257964 | 35216 | 9456 | 1223 | 872 |
| 1993 | 190940 | 78219 | 8373 | 2918 | 899 |
| 1994 | 180436 | 58569 | 14079 | 1883 | 1229 |
| 1995 | 153307 | 58535 | 9679 | 2905 | 976 |
| 1996 | 742461 | 55439 | 10896 | 2209 | 1257 |
| 1997 | 63923 | 264307 | 10483 | 2472 | 1124 |
| 1998 | 93207 | 24330 | 37233 | 1688 | 822 |
| 1999 | 121485 | 30713 | 2729 | 4733 | 478 |
| 2000 | 133994 | 37321 | 4190 | 357 | 988 |
| 2001 | 127087 | 43558 | 4814 | 536 | 264 |
| 2002 | 31976 | 33433 | 5513 | 632 | 154 |
| 2003 | 72768 | 9440 | 4487 | 790 | 140 |
| 2004 | 47107 | 21074 | 1542 | 838 | 209 |
| 2005 | 80268 | 13782 | 3830 | 340 | 280 |
| 2006 | 114995 | 25416 | 2900 | 1020 | 218 |
| 2007 | 58672 | 37512 | 5905 | 878 | 487 |
| 2008 | 89724 | 20532 | 8141 | 1536 | 459 |
| 2009 | 137164 | 33339 | 5181 | 2343 | 675 |
| 2010 | 15674 | 50962 | 11359 | 2117 | 1462 |
| 2011 | 11102 | 5163 | 13841 | 3455 | 1297 |
| 2012 | 84278 | 3310 | 1345 | 4283 | 1775 |
| 2013 | 207141 | 25639 | 882 | 437 | 2313 |
| 2014 | 223070 | 63017 | 7129 | 306 | 1146 |
| 2015 | 8121 | 67853 | 15536 | 2062 | 508 |
| 2016 | 705102 | 2471 | 15900 | 4165 | 812 |
| 2017 | 32491 | 214507 | 659 | 5171 | 1888 |
| 2018 | 223823 | 9884 | 51718 | 184 | 2331 |
| 2019 | 303286 | 68092 | 2354 | 14201 | 843 |
| 2020 | 160646 | 92266 | 14685 | 557 | 4188 |
| 2021 | 77181 | 48872 | 16249 | 2565 | 1030 |
| 2022 |  | 23480 | 10490 | 3818 | 1005 |

Table 9.4.10 Sandeel Area-3r. Estimated recruitment, total stock biomass (TBS), spawning stock biomass (SSB), catch weight (modelled yield) and average fishing mortality.

|  | Recruits (thousands) | TSB (tonnes) | SSB (tonnes) | Yield (tonnes) | Mean $\mathrm{F}_{1-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 510550442 | 567338 | 74236 | 282315 | 0.446 |
| 1987 | 116104360 | 987817 | 182773 | 395296 | 0.610 |
| 1988 | 360859773 | 458951 | 265136 | 330358 | 0.822 |
| 1989 | 107715064 | 541626 | 98913 | 350409 | 0.916 |
| 1990 | 198044042 | 330066 | 104089 | 163224 | 0.544 |
| 1991 | 124149867 | 544535 | 159692 | 274839 | 0.646 |
| 1992 | 257878732 | 350577 | 120451 | 86788 | 0.281 |
| 1993 | 190850317 | 662154 | 194464 | 175786 | 0.554 |
| 1994 | 180456444 | 625916 | 234685 | 267281 | 0.559 |
| 1995 | 153314205 | 476147 | 140225 | 173607 | 0.444 |
| 1996 | 742104035 | 738395 | 234451 | 159024 | 0.459 |
| 1997 | 63910869 | 1541930 | 185350 | 470670 | 0.833 |
| 1998 | 93175931 | 535338 | 331373 | 462081 | 1.105 |
| 1999 | 121448395 | 332708 | 108662 | 191253 | 0.852 |
| 2000 | 133953060 | 326032 | 68665 | 186837 | 0.748 |
| 2001 | 127038405 | 378300 | 76726 | 193684 | 0.584 |
| 2002 | 31960138 | 352699 | 75660 | 116298 | 0.461 |
| 2003 | 72783512 | 135401 | 71754 | 34673 | 0.265 |
| 2004 | 47110195 | 183270 | 32048 | 31285 | 0.194 |
| 2005 | 80277505 | 183214 | 65382 | 13991 | 0.086 |
| 2006 | 114949102 | 252982 | 60114 | 7094 | 0.037 |
| 2007 | 58644245 | 395299 | 104402 | 74972 | 0.222 |
| 2008 | 89701675 | 332573 | 145365 | 74933 | 0.246 |
| 2009 | 137206823 | 319880 | 103570 | 6261 | 0.021 |
| 2010 | 15665948 | 662839 | 247459 | 61241 | 0.267 |
| 2011 | 11106044 | 327267 | 248451 | 92452 | 0.169 |
| 2012 | 84309069 | 203662 | 167376 | 40116 | 0.103 |
| 2013 | 207159586 | 287986 | 59934 | 9844 | 0.050 |
| 2014 | 223070852 | 654582 | 113777 | 90876 | 0.200 |
| 2015 | 8121294 | 815063 | 226160 | 104631 | 0.264 |
| 2016 | 705205636 | 315656 | 260146 | 42845 | 0.103 |
| 2017 | 32475613 | 1791780 | 202400 | 115642 | 0.228 |
| 2018 | 223741069 | 617770 | 442856 | 75388 | 0.244 |
| 2019 | 303229348 | 838505 | 275130 | 135899 | 0.368 |
| 2020 | 160691992 | 1118810 | 284077 | 246139 | 0.621 |


|  | Recruits (thousands) | TSB (tonnes) | SSB (tonnes) | Yield (tonnes) | Mean F $_{1-2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2021 | 77206947 | 1051620 | 375120 | 157472 | 0.373 |
| 2022 |  |  | 210029 |  |  |
| arith. mean | 171290233 | 562186 | 171661 | 158208 | 0.415 |
| geo. mean | 112898529 |  |  |  |  |

arith. mean for the period 1986-2021
geo. mean for the period 1986-2020

Table 9.4.11 Sandeel Area-3r. Input to forecast.

|  | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stock numbers(2022) | 112945.768 | 23480.1 | 10489.9 | 3818.36 | 1005.44 |  |
| Exploitation pattern 1st half |  | 0.299 | 0.448 | 0.428 | 0.428 |  |
| Exploitation pattern 2nd half | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
| Weight in the stock 1st half |  | 8.716 | 13.566 | 18.282 | 23.645 |  |
| Weight in the catch 1st half |  | 8.782 | 8.413 | 15.411 | 20.172 | 21.859 |
| weight in the catch 2nd half | 0.000 | 0.036 | 0.766 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
| Proportion mature(2022) | 0.000 | 0.036 | 0.766 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
| Proportion mature(2023) |  | 0.700 | 0.550 | 0.420 | 0.390 |  |
| Natural mortality 1st half | 1.190 | 0.540 | 0.450 | 0.440 | 0.420 |  |
| Natural mortality 2nd half |  |  | 13.566 | 18.282 | 23.645 |  |

Table 9.4.12 Sandeel Area-3r. Short term forecast (000 tonnes).
Basis: $\mathrm{Fsq}=\mathrm{F}(2021)=0.3735$; Yield(2021)=157.472; Recruitment(2021)=77.206947; Recruitment(2022)=geometric mean (GM 1986-2020)=112.945768 billion; SSB(2022)=210.029

| F multiplier | Basis | F(2022) | Catch(2022) | SSB(2023) | \%SSB change* | \%TAC change** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | $\mathrm{F}=0$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 200.747 | -4\% | -100\% |
| 0.780 | Fsq*0.78 | 0.290 | 85.559 | 151.563 | -28\% | -46\% |
| 1.000 | Fsq*1 | 0.373 | 106.151 | 140.019 | -33 \% | -33\% |
| 0.400 | Fsq**.4 | 0.149 | 46.963 | 173.527 | -17\% | -70\% |
| 0.600 | Fsq*0.6 | 0.224 | 68.080 | 161.460 | -23 \% | -57\% |
| 0.800 | Fsq**0.8 | 0.299 | 87.775 | 150.315 | -28\% | -44\% |
| 0.100 | Fsq*0.1 | 0.037 | 12.371 | 193.529 | -8\% | -92 \% |
| 0.120 | Fsq*0.12 | 0.045 | 14.793 | 192.120 | -9 \% | -91\% |
| 0.140 | Fsq*0.14 | 0.052 | 17.198 | 190.722 | -9 \% | -89\% |
| 1.233 | MSY | 0.461 | 126.038 | 129.000 | -39 \% | -20\% |

*SSB in 2023 relative to SSB in 2022
**TAC in 2022 relative to catches in 2021

Table 9.4.13. Sandeel Area-3r. Acoustic survey indices (millions of individuals).

| Year | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | $7709.06(\mathrm{CV}=0.29)$ | $4923.33(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$ | $945.29(\mathrm{CV}=0.3)$ | $64.03(\mathrm{CV}=0.47)$ |
| 2010 | $16852.06(\mathrm{CV}=0.19)$ | $6133.6(\mathrm{CV}=0.18)$ | $1123.19(\mathrm{CV}=0.38)$ | $608.57(\mathrm{CV}=0.4)$ |
| 2011 | $816.16(\mathrm{CV}=0.73)$ | $8622.2(\mathrm{CV}=0.19)$ | $855.81(\mathrm{CV}=0.33)$ | $192.37(\mathrm{CV}=0.49)$ |
| 2012 | $846.68(\mathrm{CV}=0.81)$ | $211.31(\mathrm{CV}=0.67)$ | $3226.29(\mathrm{CV}=0.25)$ | $368.16(\mathrm{CV}=0.24)$ |
| 2013 | $2154.47(\mathrm{CV}=0.2)$ | $258.25(\mathrm{CV}=0.36)$ | $72.62(\mathrm{CV}=0.41)$ | $554.48(\mathrm{CV}=0.43)$ |
| 2014 | $21889.62(\mathrm{CV}=0.23)$ | $1711.1(\mathrm{CV}=0.36)$ | $170.41(\mathrm{CV}=0.64)$ | $80.34(\mathrm{CV}=0.85)$ |
| 2015 | $9466.6(\mathrm{CV}=0.12)$ | $2254.92(\mathrm{CV}=0.27)$ | $686.55(\mathrm{CV}=0.29)$ | $7.03(\mathrm{CV}=1.18)$ |
| 2016 | $79.55(\mathrm{CV}=1)$ | $6317.38(\mathrm{CV}=0.29)$ | $679.13(\mathrm{CV}=0.25)$ | $259.1(\mathrm{CV}=0.37)$ |
| 2017 | $35267.58(\mathrm{CV}=0.16)$ | $131.65(\mathrm{CV}=0.77)$ | $3465.88(\mathrm{CV}=0.27)$ | $631.09(\mathrm{CV}=0.27)$ |
| 2018 | $1544.39(\mathrm{CV}=0.31)$ | $16989.62(\mathrm{CV}=0.1)$ | $79.82(\mathrm{CV}=0.34)$ | $440.33(\mathrm{CV}=0.31)$ |
| 2019 | $9564.52(\mathrm{CV}=0.16)$ | $464.24(\mathrm{CV}=0.25)$ | $15573.73(\mathrm{CV}=0.12)$ | $214.53(\mathrm{CV}=0.33)$ |
| 2020 | $42141.65(\mathrm{CV}=0.27)$ | $10064.47(\mathrm{CV}=0.27)$ | $535.24(\mathrm{CV}=0.42)$ | $9944.09(\mathrm{CV}=0.2)$ |
| 2021 | $14564.25(\mathrm{CV}=0.19)$ | $12971.11(\mathrm{CV}=0.17)$ | $2770.14(\mathrm{CV}=0.2)$ | $285.07(\mathrm{CV}=0.33)$ |

Table 9.5.1 Sandeel Area-4. Catch at age numbers (million) by half year.

|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | 674 | 1235 | 149 | 6337 | 381 | 1861 | 122 | 534 | 39 |
| 1994 | 0 | 1070 | 256 | 1522 | 62 | 5144 | 257 | 2092 | 159 |
| 1995 | 4 | 2690 | 4 | 1229 | 1 | 529 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 1996 | 2666 | 754 | 2584 | 2536 | 3461 | 476 | 227 | 130 | 1110 |
| 1997 | 0 | 2879 | 1369 | 291 | 35 | 1683 | 43 | 413 | 10 |
| 1998 | 0 | 2159 | 61 | 3766 | 97 | 235 | 6 | 130 | 3 |
| 1999 | 0 | 1472 | 86 | 1137 | 46 | 1543 | 47 | 252 | 11 |
| 2000 | 0 | 6537 | 0 | 376 | 0 | 323 | 0 | 297 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 2048 | 64 | 4961 | 20 | 601 | 1 | 377 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 807 | 0 | 511 | 0 | 101 | 0 |
| 2003 | 145 | 4322 | 148 | 1002 | 10 | 2721 | 5 | 1253 | 1 |
| 2004 | 0 | 920 | 4 | 220 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 82 | 0 |
| 2005 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2013 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 133 | 0 |
| 2014 | 0 | 346 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 47 | 0 |


|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2015 | 0 | 866 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 2016 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| 2017 | 0 | 719 | 0 | 468 | 0 | 578 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 874 | 0 | 1259 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 1133 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 314 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| 2020 | 33 | 2363 | 17 | 256 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 82 | 0 |
| 2021 | 2 | 3323 | 16 | 2196 | 83 | 354 | 11 | 383 | 42 |
| arith. <br> mean | 122 | 1232 | 164 | 1010 | 145 | 604 | 25 | 263 | 47 |

Table 9.5.2 Sandeel Area-4. Individual mean weight (gram) at age in the catch and in the sea.

|  | Age 0, 2nd half | Age 1, 1st half | Age 1, 2nd half | Age 2, 1st half | Age 2, 2nd half | Age 3, 1st half | Age 3, 2nd half | Age 4+, 1st half | Age 4+, 2nd half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | 3.0 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 14.9 | 14.0 | 20.1 | 18.9 |
| 1994 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 8.6 | 11.1 | 15.5 | 14.7 | 18.0 | 20.5 | 24.4 |
| 1995 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 15.7 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 21.0 | 15.5 | 28.5 |
| 1996 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 23.0 | 22.3 |
| 1997 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 11.2 | 14.5 | 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 1998 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 14.6 | 16.9 |
| 1999 | 3.2 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 10.8 | 12.9 | 16.1 | 15.1 | 20.2 | 20.4 |
| 2000 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 16.2 | 13.2 | 18.8 | 17.3 | 25.5 |
| 2001 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 14.2 | 11.8 |
| 2002 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 9.0 | 5.9 | 16.2 | 9.5 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 25.5 |
| 2003 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 10.9 | 10.1 |
| 2004 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 6.8 | 13.8 | 9.2 |
| 2005 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 16.2 | 8.6 | 18.8 | 11.0 | 25.5 |
| 2006 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 16.2 | 14.3 | 18.8 | 18.1 | 25.5 |
| 2007 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 16.2 | 12.6 | 18.8 | 16.0 | 25.5 |
| 2008 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 16.2 | 12.4 | 18.8 | 15.7 | 25.5 |
| 2009 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 16.2 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 25.5 |
| 2010 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 16.2 | 13.4 | 18.8 | 17.0 | 25.5 |
| 2011 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 16.2 | 12.7 | 18.8 | 16.1 | 25.5 |
| 2012 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 16.2 | 9.6 | 18.8 | 12.2 | 25.5 |
| 2013 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 18.8 | 17.1 | 25.5 |
| 2014 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 9.0 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 18.8 | 20.0 | 25.5 |
| 2015 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 16.2 | 17.6 |
| 2016 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 18.1 | 14.6 | 24.7 | 17.6 |
| 2017 | 4.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 14.6 | 18.5 | 17.6 |
| 2018 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 13.1 | 14.6 | 18.3 | 17.6 |
| 2019 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 13.7 | 14.6 | 20.2 | 17.6 |


|  | Age 0, <br> 2nd half | Age 1, <br> 1st half | Age 1, <br> 2nd half | Age 2, <br> 1st half | Age 2, <br> 2nd half | Age 3, <br> 1st half | Age 3, <br> 2nd half | Age 4+, <br> 1st half | Age 4+, <br> 2nd half |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2020 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 10.7 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 12.4 | 17.6 |
| 2021 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 17.8 | 17.6 |
| arith. <br> mean | 3.9 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 21.1 |

Table 9.5.3 Sandeel Area-4. Proportion mature.

|  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1983-2016$ | 0 | 0.79 | 0.98 | 1 |

Table 9.5.4. Sandeel Area-4. Dredge survey indices.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 615 | 494 |
| 2000 | 586 | 3170 |
| 2001 | 48 | 2656 |
| 2002 | 243 | 404 |
| 2003 | 580 |  |
| 2004 |  |  |
| $2005$ |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |
| $2007$ |  |  |
| 2008 | 52 | 24 |
| 2009 | 832 | 87 |
| 2010 | 147 | 1032 |
| 2011 | 89 | 165 |
| 2012 | 95 | 135 |
| 2013 | 62 | 85 |
| 2014 | 445 | 43 |
| 2015 | 136 | 1044 |
| 2016 | 300 | 81 |
| 2017 | 346 | 223 |
| 2018 | 16 | 461 |
| 2019 | 371 | 92 |
| 2020 | 585 | 1010 |
| 2021 | 160 | 194 |

Table 9.5.5 Sandeel Area-4. SMS settings and statistics.

```
Date: 01/26/22 Start time:09:43:34 run time:1 seconds
objective function (negative log likelihood): 14.7669
Number of parameters: 48
Maximum gradient: 2.44224e-005
Akaike information criterion (AIC): 125.534
Number of observations used in the likelihood:
    Catch CPUE S/R Stomach Sum
    261 37 29 0 327
objective function weight:
Catch CPUE S/R
1.00 1.00 0.05
unweighted objective function contributions (total):
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
Catch & CPUE & S/R & Stom. & Stom N. & Penalty & Sum \\
36.9 & -23.1 & 20.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.00 & 34
\end{tabular}
unweighted objective function contributions (per observation):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Catch & CPUE & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ S/R } & Stomachs \\
0.14 & -0.63 & 0.70 & 0.00
\end{tabular}
contribution by fleet:
New Dredge survey 2008-2021 total: -13.592 mean: -0.485
Old Dredge survey 1999-2003 total: -9.555 mean: -1.062
F, season effect:
*
age: 0
        1993-2021: 0.000 1.000
age: 1 - 4
        1993-2021: 0.724 0.500
F, age effect:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr} 
& 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{tabular}
Exploitation pattern (scaled to mean F=1)
\begin{tabular}{llrrrrr} 
& & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\(1993-2021\) & season 1: & 0 & 0.601 & 1.205 & 1.704 & 1.704 \\
& season 2: & 0.003 & 0.065 & 0.129 & 0.183 & 0.183
\end{tabular}
```

```
sqrt(catch variance) ~ CV:
```

sqrt(catch variance) ~ CV:
season
age 1 2
0 2.102
1 0.736 0.587
2 0.736 0.587
3 0.679 1.240
4 0.679 1.240

```

Survey catchability:
\begin{tabular}{llllr} 
& age 0 & age 1 & \\
New Dredge survey & \(2008-2021\) & 0.790 & 4.221 \\
Old Dredge survey & \(1999-2003\) & 0.784 & 18.050
\end{tabular}
sqrt(Survey variance) ~ CV:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& age 0 & age 1 & \\
New Dredge survey \(2008-2021\) & 0.55 & 0.30 \\
Old Dredge survey 1999-2003 & 0.30 & 0.30
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccccc} 
Recruit-SSB & alfa & beta & recruit s2 & recruit s \\
Area-4 & 1250.432 & \(4.800 \mathrm{e}+004\) & 1.500 & 1.225
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.6 Sandeel Area-4. Annual fishing mortality (F) at age.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 & Age 4 & Avg. 1-2 \\
\hline 1993 & 0.001 & 0.346 & 0.671 & 0.923 & 0.921 & 0.509 \\
\hline 1994 & 0.001 & 0.402 & 0.778 & 1.067 & 1.064 & 0.590 \\
\hline 1995 & 0.000 & 0.120 & 0.232 & 0.316 & 0.315 & 0.176 \\
\hline 1996 & 0.006 & 0.234 & 0.479 & 0.696 & 0.700 & 0.357 \\
\hline 1997 & 0.001 & 0.148 & 0.289 & 0.400 & 0.399 & 0.218 \\
\hline 1998 & 0.000 & 0.161 & 0.312 & 0.427 & 0.426 & 0.237 \\
\hline 1999 & 0.000 & 0.234 & 0.450 & 0.613 & 0.610 & 0.342 \\
\hline 2000 & 0.000 & 0.116 & 0.224 & 0.306 & 0.304 & 0.170 \\
\hline 2001 & 0.000 & 0.182 & 0.351 & 0.479 & 0.477 & 0.266 \\
\hline 2002 & 0.000 & 0.039 & 0.075 & 0.102 & 0.102 & 0.057 \\
\hline 2003 & 0.000 & 0.289 & 0.558 & 0.763 & 0.760 & 0.423 \\
\hline 2004 & 0.000 & 0.056 & 0.108 & 0.147 & 0.147 & 0.082 \\
\hline 2005 & 0.000 & 0.024 & 0.047 & 0.065 & 0.064 & 0.036 \\
\hline 2006 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\
\hline 2007 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.001 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2008 & 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.004 & 0.005 & 0.005 & 0.003 \\
\hline 2009 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2010 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.002 & 0.003 & 0.003 & 0.002 \\
\hline 2011 & 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.004 & 0.005 & 0.005 & 0.003 \\
\hline 2012 & 0.000 & 0.019 & 0.036 & 0.049 & 0.049 & 0.027 \\
\hline 2013 & 0.000 & 0.010 & 0.020 & 0.027 & 0.027 & 0.015 \\
\hline 2014 & 0.000 & 0.014 & 0.027 & 0.036 & 0.036 & 0.020 \\
\hline 2015 & 0.000 & 0.011 & 0.021 & 0.029 & 0.029 & 0.016 \\
\hline 2016 & 0.000 & 0.022 & 0.042 & 0.057 & 0.057 & 0.032 \\
\hline 2017 & 0.000 & 0.047 & 0.092 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.070 \\
\hline 2018 & 0.000 & 0.135 & 0.261 & 0.356 & 0.354 & 0.198 \\
\hline 2019 & 0.000 & 0.058 & 0.111 & 0.152 & 0.151 & 0.084 \\
\hline 2020 & 0.000 & 0.046 & 0.088 & 0.120 & 0.120 & 0.067 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{crrrrrr}
\hline & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Age 0 } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Age 1 } & Age 2 & Age 3 & Age 4 & Avg. 1-2 \\
\hline 2021 & 0.001 & 0.357 & 0.689 & 0.943 & 0.940 & 0.523 \\
\hline arith. mean & 0.000 & 0.106 & 0.206 & 0.283 & 0.282 & 0.156 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.7 Sandeel Area-4. Fishing mortality (F) at age.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Age 0, 2nd half & Age 1, 1st half & Age 1, 2nd half & Age 2, 1st half & Age 2, 2nd half & Age 3, 1st half & Age 3, 2nd half & Age 4+, 1st half & Age 4+, 2nd half \\
\hline 1993 & 0.001 & 0.260 & 0.028 & 0.521 & 0.056 & 0.736 & 0.079 & 0.736 & 0.079 \\
\hline 1994 & 0.001 & 0.305 & 0.027 & 0.612 & 0.053 & 0.865 & 0.075 & 0.865 & 0.075 \\
\hline 1995 & 0.000 & 0.094 & 0.000 & 0.189 & 0.000 & 0.267 & 0.001 & 0.267 & 0.001 \\
\hline 1996 & 0.006 & 0.112 & 0.141 & 0.225 & 0.283 & 0.318 & 0.401 & 0.318 & 0.401 \\
\hline 1997 & 0.001 & 0.106 & 0.020 & 0.212 & 0.039 & 0.300 & 0.056 & 0.300 & 0.056 \\
\hline 1998 & 0.000 & 0.124 & 0.005 & 0.249 & 0.010 & 0.352 & 0.015 & 0.352 & 0.015 \\
\hline 1999 & 0.000 & 0.185 & 0.000 & 0.370 & 0.000 & 0.523 & 0.000 & 0.523 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2000 & 0.000 & 0.091 & 0.000 & 0.183 & 0.000 & 0.259 & 0.000 & 0.259 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2001 & 0.000 & 0.142 & 0.002 & 0.285 & 0.004 & 0.403 & 0.006 & 0.403 & 0.006 \\
\hline 2002 & 0.000 & 0.030 & 0.000 & 0.061 & 0.000 & 0.086 & 0.000 & 0.086 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2003 & 0.000 & 0.223 & 0.011 & 0.447 & 0.021 & 0.632 & 0.030 & 0.632 & 0.030 \\
\hline 2004 & 0.000 & 0.044 & 0.000 & 0.087 & 0.001 & 0.124 & 0.001 & 0.124 & 0.001 \\
\hline 2005 & 0.000 & 0.019 & 0.000 & 0.038 & 0.000 & 0.054 & 0.000 & 0.054 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2006 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2007 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2008 & 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.000 & 0.003 & 0.000 & 0.004 & 0.000 & 0.004 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2009 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2010 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.000 & 0.002 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2011 & 0.000 & 0.001 & 0.000 & 0.003 & 0.000 & 0.004 & 0.000 & 0.004 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2012 & 0.000 & 0.015 & 0.000 & 0.029 & 0.000 & 0.041 & 0.000 & 0.041 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2013 & 0.000 & 0.008 & 0.000 & 0.016 & 0.000 & 0.023 & 0.000 & 0.023 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2014 & 0.000 & 0.011 & 0.000 & 0.022 & 0.000 & 0.030 & 0.000 & 0.030 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2015 & 0.000 & 0.009 & 0.000 & 0.017 & 0.000 & 0.025 & 0.000 & 0.025 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2016 & 0.000 & 0.017 & 0.000 & 0.034 & 0.000 & 0.048 & 0.000 & 0.048 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2017 & 0.000 & 0.037 & 0.000 & 0.075 & 0.000 & 0.105 & 0.000 & 0.105 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2018 & 0.000 & 0.106 & 0.000 & 0.213 & 0.000 & 0.302 & 0.000 & 0.302 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2019 & 0.000 & 0.045 & 0.000 & 0.090 & 0.000 & 0.128 & 0.000 & 0.128 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2020 & 0.000 & 0.036 & 0.000 & 0.072 & 0.000 & 0.101 & 0.000 & 0.101 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2021 & 0.001 & 0.274 & 0.017 & 0.549 & 0.034 & 0.777 & 0.047 & 0.777 & 0.047 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
arith. \\
mean
\end{tabular} & 0.000 & 0.079 & 0.009 & 0.159 & 0.017 & 0.225 & 0.024 & 0.225 & 0.024 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.8 Sandeel Area-4. Natural mortality (M) at age.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Age 0, 2nd half & Age 1, 1st half & Age 1, 2nd half & Age 2, 1st half & Age 2, 2nd half & Age 3, 1st half & Age 3, 2nd half & Age 4+, 1st half & Age 4+, 2nd half \\
\hline 1993 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 1994 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 1995 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 1996 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 1997 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 1998 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 1999 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2000 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2001 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2002 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2003 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2004 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2005 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2006 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2007 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2008 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2009 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2010 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2011 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2012 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2013 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2014 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2015 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2016 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2017 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2018 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2019 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2020 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline 2021 & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
arith. \\
mean
\end{tabular} & 1.14 & 0.767 & 0.592 & 0.602 & 0.488 & 0.431 & 0.392 & 0.398 & 0.378 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.9 Sandeel Area-4. Stock numbers (millions). Age 0 at start of 2 nd half-year, age \(1+\) at start of the year.
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
\hline & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Age 0 } & \multicolumn{1}{l}{ Age 1 } & Age 2 & Age 3 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ Age 4 } \\
\hline 1993 & 118989 & 25765 & 23897 & 7791 & 1483 \\
\hline 1994 & 233754 & 38010 & 4965 & 4515 & 1816 \\
\hline 1995 & 62359 & 74674 & 7008 & 858 & 1100 \\
\hline 1996 & 329020 & 19943 & 17455 & 1950 & 676 \\
\hline 1997 & 93616 & 104596 & 3976 & 3531 & 569 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Age 0} & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 & Age 4 \\
\hline 1998 & 42109 & 29915 & 23698 & 1039 & 1269 \\
\hline 1999 & 225520 & 13464 & 6752 & 6146 & 721 \\
\hline 2000 & 182791 & 72126 & 2876 & 1568 & 1796 \\
\hline 2001 & 23268 & 58460 & 16913 & 805 & 1170 \\
\hline 2002 & 79947 & 7441 & 13001 & 4259 & 593 \\
\hline 2003 & 154617 & 25568 & 1854 & 4113 & 1966 \\
\hline 2004 & 11572 & 49427 & 5200 & 390 & 1398 \\
\hline 2005 & 6949 & 3701 & 12154 & 1601 & 720 \\
\hline 2006 & 4248 & 2222 & 933 & 3932 & 980 \\
\hline 2007 & 6307 & 1359 & 571 & 313 & 2176 \\
\hline 2008 & 19031 & 2017 & 349 & 192 & 1138 \\
\hline 2009 & 276709 & 6086 & 517 & 117 & 605 \\
\hline 2010 & 47595 & 88497 & 1564 & 174 & 330 \\
\hline 2011 & 35026 & 15222 & 22718 & 525 & 228 \\
\hline 2012 & 27988 & 11202 & 3905 & 7616 & 334 \\
\hline 2013 & 18201 & 8951 & 2836 & 1275 & 3357 \\
\hline 2014 & 254612 & 5821 & 2281 & 938 & 2057 \\
\hline 2015 & 34055 & 81430 & 1479 & 751 & 1318 \\
\hline 2016 & 73102 & 10892 & 20740 & 489 & 913 \\
\hline 2017 & 90992 & 23379 & 2751 & 6741 & 605 \\
\hline 2018 & 23107 & 29101 & 5787 & 859 & 2915 \\
\hline 2019 & 200668 & 7390 & 6722 & 1572 & 1271 \\
\hline 2020 & 62418 & 64177 & 1815 & 2064 & 1122 \\
\hline 2021 & 46546 & 19962 & 15909 & 568 & 1286 \\
\hline 2022 & & 14876 & 3834 & 2987 & 369 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.10 Sandeel Area-4. Estimated recruitment, total stock biomass (TBS), spawning stock biomass (SSB), catch weight (modelled yield) and average fishing mortality.
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
\hline & Recruits (thousands) & TSB (tonnes) & SSB (tonnes) & Yield (tonnes) & Mean F \(_{1-2}\) \\
\hline 1993 & 119043556 & 618393 & 366957 & 132599 & 0.432 \\
\hline 1994 & 233805469 & 571687 & 145801 & 158690 & 0.498 \\
\hline 1995 & 62332904 & 772094 & 120090 & 52591 & 0.142 \\
\hline 1996 & 329142057 & 382202 & 228662 & 158490 & 0.381 \\
\hline 1997 & 93642978 & 779492 & 72330 & 58446 & 0.189 \\
\hline 1998 & 42118600 & 459550 & 226387 & 58746 & 0.195 \\
\hline 1999 & 225538177 & 268367 & 169397 & 53334 & 0.277 \\
\hline 2000 & 182817693 & 354099 & 69564 & 37714 & 0.137 \\
\hline 2001 & 23277552 & 323825 & 103881 & 47902 & 0.217 \\
\hline 2002 & 79957036 & 156276 & 111302 & 12736 & 0.046 \\
\hline 2003 & 154545638 & 184694 & 63831 & 63731 & 0.351 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Recruits (thousands)} & TSB (tonnes) & SSB (tonnes) & Yield (tonnes) & Mean \(\mathrm{F}_{1-2}\) \\
\hline 2004 & 11570855 & 259656 & 53210 & 6882 & 0.066 \\
\hline 2005 & 6948247 & 111495 & 79937 & 1557 & 0.029 \\
\hline 2006 & 4248175 & 95431 & 80178 & 0 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2007 & 6305924 & 50393 & 42702 & 0 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2008 & 19038999 & 32923 & 22652 & 0 & 0.002 \\
\hline 2009 & 276577049 & 54412 & 17771 & 0 & 0.000 \\
\hline 2010 & 47583661 & 475943 & 19456 & 0 & 0.001 \\
\hline 2011 & 35039971 & 286284 & 169736 & 0 & 0.002 \\
\hline 2012 & 27979985 & 154307 & 101114 & 2585 & 0.022 \\
\hline 2013 & 18201235 & 149987 & 96761 & 5225 & 0.012 \\
\hline 2014 & 254548005 & 126895 & 79301 & 4314 & 0.016 \\
\hline 2015 & 34038405 & 399110 & 40905 & 4392 & 0.013 \\
\hline 2016 & 73075229 & 291562 & 193881 & 6188 & 0.025 \\
\hline 2017 & 90966330 & 305129 & 121905 & 18474 & 0.056 \\
\hline 2018 & 23115178 & 285935 & 107474 & 42296 & 0.160 \\
\hline 2019 & 200635422 & 159464 & 100811 & 6651 & 0.068 \\
\hline 2020 & 62395268 & 481854 & 50312 & 20101 & 0.054 \\
\hline 2021 & 46548252 & 288685 & 145656 & 51882 & 0.437 \\
\hline 2022 & & & 72766 & & \\
\hline arith. mean & 96038438 & 306212 & 109151 & 34673 & 0.132 \\
\hline geo. mean & 54570282 & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
th. mean for \\
o. mean for
\end{tabular} & 2021 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.11 Sandeel Area-4. Input to forecast.
\begin{tabular}{llllrr}
\hline & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 & Age 4 \\
\hline Stock numbers(2022) & 55898.143 & 14875.6 & 3834.27 & 2986.51 & 368.905 \\
\hline Exploitation pattern 1st half & & 0.274 & 0.549 & 0.777 & 0.777 \\
\hline Exploitation pattern 2nd half & 0.001 & 0.017 & 0.034 & 0.047 & 0.047 \\
\hline Weight in the stock 1st half & & 6.292 & 9.522 & 12.802 & 17.445 \\
\hline Weight in the catch 1st half & & 6.292 & 9.522 & 12.802 & 17.445 \\
\hline weight in the catch 2nd half & 0.408 & 7.693 & 10.738 & 14.556 & 17.601 \\
\hline Proportion mature(2022) & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.790 & 0.980 & 1.000 \\
\hline Proportion mature(2023) & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.790 & 0.980 & 1.000 \\
\hline Natural mortality 1st half & & 0.767 & 0.602 & 0.431 & 0.398 \\
\hline Natural mortality 2nd half & 1.140 & 0.592 & 0.488 & 0.392 & 0.378 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9.5.12 Sandeel Area-4. Short term forecast (000 tonnes).
Basis: \(\mathrm{Fsq}=\mathrm{F}(2021)=0.4368\); Yield(2021)=51.883; Recruitment(2021)=46.548252; Recruitment(2022)=geometric mean (GM 2011-2020)=55.898143 billion; SSB(2022)=72.766
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline F multiplier & Basis & F(2022) & Catch(2022) & SSB(2023) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \%SSB } \\
& \text { change* }
\end{aligned}
\] & \%TAC change** \\
\hline 0 & \(\mathrm{F}=0\) & 0.000 & 0.001 & 70.783 & -3 \% & -100 \% \\
\hline 3.25 & Fsq*3.25 & 1.418 & 103.545 & 15.406 & -79\% & 100 \% \\
\hline 1 & Fsq*1 & 0.437 & 49.577 & 41.872 & -42\% & -4\% \\
\hline 2 & Fsq*2 & 0.874 & 79.937 & 26.093 & -64\% & 54 \% \\
\hline 3 & Fsq*3 & 1.310 & 99.723 & 17.017 & -77\% & 92 \% \\
\hline 4 & Fsq*4 & 1.747 & 113.364 & 11.516 & -84\% & 119 \% \\
\hline 5 & Fsq*5 & 2.184 & 123.223 & 8.016 & -89\% & 138 \% \\
\hline 6 & Fsq* 6 & 2.621 & 130.628 & 5.697 & -92\% & 152 \% \\
\hline 7 & Fsq*7 & 3.058 & 136.362 & 4.110 & -94\% & 163 \% \\
\hline No conversion for calculation of MSY catch & & NA & NA & NA & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*SSB in \(\mathbf{2 0 2 3}\) relative to SSB in 2022
**TAC in 2022 relative to catches in 2021


Figure 9.1.1 Sandeel in ICES Subarea 4 and Div. 3.a. Sandeel management areas.



Figure 9.1.2 Sandeel in ICES Subarea 4 and Div. 3.a. Catch by ICES rectangles 2006-2021 (upper, red circles). Number of samples per ICES square in commercial catches (lower, blue circles). Area of the circles is proportional to catch by rectangle.


Figure 9.1.3 Sandeel in ICES Subarea 4 and Div. 3.a. Total catches by year and area.


Figure 9.1.4 Sandeel in ICES Subarea 4 and Div. 3.a. Danish survey catches by haul for 0 -group. Area of the circles is proportional to catch number.


Figure 9.1.5 Sandeel in ICES Subarea 4 and Div. 3.a. Danish survey catches by haul for 1-group. Area of the circles is proportional to catch number.


Figure 9.1.6 Sandeel in ICES Subarea 4 and Div. 3.a. Norwegian sandeel management areas. There are 6 main areas consisting of subareas \(a\) and \(b\). Sub Area3 consist of three subareas \(a, b\), and \(c\).


Figure 9.2.1 Sandeel Area-1r. Catch numbers, proportion at age.


Figure 9.2.2 Sandeel Area-1r. Mean weight at age in the first half year (age 1-4+) and second half year (age 0-4+).


Figure 9.2.3 Sandeel Area-1r. CPUE and effort.


Figure 9.2.4 Sandeel Area-1r. Internal consistency by age of the dredge survey. Red dot indicates the most recent data point.


Figure 9.2.5 Sandeel Area-1r. Dredge survey index timeline.


Figure 9.2.6 Sandeel Area-1r. Survey CPUE at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- log(expected CPUE). "Red" dots show a positive residual.


Area-1r S:2

 residual.

Area-1r: Hockey stick, 1983:2021


Figure 9.2.8 Sandeel Area-1r. Estimated stock recruitment relation. Red line = median of the expected recruitment, Dark blue lines = one standard deviation, Light blue lines \(\mathbf{=} \mathbf{2}\) standard deviations. The area within the light blue lines can be seen as the \(95 \%\) confidence interval of recruitment. Years shown in red are not used in the fit.


Figure 9.2.9 Sandeel Area-1r. Retrospective analysis.


Figure 9.2.10 Sandeel Area-1r. Uncertainties of model output estimated from parameter uncertainties derived from the Hessian matrix and the delta method.


Figure 9.2.11 Sandeel Area-1r. Model output (mean F, SSB and Recruitment) with mean values and plus/minus 2 * standard deviation.


Figure 9.2.12 Sandeel Area-1r. Total effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) and estimated average Fishing mortality.





RTM 2007-2021


Figure 9.2.14 Sandeel Area-1r. RTM survey. Survey CPUE at age residuals ( \(\log\) (observed CPUE)- log(expected CPUE)). "Red" dots show a positive residual.


Figure 9.3.1 Sandeel Area-2r. Catch numbers, proportion at age.

Age_0 Age_1 Age_2 Age_3 Age_4

Figure 9.3.2 Sandeel Area-2r. Mean weight at age in the first half year (age 1-4+) and second half year (age 0-4+).


Figure 9.3.3 Sandeel Area-2r. CPUE and effort.


Figure 9.3.4 Sandeel Area-2r. Internal consistency by age of the dredge survey. Red dot indicates the most recent data point.


Figure 9.3.5 Sandeel Area-2r. Dredge survey index timeline.

Dredge survey 2010-2021


Figure 9.3.6 Sandeel Area-2r. Survey CPUE at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- log(expected CPUE)). "Red" dots show a positive residual.


Area-2r S:2


Figure 9.3.7 Sandeel Area-2r. Catch at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- \(\log (e x p e c t e d ~ C P U E)) . ~ " R e d " ~ d o t s ~ s h o w ~ a ~ p o s i-~\) tive residual.

Area-2r: Hockey stick, 1983:2021


Figure 9.3.8 Sandeel Area-2r. Estimated stock recruitment relation. Red line = median of the expected recruitment, Dark blue lines = one standard deviation, Light blue lines \(\mathbf{=} \mathbf{2}\) standard deviations. The area within the light blue lines can be seen as the \(95 \%\) confidence interval of recruitment. Years shown in red are not used in the fit.


Figure 9.3.9 Sandeel Area-2r. Retrospective analysis.


Figure 9.3.10 Sandeel Area-2r. Uncertainties of model output estimated from parameter uncertainties derived from the Hessian matrix and the delta method.


Figure 9.3.11 Sandeel Area-2r. Model output (mean F, SSB and Recruitment) with mean values and plus/minus 2 * standard deviation.


Figure 9.3.12 Sandeel Area-2r. Total effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) and estimated average Fishing mortality.



Figure 9.4.1 Sandeel Area-3r. Catch numbers, proportion at age.


Figure 9.4.2 Sandeel Area-3r. Mean weight at age in the first half year (age 1-4+) and second half year (age 0-4+).


Figure 9.4.3 Sandeel Area-3r. CPUE and effort.


Figure 9.4.4 Sandeel Area-3r. Internal consistency by age of the dredge survey. Red dot indicates the most recent data point.


Figure 9.4.5 Sandeel Area-3r. Dredge survey index timeline.


Figure 9.4.6 Sandeel Area-3r. Survey CPUE at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- log(expected CPUE)). "Red" dots show a positive residual.


Area-3r S:2


Figure 9.4.7 Sandeel Area-3r. Catch at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- \(\log (e x p e c t e d ~ C P U E)) . ~ " R e d " ~ d o t s ~ s h o w ~ a ~ p o s i-~\) tive residual.

Area-3r: Hockey stick, 1986:2021


Figure 9.4.8 Sandeel Area-3r. Estimated stock recruitment relation. Red line = median of the expected recruitment, Dark blue lines = one standard deviation, Light blue lines \(\mathbf{=} \mathbf{2}\) standard deviations. The area within the light blue lines can be seen as the \(95 \%\) confidence interval of recruitment. Years shown in red are not used in the fit.


Figure 9.4.9 Sandeel Area-3r. Retrospective analysis.


Figure 9.4.10 Sandeel Area-3r. Uncertainties of model output estimated from parameter uncertainties derived from the Hessian matrix and the delta method.


Figure 9.4.11 Sandeel Area-3r. Model output (mean F, SSB and Recruitment) with mean values and plus/minus 2 * standard deviation.


Figure 9.4.12 Sandeel Area-3r. Total effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) and estimated average Fishing mortality.


Figure 9.4.13 Sandeel Area-3r. Stock summary.


Figure 9.4.14 Sandeel Area-3r. Acoustic survey index timeline.


Figure 9.4.15 Sandeel Area-3r. Norwegian acoustic survey. Survey CPUE at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- log(expected CPUE)). "Red" dots show a positive residual.


Figure 9.4.16 Sandeel Area-3r. Internal consistency by age of the acoustic survey. Red dot indicates the most recent data point.


Figure 9.5.1 Sandeel Area-4. Catch numbers, proportion at age.


Figure 9.5.2 Sandeel Area-4. Mean weight at age in the first half year (age 1-4+) and second half year (age 0-4+).

Area-4 Sandeel


Figure 9.5.3 Sandeel Area-4. CPUE and effort.


Figure 9.5.4 Sandeel Area-4. Internal consistency by age of the dredge survey. Red dot indicates the most recent data point.


Figure 9.5.5 Sandeel Area-4. Dredge survey index timeline.


Figure 9.5.6 Sandeel Area-4. Survey CPUE at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- log(expected CPUE)). "Red" dots show a positive residual.


Figure 9.5.7 Sandeel Area-4. Catch at age residuals (log(observed CPUE)- \(\log (\) expected CPUE)). "Red" dots show a positive residual.

Area-4: Hockey stick, 1993:2021


Figure 9.5.8 Sandeel Area-4. Estimated stock recruitment relation. Red line = median of the expected recruitment, Dark blue lines = one standard deviation, Light blue lines \(\mathbf{=} \mathbf{2}\) standard deviations. The area within the light blue lines can be seen as the \(95 \%\) confidence interval of recruitment. Years shown in red are not used in the fit.


Figure 9.5.9 Sandeel Area-4. Retrospective analysis.


Figure 9.5.10 Sandeel Area-4. Uncertainties of model output estimated from parameter uncertainties derived from the Hessian matrix and the delta method.


Figure 9.5.11 Sandeel Area-4. Model output (mean F, SSB and Recruitment) with mean values and plus/minus 2 * standard deviation.


Figure 9.5.12 Sandeel Area-4. Total effort (days fishing for a standard 200 GT vessel) and estimated average Fishing mortality.


Figure 9.5.13 Sandeel Area-4. Stock summary.

Old Dredge survey 1999-2003

9.5.1 Sandeel Area-4. Old dredge survey. Survey CPUE at age residuals ( \(\log (\) observed CPUE)- \(\log (e x p e c t e d ~ C P U E)) . ~ " R e d " ~\) dots show a positive residual.

\section*{10 Sprat in Division 3.a and Subarea 4 (Skagerrak, Kattegat and North Sea)}

\subsection*{10.1 The Fishery}

\subsection*{10.1.1 ACOM advice applicable to 2020 and 2021}

There have never been any explicit management objectives for this stock. Last year, the advised TAC (July 2021 to June 2022) was set to 106715 t for sprat in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a. The 2021 herring bycatch quotas were 7750 t for the North Sea and 6659 t for Division 3.a. During the WKSPRAT benchmark meeting in 2018, sprat in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a were merged into one stock assessment model. Also, several other modifications were made to the configurations of the assessment model (see (WKSPRAT: ICES, 2018) for further details).

\subsection*{10.1.2 Catches in 2021}

Catch statistics for 1997-2021 for sprat in the North Sea by area and country are presented in Table 10.1.1. Catch data prior to 1996 are considered less reliable due to uncertainty of potential bycatches of North Sea herring (see Stock Annex). The small catches of sprat from the fjords of Norway are not included in the catch tables (Table 10.1.1-10.1.2). The WG estimate of total catches for the North Sea and Division 3.a in 2021 were 80 761t (total official catches amounted to 81807 t ). This is a \(56 \%\) decrease compared to 2020 . The Danish catches represent \(86 \%\) of the total catches.

The spatial distribution of landings was similar to 2020, although smaller catches were seen (Figure 10.1.1). A very low percentage \((\sim 1 \%\) in 2021) of the catches were landed in the first and second quarter of 2021 (Table 10.1.2).

\subsection*{10.1.3 Regulations and their effects}

Most sprat catches are taken in an industrial fishery where catches are limited by herring bycatch quantities. Bycatches of herring are practically unavoidable except in years with high sprat abundance or low herring recruitment. Bycatch is especially considered to be a problem in area 4.c. This led to the introduction of a closed area (sprat box) to ensure that sprat catches were not taken close to the Danish west coast where large bycatches were expected.

ICES evaluated the effectiveness of the sprat box in 2017 (ICES, 2017). The evaluation showed that fishing inside the sprat box would be expected to reduce unwanted catches of herring by weight but not in number and concluded that other management measures are sufficient to control herring bycatch. The sprat box was removed in 2017.

The Norwegian vessels have a maximum vessel quota of \(550 t\) when fishing in the North Sea. A herring bycatch of up to \(10 \%\) in biomass is allowed in Norwegian sprat catches.

\subsection*{10.1.4 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns}

No major changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns for the sprat fisheries in the North Sea have been reported. From about 2000, Norwegian pelagic trawlers were licensed to take part in the sprat fishery in the North Sea. In the first years, the Norwegian catches were mainly taken by purse-seine, and the catches taken by trawl were low. In recent years, the share of the total Norwegian catches taken by trawl has increased (2020: 92\% taken by trawl).

\subsection*{10.2 Biological composition of the catch}

Only data on bycatch from the Danish fishery were available to the Working Group (Table 10.2.1). The Danish sprat fishery was conducted with a \(7.2 \%\) and \(10.7 \%\) bycatch of herring in 2021 in the North Sea and Division 3.a, respectively. The total amount of herring caught as bycatch in the sprat fishery has mostly been less than \(10 \%\). From 1 \({ }^{\text {st }}\) of April 2020 the Danish methodology behind the by-catch estimation in the fisheries for reduction changed. Before, the Danish fishery control regularly sampled the landings for reduction, and afterwards a species composition was estimated per month, square and fishery. Now, each and every landing for reduction into Denmark is subsampled by the buyer and the estimated species composition is reported directly in the sale slips. Many of the buyers use independent companies, \(3^{\text {rd }}\) party, for sampling.

The estimated quarterly landings at age in numbers for the period 1974-2021 are presented in Table 10.2.2. In the model year 2021 (1 July 2021-30 June 2022), one-year old sprat contributed \(68 \%\) of the total landings, which is close to the 1990-2020 average ( \(66 \%\) ). 2-year-olds contributed \(20 \%\) in 2021 (model year), which is above the 1990-2020 average ( \(15 \%\) ). 0-year-olds contributed \(8 \%\) of the total landings, which is lower than the 1990-2020 average ( \(16 \%\) ).

Denmark and Sweden provided age data of commercial landings in 2021 (Table 10.2.4). Quarter 1,3 and 4 were covered. Quarter 1 in 2021 had very low catches and low number of samples. The sample data were used to raise the landings data from the North Sea, Skagerrak, and Kattegat. The landings by Germany (3572t), the Netherlands (139 t), UK-Scotland ( 105 t ), UK-England and Wales ( 33 t ) and Belgium ( \(<1 \mathrm{t}\) ) were unsampled and Norway didn't catch the stock in 2021. The sampling level has been greatly improved since 2014 because of the implementation of a sampling programme for collecting haul-based samples from the Danish sprat fishery. However, the sampling level in 2020 (model year) was substantially reduced with only 0.6 samples taken per 2000 t . The low level of sampling in 2020 was caused by a not fully implemented change in the Danish sampling program. Since the introduction of the new by-catch estimation method in 2020, mentioned above, the Danish institute has been able to get samples from most of the buyers / \(3^{\text {rd }}\) party companies. Therefore, the Danish institute introduced a new sampling strategy in 2020, where vessels above 24 meters are sampled with a higher frequency than smaller vessels. Vessels above 24 meters are still being encouraged to deliver self-samples, but if not, a \(3^{\text {rd }}\) party sample is used as a substitute. All samples from vessels below 24 meters comes from the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) party companies. The new sampling strategy has secured a high level of sampling in 2021.

The number of samples used for the assessment, both length and age-length samples, is shown in Table 10.2.4-5 and Figure 10.2.1.

\subsection*{10.3 Fishery Independent Information}

\subsection*{10.3.1 IBTS Q1 and Q3}

Table 10.3.1 and Figure 10.3.1 and 10.3.2 give the time-series of IBTS indices by age (calculated using a delta-GAM model formulation; see WKSPRAT report (2018) for further details). The data
source is the IBTS Q1 data from 1983-2022. The index for IBTS Q1 1-year old in 2021 (age-0 in the model and the table, serving as a recruitment index) was \(35 \%\) below average and \(45 \%\) lower than last year's index. There has been a tendency for an increase in the IBTS age 0 in the time-series since 1990. Furthermore, older age-groups (i.e. age-1 and age-2) decreased by \(>45 \%\) compared to the year before. Note that due to both rough weather and outbreaks of Covid-19, IBTS Q1 survey was limited, which affected the sampling coverage. Thus, the coverage was reduced drastically for some parts of the North Sea. Although, it is not expected to have any significant effect for the sprat assessment, a \(15 \%\) increase in CV for the index is reported compared to last year. Spatial pattern in residuals was checked and did not raise any concerns. Furthermore, the model is designed to handle such issues to some extent. IBTS Q3 survey indices were also used in the assessment for older age-groups, and the 2021 values for all age-groups (i.e. age-1, age-2 and age-3+) were more than \(50 \%\) lower compared to 2020.

\subsection*{10.3.2 Acoustic Survey (HERAS)}

Abundance indices were provided by WGIPS (ICES, 2022) (see Section 1.4.2). The abundance indices for Subarea 4 and Division 3.a were summed (Table 10.3.2 and Figure 10.3.2b). The 2021 values were \(22 \%\) higher, \(61 \%\) lower, and \(27 \%\) lower (age- 1 , age- 2 , and age- 3 , respectively) compared to the 2020-values.

\subsection*{10.4 Mean weights-at-age and maturity-at-age}

Mean weights-at-age in catches are given in Table 10.2.3 and Figure 10.4.1. Mean weights in model season 1 and 2 (S1 and S2; quarter 3 and 4), where most of the catches are taken, show a declining trend over the past decade. In 2019, the mean weights of age-1 and age-3 fish in S1 were the lowest observed for nearly two decades but since 2020 this decline was arrested. Weights were almost identical for all age-groups S1 compared to 2020. In contrast weights for all age-groups declined in S2 (Figure 10.4.1).

Proportion of mature fish was derived from IBTSQ1, following the benchmark procedure. Longterm average maturity ogives were used in the assessment model ( \(0.0,0.41,0.87\), and 0.95 for age-0 to age-3+). More details about the maturity staging are given in Section 4.5.3.2 in the WKSPRAT 2013 report (ICES, 2013).

\subsection*{10.5 Recruitment}

The IBTS Q1 age-1 index (age-0 in the model) (Table 10.3.1) is used as a recruitment index for this stock. The 2022 value, indicative of the 2021 recruitment, was \(35 \%\) below average, corresponding to a \(45 \%\) decrease of the recruitment index in the previous year. The recruitment estimated by the model for 2021 is \(19 \%\) lower than the recruitment in 2020 and \(43 \%\) below the 20112020 geometric mean (Table 10.6.4). At the most recent benchmark, it was decided to implement a power model (directly within the assessment model) to the age-0 IBTS Q1 index to dampen the effect of very high index values. This was done to reduce the retrospective bias on recruitment (see WKSPRAT 2018 for further details).

\subsection*{10.6 Stock Assessment}

The stock assessment was benchmarked in November 2018 (WKSPRAT: ICES, 2018). During the WKSPRAT benchmark meeting in 2018, sprat in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a were merged into one stock assessment model. Also, several other modifications were made to the configuration of the assessment model (see WKSPRAT report (ICES, 2018) for further details).

In-year advice is the only possible type of advice for this short-lived species with catches dominated by 1- and 2-year-old fish. This, however, requires information about incoming 1-year old fish. To meet this requirement and to come up with a model that logically matches the natural life cycle of sprat, the annual time-step in the model was shifted, relative to the calendar year, to a time-step going from July to June (see text table below). SSB and recruitment was estimated at 1 July. In figures and tables with assessment output and input, the years refer to the shifted model year (July to June) and in each figure and table it is noted whether model year or calendar year apply (when the model year is given the year refers to the year at the beginning of the model year; for example: 2000 refers to the model year 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001). The following schematic illustrates the shifted model year relative to the calendar year and provides an overview of the timing of surveys etc.
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline Model year & Calendar year & \\
\hline 2000 & Season 1 & 2000 & Quarter 3 \\
\hline 2000 & Season 2 & Season 3 & Quarter 4 \\
\hline 2000 & Season 4 & 2000 & Quarter 1 \\
\hline 2000 & & 2001 & Quarter 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\subsection*{10.6.1 Input data}

\subsection*{10.6.1.1 Catch data}

Information on catch data are provided in Tables 10.1.1-2 and in Figures 10.1.1 and 10.6.1. Sampling effort is presented in Table 10.2.5 and Figure 10.2.1.

Since catches in quarter 2 (season 4 in the model) are often less than 5000 tonnes, these are poorly estimated by the model and the number of samples from these catches are low (sometimes no samples). Furthermore, at the time of the assessment working group, S 4 catches are unknown. Therefore, during the latest benchmark it was decided to move S 4 catches into S 1 in the following model year. In 2022, only 478 t were taken in quarter 1 and no age samples taken. To avoid the resulting high uncertainty in the age distribution of these catches, they were transferred to 2021 quarter 4, leading to a total catch of 15617 t in this quarter.

\subsection*{10.6.1.2 Weight-at-age}

The mean weights at age observed in the catch are given in Table 10.2.3 and Figure 10.4.1 by season. It is assumed that the mean weights in the stock are the same as in the catch. The mean weight at age of S1 that is used to calculated SSB.

\subsection*{10.6.1.3 Surveys}

Three surveys were included (Tables 10.3.1-3), IBTS Q1 (1975-present), IBTS Q3 (1991-present) and HERAS (Q3) (2003-present). 0-group (young-of-the-year) sprat is unlikely to be fully recruited by the time of IBTS Q3 and HERAS, and for this reason these age indices were excluded from the model.

\subsection*{10.6.1.4 Natural mortality}

New natural mortalities were available from the 2020 North Sea key run from WGSAM (ICES, 2017). The major changes were changes to mean weight of whiting leading to lower mortalities particularly in the early part of the time series. HAWG reviewed stock assessments based on the old and new M's. The new mortalities reduced AIC of the model from 865 to 859 , indicating a substantially improved fit. CVs for the catches decreased by up to \(3 \%\) while survey CVs changed by -4 to \(+5 \%\) (average \(+0.2 \%\) ). The CV on the terminal SSB increased by \(9 \%\). For comparison, the change from the 2019 to the 2020 assessment, both using old mortalities, was an increase in CVs for the catches of up to \(4 \%\) while survey CVs changed by -5 to \(+20 \%\) (average \(+6 \%\) ). The CV on the terminal SSB decreased by \(20 \%\) ). In summary, the AIC of the assessment using new mortalities was substantially improved and changes to estimated parameters were within the range observed in annual updates. The change in average recruitment, SSB and F over the past 20 years were \(2 \%,-4 \%\) and \(+1 \%\) (new compared to old). The change to selection pattern was between -2 and \(5 \%\) for age groups 1 and 2 (the F-bar ages). The group inspected the stock-recruitment plot and found no substantial changes. According to benchmark guidelines, no substantial changes in stock parameters or stock-recruitment plot would lead to the adoption of new mortalities in the assessment. However, the recent guidance from ACOM LS requires that reference points are re-estimated and an inter-benchmark process conducted when new M's are introduced. Given the strict time schedule for advice on this stock and the fact that the reference points according to the benchmark are estimated in a full (time consuming) MSE model, the group did not consider it feasible to conduct an inter-benchmark in time for the 2021 advice. Further, the group felt that they could not guarantee that using new mortalities would not lead to changes in reference points if these were re-estimated. Therefore, the old mortalities were used in the assessments from 2021 and onwards. Variable mortality is applied as three-year averages up till 2015, and after this the average mortality for 2013-2015 is used. Natural mortalities used in the model are given in Table 10.6.1.

\subsection*{10.6.1.5 Proportion mature}

Proportion of mature fish was derived from IBTSQ1, following the benchmark procedure. Longterm average maturity ogives were used in the assessment model ( \(0.0,0.41,0.87\), and 0.95 for age-0 to age-3+). More details about the maturity staging are given in Section 4.5.3.2 in the WKSPRAT 2013 report (ICES, 2013).

\subsection*{10.6.2 Stock assessment model}

The assessment was made using SMS (Lewy and Vinther, 2004) with quarterly time-steps (referred to as season S1-S4). Three surveys were included, IBTS Q1 ages \(1-4+\), IBTS Q3 ages \(1-3\) and HERAS (Q3) ages 1-3. 0-group sprat is unlikely to be fully recruited to the IBTSQ3 or HERAS in Q3 and these age indices were excluded from runs. External consistency between IBTS Q1, IBTS Q3 and HERAS can be found in the benchmark report (WKSPRAT2018: ICES, 2018).

The model converged and fitted the catches of the main ages caught in the main seasons reasonably (ages 1-2, seasons 1 and 2, Table 10.6.2). All surveys had low CVs (Table 10.6.2). There were no patterns in the residuals raising concern. Although, there appears to be a periodic cycling (on a decadal time-scale) between positive and negative residuals in the IBTS Q3 survey and the catches (Figures 10.6.2-3). Common CVs were estimated for the groups: 1 to 3-year olds in IBTS Q1 and 2 and 3-year olds in IBTS Q3 and HERAS.

The retrospective analyses showed a tendency to overestimate recruitment ( 5 years Mohn's rho \(=0.27\) ) (Figure 10.6.5). As \(41 \%\) (see 10.6.1.5) of the recruiting year class mature in their first year and thus contributes to the SSB at the end of the year, there is a similar large retrospective pattern in SSB (5-year Mohn's rho \(=0.25\) ). The assessment model was improved with this respect during the last benchmark and Mohn's rho was reduced by roughly a factor of 3 due to the improvement.

The final outputs detailing trends in mean F, SSB and recruitment are given in Figures 10.6.4-7 and Tables 10.6.3-4.

\subsection*{10.7 Reference points}

A Blim of 94000 t (Figure 10.7.1) and \(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) of 125000 t were agreed at the most recent benchmark. \(B_{p a}\) is defined as the upper \(90 \%\) confidence interval of \(B_{\lim }\) and calculated based on a terminal SSB CV of 0.173.

\subsection*{10.8 State of the stock}

The sprat stock has a decreasing trend during the last couple of years judging by all the surveys and by the assessment output. The stock has been well above \(B_{p a}\) since 2013 and above Blim since 1991 but is now estimated to be below \(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) for the first time in nine years. The current SSB is \(20 \%\) below \(B_{\text {pa }}\). Fishing mortality has fluctuated without a trend, but the F of 2.169 in 2021 was the third highest in the time-series. The advised TAC was based on the predicted catch at F equal to \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}\) (0.69). A large overshoot of \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}\) is seen in simulations applying the escapement strategy on very large incoming year classes, and this is the rationale for implementing an \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}\) as otherwise, the escapement strategy is not precautionary at large stock sizes.

A stock summary from the assessment output can be found in Table 10.6.4 and Figure 10.6.7.

\subsection*{10.9 Short-term projections}

Management strategy evaluations for this stock were made in December 2018 (WKSPRATMSE: ICES, 2018). These evaluations clearly show that the current management strategy (Bescapement) is not precautionary unless an additional constraint is imposed on the fishing mortality (referred to as \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}\) ). During the WKSPRATMSE (ICES, 2018) 0.69 was found to be the optimal \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}\) value (from both a full MSE and a shortcut MSE, see the WKSPRATMSE report (WKSPRATMSE: ICES, 2018) for further details), which is a revision of the previous value of 0.7. This means, that the fishing mortality ( \(\mathrm{Fbar}_{\mathrm{b}}(1-2)\) ) derived from the Bescapement strategy, should not exceed 0.69.

SSB in 2023 is expected to be higher than in 2022 and above the long-term average, and well above \(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}(+45 \%)\). Using the input and assumptions detailed above, the projection for an \(\mathrm{F}=0\) is an SSB in July 2023 of 222210 t (Table 10.9.2). The Fmsy approach prescribes the use of an F value of 0.69 (Fcap, see explanation above) and results in a TAC advice of 69690 t (July 2022-June 2023), which is expected to result in an SSB of 181215 t in July 2023, well above Bpa.

\subsection*{10.10 Quality of the assessment}

The data used within the assessment, the assessment methods and settings were carefully scrutinized during the 2018 benchmark (ICES, 2018). A complete overview of the choices made during the benchmark can be found in the WKSPRAT report (ICES, 2018) and these are also described in the Stock Annex for sprat in Division 3.a and Subarea 4.

The assessment shows medium to high CVs for the catches but low CVs for surveys. The CVs of F, SSB and recruitment are generally low (see Table 10.6.2 and Figure 10.6.4). The model converged and fitted the catches of the main ages caught in the main seasons (the periods with most samples) reasonably well (ages \(1-2\), season 2, Table 10.6.2). The retrospective pattern in SSB and recruitment (5-years Mohn's rho of 0.25 and 0.27 , respectively) is below the advised limit of 0.3 discussed in WKFORBIAS (2019).

There appears to be a systematic pattern in the catch residuals of model season 1 (quarter 3), which remains unexplained.

\subsection*{10.11 Management Considerations}

A management plan needs to be developed for this stock. Sprat is an important forage fish; thus, also multispecies considerations should be made.

The sprat stock in the North Sea is dominated by young fish. The stock size is mostly driven by the recruiting year class. Thus, the fishery in a given year will be dependent on that year's incoming year class.

Industrial fisheries are allocated a bycatch of 8174 t and 6659 t of juvenile herring in 2022 in the North Sea and Division 3.a, respectively. It is important to continue monitoring bycatch of juvenile herring to ensure compliance with this allocation.

\subsection*{10.11.1 Stock units}

After the latest benchmark, sprat in the Subarea 4 and Division 3. a is considered to be one cohesive stock. This is documented in the WKSPRAT report (ICES, 2018). In addition, there are several peripheral areas of the North Sea and Division 3.a where there may be populations of sprat that behave as separate stocks from the main stock. Local depletion of sprat in such areas can be an issue of ecological concern.

\subsection*{10.12 Ecosystem Considerations}

Sprat is an important prey species in the North Sea ecosystem. The influence of the sprat fishery on other fish species and seabirds are at present not documented to be substantial.

In the North Sea, the key predators consuming sprats are included in the stock assessment, using SMS estimates of sprat consumption for each predatory fish stock, and estimates for seabirds though this information is as described under natural mortality not up to date. Impacts of changes in zooplankton communities and consequent changes in food densities for sprats are not included in the assessment, but it may be useful to explore the possibility of including this, or a similar proxy bottom-up driver, in future assessments. However, the effect of changes in productivity is included in the observed quarterly weight-at-age and in the estimated recruitment, as a decline in e.g. available food can lead to lower observed weights and lower estimated recruitment even in the absence of a causal link in the model.

\subsection*{10.13 Changes in the environment}

Temperatures in this area have been increasing over the last few decades. This may have implications for sprat, although the correlation between temperature and recruitment from the model has been found to be low (see WKSPRAT2018: ICES, 2018).

Table 10.1.1. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Landings (' \(\mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{t}\) ) 1998-2021. See ICES CM 2006/ACFM:20 for earlier data. Catch in coastal areas of Norway excluded. Data provided by Working Group members. These figures do not in all cases correspond to the official statistics and cannot be used for management purposes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & 2003 & 2004 & 2005 & 2006 & 2007 & 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 & 2020 & 2021 \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Division 27.4.a} \\
\hline Denmark & & 0.7 & & 0.1 & 1.1 & & * & & * & 0.8 & * & * & & & & & * & * & 0.1 & 0.1 & & * & 0.5 & * \\
\hline Norway & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & * & & & & & & & & 0.1 & * & \\
\hline Sweden & & & & 0.1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 0.5 & & & & & & * & * & & & \\
\hline Germany & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & * & & & & & \\
\hline Netherlands & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & & & & & \\
\hline Total & & 0.7 & & 0.2 & 1.1 & & * & & * & 0.8 & * & * & & 0.5 & & & * & * & 0.1 & 0.1 & * & 0.1 & 0.5 & * \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Division 27.4.b} \\
\hline Denmark & 119.3 & 160.3 & 162.9 & 143.9 & 126.1 & 152.9 & 175.9 & 204.0 & 79.5 & 55.5 & 51.4 & 115.6 & 80.8 & 90.9 & 65.7 & 44.7 & 121.3 & 234.4 & 177.6 & 100.6 & 156.5 & 110.3 & 138.4 & 66.0 \\
\hline Norway & 15.3 & 13.1 & 0.9 & 5.9 & * & & 0.1 & & 0.8 & 3.7 & 1.3 & 4.0 & 8.0 & 0.1 & 6.2 & * & 8.9 & 0.3 & 19.6 & 9.7 & 9.3 & 10.0 & 9.3 & \\
\hline Sweden & 1.7 & 2.1 & & 1.4 & & & & * & & & & 0.3 & 0.6 & 1.1 & 1.8 & 0.1 & 3.9 & 5.5 & 11.7 & 8.1 & 7.6 & 7.5 & 3.5 & 5.9 \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & & 1.4 & & & & & & & & 0.1 & & 2.5 & 1.1 & 1.9 & 0.7 & & & & & & * & 1.3 & 1.7 & * \\
\hline UK (Engl. \& Wales) & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & & & & & & & * & * & & 0.1 & \\
\hline Germany & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 3.3 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 1.5 & 3.1 & 5.4 & 6.0 & 3.7 & 3.4 & 10 & 3.6 \\
\hline Netherlands & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1.1 & 2.7 & 0.4 & 2.4 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 1.6 & 1.6 & & 0.5 & \\
\hline Faroe Islands & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4.7 & 1.0 & 1.0 & & 1 & \\
\hline Total & 136.3 & 176.9 & 163.8 & 151.2 & 126.1 & 152.9 & 176.0 & 204.1 & 80.3 & 59.3 & 52.7 & 122.4 & 90.4 & 98.4 & 77.5 & 45.8 & 138.0 & 244.6 & 220.0 & 127.0 & 179.7 & 132.6 & 164.7 & 75.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Division 27.4.c} \\
\hline Denmark & 11.8 & 3.3 & 28.2 & 13.1 & 14.8 & 22.3 & 16.8 & 2.0 & 23.8 & 20.6 & 8.1 & 8.2 & 48.5 & 20.0 & 3.2 & 15.4 & 2.2 & 34.0 & 18.7 & 1.5 & 6.2 & 8.9 & 2.4 & 2.7 \\
\hline Norway & 16.0 & 5.7 & 1.8 & 3.6 & & & & & 9.0 & 2.9 & & 1.8 & 3.2 & 9.9 & 3.0 & 1.7 & 0.1 & 8.8 & 0.6 & & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.7 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & 2003 & 2004 & 2005 & 2006 & 2007 & 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 & 2020 & 2021 \\
\hline Sweden & & & & & & & & & & & & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 1.3 & & 1.2 & 0.4 & & & & & 1.1 \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & & & & & & & & & & & 0.2 & & & 0.4 & & & & & * & & & & 0.7 & 0.1 \\
\hline UK (Engl. \& Wales) & 0.2 & 1.6 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 1.6 & 1.3 & 1.5 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 0.3 & * & * & 0.8 & 0.6 & 0.5 & * & * & * & * & * & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 & * \\
\hline Germany & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & * & 1.0 & & 0.6 & 0.2 & & & & 0.1 & \\
\hline Netherlands & & 0.2 & & & & & & & & & & & & 4.2 & 1.0 & 0.7 & * & 1.2 & 0.8 & * & 0.7 & & 1.6 & 0.1 \\
\hline Belgium & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & * & * & * & * & * & & * & * & * \\
\hline France & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & * & & & & \\
\hline Total & 28.0 & 10.8 & 32.0 & 18.7 & 16.4 & 23.6 & 18.3 & 3.6 & 33.4 & 23.8 & 8.4 & 10.6 & 53.0 & 35.2 & 8.0 & 20.1 & 2.3 & 45.8 & 20.6 & 1.6 & 7.5 & 9.6 & 5.6 & 4.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Division 27.3.a} \\
\hline Denmark & 11.2 & 17.2 & 12.8 & 20.2 & 13.4 & 10.2 & 14.4 & 31.9 & 7.8 & 9.9 & 5.8 & 6.9 & 8.4 & 8.0 & 8.4 & 1.9 & 16.7 & 11.7 & 6.7 & 1.0 & 2.9 & 3.9 & 9.5 & 0.6 \\
\hline Sweden & 6.2 & 9.3 & 6.4 & 7.6 & 4.3 & 5.5 & 6.5 & 7.7 & 4.4 & 4.2 & 2.4 & 1.6 & 1.4 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.1 & 1.5 & 1.3 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.1 & 1.7 & 2.4 & 0.7 \\
\hline Germany & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & & & * & & & \\
\hline Faroe Islands & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & & & & \\
\hline Total & 17.4 & 26.5 & 19.2 & 27.7 & 17.7 & 15.7 & 20.9 & 39.6 & 12.2 & 14.1 & 8.2 & 8.5 & 9.8 & 10.0 & 9.9 & 3.0 & 18.3 & 13.0 & 7.9 & 1.2 & 4.0 & 5.6 & 11.9 & 1.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{25}{|l|}{Total North Sea and Skagerrak-Kattegat} \\
\hline Denmark & 142.3 & 181.5 & 203.9 & 177.3 & 155.4 & 185.4 & 207.1 & 237.9 & 111.2 & 86.7 & 65.4 & 130.7 & 137.7 & 119.0 & 77.4 & 62.1 & 140.2 & 280.1 & 203.1 & 103.3 & 165.6 & 123.1 & 150.9 & 69.3 \\
\hline Norway & 31.3 & 18.8 & 2.7 & 9.5 & * & & 0.1 & & 9.8 & 6.7 & 1.3 & 5.8 & 11.1 & 10.0 & 9.1 & 1.7 & 9.0 & 9.1 & 20.2 & 9.7 & 9.8 & 10.6 & 10 & \\
\hline Sweden & 7.9 & 11.4 & 6.4 & 9.1 & 4.3 & 5.5 & 6.5 & 7.8 & 4.4 & 4.2 & 2.4 & 2.5 & 2.6 & 3.3 & 3.7 & 2.5 & 5.4 & 8.1 & 13.2 & 8.3 & 8.7 & 9.2 & 5.9 & 7.6 \\
\hline UK (Scotland) & & 1.4 & & & & & & & & 0.1 & 0.2 & 2.5 & 1.1 & 2.8 & 0.7 & & & & * & * & * & 1.3 & 2.5 & 0.1 \\
\hline UK (Engl. \& Wales) & 0.2 & 1.6 & 2.0 & 2.0 & 1.6 & 1.3 & 1.5 & 1.6 & 0.5 & 0.3 & * & * & 0.8 & 0.6 & 0.5 & * & * & * & * & * & * & 0.2 & 0.2 & * \\
\hline Germany & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 3.3 & 0.5 & 1.6 & 1.6 & 3.7 & 5.6 & 6.0 & 3.7 & 3.4 & 10.1 & 3.6 \\
\hline Netherlands & & 0.2 & & & & & & & & & & & & 5.3 & 3.7 & 1.1 & 2.4 & 2.4 & 1.8 & 1.6 & 2.3 & & 2.1 & 0.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & 1998 & 1999 & 2000 & 2001 & 2002 & 2003 & 2004 & 2005 & 2006 & 2007 & 2008 & 2009 & 2010 & 2011 & 2012 & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 & 2020 & 2021 \\
\hline Faroe Islands & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 4.7 & 1.0 & 1.0 & & 1 & \\
\hline Belgium & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & * & * & * & * & * & & * & * & * \\
\hline France & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & * & & * & & & & \\
\hline Total & 181.7 & 214.9 & 215.1 & 197.9 & 161.3 & 192.2 & 215.2 & 247.3 & 125.9 & 97.9 & 69.3 & 141.6 & 153.3 & 144.1 & 95.5 & 68.9 & 158.7 & 303.3 & 248.5 & 129.9 & 191.2 & 147.8 & 182.7 & 80.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.1.2. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Catches (tonnes) by quarter. Catches in coastal areas of Norway excluded. Data for 1996-1999 in ICES CM 2007/ACFM:11.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Quarter & Division 27.4.a & 27.4.b & 27.4.c & 27.3.a & Total \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2000} & 1 & & 18126 & 28063 & & 46189 \\
\hline & 2 & & 1722 & 45 & & 1767 \\
\hline & 3 & & 131306 & 1216 & & 132522 \\
\hline & 4 & & 12680 & 2718 & & 15398 \\
\hline & Total & & 163834 & 32042 & & 195876 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2001} & 1 & 115 & 40903 & 9716 & & 50734 \\
\hline & 2 & & 1071 & & & 1071 \\
\hline & 3 & & 44174 & 481 & & 44655 \\
\hline & 4 & 79 & 65102 & 8538 & & 73719 \\
\hline & Total & 194 & 151249 & 18735 & & 170177 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2002} & 1 & 1136 & 2182 & 2790 & & 6108 \\
\hline & 2 & & 435 & 93 & & 528 \\
\hline & 3 & & 70504 & 647 & & 71151 \\
\hline & 4 & & 52942 & 12911 & & 65853 \\
\hline & Total & 1136 & 126063 & 16441 & & 143640 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2003} & 1 & & 11458 & 7727 & 5217 & 24402 \\
\hline & 2 & & 625 & \[
26
\] & 1397 & 2049 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Quarter & Division 27.4.a & 27.4.b & 27.4.c & 27.3.a & Total \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2012} & 1 & & 81 & 1649 & 4668 & 6399 \\
\hline & 2 & & 2924 & 0 & 909 & 3832 \\
\hline & 3 & & 26779 & 307 & 1631 & 28717 \\
\hline & 4 & & 47765 & 6060 & 2728 & 56553 \\
\hline & Total & & 77549 & 8016 & 9936 & 95501 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2013} & 1 & & 1281 & 3158 & 1296 & 5734 \\
\hline & 2 & & 32 & 0 & 443 & 474 \\
\hline & 3 & & 25577 & 720 & 211 & 26509 \\
\hline & 4 & & 18892 & 16276 & 943 & 36110 \\
\hline & Total & & 45781 & 20154 & 2893 & 68827 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2014} & 1 & & 59 & 125 & 384 & 568 \\
\hline & 2 & & 11631 & 3 & 1415 & 13050 \\
\hline & 3 & 1 & 88457 & 1428 & 9622 & 99507 \\
\hline & 4 & 7 & 37851 & 822 & 6905 & 45586 \\
\hline & Total & 8 & 137999 & 2378 & 18327 & 158711 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2015} & 1 & * & 14816 & 16972 & 1442 & 33230 \\
\hline & 2 & & 16843 & 107 & 619 & 17568 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Quarter & Division 27.4.a & 27.4.b & 27.4.c & 27.3.a & Total \\
\hline & 3 & & 56207 & 165 & 1720 & 58092 \\
\hline & 4 & & 84629 & 15651 & 7349 & 107629 \\
\hline & Total & & 152919 & 23570 & 15683 & 192172 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2004} & 1 & & 827 & 1831 & 4456 & 7113 \\
\hline & 2 & 7 & 260 & 16 & 1510 & 1793 \\
\hline & 3 & & 54161 & 496 & 4138 & 58794 \\
\hline & 4 & & 120685 & 15937 & 10775 & 147397 \\
\hline & Total & 7 & 175932 & 18280 & 20879 & 215097 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2005} & 1 & & 11538 & 2457 & 8148 & 22143 \\
\hline & 2 & & 2515 & 123 & 4722 & 7360 \\
\hline & 3 & & 107530 & & 19418 & 126948 \\
\hline & 4 & & 82474 & 1033 & 7296 & 90803 \\
\hline & Total & & 204057 & 3613 & 39584 & 247254 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2006} & 1 & 47 & 13713 & 33534 & 8105 & 55399 \\
\hline & 2 & & 190 & 8 & 324 & 522 \\
\hline & 3 & & 40051 & 8 & 1440 & 41499 \\
\hline & 4 & 2 & 26579 & 77 & 2335 & 28993 \\
\hline & Total & 49 & 80533 & 33627 & 12204 & 126413 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2007} & 1 & & 582 & 247 & 2646 & 3475 \\
\hline & 2 & & 241 & 3 & 1291 & 1535 \\
\hline & 3 & & 16603 & & 5357 & 21960 \\
\hline & 4 & 769 & 41850 & 23531 & 4761 & 70911 \\
\hline & Total & 769 & 59276 & 23781 & 14055 & 97881 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2008} & 1 & & 2872 & 43 & 2890 & 5805 \\
\hline & 2 & & 52 & * & 1017 & 1069 \\
\hline & 3 & & 21787 & & 636 & 22423 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Quarter & Division 27.4.a & 27.4.b & 27.4.c & 27.3.a & Total \\
\hline & 3 & & 124512 & 335 & 6528 & 131375 \\
\hline & 4 & 25 & 88395 & 28375 & 4389 & 121184 \\
\hline & Total & 25 & 244566 & 45789 & 12978 & 303358 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2016} & 1 & 68 & 18487 & 5969 & 746 & 25250 \\
\hline & 2 & & 8927 & 51 & 669 & 9647 \\
\hline & 3 & * & 158522 & 111 & 4664 & 163297 \\
\hline & 4 & 2 & 34070 & 14466 & 1764 & 50301 \\
\hline & Total & 70 & 220007 & 20596 & 7843 & 248516 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2017} & 1 & 1 & 3432 & 1220 & 92 & 4745 \\
\hline & 2 & & 1327 & 0 & 33 & 1360 \\
\hline & 3 & * & 92885 & 217 & 227 & 93329 \\
\hline & 4 & 94 & 29310 & 174 & 849 & 30426 \\
\hline & Total & 95 & 126954 & 1611 & 1200 & 129860 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2018} & 1 & * & 8994 & 1628 & 168 & 10790 \\
\hline & 2 & & 11898 & 0 & 224 & 12122 \\
\hline & 3 & & 112361 & 1 & 1328 & 113690 \\
\hline & 4 & & 46411 & 5922 & 2249 & 54582 \\
\hline & Total & * & 179664 & 7551 & 3969 & 191184 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2019} & 1 & & 389 & 9592 & 627 & 10609 \\
\hline & 2 & 2 & 3606 & 11 & 379 & 3999 \\
\hline & 3 & 2 & 95829 & 7 & 2249 & 98087 \\
\hline & 4 & 49 & 32750 & 3 & 2296 & 35098 \\
\hline & Total & 53 & 132574 & 9614 & 5551 & 147793 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2020} & 1 & 3 & 298 & 1076 & 378 & 1746 \\
\hline & 2 & & 19430 & * & 173 & 19603 \\
\hline & 3 & 2 & 120890 & * & 4268 & 125160 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Quarter & Division 27.4.a & 27.4.b & 27.4.c & 27.3.a & Total \\
\hline & 4 & 520 & 24049 & 4489 & 7087 & 36145 \\
\hline & Total & 526 & 164667 & 5566 & 11896 & 182654 \\
\hline 2021 & 1 & 0 & 137 & 236 & 445 & 818 \\
\hline & 2 & * & 326 & 1 & 11 & 338 \\
\hline & 3 & 1 & 63401 & 902 & 57 & 64361 \\
\hline & 4 & 1 & 11601 & 2850 & 791 & 15244 \\
\hline & Total & 2 & 75464 & 3989 & 1305 & 80761 \\
\hline 2022 & 1** & & 81 & & 330 & 412 \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* \(<0.5\) t
** Until the 1 st of March

Table 10.2.1. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Species composition in Danish sprat fishery in tonnes and percentage of the total catch. Left: North Sea, right: Division 3.a.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Year & Sprat & Herring & Horse mack & Whiting & Haddock & Mackerel & Cod & Sandeel & Other & Total & & Year & Sprat & Herring & Horse mack & Whiting & Haddock & Mackerel & Cod & Sandeel & Other & Total \\
\hline t & 1998 & 129315 & 11817 & 573 & 673 & 6 & 220 & 11 & 2174 & 1187 & 145978 & t & 1998 & 9143 & 3385 & 230 & 467 & 54 & 0 & 49 & 7 & 2866 & 16202 \\
\hline t & 1999 & 157003 & 7256 & 413 & 1088 & 62 & 321 & 7 & 4972 & 635 & 171757 & t & 1999 & 16603 & 8470 & 138 & 1026 & 210 & 5 & 75 & 3337 & 2896 & 32760 \\
\hline t & 2000 & 188463 & 11662 & 3239 & 2107 & 66 & 766 & 4 & 423 & 1911 & 208641 & t & 2000 & 12578 & 8034 & 5 & 1062 & 308 & 8 & 52 & 13 & 3556 & 25617 \\
\hline t & 2001 & 136443 & 13953 & 67 & 1700 & 223 & 312 & 4 & 17020 & 1141 & 170862 & t & 2001 & 18236 & 8196 & 75 & 1266 & 50 & 13 & 35 & 4281 & 1271 & 33423 \\
\hline t & 2002 & 140568 & 16644 & 2078 & 2537 & 27 & 715 & 0 & 4102 & 801 & 167471 & t & 2002 & 11451 & 12982 & 21 & 1164 & 3 & 6 & 30 & 606 & 2280 & 28541 \\
\hline t & 2003 & 172456 & 10244 & 718 & 1106 & 15 & 799 & 11 & 5357 & 3504 & 194210 & t & 2003 & 8182 & 4928 & 340 & 252 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 56714 & 14282 \\
\hline t & 2004 & 179944 & 10144 & 474 & 334 & 0 & 4351 & 3 & 3836 & 1821 & 200906 & t & 2004 & 13374 & 4620 & 97 & 976 & 18 & 24 & 27 & 116 & 2155 & 21408 \\
\hline t & 2005 & 201331 & 21035 & 2477 & 545 & 4 & 1009 & 16 & 6859 & 974 & 234251 & t & 2005 & 30157 & 6171 & 244 & 871 & 63 & 18 & 20 & 746 & 1758 & 40047 \\
\hline t & 2006 & 103236 & 8983 & 577 & 343 & 25 & 905 & 4 & 5384 & 576 & 120033 & t & 2006 & 6814 & 2852 & 215 & 276 & 13 & 3 & 45 & 1 & 23210 & 10451 \\
\hline t & 2007 & 74734 & 6596 & 168 & 900 & 6 & 126 & 18 & 6 & 253 & 82807 & t & 2007 & 7116 & 2043 & 34 & 190 & 31 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 4699 & 9896 \\
\hline t & 2008 & 61093 & 7928 & 26 & 380 & 10 & 367 & 0 & 23 & 1735 & 71563 & t & 2008 & 4805 & 1948 & 14 & 285 & 0 & 0 & 11 & 462 & 397 & 7563 \\
\hline t & 2009 & 112721 & 7222 & 44 & 307 & 3 & 116 & 1 & 1526 & 407 & 122345 & t & 2009 & 4839 & 3016 & 37 & 169 & 15 & 0 & 1 & 53 & 478 & 8177 \\
\hline t & 2010 & 112395 & 4410 & 11 & 119 & 2 & 18 & 0 & 1236 & 577 & 118769 & t & 2010 & 2851 & 2134 & 25 & 142 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 135 & 1715 & 5466 \\
\hline t & 2011 & 109376 & 8073 & 35 & 191 & 0 & 127 & 0 & 1881 & 345 & 120026 & t & 2011 & 4754 & 2461 & 0 & 43 & 0 & 7 & 1 & 141 & 407 & 7447 \\
\hline t & 2012 & 67263 & 8573 & 2 & 354 & 0 & 246 & 0 & 93 & 411 & 76943 & t & 2012 & 5707 & 5495 & 9 & 149 & 7 & 10 & 5 & 0 & 22811 & 11610 \\
\hline t & 2013 & 55792 & 5176 & 47 & 445 & 0 & 277 & 2 & 1 & 369 & 62109 & t & 2013 & 1143 & 1751 & 2 & 46 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 272 & 2971 \\
\hline t & 2014 & 123180 & 11402 & 0 & 897 & 0 & 70 & 16 & 16 & 1700 & 137280 & t & 2014 & 16751 & 3777 & 5 & 343 & 1 & 20 & 5 & 12 & 88821 & 21801 \\
\hline t & 2015 & 265356 & 4568 & 5 & 1809 & 0 & 527 & 0 & 147 & 3311 & 275723 & t & 2015 & 11448 & 5831 & 0 & 565 & 0 & 29 & 8 & 1 & 15418 & 18036 \\
\hline t & 2016 & 192718 & 11107 & 18 & 4223 & 0 & 439 & 0 & 46 & 2093 & 210643 & t & 2016 & 7001 & 2140 & 0 & 335 & 1 & 19 & 3 & 0 & 789 & 9579 \\
\hline t & 2017 & 100833 & 5130 & 1 & 1344 & 0 & 197 & 0 & 503 & 12386 & 120394 & t & 2017 & 963 & 328 & 0 & 172 & 0 & 19 & 1 & 0 & 321 & 1515 \\
\hline t & 2018 & 161536 & 7528 & 174 & 716 & 0 & 366 & 0 & 24 & 344 & 170687 & t & 2018 & 2872 & 257 & 2 & 150 & 1 & 11 & 0 & 0 & 123 & 3304 \\
\hline t & 2019 & 118302 & 2757 & 1 & 897 & 1 & 176 & 0 & 3 & 503 & 122639 & t & 2019 & 3429 & 351 & 0 & 59 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 83 & 3850 \\
\hline t & 2020 & 140954 & 6227 & 19 & 898 & 93 & 1188 & 0 & 11 & 724 & 150114 & t & 2020 & 9494 & 551 & 4 & 249 & 5 & 41 & 1 & 0 & 2710 & 10372 \\
\hline t & 2021 & 68492 & 5518 & 39 & 1064 & 345 & 747 & 0 & 3 & 602 & 76809 & t & 2021 & 638 & 82 & 0 & 13 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 32 & 767 \\
\hline \% & 1998 & 88.6 & 8.1 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 1.5 & 0.8 & 100 & \% & 1998 & 56.4 & 20.9 & 1.4 & 2.9 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.3 & 0 & 17.7 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 1999 & 91.4 & 4.2 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 2.9 & 0.4 & 100 & \% & 1999 & 50.7 & 25.9 & 0.4 & 3.1 & 0.6 & 0 & 0.2 & 10.2 & 8.8 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2000 & 90.3 & 5.6 & 1.6 & 1 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.9 & 100 & \% & 2000 & 49.1 & 31.4 & 0 & 4.1 & 1.2 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 13.9 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2001 & 79.9 & 8.2 & 0 & 1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0 & 10 & 0.7 & 100 & \% & 2001 & 54.6 & 24.5 & 0.2 & 3.8 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.1 & 12.8 & 3.8 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Year & Sprat & Herring & Horse mack & Whiting & Haddock & Mackerel & Cod & Sandeel & Other & Total & & Year & Sprat & Herring & Horse mack & Whiting & Haddock & Mackerel & Cod & Sandeel & Other & Total \\
\hline \% & 2002 & 83.9 & 9.9 & 1.2 & 1.5 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 2.4 & 0.5 & 100 & \% & 2002 & 40.1 & 45.5 & 0.1 & 4.1 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 2.1 & 8 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2003 & 88.8 & 5.3 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 2.8 & 1.8 & 100 & \% & 2003 & 57.3 & 34.5 & 2.4 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2004 & 89.6 & 5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 2.2 & 0 & 1.9 & 0.9 & 100 & \% & 2004 & 62.5 & 21.6 & 0.5 & 4.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 10.1 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2005 & 85.9 & 9 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 2.9 & 0.4 & 100 & \% & 2005 & 75.3 & 15.4 & 0.6 & 2.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 1.9 & 4.4 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2006 & 86 & 7.5 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.8 & 0 & 4.5 & 0.5 & 100 & \% & 2006 & 65.2 & 27.3 & 2.1 & 2.6 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 2.2 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2007 & 90.3 & 8 & 0.2 & 1.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0.3 & 100 & \% & 2007 & 71.9 & 20.6 & 0.3 & 1.9 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 4.7 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2008 & 85.4 & 11.1 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 2.4 & 100 & \% & 2008 & 63.5 & 25.8 & 0.2 & 3.8 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 6.1 & 0.5 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2009 & 92.1 & 5.9 & 0 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 100 & \% & 2009 & 59.2 & 36.9 & 0.5 & 2.1 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2010 & 94.6 & 3.7 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 100 & \% & 2010 & 52.2 & 39 & 0.5 & 2.6 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 2.5 & 3.1 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2011 & 91.1 & 6.7 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 1.6 & 0.3 & 100 & \% & 2011 & 63.8 & 33 & 0 & 0.6 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 1.9 & 0.5 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2012 & 87.4 & 11.1 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 100 & \% & 2012 & 49.2 & 47.3 & 0.1 & 1.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2013 & 89.8 & 8.3 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0.6 & 100 & \% & 2013 & 38.5 & 58.9 & 0.1 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.9 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2014 & 89.7 & 8.3 & 0 & 0.7 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 1.2 & 100 & \% & 2014 & 76.8 & 17.3 & 0 & 1.6 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & 4.1 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2015 & 96.2 & 1.7 & 0 & 0.7 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.1 & 1.2 & 100 & \% & 2015 & 63.5 & 32.3 & 0 & 3.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0.9 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2016 & 91.5 & 5.3 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 100 & \% & 2016 & 73.1 & 22.3 & 0 & 3.5 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0.8 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2017 & 83.8 & 4.3 & 0 & 1.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.4 & 10.3 & 100 & \% & 2017 & 63.6 & 21.6 & 0 & 11.4 & 0 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 0 & 2.1 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2018 & 94.6 & 4.4 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 100 & \% & 2018 & 86.9 & 7.8 & 0.1 & 4.5 & 0 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2019 & 96.5 & 2.2 & 0 & 0.7 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0.4 & 100 & \% & 2019 & 89.1 & 9.1 & 0 & 1.5 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2020 & 93.9 & 4.1 & 0 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 100 & \% & 2020 & 91.5 & 5.3 & 0 & 2.4 & 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0.3 & 100 \\
\hline \% & 2021 & 89.2 & 7.2 & 0.1 & 1.4 & 0.4 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.8 & 100.0 & \% & 2021 & 83.1 & 10.7 & 0.0 & 1.6 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 4.2 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.2.2. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Catch in numbers by age (1000's) by season and year. (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022)

Catch-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that all catches in S4 have been moved to S1 in the following year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1974 & 1 & 0 & 16101061 & 2155723 & 475613 \\
\hline 1974 & 2 & 1884146 & 11544114 & 866399 & 48228 \\
\hline 1974 & 3 & 2842702 & 11091303 & 1336036 & 34534 \\
\hline 1974 & 4 & 1302331 & 2511315 & 359117 & 14822 \\
\hline 1975 & 1 & 250931 & 27723510 & 10052550 & 260182 \\
\hline 1975 & 2 & 1179567 & 14541887 & 4378415 & 166807 \\
\hline 1975 & 3 & 5240024 & 4755878 & 2206781 & 66186 \\
\hline 1975 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1976 & 1 & 2143211 & 42209830 & 2888653 & 180913 \\
\hline 1976 & 2 & 7439656 & 18762732 & 1613139 & 88604 \\
\hline 1976 & 3 & 7703416 & 6925346 & 267638 & 8289 \\
\hline 1976 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1977 & 1 & 2690194 & 12786056 & 5181867 & 109712 \\
\hline 1977 & 2 & 2520082 & 4904593 & 3679153 & 67688 \\
\hline 1977 & 3 & 15857197 & 1843468 & 2200876 & 37836 \\
\hline 1977 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1978 & 1 & 454090 & 32184524 & 427473 & 96435 \\
\hline 1978 & 2 & 5517665 & 10344970 & 1209584 & 116695 \\
\hline 1978 & 3 & 6154606 & 4973568 & 1119045 & 29941 \\
\hline 1978 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1979 & 1 & 3579389 & 36866800 & 644042 & 117139 \\
\hline 1979 & 2 & 1052920 & 11355949 & 2152261 & 63386 \\
\hline 1979 & 3 & 3882781 & 6399259 & 332781 & 25964 \\
\hline 1979 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1980 & 1 & 0 & 14237558 & 17421360 & 1481066 \\
\hline 1980 & 2 & 0 & 9415158 & 11520576 & 979415 \\
\hline 1980 & 3 & 2536060 & 3866612 & 389674 & 8724 \\
\hline 1980 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1981 & 1 & 428776 & 12322431 & 1483241 & 130805 \\
\hline 1981 & 2 & 40632 & 3540737 & 3025289 & 202048 \\
\hline 1981 & 3 & 374254 & 3854059 & 319763 & 9835 \\
\hline 1981 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1982 & 1 & 545769 & 6350511 & 601581 & 64879 \\
\hline 1982 & 2 & 818525 & 5021082 & 1070960 & 55333 \\
\hline 1982 & 3 & 2530673 & 401839 & 46913 & 3525 \\
\hline 1982 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Catch-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that all catches in S4 have been moved to S1 in the following year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1983 & 1 & 5613728 & 2819244 & 969599 & 155653 \\
\hline 1983 & 2 & 2375763 & 1334333 & 588678 & 91112 \\
\hline 1983 & 3 & 1697718 & 596857 & 7271 & 0 \\
\hline 1983 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1984 & 1 & 954757 & 6475021 & 417235 & 2532 \\
\hline 1984 & 2 & 521866 & 2535354 & 247654 & 4803 \\
\hline 1984 & 3 & 405095 & 612407 & 10648 & 1053 \\
\hline 1984 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1985 & 1 & 0 & 1304457 & 1972027 & 37680 \\
\hline 1985 & 2 & 0 & 576004 & 870780 & 16638 \\
\hline 1985 & 3 & 84760 & 215856 & 150819 & 14916 \\
\hline 1985 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1986 & 1 & 0 & 177780 & 452745 & 347620 \\
\hline 1986 & 2 & 0 & 156913 & 399604 & 306818 \\
\hline 1986 & 3 & 580936 & 58710 & 740 & 0 \\
\hline 1986 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1987 & 1 & 2236 & 2250587 & 128512 & 2525 \\
\hline 1987 & 2 & 49451 & 1790264 & 267597 & 978 \\
\hline 1987 & 3 & 209788 & 826994 & 34626 & 32980 \\
\hline 1987 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1988 & 1 & 4082942 & 2096911 & 2830054 & 42364 \\
\hline 1988 & 2 & 1163964 & 314106 & 527986 & 11526 \\
\hline 1988 & 3 & 1817700 & 637489 & 129384 & 5491 \\
\hline 1988 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1989 & 1 & 12451 & 1706824 & 3613841 & 5716 \\
\hline 1989 & 2 & 783 & 76415 & 88925 & 342 \\
\hline 1989 & 3 & 469458 & 416920 & 34789 & 12751 \\
\hline 1989 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1990 & 1 & 1568 & 2633068 & 2234213 & 342514 \\
\hline 1990 & 2 & 1225 & 2058041 & 1746290 & 267714 \\
\hline 1990 & 3 & 291837 & 62050 & 1941 & 429 \\
\hline 1990 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1991 & 1 & 40504 & 1684266 & 2416750 & 8159 \\
\hline 1991 & 2 & 1552315 & 2936717 & 614233 & 9587 \\
\hline 1991 & 3 & 208352 & 64565 & 1036 & 99 \\
\hline 1991 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1992 & 1 & 18948 & 9695465 & 1315325 & 177584 \\
\hline 1992 & 2 & 222991 & 1185132 & 132166 & 16491 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Catch-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that all catches in S4 have been moved to S1 in the following year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1992 & 3 & 1279875 & 1583952 & 259251 & 5821 \\
\hline 1992 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1993 & 1 & 264173 & 3026867 & 5339043 & 247839 \\
\hline 1993 & 2 & 1441317 & 4911453 & 1324444 & 31435 \\
\hline 1993 & 3 & 1867838 & 1819506 & 338969 & 43965 \\
\hline 1993 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1994 & 1 & 445326 & 40720484 & 516854 & 100737 \\
\hline 1994 & 2 & 1856101 & 7146622 & 1455656 & 142774 \\
\hline 1994 & 3 & 818875 & 2936362 & 559871 & 22813 \\
\hline 1994 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1995 & 1 & 170693 & 24466578 & 3192395 & 371759 \\
\hline 1995 & 2 & 612010 & 8620522 & 2863267 & 505875 \\
\hline 1995 & 3 & 1797666 & 4488224 & 533786 & 128194 \\
\hline 1995 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1996 & 1 & 299367 & 233497 & 816511 & 286503 \\
\hline 1996 & 2 & 1083655 & 776795 & 2208631 & 911256 \\
\hline 1996 & 3 & 1670742 & 289815 & 113580 & 49534 \\
\hline 1996 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1997 & 1 & 6447 & 2286585 & 130593 & 202822 \\
\hline 1997 & 2 & 148657 & 4395265 & 1078225 & 277615 \\
\hline 1997 & 3 & 596223 & 728240 & 181187 & 46667 \\
\hline 1997 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1998 & 1 & 86124 & 3567341 & 1498339 & 258993 \\
\hline 1998 & 2 & 5465889 & 2665032 & 1451844 & 326463 \\
\hline 1998 & 3 & 1615982 & 1096547 & 489541 & 241493 \\
\hline 1998 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1999 & 1 & 830 & 15939248 & 477815 & 69219 \\
\hline 1999 & 2 & 90557 & 2456063 & 254931 & 44836 \\
\hline 1999 & 3 & 1967130 & 3351942 & 641059 & 183015 \\
\hline 1999 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2000 & 1 & 6101 & 9822669 & 1767256 & 70160 \\
\hline 2000 & 2 & 81906 & 801375 & 384854 & 49827 \\
\hline 2000 & 3 & 1093613 & 2807143 & 1310052 & 176418 \\
\hline 2000 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2001 & 1 & 13056 & 5767627 & 315550 & 7694 \\
\hline 2001 & 2 & 550512 & 3967343 & 1528712 & 498496 \\
\hline 2001 & 3 & 143017 & 531588 & 59709 & 13418 \\
\hline 2001 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Catch-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that all catches in S4 have been moved to S1 in the following year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2002 & 1 & 63416 & 6586442 & 594557 & 108679 \\
\hline 2002 & 2 & 927294 & 4326530 & 661656 & 59022 \\
\hline 2002 & 3 & 1182692 & 1199165 & 296900 & 65718 \\
\hline 2002 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2003 & 1 & 197639 & 4003316 & 594498 & 68144 \\
\hline 2003 & 2 & 2785630 & 6826281 & 1115905 & 218400 \\
\hline 2003 & 3 & 713229 & 39824 & 29774 & 26427 \\
\hline 2003 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2004 & 1 & 229309 & 4217281 & 731500 & 78913 \\
\hline 2004 & 2 & 24806798 & 4735686 & 264373 & 53425 \\
\hline 2004 & 3 & 5233945 & 309955 & 44145 & 15707 \\
\hline 2004 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2005 & 1 & 97602 & 13409729 & 479222 & 88858 \\
\hline 2005 & 2 & 839944 & 7903545 & 228337 & 22051 \\
\hline 2005 & 3 & 1089274 & 5408581 & 230703 & 38557 \\
\hline 2005 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2006 & 1 & 0 & 1987696 & 1401797 & 295158 \\
\hline 2006 & 2 & 319709 & 493221 & 1003837 & 235542 \\
\hline 2006 & 3 & 176742 & 129541 & 176585 & 10933 \\
\hline 2006 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2007 & 1 & 0 & 1693273 & 189551 & 67672 \\
\hline 2007 & 2 & 609939 & 4186796 & 1681648 & 254768 \\
\hline 2007 & 3 & 404452 & 329724 & 19675 & 20964 \\
\hline 2007 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2008 & 1 & 11590 & 422430 & 1447939 & 329770 \\
\hline 2008 & 2 & 2087187 & 1901763 & 1006626 & 260966 \\
\hline 2008 & 3 & 893785 & 131774 & 41692 & 21858 \\
\hline 2008 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2009 & 1 & 0 & 4776947 & 219922 & 39037 \\
\hline 2009 & 2 & 231412 & 8163927 & 554425 & 137328 \\
\hline 2009 & 3 & 168362 & 3385107 & 519516 & 88967 \\
\hline 2009 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2010 & 1 & 12414 & 1732171 & 689166 & 90040 \\
\hline 2010 & 2 & 349703 & 3105417 & 3011291 & 2157387 \\
\hline 2010 & 3 & 298472 & 2412405 & 683264 & 90603 \\
\hline 2010 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2011 & 1 & 2469 & 1847215 & 1105017 & 281708 \\
\hline 2011 & 2 & 420004 & 4234059 & 2917969 & 999295 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Catch-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that all catches in S4 have been moved to S1 in the following year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2011 & 3 & 57320 & 250247 & 95834 & 42266 \\
\hline 2011 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2012 & 1 & 147896 & 2527701 & 729427 & 121665 \\
\hline 2012 & 2 & 187098 & 3756225 & 1690250 & 281071 \\
\hline 2012 & 3 & 78240 & 463743 & 86910 & 30157 \\
\hline 2012 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2013 & 1 & 10002 & 1973364 & 411558 & 72705 \\
\hline 2013 & 2 & 462029 & 2176971 & 745578 & 144434 \\
\hline 2013 & 3 & 193678 & 1554 & 2447 & 4794 \\
\hline 2013 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2014 & 1 & 2640874 & 9499013 & 627237 & 105519 \\
\hline 2014 & 2 & 1215080 & 4046244 & 323320 & 92685 \\
\hline 2014 & 3 & 1755944 & 2496884 & 177328 & 21685 \\
\hline 2014 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2015 & 1 & 1682642 & 12947813 & 2926867 & 161595 \\
\hline 2015 & 2 & 615375 & 10862082 & 1632428 & 226924 \\
\hline 2015 & 3 & 374504 & 1926029 & 733105 & 90223 \\
\hline 2015 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2016 & 1 & 4450616 & 12775033 & 4537366 & 439570 \\
\hline 2016 & 2 & 3593237 & 1451842 & 1251213 & 301252 \\
\hline 2016 & 3 & 533954 & 47715 & 7358 & 2718 \\
\hline 2016 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2017 & 1 & 1767809 & 9076648 & 738627 & 88295 \\
\hline 2017 & 2 & 1302514 & 2796713 & 182538 & 82806 \\
\hline 2017 & 3 & 658881 & 807010 & 184005 & 68052 \\
\hline 2017 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2018 & 1 & 4548741 & 11562002 & 2878462 & 310552 \\
\hline 2018 & 2 & 2090509 & 2888456 & 1516387 & 534059 \\
\hline 2018 & 3 & 157673 & 1090798 & 254223 & 15776 \\
\hline 2018 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2019 & 1 & 2420231 & 9775216 & 3342785 & 163696 \\
\hline 2019 & 2 & 799272 & 2399200 & 1041391 & 139590 \\
\hline 2019 & 3 & 211007 & 34475 & 3918 & 413 \\
\hline 2019 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2020 & 1 & 207574 & 10153348 & 3429492 & 429318 \\
\hline 2020 & 2 & 69142 & 2695178 & 385767 & 137741 \\
\hline 2020 & 3 & 28346 & 78759 & 8459 & 1779 \\
\hline 2020 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Catch-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that all catches in S4 have been moved to S1 in the following year
\begin{tabular}{ccrrrr}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2021 & 1 & 539434 & 5840604 & 1505982 & 255540 \\
\hline 2021 & 2 & 254055 & 814057 & 395606 & 139605 \\
\hline 2021 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2021 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.2.3. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Mean weight at age (kg) in catches by season and year. (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022)

Weight-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that weights in S4 are not used since there are no catches in S4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1974 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0083 & 0.0135 & 0.0184 \\
\hline 1974 & 2 & 0.0058 & 0.0089 & 0.0150 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 1974 & 3 & 0.0050 & 0.0077 & 0.0150 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 1974 & 4 & 0.0066 & 0.0107 & 0.0183 & 0.0163 \\
\hline 1975 & 1 & 0.0048 & 0.0086 & 0.0129 & 0.0172 \\
\hline 1975 & 2 & 0.0075 & 0.0111 & 0.0168 & 0.0216 \\
\hline 1975 & 3 & 0.0048 & 0.0106 & 0.0154 & 0.0192 \\
\hline 1975 & 4 & 0.0062 & 0.0116 & 0.0170 & 0.0171 \\
\hline 1976 & 1 & 0.0049 & 0.0070 & 0.0113 & 0.0134 \\
\hline 1976 & 2 & 0.0043 & 0.0090 & 0.0153 & 0.0190 \\
\hline 1976 & 3 & 0.0022 & 0.0059 & 0.0104 & 0.0126 \\
\hline 1976 & 4 & 0.0034 & 0.0057 & 0.0085 & 0.0106 \\
\hline 1977 & 1 & 0.0054 & 0.0082 & 0.0126 & 0.0180 \\
\hline 1977 & 2 & 0.0059 & 0.0110 & 0.0146 & 0.0196 \\
\hline 1977 & 3 & 0.0023 & 0.0080 & 0.0106 & 0.0138 \\
\hline 1977 & 4 & 0.0025 & 0.0063 & 0.0083 & 0.0122 \\
\hline 1978 & 1 & 0.0038 & 0.0069 & 0.0122 & 0.0146 \\
\hline 1978 & 2 & 0.0044 & 0.0103 & 0.0155 & 0.0196 \\
\hline 1978 & 3 & 0.0031 & 0.0089 & 0.0123 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 1978 & 4 & 0.0020 & 0.0052 & 0.0087 & 0.0094 \\
\hline 1979 & 1 & 0.0050 & 0.0058 & 0.0087 & 0.0113 \\
\hline 1979 & 2 & 0.0057 & 0.0105 & 0.0150 & 0.0173 \\
\hline 1979 & 3 & 0.0032 & 0.0077 & 0.0129 & 0.0165 \\
\hline 1979 & 4 & 0.0029 & 0.0106 & 0.0121 & 0.0153 \\
\hline 1980 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0052 & 0.0068 & 0.0083 \\
\hline 1980 & 2 & 0.0051 & 0.0052 & 0.0069 & 0.0083 \\
\hline 1980 & 3 & 0.0032 & 0.0086 & 0.0131 & 0.0168 \\
\hline 1980 & 4 & 0.0046 & 0.0073 & 0.0105 & 0.0101 \\
\hline 1981 & 1 & 0.0038 & 0.0099 & 0.0129 & 0.0156 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weight-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that weights in S4 are not used since there are no catches in S4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1981 & 2 & 0.0082 & 0.0126 & 0.0153 & 0.0194 \\
\hline 1981 & 3 & 0.0049 & 0.0089 & 0.0157 & 0.0194 \\
\hline 1981 & 4 & 0.0060 & 0.0139 & 0.0191 & 0.0192 \\
\hline 1982 & 1 & 0.0085 & 0.0089 & 0.0171 & 0.0155 \\
\hline 1982 & 2 & 0.0071 & 0.0110 & 0.0160 & 0.0219 \\
\hline 1982 & 3 & 0.0029 & 0.0075 & 0.0115 & 0.0174 \\
\hline 1982 & 4 & 0.0044 & 0.0078 & 0.0114 & 0.0160 \\
\hline 1983 & 1 & 0.0044 & 0.0092 & 0.0128 & 0.0152 \\
\hline 1983 & 2 & 0.0042 & 0.0124 & 0.0169 & 0.0211 \\
\hline 1983 & 3 & 0.0034 & 0.0094 & 0.0174 & 0.0163 \\
\hline 1983 & 4 & 0.0038 & 0.0093 & 0.0127 & 0.0156 \\
\hline 1984 & 1 & 0.0060 & 0.0081 & 0.0121 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 1984 & 2 & 0.0053 & 0.0122 & 0.0168 & 0.0164 \\
\hline 1984 & 3 & 0.0093 & 0.0135 & 0.0197 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 1984 & 4 & 0.0093 & 0.0135 & 0.0197 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 1985 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0093 & 0.0135 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 1985 & 2 & 0.0051 & 0.0093 & 0.0135 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 1985 & 3 & 0.0073 & 0.0099 & 0.0166 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 1985 & 4 & 0.0073 & 0.0099 & 0.0166 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 1986 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0073 & 0.0099 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 1986 & 2 & 0.0051 & 0.0073 & 0.0099 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 1986 & 3 & 0.0083 & 0.0164 & 0.0228 & 0.0163 \\
\hline 1986 & 4 & 0.0084 & 0.0156 & 0.0208 & 0.0156 \\
\hline 1987 & 1 & 0.0066 & 0.0086 & 0.0117 & 0.0153 \\
\hline 1987 & 2 & 0.0060 & 0.0093 & 0.0112 & 0.0165 \\
\hline 1987 & 3 & 0.0064 & 0.0125 & 0.0175 & 0.0206 \\
\hline 1987 & 4 & 0.0068 & 0.0125 & 0.0167 & 0.0189 \\
\hline 1988 & 1 & 0.0042 & 0.0088 & 0.0115 & 0.0138 \\
\hline 1988 & 2 & 0.0046 & 0.0085 & 0.0113 & 0.0137 \\
\hline 1988 & 3 & 0.0052 & 0.0132 & 0.0208 & 0.0158 \\
\hline 1988 & 4 & 0.0063 & 0.0117 & 0.0155 & 0.0175 \\
\hline 1989 & 1 & 0.0054 & 0.0086 & 0.0099 & 0.0170 \\
\hline 1989 & 2 & 0.0044 & 0.0082 & 0.0109 & 0.0130 \\
\hline 1989 & 3 & 0.0048 & 0.0077 & 0.0125 & 0.0155 \\
\hline 1989 & 4 & 0.0046 & 0.0086 & 0.0115 & 0.0129 \\
\hline 1990 & 1 & 0.0046 & 0.0070 & 0.0092 & 0.0115 \\
\hline 1990 & 2 & 0.0038 & 0.0069 & 0.0092 & 0.0113 \\
\hline 1990 & 3 & 0.0044 & 0.0099 & 0.0133 & 0.0156 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weight-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that weights in S4 are not used since there are no catches in S4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1990 & 4 & 0.0048 & 0.0089 & 0.0119 & 0.0135 \\
\hline 1991 & 1 & 0.0128 & 0.0143 & 0.0154 & 0.0168 \\
\hline 1991 & 2 & 0.0048 & 0.0146 & 0.0189 & 0.0168 \\
\hline 1991 & 3 & 0.0052 & 0.0101 & 0.0147 & 0.0172 \\
\hline 1991 & 4 & 0.0062 & 0.0118 & 0.0152 & 0.0186 \\
\hline 1992 & 1 & 0.0081 & 0.0099 & 0.0124 & 0.0148 \\
\hline 1992 & 2 & 0.0058 & 0.0121 & 0.0153 & 0.0178 \\
\hline 1992 & 3 & 0.0035 & 0.0096 & 0.0141 & 0.0179 \\
\hline 1992 & 4 & 0.0042 & 0.0078 & 0.0104 & 0.0118 \\
\hline 1993 & 1 & 0.0065 & 0.0109 & 0.0123 & 0.0138 \\
\hline 1993 & 2 & 0.0075 & 0.0107 & 0.0135 & 0.0164 \\
\hline 1993 & 3 & 0.0022 & 0.0080 & 0.0116 & 0.0152 \\
\hline 1993 & 4 & 0.0023 & 0.0128 & 0.0154 & 0.0134 \\
\hline 1994 & 1 & 0.0068 & 0.0067 & 0.0095 & 0.0129 \\
\hline 1994 & 2 & 0.0087 & 0.0104 & 0.0125 & 0.0151 \\
\hline 1994 & 3 & 0.0030 & 0.0082 & 0.0097 & 0.0140 \\
\hline 1994 & 4 & 0.0038 & 0.0068 & 0.0090 & 0.0131 \\
\hline 1995 & 1 & 0.0032 & 0.0082 & 0.0117 & 0.0121 \\
\hline 1995 & 2 & 0.0051 & 0.0101 & 0.0133 & 0.0155 \\
\hline 1995 & 3 & 0.0084 & 0.0096 & 0.0129 & 0.0158 \\
\hline 1995 & 4 & 0.0058 & 0.0107 & 0.0142 & 0.0161 \\
\hline 1996 & 1 & 0.0071 & 0.0108 & 0.0142 & 0.0175 \\
\hline 1996 & 2 & 0.0079 & 0.0115 & 0.0150 & 0.0169 \\
\hline 1996 & 3 & 0.0029 & 0.0062 & 0.0087 & 0.0103 \\
\hline 1996 & 4 & 0.0031 & 0.0057 & 0.0077 & 0.0086 \\
\hline 1997 & 1 & 0.0071 & 0.0128 & 0.0148 & 0.0163 \\
\hline 1997 & 2 & 0.0058 & 0.0120 & 0.0161 & 0.0199 \\
\hline 1997 & 3 & 0.0071 & 0.0097 & 0.0122 & 0.0147 \\
\hline 1997 & 4 & 0.0052 & 0.0095 & 0.0127 & 0.0144 \\
\hline 1998 & 1 & 0.0056 & 0.0139 & 0.0166 & 0.0186 \\
\hline 1998 & 2 & 0.0050 & 0.0124 & 0.0153 & 0.0177 \\
\hline 1998 & 3 & 0.0043 & 0.0061 & 0.0095 & 0.0094 \\
\hline 1998 & 4 & 0.0039 & 0.0073 & 0.0097 & 0.0110 \\
\hline 1999 & 1 & 0.0053 & 0.0097 & 0.0115 & 0.0121 \\
\hline 1999 & 2 & 0.0046 & 0.0116 & 0.0135 & 0.0164 \\
\hline 1999 & 3 & 0.0036 & 0.0094 & 0.0118 & 0.0138 \\
\hline 1999 & 4 & 0.0052 & 0.0097 & 0.0129 & 0.0146 \\
\hline 2000 & 1 & 0.0067 & 0.0122 & 0.0148 & 0.0185 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weight-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that weights in S4 are not used since there are no catches in S4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2000 & 2 & 0.0062 & 0.0149 & 0.0174 & 0.0183 \\
\hline 2000 & 3 & 0.0051 & 0.0105 & 0.0131 & 0.0150 \\
\hline 2000 & 4 & 0.0036 & 0.0046 & 0.0080 & 0.0135 \\
\hline 2001 & 1 & 0.0078 & 0.0109 & 0.0118 & 0.0159 \\
\hline 2001 & 2 & 0.0048 & 0.0116 & 0.0136 & 0.0166 \\
\hline 2001 & 3 & 0.0062 & 0.0127 & 0.0150 & 0.0162 \\
\hline 2001 & 4 & 0.0065 & 0.0120 & 0.0161 & 0.0181 \\
\hline 2002 & 1 & 0.0073 & 0.0109 & 0.0141 & 0.0154 \\
\hline 2002 & 2 & 0.0077 & 0.0122 & 0.0142 & 0.0158 \\
\hline 2002 & 3 & 0.0047 & 0.0101 & 0.0133 & 0.0145 \\
\hline 2002 & 4 & 0.0060 & 0.0116 & 0.0129 & 0.0155 \\
\hline 2003 & 1 & 0.0042 & 0.0125 & 0.0146 & 0.0228 \\
\hline 2003 & 2 & 0.0058 & 0.0108 & 0.0145 & 0.0167 \\
\hline 2003 & 3 & 0.0049 & 0.0115 & 0.0135 & 0.0141 \\
\hline 2003 & 4 & 0.0050 & 0.0092 & 0.0123 & 0.0139 \\
\hline 2004 & 1 & 0.0088 & 0.0116 & 0.0139 & 0.0154 \\
\hline 2004 & 2 & 0.0041 & 0.0094 & 0.0126 & 0.0153 \\
\hline 2004 & 3 & 0.0030 & 0.0097 & 0.0112 & 0.0130 \\
\hline 2004 & 4 & 0.0044 & 0.0093 & 0.0115 & 0.0129 \\
\hline 2005 & 1 & 0.0076 & 0.0097 & 0.0130 & 0.0154 \\
\hline 2005 & 2 & 0.0066 & 0.0103 & 0.0115 & 0.0141 \\
\hline 2005 & 3 & 0.0055 & 0.0080 & 0.0114 & 0.0138 \\
\hline 2005 & 4 & 0.0047 & 0.0087 & 0.0115 & 0.0130 \\
\hline 2006 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0108 & 0.0133 & 0.0152 \\
\hline 2006 & 2 & 0.0055 & 0.0143 & 0.0158 & 0.0180 \\
\hline 2006 & 3 & 0.0041 & 0.0095 & 0.0129 & 0.0134 \\
\hline 2006 & 4 & 0.0050 & 0.0093 & 0.0124 & 0.0139 \\
\hline 2007 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0119 & 0.0131 & 0.0149 \\
\hline 2007 & 2 & 0.0065 & 0.0101 & 0.0127 & 0.0151 \\
\hline 2007 & 3 & 0.0045 & 0.0075 & 0.0106 & 0.0126 \\
\hline 2007 & 4 & 0.0048 & 0.0089 & 0.0118 & 0.0133 \\
\hline 2008 & 1 & 0.0088 & 0.0103 & 0.0114 & 0.0131 \\
\hline 2008 & 2 & 0.0044 & 0.0076 & 0.0126 & 0.0142 \\
\hline 2008 & 3 & 0.0034 & 0.0076 & 0.0082 & 0.0085 \\
\hline 2008 & 4 & 0.0044 & 0.0068 & 0.0090 & 0.0081 \\
\hline 2009 & 1 & 0.0063 & 0.0096 & 0.0123 & 0.0142 \\
\hline 2009 & 2 & 0.0046 & 0.0095 & 0.0130 & 0.0160 \\
\hline 2009 & 3 & 0.0043 & 0.0077 & 0.0103 & 0.0135 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weight-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)
Note that weights in S4 are not used since there are no catches in S4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2009 & 4 & 0.0087 & 0.0096 & 0.0105 & 0.0141 \\
\hline 2010 & 1 & 0.0066 & 0.0080 & 0.0097 & 0.0137 \\
\hline 2010 & 2 & 0.0047 & 0.0094 & 0.0114 & 0.0148 \\
\hline 2010 & 3 & 0.0050 & 0.0072 & 0.0094 & 0.0130 \\
\hline 2010 & 4 & 0.0038 & 0.0071 & 0.0095 & 0.0107 \\
\hline 2011 & 1 & 0.0052 & 0.0085 & 0.0101 & 0.0134 \\
\hline 2011 & 2 & 0.0044 & 0.0089 & 0.0114 & 0.0145 \\
\hline 2011 & 3 & 0.0042 & 0.0102 & 0.0128 & 0.0171 \\
\hline 2011 & 4 & 0.0050 & 0.0092 & 0.0123 & 0.0139 \\
\hline 2012 & 1 & 0.0085 & 0.0087 & 0.0106 & 0.0150 \\
\hline 2012 & 2 & 0.0072 & 0.0087 & 0.0119 & 0.0152 \\
\hline 2012 & 3 & 0.0040 & 0.0069 & 0.0113 & 0.0146 \\
\hline 2012 & 4 & 0.0047 & 0.0087 & 0.0117 & 0.0132 \\
\hline 2013 & 1 & 0.0061 & 0.0096 & 0.0120 & 0.0150 \\
\hline 2013 & 2 & 0.0043 & 0.0097 & 0.0124 & 0.0156 \\
\hline 2013 & 3 & 0.0026 & 0.0051 & 0.0071 & 0.0084 \\
\hline 2013 & 4 & 0.0022 & 0.0094 & 0.0128 & 0.0153 \\
\hline 2014 & 1 & 0.0086 & 0.0086 & 0.0104 & 0.0168 \\
\hline 2014 & 2 & 0.0070 & 0.0079 & 0.0116 & 0.0139 \\
\hline 2014 & 3 & 0.0053 & 0.0083 & 0.0116 & 0.0119 \\
\hline 2014 & 4 & 0.0065 & 0.0099 & 0.0101 & 0.0115 \\
\hline 2015 & 1 & 0.0076 & 0.0082 & 0.0104 & 0.0150 \\
\hline 2015 & 2 & 0.0072 & 0.0088 & 0.0109 & 0.0155 \\
\hline 2015 & 3 & 0.0038 & 0.0078 & 0.0107 & 0.0153 \\
\hline 2015 & 4 & 0.0044 & 0.0082 & 0.0109 & 0.0123 \\
\hline 2016 & 1 & 0.0041 & 0.0077 & 0.0112 & 0.0145 \\
\hline 2016 & 2 & 0.0051 & 0.0074 & 0.0118 & 0.0145 \\
\hline 2016 & 3 & 0.0073 & 0.0143 & 0.0199 & 0.0235 \\
\hline 2016 & 4 & 0.0076 & 0.0141 & 0.0188 & 0.0212 \\
\hline 2017 & 1 & 0.0064 & 0.0083 & 0.0103 & 0.0139 \\
\hline 2017 & 2 & 0.0038 & 0.0078 & 0.0099 & 0.0162 \\
\hline 2017 & 3 & 0.0042 & 0.0064 & 0.0098 & 0.0130 \\
\hline 2017 & 4 & 0.0076 & 0.0141 & 0.0188 & 0.0212 \\
\hline 2018 & 1 & 0.0046 & 0.00664 & 0.0086 & 0.0126 \\
\hline 2018 & 2 & 0.0053 & 0.0074 & 0.0097 & 0.0134 \\
\hline 2018 & 3 & 0.0041 & 0.0067 & 0.0095 & 0.0136 \\
\hline 2018 & 4 & 0.0057 & 0.0065 & 0.00762 & 0.0129 \\
\hline 2019 & 1 & 0.0034 & 0.0063 & 0.0088 & 0.0116 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Weight-at-age used as input for the assessment model (years refer to the model years)}

Note that weights in S4 are not used since there are no catches in S4
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2019 & 2 & 0.0041 & 0.0076 & 0.0098 & 0.0141 \\
\hline 2019 & 3 & 0.0058 & 0.0010 & 0.0130 & 0.0165 \\
\hline 2019 & 4 & 0.0064 & 0.0078 & 0.0105 & 0.0157 \\
\hline 2020 & 1 & 0.0049 & 0.0093 & 0.0122 & 0.0162 \\
\hline 2020 & 2 & 0.0071 & 0.0108 & 0.0144 & 0.0172 \\
\hline 2020 & 4 & 0.0057 & 0.0100 & 0.0143 & 0.0165 \\
\hline 2020 & 2 & 0.0065 & 0.0103 & 0.0134 & 0.0161 \\
\hline 2021 & 3 & 0.0061 & 0.0071 & 0.0110 & 0.0131 \\
\hline 2021 & 4 & 0.0101 & 0.0087 & 0.0117 & 0.0158 \\
\hline 2021 & 0.0064 & 0.0102 & 0.0170 & 0.0197 \\
\hline 2021 & & & 0.0133 & 0.0160 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.2.4. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. Sampling for biological parameters in 2021. This table only shows agelength samples, and therefore the number of samples may differ from Table 10.2.5.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Quarter & Landings ('000 tonnes) & No. samples & No. measured & No. aged \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Denmark} & 1 & 0.4 & 2 & 202 & 99 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3 & 59.1 & 84 & 9086 & 3979 \\
\hline & 4 & 9.6 & 14 & 1350 & 594 \\
\hline & & 69.3 & 100 & 10638 & 4672 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Norway} & 1 & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3 & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 4 & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Sweden} & 1 & 0.4 & 9 & 237 & 236 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3 & 3.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 4 & 3.6 & 8 & 489 & 489 \\
\hline & & 7.6 & 17 & 726 & 725 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{All countries} & 1 & 0.8 & 11 & 439 & 335 \\
\hline & 2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline & 3 & 62.7 & 84 & 9086 & 3979 \\
\hline & 4 & 13.2 & 22 & 1839 & 1083 \\
\hline Total & & 76.9 & 117 & 11364 & 5397 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.2.5. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. Number of biological samples taken from 1974 and onward. The number of samples may differ from Table 10.2.4, since this table shows both length and age-length samples. These are the samples used to generate the catch-at-age matrix for the assessment model (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & S1 & S2 & S3 & S4 \\
\hline 1974 & 15 & 31 & 102 & 25 \\
\hline 1975 & 67 & 46 & 40 & 11 \\
\hline 1976 & 54 & 70 & 53 & 16 \\
\hline 1977 & 37 & 51 & 32 & 18 \\
\hline 1978 & 52 & 78 & 47 & 22 \\
\hline 1979 & 86 & 55 & 90 & 9 \\
\hline 1980 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 28 \\
\hline 1981 & 61 & 32 & 29 & 14 \\
\hline 1982 & 27 & 48 & 13 & 16 \\
\hline 1983 & 11 & 44 & 27 & 8 \\
\hline 1984 & 9 & 23 & 29 & 7 \\
\hline 1985 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
\hline 1986 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1987 & 16 & 15 & 4 & 3 \\
\hline 1988 & 8 & 4 & 9 & 1 \\
\hline 1989 & 13 & 0 & 7 & 2 \\
\hline 1990 & 4 & 0 & 13 & 1 \\
\hline 1991 & 6 & 56 & 15 & 8 \\
\hline 1992 & 42 & 35 & 24 & 4 \\
\hline 1993 & 21 & 30 & 24 & 7 \\
\hline 1994 & 42 & 50 & 32 & 5 \\
\hline 1995 & 40 & 47 & 41 & 4 \\
\hline 1996 & 2 & 12 & 8 & 3 \\
\hline 1997 & 9 & 34 & 12 & 1 \\
\hline 1998 & 25 & 38 & 16 & 3 \\
\hline 1999 & 41 & 25 & 25 & 1 \\
\hline 2000 & 29 & 23 & 22 & 14 \\
\hline 2001 & 23 & 9 & 17 & 4 \\
\hline 2002 & 26 & 37 & 28 & 7 \\
\hline 2003 & 12 & 60 & 17 & 2 \\
\hline 2004 & 26 & 43 & 24 & 15 \\
\hline 2005 & 77 & 56 & 56 & 2 \\
\hline 2006 & 23 & 7 & 13 & 0 \\
\hline 2007 & 34 & 40 & 13 & 4 \\
\hline 2008 & 10 & 9 & 14 & 5 \\
\hline 2009 & 33 & 36 & 18 & 5 \\
\hline 2010 & 35 & 28 & 15 & 3 \\
\hline 2011 & 28 & 57 & 20 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline Year & S1 & S2 & S3 & S4 \\
\hline 2012 & 37 & 88 & 15 & 3 \\
\hline 2013 & 31 & 23 & 2 & 10 \\
\hline 2014 & 116 & 19 & 19 & 13 \\
\hline 2015 & 165 & 47 & 21 & 2 \\
\hline 2016 & 90 & 30 & 21 & 0 \\
\hline 2017 & 69 & 65 & 45 & 2 \\
\hline 2018 & 65 & 30 & 6 & 5 \\
\hline 2020 & 27 & 22 & 0 & 12 \\
\hline 2021 & & & 20 & \(N A\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.3.1. North Sea sprat. Abundance indices by age from IBTS Q1
IBTS Q1 survey index (area 4 and 3a combined; years apply to the calendar year and ages the model year)
Index is calculated using a delta GAM model formulation (see Stock Annex)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 \\
\hline 1983 & 252619 & 551262 & 574173 & 47111 \\
\hline 1984 & 619180 & 553686 & 100186 & 25687 \\
\hline 1985 & 374594 & 292408 & 75083 & 19254 \\
\hline 1986 & 116338 & 137304 & 39250 & 9993 \\
\hline 1987 & 503284 & 86061 & 25143 & 9769 \\
\hline 1988 & 248663 & 789924 & 77117 & 15148 \\
\hline 1989 & 744970 & 154929 & 114877 & 11326 \\
\hline 1990 & 360108 & 185946 & 47580 & 21180 \\
\hline 1991 & 1412224 & 176334 & 33438 & 7582 \\
\hline 1992 & 1882139 & 281520 & 36961 & 9645 \\
\hline 1993 & 1863182 & 1224852 & 103248 & 10709 \\
\hline 1994 & 1195289 & 887347 & 132008 & 8288 \\
\hline 1995 & 2258852 & 2257140 & 263386 & 10391 \\
\hline 1996 & 604673 & 967027 & 199658 & 28253 \\
\hline 1997 & 599335 & 270098 & 168138 & 27513 \\
\hline 1998 & 1072937 & 1104108 & 180777 & 16056 \\
\hline 1999 & 5183400 & 583736 & 73757 & 5308 \\
\hline 2000 & 2017439 & 1164352 & 150449 & 25036 \\
\hline 2001 & 1997862 & 1309083 & 239142 & 13995 \\
\hline 2002 & 1191954 & 968965 & 87712 & 10393 \\
\hline 2003 & 2493114 & 589410 & 66441 & 5540 \\
\hline 2004 & 4084377 & 685280 & 106637 & 9076 \\
\hline 2005 & 8918279 & 675529 & 29062 & 2718 \\
\hline 2006 & 1230441 & 1416990 & 58676 & 7654 \\
\hline 2007 & 1917763 & 1035569 & 162880 & 12506 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{IBTS Q1 survey index (area 4 and 3a combined; years apply to the calendar year and ages the model year)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Index is calculated using a delta GAM model formulation (see Stock Annex)} \\
\hline Year & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 \\
\hline 2008 & 1526985 & 803061 & 47400 & 8526 \\
\hline 2009 & 4133598 & 312030 & 34043 & 3833 \\
\hline 2010 & 3288300 & 2489705 & 118665 & 17586 \\
\hline 2011 & 1078333 & 926246 & 206207 & 47562 \\
\hline 2012 & 3356603 & 3143308 & 245116 & 36666 \\
\hline 2013 & 1137772 & 1116849 & 203191 & 29306 \\
\hline 2014 & 3886605 & 443621 & 50655 & 9871 \\
\hline 2015 & 7727188 & 3460669 & 317090 & 26651 \\
\hline 2016 & 2112309 & 3409890 & 675849 & 37763 \\
\hline 2017 & 10317128 & 1707447 & 128002 & 15146 \\
\hline 2018 & 10440866 & 1547476 & 94598 & 11384 \\
\hline 2019 & 6097175 & 2511994 & 226057 & 9585 \\
\hline 2020 & 7316245 & 2219294 & 421523 & 40023 \\
\hline 2021 & 3308192 & 1977916 & 196830 & 16693 \\
\hline 2022 & 1810546 & 769303 & 57700 & 6537 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.3.1. North Sea sprat. Abundance indices by age from IBTS Q3
IBTS Q3 survey index (area 4 and 3a combined; years and ages apply to both the model year and calendar year)
Index is calculated using a delta GAM model formulation (see Stock Annex)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 \\
\hline 1992 & 14555861 & 2633020 & 104865 \\
\hline 1993 & 5767651 & 3015219 & 217792 \\
\hline 1994 & 16468664 & 1326478 & 95089 \\
\hline 1995 & 30622687 & 7433288 & 454582 \\
\hline 1996 & 2317117 & 2219591 & 215543 \\
\hline 1997 & 13080865 & 1171944 & 200385 \\
\hline 1998 & 2676263 & 1107920 & 117795 \\
\hline 1999 & 13792780 & 1719505 & 82599 \\
\hline 2000 & 8212868 & 3228536 & 133847 \\
\hline 2001 & 8998081 & 2277278 & 187452 \\
\hline 2002 & 10011480 & 1319291 & 102476 \\
\hline 2003 & 11610320 & 1272970 & 66231 \\
\hline 2004 & 14371331 & 1945227 & 122791 \\
\hline 2005 & 52835449 & 2266372 & 102272 \\
\hline 2006 & 9340785 & 5459057 & 155440 \\
\hline 2007 & 10549586 & 1552282 & 184767 \\
\hline 2008 & 7894186 & 2085499 & 130785 \\
\hline 2009 & 35252950 & 3032568 & 337850 \\
\hline 2010 & 35355908 & 9422666 & 428224 \\
\hline 2011 & 16742275 & 8341042 & 1191533 \\
\hline 2012 & 11469646 & 5231406 & 575643 \\
\hline 2013 & 9052264 & 3060010 & 414534 \\
\hline 2014 & 63182232 & 3573736 & 215965 \\
\hline 2015 & 59775893 & 18619852 & 653613 \\
\hline 2016 & 27891385 & 4266699 & 482295 \\
\hline 2017 & 27754797 & 2886164 & 173266 \\
\hline 2018 & 18709889 & 3123833 & 200733 \\
\hline 2019 & 40210818 & 8468920 & 521293 \\
\hline 2020 & 53930015 & 16906066 & 1479519 \\
\hline 2021 & 21858420 & 5602150 & 519985 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.3.2. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. HERAS survey index.
HERAS abundance index (area 4 and 3.a summed), data are from WGIPS (2019)
Years and ages apply to both the model year and calendar year
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 \\
\hline 2006 & 21923 & 21368 & 1413 \\
\hline 2007 & 42862 & 5837 & 2252 \\
\hline 2008 & 17188 & 7868 & 840 \\
\hline 2009 & 47690 & 16920 & 2815 \\
\hline 2010 & 20328 & 14087 & 1174 \\
\hline 2011 & 26581 & 14207 & 3412 \\
\hline 2012 & 22036 & 12831 & 4693 \\
\hline 2013 & 9347 & 6342 & 2049 \\
\hline 2014 & 59020 & 20274 & 3982 \\
\hline 2015 & 27082 & 22676 & 10142 \\
\hline 2016 & 58604 & 33989 & 8160 \\
\hline 2017 & 38135 & 3664 & 1465 \\
\hline 2018 & 109180 & 10113 & 779 \\
\hline 2019 & 93775 & 28020 & 5275 \\
\hline 2020 & 38415 & 17993 & 2055 \\
\hline 2021 & 46918 & 7051 & 1509 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.6.1. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. Natural mortality input (Model year, e.g. 2021 = July 2021-June 2022). From multispecies SMS (WKSAM: ICES, 2017) 2017 key run.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1974 & 1 & 0.483 & 0.456 & 0.402 & 0.280 \\
\hline 1974 & 2 & 0.327 & 0.235 & 0.217 & 0.188 \\
\hline 1974 & 3 & 0.297 & 0.275 & 0.175 & 0.175 \\
\hline 1974 & 4 & 0.445 & 0.409 & 0.318 & 0.318 \\
\hline 1975 & 1 & 0.518 & 0.492 & 0.422 & 0.237 \\
\hline 1975 & 2 & 0.289 & 0.220 & 0.200 & 0.169 \\
\hline 1975 & 3 & 0.329 & 0.299 & 0.218 & 0.218 \\
\hline 1975 & 4 & 0.474 & 0.442 & 0.423 & 0.423 \\
\hline 1976 & 1 & 0.490 & 0.466 & 0.415 & 0.290 \\
\hline 1976 & 2 & 0.318 & 0.242 & 0.225 & 0.195 \\
\hline 1976 & 3 & 0.364 & 0.332 & 0.240 & 0.240 \\
\hline 1976 & 4 & 0.485 & 0.443 & 0.421 & 0.421 \\
\hline 1977 & 1 & 0.441 & 0.411 & 0.368 & 0.312 \\
\hline 1977 & 2 & 0.373 & 0.245 & 0.227 & 0.199 \\
\hline 1977 & 3 & 0.380 & 0.351 & 0.248 & 0.248 \\
\hline 1977 & 4 & 0.490 & 0.440 & 0.432 & 0.432 \\
\hline 1978 & 1 & 0.411 & 0.398 & 0.385 & 0.330 \\
\hline 1978 & 2 & 0.347 & 0.230 & 0.218 & 0.192 \\
\hline 1978 & 3 & 0.382 & 0.356 & 0.208 & 0.208 \\
\hline 1978 & 4 & 0.445 & 0.396 & 0.374 & 0.374 \\
\hline 1979 & 1 & 0.436 & 0.424 & 0.419 & 0.405 \\
\hline 1979 & 2 & 0.416 & 0.252 & 0.245 & 0.227 \\
\hline 1979 & 3 & 0.393 & 0.366 & 0.232 & 0.232 \\
\hline 1979 & 4 & 0.444 & 0.389 & 0.377 & 0.377 \\
\hline 1980 & 1 & 0.470 & 0.464 & 0.444 & 0.415 \\
\hline 1980 & 2 & 0.447 & 0.261 & 0.257 & 0.230 \\
\hline 1980 & 3 & 0.388 & 0.355 & 0.232 & 0.232 \\
\hline 1980 & 4 & 0.419 & 0.372 & 0.336 & 0.336 \\
\hline 1981 & 1 & 0.501 & 0.486 & 0.448 & 0.360 \\
\hline 1981 & 2 & 0.409 & 0.271 & 0.267 & 0.232 \\
\hline 1981 & 3 & 0.361 & 0.314 & 0.222 & 0.222 \\
\hline 1981 & 4 & 0.376 & 0.330 & 0.267 & 0.267 \\
\hline 1982 & 1 & 0.511 & 0.431 & 0.377 & 0.245 \\
\hline 1982 & 2 & 0.331 & 0.231 & 0.217 & 0.177 \\
\hline 1982 & 3 & 0.305 & 0.231 & 0.182 & 0.182 \\
\hline 1982 & 4 & 0.318 & 0.277 & 0.205 & 0.205 \\
\hline 1983 & 1 & 0.532 & 0.429 & 0.349 & 0.224 \\
\hline 1983 & 2 & 0.336 & 0.235 & 0.217 & 0.194 \\
\hline 1983 & 3 & 0.296 & 0.207 & 0.173 & 0.173 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1983 & 4 & 0.312 & 0.259 & 0.168 & 0.168 \\
\hline 1984 & 1 & 0.539 & 0.425 & 0.287 & 0.182 \\
\hline 1984 & 2 & 0.397 & 0.236 & 0.209 & 0.189 \\
\hline 1984 & 3 & 0.309 & 0.239 & 0.177 & 0.177 \\
\hline 1984 & 4 & 0.321 & 0.274 & 0.197 & 0.197 \\
\hline 1985 & 1 & 0.549 & 0.502 & 0.373 & 0.198 \\
\hline 1985 & 2 & 0.482 & 0.277 & 0.251 & 0.210 \\
\hline 1985 & 3 & 0.323 & 0.249 & 0.178 & 0.178 \\
\hline 1985 & 4 & 0.318 & 0.269 & 0.165 & 0.165 \\
\hline 1986 & 1 & 0.590 & 0.534 & 0.422 & 0.254 \\
\hline 1986 & 2 & 0.452 & 0.313 & 0.288 & 0.227 \\
\hline 1986 & 3 & 0.346 & 0.258 & 0.188 & 0.188 \\
\hline 1986 & 4 & 0.335 & 0.284 & 0.169 & 0.169 \\
\hline 1987 & 1 & 0.596 & 0.484 & 0.443 & 0.256 \\
\hline 1987 & 2 & 0.470 & 0.315 & 0.299 & 0.232 \\
\hline 1987 & 3 & 0.356 & 0.217 & 0.190 & 0.190 \\
\hline 1987 & 4 & 0.338 & 0.281 & 0.185 & 0.185 \\
\hline 1988 & 1 & 0.622 & 0.502 & 0.455 & 0.258 \\
\hline 1988 & 2 & 0.493 & 0.342 & 0.316 & 0.270 \\
\hline 1988 & 3 & 0.371 & 0.238 & 0.220 & 0.220 \\
\hline 1988 & 4 & 0.361 & 0.301 & 0.233 & 0.233 \\
\hline 1989 & 1 & 0.603 & 0.509 & 0.433 & 0.214 \\
\hline 1989 & 2 & 0.525 & 0.332 & 0.294 & 0.261 \\
\hline 1989 & 3 & 0.356 & 0.228 & 0.221 & 0.221 \\
\hline 1989 & 4 & 0.374 & 0.312 & 0.281 & 0.281 \\
\hline 1990 & 1 & 0.518 & 0.489 & 0.402 & 0.244 \\
\hline 1990 & 2 & 0.496 & 0.331 & 0.283 & 0.261 \\
\hline 1990 & 3 & 0.337 & 0.260 & 0.249 & 0.249 \\
\hline 1990 & 4 & 0.387 & 0.319 & 0.287 & 0.287 \\
\hline 1991 & 1 & 0.462 & 0.423 & 0.320 & 0.263 \\
\hline 1991 & 2 & 0.396 & 0.269 & 0.232 & 0.211 \\
\hline 1991 & 3 & 0.310 & 0.264 & 0.223 & 0.223 \\
\hline 1991 & 4 & 0.389 & 0.320 & 0.287 & 0.287 \\
\hline 1992 & 1 & 0.410 & 0.360 & 0.281 & 0.255 \\
\hline 1992 & 2 & 0.312 & 0.227 & 0.204 & 0.180 \\
\hline 1992 & 3 & 0.294 & 0.275 & 0.212 & 0.212 \\
\hline 1992 & 4 & 0.371 & 0.299 & 0.270 & 0.270 \\
\hline 1993 & 1 & 0.456 & 0.414 & 0.340 & 0.303 \\
\hline 1993 & 2 & 0.238 & 0.209 & 0.190 & 0.173 \\
\hline 1993 & 3 & 0.272 & 0.253 & 0.192 & 0.192 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 1993 & 4 & 0.347 & 0.274 & 0.244 & 0.244 \\
\hline 1994 & 1 & 0.502 & 0.446 & 0.348 & 0.337 \\
\hline 1994 & 2 & 0.292 & 0.223 & 0.197 & 0.182 \\
\hline 1994 & 3 & 0.258 & 0.219 & 0.190 & 0.190 \\
\hline 1994 & 4 & 0.318 & 0.248 & 0.223 & 0.223 \\
\hline 1995 & 1 & 0.512 & 0.460 & 0.338 & 0.308 \\
\hline 1995 & 2 & 0.290 & 0.223 & 0.195 & 0.182 \\
\hline 1995 & 3 & 0.222 & 0.191 & 0.178 & 0.178 \\
\hline 1995 & 4 & 0.265 & 0.211 & 0.190 & 0.190 \\
\hline 1996 & 1 & 0.504 & 0.395 & 0.263 & 0.214 \\
\hline 1996 & 2 & 0.363 & 0.227 & 0.202 & 0.177 \\
\hline 1996 & 3 & 0.215 & 0.171 & 0.151 & 0.151 \\
\hline 1996 & 4 & 0.238 & 0.195 & 0.156 & 0.156 \\
\hline 1997 & 1 & 0.451 & 0.293 & 0.210 & 0.155 \\
\hline 1997 & 2 & 0.298 & 0.204 & 0.187 & 0.154 \\
\hline 1997 & 3 & 0.227 & 0.193 & 0.171 & 0.171 \\
\hline 1997 & 4 & 0.269 & 0.214 & 0.171 & 0.171 \\
\hline 1998 & 1 & 0.430 & 0.283 & 0.226 & 0.190 \\
\hline 1998 & 2 & 0.362 & 0.197 & 0.176 & 0.145 \\
\hline 1998 & 3 & 0.252 & 0.209 & 0.173 & 0.173 \\
\hline 1998 & 4 & 0.318 & 0.245 & 0.197 & 0.197 \\
\hline 1999 & 1 & 0.421 & 0.287 & 0.232 & 0.214 \\
\hline 1999 & 2 & 0.291 & 0.191 & 0.169 & 0.152 \\
\hline 1999 & 3 & 0.275 & 0.241 & 0.191 & 0.191 \\
\hline 1999 & 4 & 0.335 & 0.267 & 0.242 & 0.242 \\
\hline 2000 & 1 & 0.406 & 0.342 & 0.253 & 0.219 \\
\hline 2000 & 2 & 0.355 & 0.199 & 0.180 & 0.170 \\
\hline 2000 & 3 & 0.254 & 0.213 & 0.157 & 0.157 \\
\hline 2000 & 4 & 0.279 & 0.236 & 0.192 & 0.192 \\
\hline 2001 & 1 & 0.409 & 0.328 & 0.233 & 0.190 \\
\hline 2001 & 2 & 0.299 & 0.213 & 0.202 & 0.195 \\
\hline 2001 & 3 & 0.266 & 0.225 & 0.191 & 0.191 \\
\hline 2001 & 4 & 0.306 & 0.258 & 0.213 & 0.213 \\
\hline 2002 & 1 & 0.434 & 0.321 & 0.240 & 0.171 \\
\hline 2002 & 2 & 0.315 & 0.223 & 0.214 & 0.206 \\
\hline 2002 & 3 & 0.252 & 0.206 & 0.194 & 0.194 \\
\hline 2002 & 4 & 0.323 & 0.262 & 0.218 & 0.218 \\
\hline 2003 & 1 & 0.419 & 0.269 & 0.215 & 0.168 \\
\hline 2003 & 2 & 0.295 & 0.229 & 0.208 & 0.204 \\
\hline 2003 & 3 & 0.259 & 0.229 & 0.226 & 0.226 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2003 & 4 & 0.383 & 0.308 & 0.286 & 0.286 \\
\hline 2004 & 1 & 0.436 & 0.276 & 0.231 & 0.192 \\
\hline 2004 & 2 & 0.278 & 0.216 & 0.193 & 0.185 \\
\hline 2004 & 3 & 0.231 & 0.212 & 0.208 & 0.208 \\
\hline 2004 & 4 & 0.376 & 0.302 & 0.278 & 0.278 \\
\hline 2005 & 1 & 0.442 & 0.321 & 0.227 & 0.216 \\
\hline 2005 & 2 & 0.309 & 0.219 & 0.181 & 0.174 \\
\hline 2005 & 3 & 0.220 & 0.201 & 0.179 & 0.179 \\
\hline 2005 & 4 & 0.367 & 0.291 & 0.225 & 0.225 \\
\hline 2006 & 1 & 0.504 & 0.315 & 0.226 & 0.215 \\
\hline 2006 & 2 & 0.265 & 0.212 & 0.172 & 0.166 \\
\hline 2006 & 3 & 0.217 & 0.197 & 0.172 & 0.172 \\
\hline 2006 & 4 & 0.364 & 0.277 & 0.202 & 0.202 \\
\hline 2007 & 1 & 0.480 & 0.312 & 0.204 & 0.184 \\
\hline 2007 & 2 & 0.287 & 0.222 & 0.170 & 0.166 \\
\hline 2007 & 3 & 0.210 & 0.175 & 0.152 & 0.152 \\
\hline 2007 & 4 & 0.312 & 0.237 & 0.175 & 0.175 \\
\hline 2008 & 1 & 0.478 & 0.307 & 0.187 & 0.166 \\
\hline 2008 & 2 & 0.269 & 0.203 & 0.157 & 0.151 \\
\hline 2008 & 3 & 0.200 & 0.173 & 0.167 & 0.167 \\
\hline 2008 & 4 & 0.304 & 0.225 & 0.197 & 0.197 \\
\hline 2009 & 1 & 0.444 & 0.362 & 0.233 & 0.162 \\
\hline 2009 & 2 & 0.327 & 0.200 & 0.158 & 0.150 \\
\hline 2009 & 3 & 0.190 & 0.170 & 0.163 & 0.163 \\
\hline 2009 & 4 & 0.293 & 0.215 & 0.190 & 0.190 \\
\hline 2010 & 1 & 0.527 & 0.412 & 0.312 & 0.170 \\
\hline 2010 & 2 & 0.395 & 0.217 & 0.179 & 0.164 \\
\hline 2010 & 3 & 0.207 & 0.182 & 0.159 & 0.159 \\
\hline 2010 & 4 & 0.309 & 0.226 & 0.197 & 0.197 \\
\hline 2011 & 1 & 0.511 & 0.437 & 0.386 & 0.182 \\
\hline 2011 & 2 & 0.381 & 0.239 & 0.193 & 0.179 \\
\hline 2011 & 3 & 0.229 & 0.202 & 0.179 & 0.179 \\
\hline 2011 & 4 & 0.338 & 0.254 & 0.224 & 0.224 \\
\hline 2012 & 1 & 0.509 & 0.432 & 0.344 & 0.176 \\
\hline 2012 & 2 & 0.368 & 0.238 & 0.191 & 0.178 \\
\hline 2012 & 3 & 0.219 & 0.176 & 0.145 & 0.145 \\
\hline 2012 & 4 & 0.292 & 0.225 & 0.180 & 0.180 \\
\hline 2013 & 1 & 0.399 & 0.367 & 0.285 & 0.150 \\
\hline 2013 & 2 & 0.271 & 0.209 & 0.164 & 0.158 \\
\hline 2013 & 3 & 0.206 & 0.175 & 0.148 & 0.148 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Season & age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
\hline 2013 & 4 & 0.270 & 0.221 & 0.178 & 0.178 \\
\hline 2014 & 1 & 0.367 & 0.335 & 0.245 & 0.140 \\
\hline 2014 & 2 & 0.257 & 0.198 & 0.167 & 0.154 \\
\hline 2014 & 3 & 0.211 & 0.181 & 0.153 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2014 & 4 & 0.272 & 0.227 & 0.184 & 0.184 \\
\hline 2015 & 1 & 0.365 & 0.339 & 0.249 & 0.139 \\
\hline 2015 & 2 & 0.237 & 0.194 & 0.164 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2015 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2015 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline 2016 & 1 & 0.377 & 0.347 & 0.260 & 0.143 \\
\hline 2016 & 2 & 0.255 & 0.200 & 0.165 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2016 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2016 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline 2017 & 1 & 0.377 & 0.347 & 0.260 & 0.143 \\
\hline 2017 & 2 & 0.255 & 0.200 & 0.165 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2017 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2017 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline 2018 & 1 & 0.377 & 0.347 & 0.260 & 0.143 \\
\hline 2018 & 2 & 0.255 & 0.200 & 0.165 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2018 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2018 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline 2019 & 1 & 0.377 & 0.347 & 0.260 & 0.143 \\
\hline 2019 & 2 & 0.255 & 0.200 & 0.165 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2019 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2019 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline 2020 & 1 & 0.377 & 0.347 & 0.260 & 0.143 \\
\hline 2020 & 2 & 0.255 & 0.200 & 0.165 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2020 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2020 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline 2021 & 1 & 0.377 & 0.347 & 0.260 & 0.143 \\
\hline 2021 & 2 & 0.255 & 0.200 & 0.165 & 0.153 \\
\hline 2021 & 3 & 0.212 & 0.177 & 0.149 & 0.149 \\
\hline 2021 & 4 & 0.278 & 0.224 & 0.181 & 0.181 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table 10.6.2. North Sea sprat. Assessment diagnostics.}
ate: 03/23/22 Start time:17:06:28 run time:1 seconds
objective function (negative log likelihood): 299.074
Number of parameters: 143
Maximum gradient: 0.239804
Akaike information criterion (AIC): 884.147
Number of observations used in the likelihood:
Catch CPUE S/R Stomach Sum
\(\begin{array}{lllll}768 & 298 & 48 & 0 & 1114\end{array}\)
objective function weight:
Catch CPUE S/R
1.001 .000 .10
unweighted objective function contributions (total):
Catch CPUE S/R Stom. Stom N. Penalty Sum
412.8 -114.9 11.8
unweighted objective function contributions (per observation):
Catch CPUE S/R Stomachs
\(\begin{array}{llll}0.54 & -0.39 & 0.25 & 0.00\end{array}\)
contribution by fleet:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
IBTS Q1 & total: -74.980 mean: & -0.469 \\
IBTS Q3 & total: -31.619 mean: & -0.351 \\
Acoustic & total: & -8.283 mean: \\
-0.173
\end{tabular}

F, Year effect:

1974: 1.000
1975: 1.802
1976: 1.884
1977: 1.624
1978: 1.073
1979: 0.684
1980: 2.495
1981: 1.247
1982: 1.080
1983: 1.772
1984: 1.057
1985: 1.458
1986: 1.248
1987: 0.397
1988: 1.388
1989: 0.448
1990: 1.602
1991: 0.876
1992: 0.941
1993: 1.726
1994: 0.871
1995: 1.495
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
1996: & 1.539 \\
1997: & 1.112 \\
1998: & 1.885 \\
1999: & 0.964 \\
2000: & 1.605 \\
2001: & 1.740 \\
2002: & 1.776 \\
2003: & 1.387 \\
2004: & 2.176 \\
2005: & 1.423 \\
2006: & 1.766 \\
\(2007:\) & 1.853 \\
\(2008:\) & 1.678 \\
\(2009:\) & 0.948 \\
\(2010:\) & 1.178 \\
\(2011:\) & 1.067 \\
\(2012:\) & 1.500 \\
\(2013:\) & 1.569 \\
\(2014:\) & 0.680 \\
\(2015:\) & 1.428 \\
\(2016:\) & 2.494 \\
\(2017:\) & 1.595 \\
\(2018:\) & 1.583 \\
\(2019:\) & 1.325 \\
\(2020:\) & 2.010 \\
\(2021:\) & 2.730
\end{tabular}

F, season effect:
age: 0
1974-2021: 0.0370 .2010 .3620 .250
age: 1
1974-2021: 0.5410 .5270 .1960 .250
age: 2
1974-2021: 0.2400 .4740 .1140 .250
age: 3
1974-2021: 0.2190 .5490 .3510 .250
\(F\), age effect:
\(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\)
1974-2021: 0.0370 .3991 .5201 .520

Exploitation pattern (scaled to mean \(\mathrm{F}=1\) )
\(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\)
1974-2021 season 1: 0.0010 .1920 .3260 .297
season 2: 0.0070 .1880 .6420 .744
season 3: 0.0120 .0700 .1540 .476
season 4: \(0.008 \quad 0.089 \quad 0.3390 .339\)
sqrt(catch variance) \(\sim \mathrm{CV}\) :
```

age 1 1 2 3 4
0
1
2
3

```

Survey catchability:
\begin{tabular}{lccccc} 
& age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
IBTS Q1 & 0.000 & 1.590 & 3.153 & 6.540 \\
IBTS Q3 & & 0.870 & 1.126 & 1.140 \\
Acoustic & & 1.172 & 2.362 & 6.561
\end{tabular}

Stock size dependent catchability (power model)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline IBTS Q1 & 1.65 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline IBTS Q3 & & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Acoustic & & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
sqrt(Survey variance) ~ CV:
\begin{tabular}{lccccc} 
& age 0 & age 1 & age 2 & age 3 \\
IBTS Q1 & & 0.43 & 0.37 & 0.37 & 0.37 \\
IBTS Q3 & & & 0.48 & 0.40 & 0.40 \\
Acoustic & & & 0.44 & 0.55 & 0.55
\end{tabular}

Average F:
sp. 1
1974: 1.109
1975: 1.705
1976: 1.802
1977: 1.602
1978: 1.049
1979: 0.676
1980: 2.299
1981: 1.152
1982: 0.986
1983: 1.589
1984: 0.987
1985: 1.308
1986: 1.117
1987: 0.361
1988: 1.259
1989: 0.423
1990: 1.494
1991: 0.848
1992: 0.916
1993: 1.587
1994: 0.804
1995: 1.342
1996: 1.395
1997: 1.049
1998: 1.765
```

1999: 0.936
2000: 1.485
2001: 1.644
2002: 1.676
2003: 1.372
2004: 2.085
2005: 1.356
2006: 1.659
2007: 1.722
2008: 1.578
2009: 0.886
2010: 1.072
2011: 0.969
2012: 1.336
2013: 1.422
2014: 0.638
2015: }1.31
2016: 2.253
2017: 1.459
2018: 1.448
2019: 1.217
2020: 1.827
2021: 2.169

```
Recruit-SSB alfa beta recruit s2 recruit s
Sprat Hockey stick -break.: \(1316.549 \quad 9.000 \mathrm{e}+04 \quad 0.601 \quad 0.776\)

Table 10.6.3. North Sea and Division 3.a Sprat. Assessment output: Stock numbers (thousands) (years, seasons (S1-S4), and age (A0-A3+) refer to the model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-
June 2022)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year/Age Quarter & A0_S1 & AO_S2 & A0_S3 & A0_S4 & A1_S1 & A1_S2 & A1_S3 & A1_S4 & A2_S1 & A2_S2 & A2_S3 & A2_S4 & A3+_S1 & A3+_S2 & A3+_S3 & A3+_S4 \\
\hline 1974 & 543036000 & 334604000 & 239556000 & 175705000 & 139916000 & 71456700 & 45757200 & 32147400 & 10206300 & 4740150 & 1856610 & 1311090 & 564485 & 306102 & 110129 & 54212 \\
\hline 1975 & 709595000 & 421523000 & 311385000 & 218722000 & 111574000 & 46246900 & 25400300 & 16362000 & 19327000 & 6562280 & 1467900 & 864143 & 679416 & 294583 & 55264 & 16978 \\
\hline 1976 & 327714000 & 200305000 & 143739000 & 97395300 & 136215000 & 56933800 & 30088300 & 18618100 & 10520700 & 3492490 & 718691 & 408031 & 577268 & 230920 & 39462 & 11353 \\
\hline 1977 & 630579000 & 405010000 & 275610000 & 184507000 & 59943200 & 28014100 & 15589700 & 9660700 & 11954300 & 4571630 & 1131060 & 666272 & 275344 & 117455 & 24819 & 8136 \\
\hline 1978 & 1071680000 & 709383000 & 497293000 & 334648000 & 113084000 & 60277900 & 38202800 & 24590400 & 6223900 & 2862460 & 1062380 & 717015 & 437888 & 220394 & 74254 & 34022 \\
\hline 1979 & 539449000 & 348437000 & 228676000 & 152913000 & 214500000 & 121129000 & 81524400 & 53582800 & 16543100 & 8477520 & 4053540 & 2856720 & 516645 & 274597 & 123664 & 68080 \\
\hline 1980 & 334838000 & 208560000 & 130888000 & 85906800 & 98051400 & 36021800 & 16412600 & 9464200 & 36302200 & 9368020 & 1201790 & 618695 & 2006250 & 577864 & 57232 & 11974 \\
\hline 1981 & 87282900 & 52813800 & 34749200 & 23829500 & 56502300 & 26570100 & 15585600 & 10329200 & 6522900 & 2644320 & 824742 & 532257 & 450514 & 207577 & 58145 & 23924 \\
\hline 1982 & 45555800 & 27300800 & 19447700 & 14127300 & 16355000 & 8419360 & 5327480 & 3884760 & 7423910 & 3432010 & 1269890 & 878234 & 425886 & 232756 & 79136 & 37065 \\
\hline 1983 & 58821600 & 34454900 & 24295000 & 17645200 & 10279300 & 4569930 & 2488660 & 1761840 & 2945250 & 1088410 & 244596 & 151429 & 745375 & 330588 & 62084 & 20282 \\
\hline 1984 & 31588200 & 18407300 & 12284500 & 8893450 & 12912600 & 6719240 & 4250850 & 3082660 & 1359230 & 693448 & 262874 & 183354 & 145108 & 85103 & 29152 & 13886 \\
\hline 1985 & 23019800 & 13264800 & 8102330 & 5754980 & 6448840 & 2852700 & 1591720 & 1107200 & 2343350 & 947696 & 258165 & 168002 & 161927 & 81829 & 19657 & 7560 \\
\hline 1986 & 70963900 & 39277900 & 24758600 & 17228700 & 4186070 & 1876160 & 1055200 & 739060 & 845766 & 351832 & 107452 & 71770 & 148853 & 76240 & 21440 & 9129 \\
\hline 1987 & 38488000 & 21196500 & 13203800 & 9196920 & 12322200 & 6971920 & 4678720 & 3652010 & 556102 & 308891 & 172070 & 132845 & 68300 & 46320 & 26377 & 17645 \\
\hline 1988 & 55817100 & 29924200 & 18094000 & 12251500 & 6559980 & 2945170 & 1562910 & 1104490 & 2757330 & 1053900 & 283000 & 178650 & 125118 & 60921 & 14603 & 5587 \\
\hline 1989 & 48771900 & 26657400 & 15711900 & 10939400 & 8536000 & 4657730 & 3040950 & 2336520 & 817077 & 449786 & 242811 & 180096 & 146005 & 101554 & 53824 & 33961 \\
\hline 1990 & 67307700 & 40016200 & 24089900 & 16834900 & 7524810 & 3267040 & 1675650 & 1139490 & 1710590 & 637302 & 151469 & 89498 & 161558 & 74287 & 15031 & 4981 \\
\hline 1991 & 103265000 & 64967900 & 43460400 & 31493600 & 11432900 & 6200460 & 3939730 & 2825750 & 828606 & 436979 & 184461 & 126784 & 70924 & 40750 & 15885 & 7960 \\
\hline 1992 & 98542600 & 65307900 & 47469400 & 34938400 & 21346600 & 12158200 & 7950910 & 5609240 & 2051690 & 1098170 & 454928 & 312678 & 101110 & 57313 & 21822 & 10679 \\
\hline 1993 & 129113000 & 81680600 & 63540700 & 47296400 & 24116200 & 10990800 & 6205100 & 4208490 & 4159450 & 1576050 & 376218 & 230322 & 246785 & 102653 & 20436 & 6710 \\
\hline 1994 & 113155000 & 68384200 & 50722500 & 38749000 & 33413800 & 17722600 & 11803700 & 8852710 & 3198710 & 1643500 & 721318 & 513028 & 185724 & 99320 & 40055 & 20808 \\
\hline 1995 & 35487900 & 21223000 & 15701900 & 12328100 & 28200700 & 12905300 & 7538890 & 5541070 & 6909940 & 2854090 & 800120 & 517089 & 427172 & 191081 & 45770 & 17247 \\
\hline 1996 & 59588600 & 35915800 & 24695800 & 19517200 & 9461590 & 4574380 & 2637400 & 1969810 & 4487250 & 1966670 & 530904 & 349843 & 442030 & 213995 & 49667 & 18785 \\
\hline 1997 & 46909200 & 29830500 & 21972400 & 17247600 & 15384400 & 9032030 & 5829660 & 4405610 & 1621100 & 875433 & 326079 & 226809 & 315342 & 186651 & 63298 & 29481 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year/Age Quarter & A0_S1 & A0_S2 & A0_S3 & A0_S4 & A1_S1 & A1_S2 & A1_S3 & A1_S4 & A2_S1 & A2_S2 & A2_S3 & A2_S4 & A3+_S1 & A3+_S2 & A3+_S3 & A3+_S4 \\
\hline 1998 & 105848000 & 68705600 & 47185300 & 35779000 & 13178700 & 6617570 & 3655170 & 2559150 & 3555840 & 1424570 & 307293 & 186608 & 216003 & 95415 & 17109 & 5262 \\
\hline 1999 & 75667400 & 49581800 & 36815200 & 27619900 & 26037400 & 15871400 & 10710000 & 7806430 & 2002530 & 1116250 & 470693 & 329117 & 157605 & 92331 & 35463 & 17511 \\
\hline 2000 & 72250500 & 48034400 & 33277400 & 25274800 & 19765200 & 9939310 & 5813380 & 4142640 & 5976400 & 2582580 & 679193 & 439846 & 272028 & 128241 & 28364 & 10294 \\
\hline 2001 & 58320100 & 38658700 & 28297200 & 21180500 & 19128800 & 9471370 & 5307730 & 3696480 & 3272880 & 1373460 & 320622 & 195989 & 371477 & 172239 & 33179 & 10824 \\
\hline 2002 & 77193400 & 49869200 & 35902200 & 27255600 & 15592300 & 7710180 & 4248190 & 3007840 & 2854650 & 1173930 & 263910 & 159833 & 167104 & 78070 & 14439 & 4607 \\
\hline 2003 & 98936600 & 64923600 & 47852100 & 36257500 & 19739000 & 11186500 & 6648750 & 4742960 & 2315440 & 1125270 & 336658 & 211375 & 132257 & 70488 & 18072 & 6880 \\
\hline 2004 & 166990000 & 107622000 & 80201400 & 61834900 & 24730700 & 11740100 & 5984970 & 4083420 & 3485160 & 1250330 & 215256 & 120043 & 163976 & 65654 & 8877 & 2257 \\
\hline 2005 & 63546300 & 40780100 & 29619800 & 23312100 & 42466000 & 22680000 & 13511500 & 9887520 & 3017980 & 1430700 & 428579 & 280082 & 92584 & 46486 & 11917 & 4660 \\
\hline 2006 & 80677800 & 48624200 & 36830800 & 28953900 & 16154400 & 8061150 & 4500040 & 3218460 & 7388710 & 3094690 & 730428 & 452924 & 227374 & 102001 & 19789 & 6488 \\
\hline 2007 & 56916600 & 35127100 & 25990800 & 20548200 & 20114100 & 9873790 & 5357310 & 3890690 & 2439230 & 1011160 & 224692 & 140075 & 375259 & 168619 & 30422 & 9719 \\
\hline 2008 & 124143000 & 76807100 & 57979500 & 46400900 & 15035700 & 7707420 & 4419340 & 3259170 & 3068290 & 1378780 & 352157 & 223023 & 125743 & 60963 & 12924 & 4468 \\
\hline 2009 & 104609000 & 67004900 & 47975700 & 39157400 & 34238000 & 19432600 & 13031200 & 10210100 & 2601340 & 1457570 & 629108 & 453453 & 186832 & 115897 & 45212 & 23146 \\
\hline 2010 & 109958000 & 64799600 & 43261800 & 34634500 & 29225800 & 15010200 & 9430000 & 7166920 & 8232240 & 3919490 & 1402810 & 975767 & 394248 & 224740 & 71364 & 32451 \\
\hline 2011 & 89088900 & 53381500 & 36186600 & 28382500 & 25428900 & 13053500 & 8216910 & 6175170 & 5714940 & 2632520 & 1006520 & 699597 & 828122 & 484339 & 166193 & 78607 \\
\hline 2012 & 67893400 & 40718700 & 27860800 & 21938900 & 20241300 & 9515820 & 5469660 & 4079530 & 4791890 & 1964890 & 551427 & 367917 & 621832 & 316709 & 75810 & 29442 \\
\hline 2013 & 151849000 & 101659000 & 76624500 & 61038400 & 16386900 & 8094030 & 4723490 & 3506530 & 3257200 & 1380800 & 378710 & 248903 & 332029 & 169702 & 39123 & 14596 \\
\hline 2014 & 171345000 & 118599000 & 91223000 & 73167400 & 46597100 & 28788900 & 20463600 & 16192200 & 2811660 & 1716910 & 890556 & 679152 & 220470 & 152916 & 74360 & 44370 \\
\hline 2015 & 95014500 & 65823400 & 51382800 & 40770100 & 55746600 & 29190300 & 17814700 & 13342900 & 12902800 & 5972630 & 1814030 & 1220960 & 602108 & 325834 & 85265 & 34283 \\
\hline 2016 & 136982000 & 93631400 & 71213800 & 55706300 & 30878400 & 12747800 & 6175880 & 4254930 & 10661400 & 3307910 & 465689 & 260642 & 1047910 & 396437 & 42419 & 9652 \\
\hline 2017 & 168157000 & 115082000 & 88114100 & 69758900 & 42190700 & 21142500 & 12375000 & 9147960 & 3399830 & 1464670 & 393931 & 257575 & 225649 & 115102 & 26081 & 9591 \\
\hline 2018 & 163028000 & 111574000 & 85435800 & 67649600 & 52833800 & 26546400 & 15578300 & 11527100 & 7309530 & 3163210 & 858347 & 562436 & 223037 & 114237 & 26153 & 9680 \\
\hline 2019 & 139860000 & 95751900 & 73460700 & 58368200 & 51236300 & 27215200 & 16861000 & 12730800 & 9210530 & 4379360 & 1430820 & 980317 & 477617 & 266526 & 75670 & 32143 \\
\hline 2020 & 85515000 & 58490800 & 44646600 & 35150700 & 44206700 & 20256400 & 10864900 & 7774630 & 10172300 & 3765950 & 751141 & 457106 & 845227 & 375561 & 60179 & 17729 \\
\hline 2021 & 69413200 & 47430600 & 36011600 & 29123700 & 26622400 & 10446200 & 4816020 & 4033920 & 6212200 & 1768500 & 210101 & 181019 & 396405 & 138661 & 12187 & 10500 \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & & & & 22057600 & & & & 3223240 & & & & 159885 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.6.4. North Sea \& 3.a Sprat. Assessment output: Estimated recruitment, spawning-stock biomass (SSB), average fishing mortality (F), and landings weight (Yield). All estimates refer to the model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year & Recruitment & High & Low & SSB & High & Low & Catches & F ages 1-2 & High & Low \\
\hline & (thousands) & & & (tonnes) & & & (tonnes) & (per year) & & \\
\hline 1974 & 543036000 & 974148742 & 302713625 & 607031 & 989431 & 372423 & 463344 & 1.109 & 1.745 & 0.705 \\
\hline 1975 & 709595000 & 1246579022 & 403925507 & 622040 & 1003062 & 385752 & 732312 & 1.705 & 2.538 & 1.145 \\
\hline 1976 & 327714000 & 568380217 & 188951801 & 501939 & 813172 & 309827 & 628598 & 1.802 & 2.602 & 1.247 \\
\hline 1977 & 630579000 & 1072938408 & 370598976 & 338439 & 521324 & 219712 & 385257 & 1.602 & 2.337 & 1.098 \\
\hline 1978 & 1071680000 & 2020964214 & 568292112 & 389956 & 614573 & 247433 & 458804 & 1.049 & 1.768 & 0.623 \\
\hline 1979 & 539449000 & 951361745 & 305882831 & 641332 & 1100917 & 373604 & 463638 & 0.676 & 1.302 & 0.351 \\
\hline 1980 & 334838000 & 523306464 & 214246324 & 440425 & 747259 & 259581 & 387434 & 2.299 & 3.174 & 1.666 \\
\hline 1981 & 87282900 & 128749607 & 59171479 & 307740 & 455678 & 207831 & 280582 & 1.152 & 1.754 & 0.757 \\
\hline 1982 & 45555800 & 66143585 & 31376148 & 176147 & 263737 & 117646 & 162357 & 0.986 & 1.419 & 0.685 \\
\hline 1983 & 58821600 & 79197337 & 43688093 & 82240 & 111675 & 60563 & 115440 & 1.589 & 1.941 & 1.300 \\
\hline 1984 & 31588200 & 46065568 & 21660742 & 59357 & 76799 & 45877 & 113444 & 0.987 & 1.369 & 0.712 \\
\hline 1985 & 23019800 & 31533307 & 16804808 & 55195 & 72629 & 41947 & 62514 & 1.308 & 1.657 & 1.033 \\
\hline 1986 & 70963900 & 98483537 & 51134182 & 22058 & 29283 & 16616 & 27520 & 1.117 & 1.486 & 0.839 \\
\hline 1987 & 38488000 & 52243884 & 28354059 & 50112 & 67314 & 37307 & 53942 & 0.361 & 0.549 & 0.238 \\
\hline 1988 & 55817100 & 81761291 & 38105424 & 52957 & 67389 & 41616 & 103652 & 1.259 & 1.572 & 1.008 \\
\hline 1989 & 48771900 & 67851635 & 35057346 & 39506 & 53836 & 28990 & 58420 & 0.423 & 0.804 & 0.222 \\
\hline 1990 & 67307700 & 92743440 & 48847946 & 36902 & 50947 & 26728 & 78180 & 1.494 & 1.890 & 1.181 \\
\hline 1991 & 103265000 & 134841771 & 79082766 & 79217 & 105401 & 59537 & 125815 & 0.848 & 1.175 & 0.613 \\
\hline 1992 & 98542600 & 132518416 & 73277694 & 110149 & 138739 & 87450 & 156471 & 0.916 & 1.229 & 0.682 \\
\hline 1993 & 129113000 & 203275378 & 82007801 & 155391 & 200600 & 120370 & 208848 & 1.587 & 1.894 & 1.330 \\
\hline 1994 & 113155000 & 150994478 & 84798161 & 120194 & 177863 & 81223 & 424206 & 0.804 & 1.085 & 0.596 \\
\hline 1995 & 35487900 & 47272692 & 26640984 & 169861 & 229949 & 125474 & 446555 & 1.342 & 1.679 & 1.072 \\
\hline 1996 & 59588600 & 78950898 & 44974805 & 104983 & 130860 & 84223 & 95496 & 1.395 & 1.705 & 1.141 \\
\hline 1997 & 46909200 & 62490879 & 35212707 & 106236 & 134353 & 84003 & 125174 & 1.049 & 1.354 & 0.813 \\
\hline 1998 & 105848000 & 142504252 & 78620805 & 130525 & 162642 & 104750 & 188907 & 1.765 & 2.072 & 1.504 \\
\hline 1999 & 75667400 & 98896332 & 57894517 & 125568 & 164272 & 95983 & 243158 & 0.936 & 1.248 & 0.702 \\
\hline 2000 & 72250500 & 94446022 & 55271092 & 180665 & 227382 & 143546 & 222027 & 1.485 & 1.826 & 1.208 \\
\hline 2001 & 58320100 & 75670180 & 44948143 & 124318 & 156010 & 99064 & 153321 & 1.644 & 1.981 & 1.364 \\
\hline 2002 & 77193400 & 102094534 & 58365720 & 106899 & 133428 & 85644 & 174713 & 1.676 & 1.992 & 1.411 \\
\hline 2003 & 98936600 & 131377949 & 74506041 & 132982 & 168882 & 104713 & 174988 & 1.372 & 1.700 & 1.108 \\
\hline 2004 & 166990000 & 218038501 & 127893285 & 161765 & 206207 & 126901 & 231352 & 2.085 & 2.414 & 1.801 \\
\hline 2005 & 63546300 & 81669576 & 49444756 & 203907 & 260209 & 159787 & 280275 & 1.356 & 1.666 & 1.104 \\
\hline 2006 & 80677800 & 103508005 & 62883131 & 160733 & 200768 & 128681 & 78028 & 1.659 & 1.987 & 1.384 \\
\hline 2007 & 56916600 & 74132739 & 43698633 & 130934 & 162701 & 105369 & 99902 & 1.722 & 2.046 & 1.449 \\
\hline 2008 & 124143000 & 158849105 & 97019649 & 95608 & 119311 & 76613 & 69892 & 1.578 & 1.913 & 1.301 \\
\hline 2009 & 104609000 & 134930708 & 81101204 & 164733 & 205575 & 132005 & 170934 & 0.886 & 1.182 & 0.664 \\
\hline 2010 & 109958000 & 153382153 & 78827696 & 170207 & 211284 & 137116 & 145415 & 1.072 & 1.377 & 0.835 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr}
\hline Year & Recruitment & High & Low & SSB & High & Low & Catches & F ages 1-2 & High & Low \\
\hline & (thousands) & & & (tonnes) & & & (tonnes) & (per year) & \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 1}\) & 89088900 & 115680121 & 68610164 & 149422 & 192407 & 116040 & 122472 & 0.969 & 1.296 & 0.724 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 2}\) & 67893400 & 86480522 & 53301179 & 124904 & 153810 & 101430 & 96030 & 1.336 & 1.634 & 1.093 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 3}\) & 151849000 & 206375367 & 111729027 & 103116 & 127342 & 83499 & 60207 & 1.422 & 1.808 & 1.119 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 4}\) & 171345000 & 23225288 & 126410419 & 192302 & 251584 & 146989 & 190268 & 0.638 & 0.883 & 0.461 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 5}\) & 95014500 & 126602235 & 71308024 & 311969 & 410654 & 236999 & 298227 & 1.314 & 1.629 & 1.059 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 6}\) & 136982000 & 176588472 & 106258739 & 216052 & 278800 & 167426 & 227169 & 2.253 & 2.561 & 1.982 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 7}\) & 168157000 & 216616887 & 130538192 & 176752 & 221935 & 140768 & 135824 & 1.459 & 1.774 & 1.199 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 8}\) & 163028000 & 217041203 & 122456605 & 200339 & 249556 & 160828 & 190779 & 1.448 & 1.749 & 1.199 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 1 9}\) & 139860000 & 183850729 & 106395116 & 209892 & 267130 & 164919 & 137489 & 1.217 & 1.558 & 0.951 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 2 0}\) & 85515000 & 115933635 & 63077598 & 288838 & 368367 & 226479 & 181990 & 1.827 & 2.159 & 1.546 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 2 1}\) & 69413200 & 106348965 & 45305493 & 141574 & 178714 & 112152 & 80032 & 2.169 & 2.567 & 1.832 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2 0 2 2}\) & 120979028 & & & 100495 & 138634 & 72848 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Geometric mean recruitment (2011-2020)

Table 10.9.1. North Sea and Division 3.a Sprat. Input to forecast (years and age refer to the model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).
\begin{tabular}{lclcc}
\hline Age & Age 0 & Age 1 & Age 2 & Age 3 \\
\hline Stock numbers(2022) (millions) & 120979 & 22058 & 3223 & 160 \\
\hline Exploitation pattern S1 & 0.003 & 0.433 & 0.734 & 0.668 \\
\hline Exploitation pattern S2 & 0.015 & 0.423 & 1.447 & 1.678 \\
\hline Exploitation pattern S3 & 0.027 & 0.157 & 0.348 & 1.073 \\
\hline Exploitation pattern S4 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\
\hline Weight in the stock S1 (gram) & 4.800 & 7.593 & 10.633 & 13.621 \\
\hline Weight in the catch S1 (gram) & 4.80 & 7.59 & 10.63 & 13.62 \\
\hline Weight in the catch S2 (gram) & 5.78 & 9.00 & 11.84 & 15.58 \\
\hline Weight in the catch S3 (gram) & 5.81 & 9.34 & 12.21 & 15.19 \\
\hline Weight in the catch S4 (gram) & 6.44 & 9.42 & 12.36 & 15.93 \\
\hline Proportion mature(2020) & 0.00 & 0.41 & 0.87 & 0.95 \\
\hline Proportion mature(2021) & 0.00 & 0.41 & 0.87 & 0.95 \\
\hline Natural mortality S1 & 0.38 & 0.35 & 0.26 & 0.14 \\
\hline Natural mortality S2 & 0.26 & 0.20 & 0.16 & 0.15 \\
\hline Natural mortality S3 & 0.21 & 0.18 & 0.15 & 0.15 \\
\hline Natural mortality S4 & 0.28 & 0.22 & 0.18 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.9.2. Sprat North Sea Division 3.a. Short-term predictions options table. Years refer to the model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022.

Catch options. Catches and SSB are in thousands of tonnes.
3-year average weight-at-age was used to calculate SSB. Recruitment(2021) = geometric average 2011-2020.
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr}
\hline Basis & Catches(2022) & F(2022) & SSB(2023) & \%SSB change* & \%TAC change** \\
\hline Fcap & 68.690 & 0.69 & 181215 & \(80 \%\) & \(-36 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0\) & 0 & 0 & 222210 & \(121 \%\) & \(-100 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.1\) & 12.231 & 0.1 & 214704 & \(114 \%\) & \(-89 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.2\) & 23.557 & 0.2 & 207825 & \(107 \%\) & \(-78 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.3\) & 34.071 & 0.3 & 201505 & \(101 \%\) & \(-68 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.4\) & 43.852 & 0.4 & 195688 & \(95 \%\) & \(-59 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.5\) & 61.490 & 0.5 & 190322 & \(89 \%\) & \(-50 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.6\) & 69.465 & 0.6 & 185363 & \(84 \%\) & \(-42 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.7\) & 76.944 & 0.7 & 180772 & \(80 \%\) & \(-35 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.8\) & 83.971 & 0.8 & 176512 & \(76 \%\) & \(-28 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=0.9\) & 90.586 & 0.9 & 172554 & \(72 \%\) & \(-21 \%\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{~F}=1.0\) & 178.672 & 1 & 168869 & \(68 \%\) & \(-15 \%\) \\
\hline Bescapement with- & & & & & \(24 \%\) \\
\hline out Fcap & & & & & \(-67 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* SSB in July 2023 relative to SSB in July 2022
** catch (July 2022-June 2023) relative to the sum of the TACs (106715 tonnes) for July 2021-June 2022 in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a.


Figure 10.1.1. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. Sprat catches in the North Sea and Division 3.a (in tonnes) for each calendar year by statistical rectangle.


Figure 10.2.1. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. Number of samples taken in the North Sea and Division 3.a for each calendar year by statistical rectangle.

\section*{IBTS-Q1}


Figure 10.3.1. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. IBTS Q1 survey index for Subarea 4 and Division 3.a combined. The index is calculated using a delta-GAM model formulation (see WKSPRAT report (ICES, 2018) for details). Years refer to the calendar year.

IBTS-Q3


Figure 10.3.2a. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. IBTS Q3 survey index for Subarea 4 and Division 3.a combined. The index is calculated using a delta-GAM model formulation (see WKSPRAT report (ICES, 2018) for details). Years refer to the calendar year.

\section*{HERAS}


Figure 10.3.2b. North Sea and Division 3.a sprat. HERAS survey index for Subarea 4 and Division 3.a combined (sum of abundance indices published by WGIPS). Years refer to the calendar year.
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\section*{S4}


Figure 10.4.1. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Mean weight at age in season 1-4 (S1-S4) (years refer to the model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022). Age 1 (grey), age 2 (black), age 3 (white). Red dot is the status quo weight and the red dashed line refer to the 3-year average used in the forecast last year.

\section*{Total landings by year (model year) and season (S1-S4)}


Figure 10.6.1a. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Seasonal distribution of catches. Year and season 1-4 refer to the time-steps of the model (e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022). Note that since the model year of 2021 is not yet finished, the 2021 column will be updated next year. Also note that there are no catches shown for S4, since these are moved to S1 in the following year (see WKSPRAT 2018 report (ICES, 2018) for details).

Proportion at age in catches (years refer to model year)


Figure 10.6.1b. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Proportion of each age group in the catches. Year and age refer to the model year (e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).
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Figure 10.6.2. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Catch residuals by age. (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022)
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Figure 10.6.3. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Survey residuals by age. (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022)


Figure 10.6.4. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Coefficients of variance (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).


Figure 10.6.5. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Retrospective analysis (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022)


Figure 10.6.6. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Temporal development in Mean F, SSB and recruitment. Hatched lines are 95\% confidence intervals (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).


Figure 10.6.7. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Assessment summary (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).

Sprat: Hockey stick, 1974:2021


Figure 10.7.1. North Sea \& 3.a sprat. Stock-recruitment relationship (Model year, e.g., 2021 = July 2021-June 2022).
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\section*{11 Sprat in the English Channel (divisions 7. de)}

The stock structure of sprat populations in this region is not clear, despite evidence from acoustic surveys suggesting the stock is mainly confined to the UK side of 7.e. Further investigations and work are required to resolve this uncertainty.

\subsection*{11.1 The Fishery}

\subsection*{11.1.1 ICES advice applicable for 2022}

The advised catch for the English Channel (7.d and e) was set equal to 9200 tonnes.

\subsection*{11.1.2 Landings}

The total sprat landings by country from 1986-2021 are provided in Table 11.1.1. Total landings from the international sprat fishery are available since 1950 (Figure 11.1.1.). Sprat landings prior to 1985 in 7.de were extracted from official catch statistics dataset (STATLANT27, Historical Nominal Catches 1950-2010, Official Nominal Catches 2006-2013), from 1985 onwards they come from WG estimates. Since 1985 sprat catch has been taken mainly by the UK (England, Wales and Northern Ireland). According to official catch statistics large catches were taken by Danish trawlers in the English Channel between the late 1970s and 1980s. The identity of these catches was not confirmed by the Danish data managers, raising the question of whether those reported catches were the result of species misreporting (i.e. herring misreported as sprat). Therefore, ICES cannot verify the quality of catch data prior to 1988.

The fishery starts in August and runs into February and sometimes March the following year. Most of the catch is taken in 7.e, in the Lyme Bay area. In the last decade catch from the UK covered about \(93 \%\) of landed sprat, however in 2015 and 2016 this percentage diminished, with Netherlands, Denmark appearing, and taking a portion of the catch. Denmark and the Netherlands represent the two principle "transient fishing fleets" that appear occasionally in the time series and have been allocated a portion of the TAC under the common fisheries policy in previous years. In \(202199.5 \%\) of the catches were taken by UK vessels. Landings were very low in 2021, 49 tonnes in total (Figure 11.1.1), which has been attributed to a large number of small sprat in the catch, leading to a short season for the UK fleet and a switch to beaming and scalloping.
Sprat is found by sonar search and sometimes the shoals are found too far offshore for sensible economic exploitation. This offshore/near shore shift may be related to environmental variability such as spatial and temporal changes in temperature and/or salinity.

\subsection*{11.1.3 Fleets}

In the English Channel the primary gear used for the capture of sprat is midwater trawl. Within that gear type three vessels under 15 m have actively targeted sprat and have been responsible for the majority of landings (since 2003 they took on average \(96 \%\) of the total landings). Sprat is also caught by driftnet, fixed nets, lines and pots and most of the landings are sold for human consumption.

\subsection*{11.1.4 Regulations and their effects}

There is a TAC for sprat in ICES divisions 7.de, English Channel. Figure 11.1.2. shows the agreed TAC and the ICES catch from 2000-2022 and shows the catch is always below the agreed TAC.

\subsection*{11.1.5 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns}

There is insufficient information available.

\subsection*{11.2 Biological Composition of the Catch}

\subsection*{11.2.1 Catches in number and weight-at-age}

In 2017/2018 fishing season a pilot self-sampling program started in the Southwest of UK, involving sprat fishers from Lyme bay. This program has continued in 2021 however due to low uptake in the fishery only 1 vessel submitted data. The graphs have therefore not been updated this year as the previous year's data better represents the stock, when taken by the fishery. The 2019-2020 data shown are raw numbers-at-length in the samples, and not raised to the total catches (Figure 11.2.1 and Figure 11.2.2).

The skippers have collected length measurements from the catches and recorded information on fishing trips since 2018. In 2019, the sprat lengths in the fishers' samples ranged from 7.5 to 15 cm (Figure 11.2.1). The main processors for the fishery were engaged in 2019 and have provided length and weight data from landings subsamples. The length distributions recorded by the processors was reasonably consistent in 2020 (Figure 11.2.2). Due to low uptake in the fishery during 2021, the fishery operated for only two months of the season (August and September) and the FSP program provided very little data.

Biomass estimates for 2021 showed a huge increase in Sprat biomass, The PELTIC survey reports that there was a very strong recruitment (0-group) (Figure 11.3.3). These small fish were very widespread throughout the survey area. Anecdotal evidence from the Fisheries (self) sampling program (FSP) program and fishers also support the survey findings, with the Pelagic fisheries noting difficulties in being able to fish because of too much "whitebait" everywhere, below marketable size. The demand in the fishery tied more to size and marketability than stock biomass, with the processors reluctant to take catches with small fish. Figure 11.3.3 supports this and shows the large increase in 0 age fish in 2022 compared to 2021.

\subsection*{11.3 Fishery-independentinformation}

\section*{PELTIC Acoustic Survey (A6259)}

Cefas carried out the annual PELTIC survey (Pelagic Ecosystem Survey of the Celtic Sea and Western Channel) in autumn in the English Channel and the Celtic Sea to acoustically assess the biomass of the small pelagic fish community within this area (divisions 7.e-f), and sprat is one of the target species. This survey, conducted from the RV Cefas Endeavour, started in 2013, when it first focused only on UK waters but, from 2017, it expanded to also cover the southern area of division 7 .e (French waters). In 2018 a one-off extension of the survey was conducted into division 7.d to investigate the presence of the stocks in the eastern channel, the survey found almost no sprat present.

As detailed in the ICES survey manual (Doray et al., 2021), calibrated acoustic data were collected during daylight hours only at three frequencies \((38,120,200 \mathrm{kHz})\) from transducers mounted
on a lowered drop keel at 8.2 m below the surface. All non-fish acoustic targets were removed by creating a multi-frequency filter and only backscatter from swimbladder fish was retained for further analyses. The resulting echotraces were further partitioned by species based on the trawl catches and were converted into abundance and biomass estimates (plus Coefficient of Variation) in StoX software.

To convert acoustic biomass to abundance, a Target Strength (TS) equation is used. As no dedicated sprat specific TS equation is available for the area, the generic clupeid value of \(b 20=-71.2\) dB is used. This was found to be an acceptable conversion and it was noted that more negatively values (leading to a higher biomass) have been used for sprat stocks in adjacent waters.

As part of the 2021 sprat inter benchmark process (IBP), the ability of the survey to capture the sprat stock (catchability) was evaluated, as this feeds heavily into assumptions of the, management strategy evaluation (MSE). It was noted that the assessment is based on a biomass estimate from only a small area of the total management unit and is therefore likely to be a conservative estimate.

The survey also provides age and length structure for sprat aged \(0-6\) (Figure 11.3.2 and Figure 11.3.3). While there is high variability in the age distributions, this does not affect the overall estimate of biomass. However, it does preclude cohort tracking in the survey. The IBP found that the survey provided a robust estimate of biomass for application of a constant harvest rate (CHR) and is evaluated at two ICES working groups, WGIPS and WGACEGG each year."

\section*{Biological data}

Biological information from trawl catches carried out during the 2021 PELTIC acoustic survey, identified 5 age classes from 0 to 4 contributing on average to \(91.61 \%, 2.1 \%, 5.9 \%, 0.32 \%\), and \(0.02 \%\) respectively in the samples collected. The age structure observed in 2021 is shown in Figure 11.3.2 and 11.3.3. This supports anecdotal information from the fishery and is linked to the reduced catch in 2021, citing a high volume of small fish.

\subsection*{11.4 Mean weight-at-age and maturity-at-age}

No data on mean weight-at-age or maturity-at-age in the catch are available.

\subsection*{11.5 Recruitment}

The acoustic surveys may provide an index of sprat recruitment in divisions 7.d-e.

\subsection*{11.6 Stock Assessment}

This stock is considered a category 3 stock with the assessment and advice based on survey trends (ICES Advice 2018).

The stock went through an interbenchmark in February 2021 to update the assessment method based on the new guidance issued by WKLIFEX and developed by WKDLSSSLS2. The IBP tested the available data against the updated guidelines and assessed the suitability of three data limited methods for the stock.
1. 1 over 2 ratio-based advice with a \(20 \%\) and an \(80 \%\) uncertainty cap
2. Constant Harvest Rate
3. Surplus Production model (SPiCT)

Three exploratory SPiCT assessments were performed:
- an annual model using calendar year (January-December)
- an annual model using fishing year (July-June);
- a model using quarterly data.

The IBP concluded that SPICT analysis of the stock was not viable at this point in time due to the limited time series available for the PELTIC survey (2014-2020). There is also a strong transient component to the fishery from Denmark and the Netherlands which has not been present in recent years. The IBP determined that SPICT should be re-examined in the future.

A constant harvest rate (CHR) was determined by management strategy evaluation (MSE). The CHR was tested alongside the 102 with \(80 \%\) and \(20 \%\) uncertainty caps. The MSE tested three survey catchability options, with an assumption of \(0 \%, 50 \%\) and \(100 \%\) over estimation of the underlying biomass from the PELTIC survey. Assuming that some overestimation may take place on the survey, the IBP determined that the \(50 \%\) overestimation should be adopted. Three scenarios of fishing pressure, prior to implementation of the catch advice options, were simulated for 25 years to establish starting points for the stock.
This MSE was carried out on a seasonal time step due to limitations in the framework. The IBP notes that the current advice is given annually, however it is recommended to move to an an-nual- seasonal calendar. This will reduce the time lag between survey and advice, while keeping the stock within the HAWG. WKDLSSLS determined that the reduced lag between survey and advice was the key component of providing precautionary advice for short lived species. A CHR determined on a seasonal timestep will still be applicable to the stock and is more precautionary than the 1 o 2 rule.

The CHR was found to be more precautionary for the stock than the current 102 rule (with both UC values), supporting the findings of WKDLSSL1 \& 2. The CHR of \(12 \%\) was the maximum value estimated under the \(50 \%\) survey catchability overestimation level that kept the risk \(<5 \%\) in the long term under all fishing histories while giving the highest yield. A correction factor to the CHR was applied to account for a mismatch between survey weight at age in the PELTIC biomass and the weight at age in survey biomass simulated in the MSE. This was done to account for in year growth and results in a correction factor of 0.714 equal to the ratio of the MSEindex/"PelticIndex", where PelticIndex equates to the weight-at-age structure present at the time of the survey. This time-step accounts for a seven-month growth period, comprising the months between spawning in March and the survey in October. The IBP concluded that an adjusted CHR to \(8.57 \%\) was the most appropriate assessment method for the stock (ICES, 2021b).
Further investigation of the CHR, specifically using sprat in 7.de, was conducted at WKDLSSLS3 in 2021. The group examined the effect of applying an \(80 \%\) uncertainty cap (UC) to the CHRs. The conclusion from this was an UC resulted in minimal risk reduction for CHR's below the 5\% risk threshold. It did reduce risk for CHR's that are too high but could not bring them below the ICES risk threshold. The only significant difference between CHR and CHR+UC was a decrease in interannual variability in the stock. This contrasts with work by other members of the WKDLSSLS group, who note that UC's may introduce unnecessary risks to the stock when requiring rapid reduction of catches. Alternatively following a drop of catch advice, may prevent recovery of yield (Fischer et al. 2020, 2021 and Sánchez-Maroño et al. 2021). The group found that unconstrained CHRs appear robust to past fishing history, initial stock status and advice schedule but are sensitive to survey catchability. No recommendations from the WKDLSSLS were made in regard to applying a UC to CHR's. Application of uncertainty cap is a current research topic and future guidelines may clarify how they are applied as part of a CHR.

\subsection*{11.6.1 Data exploration}

\section*{Biomass Index}

A 9-year time-series of biomass estimates from the PELTIC survey is shown in Table 11.6.1. The extension of the survey into ICES division 7.d and the southern part of 7.e suggests that the stock is mainly located in the more northerly part of division 7.e during October. The survey conducted in 2021 showed a very large concentration of age 0 sprat in Lyme bay, Figure 11.6.1 and 11.3.2. The survey also covered the area around the Channel Islands (Figure 11.6.1) and found a large quantity of sprat present off the coast of France. This biomass does not feed into the assessment, which looks only at the "core area" of Lyme Bay.

Sprat was in general the dominant small pelagic species in the trawl samples, with highest densities in the eastern parts of the western Channel and the Bristol Channel, with the bulk of the biomass centred in Lyme bay. As in previous years, large schools in the Bristol Channel appeared to consist mainly of juvenile sprat, whereas those in the English Channel also included larger size classes. In 2018, the PELTIC survey was extended into the eastern channel and found no discernible Sprat biomass, indicating a separation between 27.7.de and Sprat in the Eastern channel.

For more details on the survey design see Figure 11.3.1 and ICES 2021b.
A 2015 analysis of the age distribution of sprat in the survey area shows a marked distinction between the young fish (0 and 1) found in the Bristol Channel and the older age classes that occupy the Western English Channel (ICES 2015). Whether the two clusters belong to the same stock has yet to be proved: the circulation pattern of the area would allow sprat eggs/larvae to travel northward, from division 7.e to 7.g; however, the formation of a front in late spring/early summer seems to suggest these may be two different stocks.

The stock was examined using RAD-seq-derived SNPs (Restriction-site-associated DNA sequencing and single nucleotide polymorphisms) in 2020 (McKeown et al., 2020). This was part of a larger study of North Sea and Baltic sprat. The study found that amongst the North Sea population there was a lack of genetic differentiation between sampled stocks, indicating a high gene flow in the North Sea population. This would indicate that all sprat in the North Sea form one genetic unit, however the study suggests further work is needed. Specifically, for fisheries management, it should be noted that genetically connected stocks may still be isolated on the time scale of fisheries management.

\subsection*{11.7 State of the Stock}

The acoustic estimates for 2017 ( 32751 t ) show a three fold increase compared to the all-time low value in 2016 ( 9826 t ), although the biomass is still half of the high levels recorded in the period 2013-2015 ( \(70680 \mathrm{t}, 85184 \mathrm{t}\) and 65219 t respectively), Table 11.6.1. The PELTIC biomass has increased substantially from 36798 tonnes in 2020 to 107355 tonnes in 2021. The harvest rate has dropped from \(3 \%\) to \(0.05 \%\). This is due low catches in 2021 which has been attributed to a large number small sprat mixed in with the catch. The fleet is thought to have switched to beam trawling and scalloping because of this but should be expected to return when these small sprats mature.

\subsection*{11.8 Catch Advice}

Applying the constant harvest rate of \(8.57 \%\) to the current estimate of PELTIC biomass gives an advised catch of 9200 tonnes.

\subsection*{11.9 Short-term projections}

No projections are presented for this stock.

\subsection*{11.10 Reference Points}

The IBP suggested the use of the Istat value developed as part of WKDSLLS2 (ICES, 2021) could be used as a proxy \(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}\) for the stock. The Istat is defined as

Geomean(Ihist)*exp(-1.645*sd(log(Ihist))
Where Ihist refers to the biomass index, this gives a value of 11527.9 tonnes biomass for the stock. Note this should not be referred to as SSB or total biomass as SSB cannot be derived for the stock and the PELTIC does not capture the total biomass of the stock. Length based F (MSY) proxies were suggested by the ADG as being possibly applicable to the stock and providing useful information. They have not been explored to date but could be looked at in the future. The inclusion of the FSP sampling data (which includes length frequencies) could also be incorporated into these methods and provide interesting comparison between survey and fisheries derived data.

\subsection*{11.11 Quality of the Assessment}

The coverage of the PELTIC acoustic survey was extended in 2017 towards the southern part of Division 7.e: this extension confirmed that the bulk of the sprat distribution in 7.e is located in Lyme Bay and surrounding areas, and very little extend outside. In fact, the transects carried out off the French coast found very little sprat, mostly of ages 0 and 1 . This pattern may have changed somewhat in recent years as sprat have been recorded off the coast of France and around the channel island in 2018 and 2019. 2021 also saw sprat present off the coast of France, in line with a general increase in biomass across the area and consisted primarily of small age 0 fish. They do not feed into the advice, as they lie outside of the core Lyme bay area.

The extent to which the population migrates into Division 7.d was investigated during the 2018 survey. The survey showed that very little sprat was found on the eastern border of division 7.e suggesting no movements of sprat between the two areas and very little found in 7.d.

Concerns have been raised about the connection between the Western English Channel stock and the Bristol Channel, where large numbers of juveniles are found, it is currently believed the Bristol channel may represent a separate stock. See the data exploration section for details.

\subsection*{11.12 Management Considerations}

Sprat is a short-lived species with large interannual fluctuations in stock biomass. The natural interannual variability of stock abundance, mainly driven by recruitment variability, is high and does not appear to be strongly influenced by the observed levels of fishing effort.

Sprat annual landings from 7.d-e over the past 20 years have been 2532 tonnes on average. The average harvest rate for the 9 -year time-series is \(8 \%\), however if the 2016 value of \(34 \%\) is removed, this drops to \(5 \%\). The average harvest rate is \(2 \%\) over the last 3 years. In general, however, it seems that Lyme Bay, where most of the fishery occurs, consistently hosts quite a substantial part of the sprat stock: this is confirmed by the fact that even in 2016, when the estimated biomass was overall very low, Lyme Bay still contributed \(50 \%\) of the total sprat population in the Western English Channel.

The strong biomass fluctuations observed in the acoustic index and the relatively strong increase in biomass observed in 2017, suggests that the low level of catch is not impairing the stock. 2021 has seen another large increase in biomass. Due to the low fishing pressure and reports of average oceanographic condition from the survey, it is likely the increase is driven by environmental conditions or interactions with other stocks.

The timing of the advice relative to the PELTIC survey has been considered, previously the advice has been issued on an annual basis. This led to a lag between survey, advice and uptake, which was identified as problematic in a short-lived species. An agreement has been reached between the ICES members to move the advice to a seasonal calendar in line with the fishery for 2022/2023. The advice will now run across the fishing season (1 July- 30 June) instead of on an annual basis.
The PELTIC survey takes place in October of the advice year minus 1, with the advice issued in March of the advice year for the fishing season. The fishing season runs from 1 July advice year, to 30 June advice year plus 1 . Therefore, there is an 8-month delay between survey and advice. This is a weakness in the advice as Sprat can undergo rapid changes in biomass. The TAC issued separately to the ICES advice has been issue on a seasonal basis for 2022. A small delay is still present but has been greatly reduced. A further improvement to better respond to changing stock conditions would be a review mechanism at the time of the PELTIC in October to update the advice, if needed. However, this would present problems for issuing of the advice and there is currently little appetite to reopen advice mid-year for stocks in ICES or member states.

\subsection*{11.13 Ecosystem Considerations}

Multispecies investigations have demonstrated that sprat is one of the important prey species in the North Sea ecosystem, for both fish and seabirds. At present, there are no analysis available on the total amount of sprat, and in general of other pelagic species, taken by seabirds, marine mammals, and large predators in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. However, a wide spectrum of data that covers the whole trophic chain have been collected during the PELTIC acoustic survey: these data will in the future provide a substantial contribution to the knowledge base for the area.

Table 11.1.1 Sprat in 7.d-e. Landings of sprat, 1986-2021.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Denmark & France & Netherlands & UK Eng+Wales+N.Irl. & UK Scotland & Other & Total \\
\hline 1986 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 1163 & 0 & 0 & 1178 \\
\hline 1987 & 250 & 23 & 0 & 2441 & 0 & 0 & 2714 \\
\hline 1988 & 2529 & 2 & 1 & 2944 & 0 & 0 & 5476 \\
\hline 1989 & 2092 & 10 & 0 & 1520 & 0 & 0 & 3622 \\
\hline 1990 & 608 & 79 & 0 & 1562 & 0 & 0 & 2249 \\
\hline 1991 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2567 & 0 & 0 & 2567 \\
\hline 1992 & 5389 & 35 & 0 & 1791 & 0 & 0 & 7215 \\
\hline 1993 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1798 & 0 & 0 & 1801 \\
\hline 1994 & 3572 & 1 & 0 & 3176 & 40 & 0 & 6789 \\
\hline 1995 & 2084 & 0 & 0 & 1516 & 0 & 0 & 3600 \\
\hline 1996 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1789 & 0 & 0 & 1791 \\
\hline 1997 & 1245 & 1 & 0 & 1621 & 0 & 0 & 2867 \\
\hline 1998 & 3741 & 0 & 0 & 1973 & 0 & 0 & 5714 \\
\hline 1999 & 3064 & 0 & 1 & 3558 & 0 & 0 & 6623 \\
\hline 2000 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1693 & 0 & 0 & 1695 \\
\hline 2001 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1349 & 0 & 0 & 1349 \\
\hline 2002 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1196 & 0 & 0 & 1196 \\
\hline 2003 & 0 & 2 & 72 & 1368 & 0 & 0 & 1442 \\
\hline 2004 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 836 & 0 & 0 & 842 \\
\hline 2005 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1635 & 0 & 0 & 1635 \\
\hline 2006 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 1969 & 0 & 0 & 1976 \\
\hline 2007 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2706 & 0 & 0 & 2706 \\
\hline 2008 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3367 & 0 & 0 & 3367 \\
\hline 2009 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2773 & 0 & 0 & 2775 \\
\hline 2010 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 4408 & 0 & 0 & 4410 \\
\hline 2011 & 0 & 1 & 37 & 3138 & 0 & 0 & 3176 \\
\hline 2012 & 6 & 2 & 8 & 4458 & 0 & 0 & 4474 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Denmark & France & Netherlands & UK Eng+Wales+N.Irl. & UK Scotland & Other & Total \\
\hline 2013 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3793 & 0 & 0 & 3793 \\
\hline 2014 & 45 & 0 & 275 & 3338 & 0 & 0 & 3658 \\
\hline 2015 & 0 & 1 & 352 & 2659 & 0 & 0 & 3012 \\
\hline 2016 & 185 & 7 & 231 & 2867 & 0 & 49 & 3339 \\
\hline 2017 & 0 & 0 & 235 & 2498 & 0 & 0 & 2733 \\
\hline 2018 & 474 & 1 & 0 & 1776 & 0 & 0 & 2252 \\
\hline 2019 & 0 & 0.67 & 0 & 1544 & 0 & 28 & 1573 \\
\hline 2020 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 873 & 0 & 0 & 873 \\
\hline 2021 & 0 & 0.25 & 0 & 48.75 & 0 & 0 & 49 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11.6.1. Sprat in 7.d-e. Annual sprat biomass in ICES Subdivision 7.e (Source: Cefas annual pelagic acoustic survey)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Survey & Area & Season & 2013 & 2014 & 2015 & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 & 2020 & 2021 \\
\hline PELTIC & W Eng Ch & Oct & 70680 & 85184 & 65219 & 9826 & 32751 & 21772 & 36789 & 33798 & 107355 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* ICES rectangles 29E6, 30E6


Figure 11.1.1. Sprat in 7.d-e. Landings of sprat 1950-2021.


Figure 11.1.2. Sprat in 7.d-e. ICES catch (blue line) and agreed TAC (red line) from 2000 to 2022.


Figure 11.2.1. Length distribution collected by the fishers by month. Red line indicates weighted mean length at each month 2019, For the two boats supplying the FSP program.


Figure 11.2.2. Monthly sprat total length distribution collected by the three processors in the \(\mathbf{2 0 2 0}\) season. Red line indicates weighted mean length at each month.


Figure 11.3.2. Sprat in 7.d-e. Proportion of numbers-at-age in the biological sample collected during the 2021 PELTIC acoustic survey.


Figure 11.3.3. Sprat in 7.d-e. Proportion of numbers-at-age in the biological samples collected during the 2013-2021 PELTIC acoustic surveys.


Figure 11.3.1. Sprat in 7.d-e. Survey design (2021) with acoustic transects (blue lines), zooplankton stations (red squares) and oceanographic stations (yellow circles).


Figure 11.6.1. Sprat in 7.d-e. Acoustic backscatter attributed to sprat per 1 nmi equidistant sampling unit (EDSU) during October from the 2013-2021 PELTIC surveys.


Figure 11.6.2. Sprat in 7.d-e. Biomass of sprat estimated from the PELTIC acoustic survey from 2013 to 2021 for Division 7.e (red line) and the Lyme Bay area (blue line). The Partial survey has not been run since 2019.


Figure 11.7.1. Sprat in 7.d-e. Constant Harvest rate index (ratio between landings and PELTIC acoustic survey biomass estimate).
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\section*{12 Sprat in the Celtic Seas (Subarea 6 and divisions 7.ac and 7.f-k)}

Most sprat fisheries in the Celtic Seas area are sporadic and occur in different places at different times. Separate fisheries have taken place in the Minch, and the Firth of Clyde (6.aN); in Donegal Bay (6.aS); Galway Bay and in the Shannon Estuary (7.b); in various bays in 7.j; in 7.aS; in the Irish Sea. A map of these areas is provided in Figure 12.1.

The stock structure of sprat populations in this ecoregion is not clear. In 2014, HAWG presented an update of the available data on these sprat populations, in a single chapter. However, HAWG does not necessarily advocate that subareas 6 and 7 constitutes a management unit for sprat, and further work is required to resolve the problem.

\subsection*{12.1 The Fishery}

\subsection*{12.1.1 ICES advice applicable for 2022 and 2023}

ICES analysed data for sprat in the Celtic Sea and West of Scotland. Currently there is no TAC for sprat in this area, and it is not clear whether there should be one or several management units. ICES stated that there is insufficient information to evaluate the status of sprat in this area. Therefore, when the precautionary approach is applied, ICES advises that catches should be no more than 2240 t in 2022 and 2023. The TAC for the English Channel (7.d and e) is the only one in place for sprat in this area.

\subsection*{12.1.2 Landings}

The total sprat landings, by ICES Subdivision (where available) are provided in tables 12.1.112.1.7, with the total landings in Table 12.1.8, and in figures 12.2.1-12.2.8. Only Ireland and the United Kingdom landed from the stock in 2022, with Ireland taking the majority of the landings (Table 12.1.8).

\subsection*{12.1.3 Division 6.a (West of Scotland and Northwest of Ireland)}

Landings have been dominated by UK-Scotland and Ireland (Table 12.1.1). The Scottish fisheries have taken place in both the Minch and in the Firth of Clyde. The Irish fishery has always been in Donegal Bay. Despite the wide separation of these areas, the trends in landings between the two countries are similar, though the UK data have been higher. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than 10 m length.

The Scottish fishery is mainly for human consumption and is typically a winter fishery taking place in November and December, occasionally continuing into January. Landings were high in the early part of the time-series peaking with average annual landings of \(\sim 7000 \mathrm{t}\) in the period 1972 to 1978 (Figure 12.2.1). Landings were low for a period after this until a second peak in the period 1995 to 2000 where landings averaged just around 4600 tonnes annually. In 2005 to 2009 the fishery was virtually absent but has slowly picked up again since 2010. In 2013 landings reached 968 tonnes, lower than in 2012, but then increased again in the last 3 years, until 2176 t in 2016. In 2015 Irish landings were higher than the Scottish ones, with 1300 t , but decreased again to low values in 2016. 2018 landing were only recorded for Ireland and were much lower in 2017, 1 tonne in total. Irish landings in 2019 increased substantially to 3423 tonnes. This has
been attributed to a low herring quota in the Celtic sea for the Irish fishery. Landings dropped to 736 tonnes in 2020 and anecdotal reports suggest the fleet may have moved to 7 .aS to target abundant sprat in the area. Limitations to the licensing of large vessels ( \(>18 \mathrm{~m}\) ) in Irish inshore waters that were due to come into effect in 2020 have been delayed due to an ongoing legal case. A total of 245.7 tonnes was taken in 2021, 160.7 by Scotland and the remainder ( 85 tonnes) by Ireland.

\section*{Division 7.a}

The main historic fishery was by Irish boats, in the 1970s, in the western Irish Sea. This was an industrial fishery and landings were high throughout the 1970s, peaking at over 8000 t in 1978 (figures for 7.aN are presented in Table 12.1.2 and 7.aS presented in Table 12.1.3). The fishery came to an end in 1979, due to the closure of the fishmeal factory in the area. It is not known what proportion of the catch was made up of juvenile herring, though the fishing grounds were in the known herring nursery areas. In the late 1990s and early 2000s, UK vessels landed up to 500 t per year. In recent years a trial fishery for sprat was carried out by the vessels that fish herring in the area. This was carried out to investigate the feasibility of a clean commercially viable sprat fishery. The results of the trials were inconclusive and plans to conduct further experiments are under discussion.

Irish Landings from 1950-1994 may be from 7.aN or 7.aS. Very high catches in 7.aS were reported in 2012 (Table 12.1.3) with a decrease in 2013 and only 16 t reported in 2014. In 2015 the catches raised again to over 3500 t and dropped again to less than 1000 t in 2016. Despite the high catches registered in some years, those figures should be interpreted with caution because they may be overestimated. In 2020 landings from 7.aS increased to 6888 tonnes up from 2785 tonnes in 2019. Irish landings from 7.aS are predominantly from Waterford Harbour (Table 12.1.3)

No landings from 7.aN were reported by Ireland in 2009-2013 or 2018 (Table 12.1.2), however there have been reported landings of 522 t in 2014, 771 t in 2015 and 150 t in 2016 and 2017. Irish landings in 2020 were 2521 tonnes up from 9 tonnes in \(7 . \mathrm{aN}\) in 2019. Scotland reported landings in 2021 of less than a tonne while Ireland took 381 tonnes

\subsection*{12.1.4 Divisions 7.b-c (West of Ireland)}

Sporadic fisheries have taken place, mainly in Galway Bay and the Mouth of the Shannon. The highest recorded landings were in 1980 and 1981 during winter of 1980-1981, when over 5000 t were landed by Irish boats (Table 12.1.4, Figure 12.2.4). This fishery took place in Galway Bay in winter 1980-1981 (Department of Fisheries and Forestry, 1982). Since the early 1990s landings fluctuated from very low levels to no more than 700 t per year in 2000 . Zero catches were reported for 2016, increasing to above 500 tonnes in the two subsequent years. Irish landings in 2020 were 1308 tonnes and 295 tonnes in 2021. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than 10 m length.

\subsection*{12.1.5 Divisions 7.g-k (Celtic Sea)}

Sprat landings in the Celtic Sea from 1985 onwards are WG estimates. In the Celtic Sea, Ireland has dominated landings. Patterns of Irish landings in divisions 7.g and 7.j are similar, though the 7.j landings have been higher. Landings for 7.g and 7.j were aggregated in this report. Landings have increased from low levels in the early 1990s, with catches fluctuating between 0 t in 1993 and just under 4200 t in 2005 (Table 12.1.7). The average catches in the last 10 years were equal to 2452 t . Irish landings increased significantly in 2019 to 6148 tonnes, this has dropped to 2933 tonnes in 2020. Irish landings in 2021 increased to 5524 tonnes. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than 10 m length.

\subsection*{12.1.6 Fleets}

Most sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion are caught by small pelagic vessels that also target herring, mainly Irish, English and Scottish vessels. In Ireland, many polyvalent vessels target sprat on an opportunistic basis. At other times these boats target demersals and tuna, as well as other small pelagics. Targeted fishing takes place when there are known sprat abundances. However, the availability of herring quota is a confounding factor in the timing of a sprat-targeted fishery around Ireland.

Sprat may also be caught in mixed shoals with herring. The level of discarding is unknown, but based on a limited number of samples available to the working group this is estimated to be less than \(1 \%\) of the catch.

In Ireland, larger sprats are sold for human consumption while smaller ones for fishmeal. Other countries mainly land catches for industrial purposes.

\subsection*{12.1.7 Regulations and their effects}

There is a TAC for sprat for 7.d-e, English Channel. No other TACs or quotas for sprat exist in this ecoregion. Most sprat catches are taken in small-mesh fisheries for either human consumption or reduction to fishmeal and oil. It is not clear whether bycatches of herring in sprat fisheries in Irish and Scottish waters are subtracted from quota.

Recently the Irish government changed the regulation relating to the access of the inshore fishing grounds. Vessels \(>18 \mathrm{~m}\) LOA will not have access to the 6 nm inshore zone from 1 January 2020. For vessels targeting sprat, an exemption from this regulation is in place that allows a total sprat catch of up to 2000 t in 2020, up to 1000 t in 2021 and these vessels will not have access to the inshore zone from 2022. However, the policy directive is subject to an ongoing legal case and is not yet fully implemented.

\subsection*{12.1.8 Changes in fishing technology and fishing patterns}

There is insufficient information available.

\subsection*{12.2 Biological Composition of the Catch}

\subsection*{12.2.1 Catches in number and weight-at-age}

There is no information on catches in number or weight in the catch for sprat in this ecoregion.

\subsection*{12.2.2 Biological sampling from the Scottish Fishery (6.a)}

Between 1985 and 2002 the fishery was relatively well sampled and length and age data exists for this period with some gaps. Unfortunately, the data are not available electronically at the present time.

Sampling of sprat in 6.a came to an end in 2003 and no information on biological composition of catches exists in the period 2003-2011. Sampling was resumed in 2012 where a total of 8 landings were sampled. The sampling programme has been carried out since and it is anticipated that it will continue in the future.

\subsection*{12.3 Fishery-independentinformation}

\subsection*{12.3.1 Celtic Sea Acoustic Survey (A4057)}

The Irish Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey calculates an annual estimate of sprat biomass. Biomass estimates for Celtic Sea Sprat for the period November 1991 to October 2020 are shown in Figure 12.3.1 and Table 12.3.1. However, the survey results prior to 2002 are not comparable with the latter surveys because different survey designs were applied.

Since 2004 the survey has taken place each October in the Celtic Sea. Due to the lack of reliable 38 kHz data in 2010, no sprat abundance is available for this year.

It can be seen that there are large interannual variations in sprat abundance. Large sprat schools were notably missing in 2006, and so no biomass could be calculated. The utility of this survey as an index of sprat abundance should be considered carefully (Fallon et al., 2012). Sprat is the second most abundant species observed from survey data. Sprat biomass over the time-series up to 2009 is highly variable, more so than could be accounted for by 'normal' inter survey variability (Table 12.3.1). The variability in the latter years is in part due to the behaviour of sprats in the Celtic Sea which are often seen in the highest numbers after the survey has ended in November/December and again in spring during spawning. The survey is placed to coincide with peak herring abundance and is temporally mismatched with what would be considered sprat peak abundance.

Sprat biomass in the survey has decreased substantially from 60608 tonnes in 2019 to 4523 tonnes in 2020 and is the lowest since 2003. The distribution of sprat was notably different in 2020 with the distribution concentrated along the shore in the east and a lack of fish in the southwest. Anecdotal evidence suggests that prior to the survey a high abundance of sprat was observed in the southwest and was the focus of prolonged and persistent marine mammal feeding activity. Given the inshore distribution observed this year it is possible that the sprat stock was not fully contained within the survey area and so the estimate is low. The size profile of sprat was dominated by larger fish overall and lacked the spread of cohorts normally observed. This is not considered reflective of the state of the stock but rather a year effect which has been observed previously (O'Donnell et al, 2020).

The biomass of sprat in 2021 was higher than observed in 2020 (2021: 12376 t and 2020: 4523 t ). As in 2020, the distribution of sprat was concentrated in inshore waters. Given the inshore distribution this year it is possible that the sprat stock was not fully contained within the survey area and so an unknown proportion of the stock remains unaccounted for. The size profile of sprat was dominated by smaller fish compared to 2020 and lacked the larger length cohorts that dominated catches.

\subsection*{12.3.2 Scottish Acoustic Surveys (A9481)}

A Clyde herring and sprat acoustic survey was carried out in June/July 1985-1990 and then discontinued (Figure 12.3.2 for coverage). Biomass estimates from all years as well as lengths and ages from some years are available from this survey but not presented here.

In 2012 this survey was reinstated as an October/November survey for herring mainly. Full results from these surveys for sprats are not available at the moment. Age and length distribution from the survey in 2012 are in Figure 12.3.3. In 2013 the survey was cancelled due to technical problems but has been continued up to 2018 .

\subsection*{12.3.3 Scottish IBTS surveys (G1179)}

The Scottish West Coast IBTS has been carried out in Q1 since 1981 to the present and in Q4 from 1991 onwards (Figure 12.3.2). Although the survey is a groundfish bottom trawl survey it does catch sprat throughout the survey area. The survey provides numbers at length per haul and aggregated age-length keys on a subarea basis. In the period 1981 to 2012 a total of 1434 hauls were completed and approximately half of these caught sprat. Although the survey is still carried out the figure has not been updated in the last five years (2013 to 2018).

\subsection*{12.3.4 Northern Ireland Groundfish Survey (G7144)}

The Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute of Northern Ireland (AFBINI) groundfish survey of ICES Division 7.aN are carried out in March and October at standard stations between \(53^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\) and \(54^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\) (see Stock Annex for more detail on the survey). Sprat is routinely caught in the groundfish surveys however; data were not available at the time of submission of this report.

\subsection*{12.3.5 AFBI Acoustic Survey (A4075)}

The Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute of Northern Ireland (AFBINI) carries out an annual acoustic survey in the Irish Sea each September (see the Stock Annex for a description of the survey). While targeting herring, a sprat biomass is also calculated. The annual calculated biomass from 1998-2014 is shown in Figure 12.3.4 and Table 12.3.2. The biomass is estimated to have peaked in 2002 with 405000 t and it has declined since then to just under 95000 t in 2010 . Recent estimates suggest an increase with 2014 being the second highest estimate in the time-series, followed by a decline in the final year of the survey. Spatial distribution of sprat at the time of the survey is shown in Figure 12.3.5. Further work is required to investigate the utility of this survey for measuring sprat biomass in this area.

\subsection*{12.4 Mean weight-at-age and maturity-at-age}

No data on mean weight-at-age or maturity-at-age in the catch are available.

\subsection*{12.5 Recruitment}

The various groundfish and acoustic surveys may provide an index of sprat recruitment in this ecoregion. However further work is required.

\subsection*{12.6 Stock Assessment}

Currently, the only assessment carried out in the Celtic ecoregion is for sprat in 7.d-e and it is based on a survey index of biomass (Please refer to Section 12 - Sprat in divisions 7.d-e).

\subsection*{12.7 State of the Stock}

The state of the sprat stock in the Celtic Seas is currently unknown and the data available are not enough to provide any indication on its status. The only assessment available in the area for this species is for sprat in the English Channel (for that, please refer to Section 12 of this report).

\subsection*{12.8 Short-term projections}

No projections are presented for this stock.

\subsection*{12.9 Reference Points}

No precautionary reference points are defined for sprat populations in the region

\subsection*{12.10 Quality of the Assessment}

The stock status is unknown and the Working Group does not have enough information to assess the status of the stock in relation to reference points.

\subsection*{12.11 Management Considerations}

Sprat is a short-lived species with large interannual fluctuations in stock biomass. The natural interannual variability of stock abundance, mainly driven by recruitment variability, is high and does not appear to be strongly influenced by the observed levels of fishing effort.

The sprat has mainly been fished together with herring. The human consumption fishery only takes a minor proportion of the total catch. Within the current management regime, where there is a bycatch ceiling limitation of herring as well as bycatch percentage limits, the sprat fishery is controlled by these factors. Most management areas in this ecoregion do not have a quota for sprat. However, there is a quota in 7.d-e, English Channel, which has not been fully utilized.

\subsection*{12.12 Ecosystem Considerations}

In the North Sea Multispecies investigations have demonstrated that sprat is one of the important prey species in the North Sea ecosystem, for both fish and seabirds. At present, there are no data available on the total amount of sprat, and in general of other pelagic species, taken by seabirds in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion.

The Celtic Seas Ecoregion is a feeding ground for several species of large baleen whales (O'Donnell et al., 2004-2009). These whales feed primarily on sprat and herring from September to February.

Table 12.1.1 Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat, 1985-2021, Division 6.a. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than 10 m length. (tonnes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Denmark & Faroe Islands & Ireland & Norway & UK Eng+Wales+N.Irl. & UK Scotland & Other & Total \\
\hline 1985 & 0 & 0 & 51 & 557 & 0 & 2946 & 0 & 3554 \\
\hline 1986 & 0 & 0 & 348 & 0 & 2 & 520 & 0 & 870 \\
\hline 1987 & 269 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 582 & 0 & 851 \\
\hline 1988 & 364 & 0 & 150 & 0 & 0 & 3864 & 0 & 4378 \\
\hline 1989 & 0 & 0 & 147 & 0 & 0 & 1146 & 0 & 1293 \\
\hline 1990 & 0 & 0 & 800 & 0 & 0 & 813 & 0 & 1613 \\
\hline 1991 & 0 & 0 & 151 & 0 & 0 & 1526 & 0 & 1677 \\
\hline 1992 & 28 & 0 & 360 & 0 & 0 & 1555 & 0 & 1943 \\
\hline 1993 & 22 & 0 & 2350 & 0 & 0 & 2230 & 0 & 4602 \\
\hline 1994 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 1491 & 0 & 1530 \\
\hline 1995 & 241 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4124 & 0 & 4365 \\
\hline 1996 & 0 & 0 & 269 & 0 & 0 & 2350 & 0 & 2619 \\
\hline 1997 & 0 & 0 & 1596 & 0 & 0 & 5313 & 0 & 6909 \\
\hline 1998 & 40 & 0 & 94 & 0 & 0 & 3467 & 0 & 3601 \\
\hline 1999 & 0 & 0 & 2533 & 0 & 310 & 8161 & 0 & 11004 \\
\hline 2000 & 0 & 0 & 3447 & 0 & 0 & 4238 & 0 & 7685 \\
\hline 2001 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 98 & 1294 & 0 & 1396 \\
\hline 2002 & 0 & 0 & 1333 & 0 & 0 & 2657 & 0 & 3990 \\
\hline 2003 & 887 & 0 & 1060 & 0 & 0 & 2593 & 0 & 4540 \\
\hline 2004 & 0 & 0 & 97 & 0 & 0 & 1416 & 0 & 1513 \\
\hline 2005 & 0 & 252 & 1134 & 0 & 13 & 0 & 0 & 1399 \\
\hline 2006 & 0 & 0 & 601 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 601 \\
\hline 2007 & 0 & 0 & 333 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 0 & 347 \\
\hline 2008 & 0 & 0 & 892 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 892 \\
\hline 2009 & 0 & 0 & 104 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 0 & 174 \\
\hline 2010 & 0 & 0 & 332 & 0 & 0 & 537 & 0 & 869 \\
\hline 2011 & 0 & 0 & 468 & 0 & 248 & 507 & 0 & 1223 \\
\hline 2012 & 0 & 0 & 113 & 0 & 0 & 1688 & 0 & 1801 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Denmark & Faroe Islands & Ireland & Norway & UK Eng+Wales+N.Irl. & UK Scotland & Other & Total \\
\hline 2013 & 0 & 0 & 487 & 0 & 0 & 968 & 0 & 1455 \\
\hline 2014 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1540 & 0 & 1543 \\
\hline 2015 & 0 & 0 & 1305 & 0 & 0 & 1060 & 0 & 2365 \\
\hline 2016 & 0 & 0 & 431 & 0 & 0 & 2177 & 0 & 2608 \\
\hline 2017 & 0 & 0 & 604 & 0 & 0 & 1354 & 0 & 1958 \\
\hline 2018 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 2019 & 0 & 1 & 3243 & 0 & 66 & 1265 & 1 & 4575 \\
\hline 2020 & 0 & 0 & 796 & 0 & 0 & 724 & 0 & 1520 \\
\hline 2021 & 0 & 0 & 85 & 0 & 0 & 161 & 0 & 246 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.2 Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Irish landings of sprat, 1985-2021 from Division 7.aN. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than 10 m length. (tonnes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Ireland & Isle of Man & UK Eng+Wales+N.Irl. & \begin{tabular}{l}
UK \\
Scotland
\end{tabular} & Total \\
\hline 1985 & 668 & 0 & 20 & 0 & 688 \\
\hline 1986 & 1152 & 1 & 6 & 0 & 1159 \\
\hline 1987 & 41 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 41 \\
\hline 1988 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 6 & 10 \\
\hline 1989 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1990 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1991 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\
\hline 1992 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1993 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1994 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1995 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 0 & 30 \\
\hline 1996 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1997 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
\hline 1998 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\
\hline 1999 & 0 & 0 & 146 & 0 & 146 \\
\hline 2000 & 0 & 0 & 371 & 0 & 371 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Country & Ireland & Isle of Man & UK Eng+Wales+N.Irl. & UK Scotland & Total \\
\hline 2001 & 0 & 0 & 269 & 3 & 272 \\
\hline 2002 & 0 & 0 & 306 & 0 & 306 \\
\hline 2003 & 0 & 0 & 592 & 0 & 592 \\
\hline 2004 & 0 & 0 & 134 & 0 & 134 \\
\hline 2005 & 0 & 0 & 591 & 0 & 591 \\
\hline 2006 & 0 & 0 & 563 & 0 & 563 \\
\hline 2007 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2008 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
\hline 2009 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2010 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2011 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2012 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2013 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2014 & 522 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 522 \\
\hline 2015 & 792 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 792 \\
\hline 2016 & 150 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 150 \\
\hline 2017 & 150 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 150 \\
\hline 2018 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2019 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\
\hline 2020 & 2521 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2521 \\
\hline 2021 & 381 & 0 & 0 & 0.078 & 381 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.3 Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Irish landings of sprat, 1985-2021 from Division 7.aS. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than 10 m length. (tonnes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Country & Ireland \\
\hline 1985 & 0 \\
\hline 1986 & 0 \\
\hline 1987 & 0 \\
\hline 1988 & 0 \\
\hline 1989 & 0 \\
\hline 1990 & 0 \\
\hline 1991 & 0 \\
\hline 1992 & 0 \\
\hline 1993 & 0 \\
\hline 1994 & 0 \\
\hline 1995 & 0 \\
\hline 1996 & 0 \\
\hline 1997 & 0 \\
\hline 1998 & 7 \\
\hline 1999 & 25 \\
\hline 2000 & 123 \\
\hline 2001 & 7 \\
\hline 2002 & 0 \\
\hline 2003 & 3103 \\
\hline 2004 & 408 \\
\hline 2005 & 361 \\
\hline 2006 & 114 \\
\hline 2007 & 0 \\
\hline 2008 & 102 \\
\hline 2009 & 0 \\
\hline 2010 & 433 \\
\hline 2011 & 1535 \\
\hline 2012 & 6261 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline Country & Ireland \\
\hline 2013 & 2545 \\
\hline 2014 & 16 \\
\hline 2015 & 3659 \\
\hline 2016 & 935 \\
\hline 2017 & 935 \\
\hline 2018 & 1117 \\
\hline 2020 & 6888 \\
\hline 2021 & 7861 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.4. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat, 1985-2021, from divisions 7.b-c. Irish data may be underestimated, due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than \(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m}\) length. (tonnes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Country & Ireland \\
\hline 1985 & 0 \\
\hline 1986 & 0 \\
\hline 1987 & 100 \\
\hline 1988 & 0 \\
\hline 1989 & 0 \\
\hline 1990 & 400 \\
\hline 1991 & 40 \\
\hline 1992 & 50 \\
\hline 1993 & 3 \\
\hline 1994 & 145 \\
\hline 1995 & 150 \\
\hline 1996 & 21 \\
\hline 1997 & 28 \\
\hline 1998 & 331 \\
\hline 1999 & 5 \\
\hline 2000 & 698 \\
\hline 2001 & 138 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Country & Ireland \\
\hline 2002 & 11 \\
\hline 2003 & 38 \\
\hline 2004 & 68 \\
\hline 2005 & 260 \\
\hline 2006 & 40 \\
\hline 2007 & 32 \\
\hline 2008 & 1 \\
\hline 2009 & 238 \\
\hline 2010 & 0 \\
\hline 2011 & 0 \\
\hline 2012 & 23 \\
\hline 2013 & 237 \\
\hline 2014 & 0 \\
\hline 2015 & 250 \\
\hline 2016 & 0 \\
\hline 2017 & 874 \\
\hline 2018 & 508 \\
\hline 2019 & 842 \\
\hline 2020 & 1308 \\
\hline 2021 & 294 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.6 Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat, 1985-2021, Division 7.f. (tonnes)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Country} & Netherlands & & Total \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Eng+Wales+N.Irl.} \\
\hline 1985 & 273 & 0 & 273 \\
\hline 1986 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1987 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1988 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1989 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1990 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1991 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 1992 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1993 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1994 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 1995 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1996 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1997 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1998 & 0 & 51 & 51 \\
\hline 1999 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2000 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2001 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2002 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2003 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2004 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2005 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2006 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2007 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 2008 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2009 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 2010 & 0 & 7 & 7 \\
\hline 2011 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 2012 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{llll}\hline \text { Country } & \text { Netherlands } & \text { UK } \\
\text { Eng+Wales+N.Irl. }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Total \\
\hline 2013 \\
\hline 2014
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.7 Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat, 1985-2021, divisions 7.g-k. Irish data may be underestimated due to difficulties in quantifying the landings from vessels of less than \(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m}\) length. (tonnes)
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\hline \text { Country } & \text { Denmark } & \text { France } & \text { Ireland } & \text { Netherlands } & \text { Spain } & \text { UK } \\
\text { Eng+Wales+N.Irl. }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Total \\
\hline 1985 \\
\hline 1986 \\
\hline 538
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\hline \text { Country } & \text { Denmark } & \text { France } & \text { Ireland } & \text { Netherlands } & \text { Spain } & \text { UK } \\
\text { Eng+Wales+N.Irl. }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Total \\
\hline 2001
\end{tabular}

Table 12.1.8 Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat, 1985-2021 in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.a-c and 7.f-k.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2
\(\vdots\)
0
0
0 &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n}{0} \\
& \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\
& \frac{\pi}{0} \\
& \frac{0}{\pi} \\
& \hline \mathbf{L}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 쁜 } \\
& \text { 든 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{D} \\
& \underline{\widetilde{C}} \\
& \underline{\underline{N}}
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  & त

3
2 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {.ㅡㅡ̃ } \\
& \text { in }
\end{aligned}
\] & &  &  & - \\
\hline 1985 & 538 & 0 & 0 & 4532 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 10 & 520 & 5601 \\
\hline 1986 & 269 & 0 & 1 & 2230 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 582 & 3082 \\
\hline 1987 & 364 & 0 & 0 & 853 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & & 4 & 3870 & 5092 \\
\hline 1988 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1163 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 1 & 1146 & 2310 \\
\hline 1989 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1325 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 813 & 2138 \\
\hline 1990 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 205 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 4 & 1526 & 1735 \\
\hline 1991 & 28 & 0 & 0 & 508 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 1555 & 2091 \\
\hline 1992 & 22 & 0 & 0 & 2353 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 2230 & 4605 \\
\hline 1993 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 2 & 1491 & 1725 \\
\hline 1994 & 491 & 0 & 0 & 799 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 30 & 4124 & 5444 \\
\hline 1995 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4214 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 2350 & 6564 \\
\hline 1996 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2085 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 8 & 5313 & 7406 \\
\hline 1997 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 1578 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 54 & 3467 & 5139 \\
\hline 1998 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5826 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 456 & 8161 & 14443 \\
\hline 1999 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6032 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 371 & 4238 & 10641 \\
\hline 2000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 455 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 367 & 1297 & 2119 \\
\hline 2001 & 538 & 0 & 0 & 4532 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 10 & 520 & 5601 \\
\hline 2002 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1729 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 306 & 2657 & 4692 \\
\hline 2003 & 887 & 0 & 0 & 4948 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 592 & 2593 & 9020 \\
\hline 2004 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4096 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 134 & 1416 & 5646 \\
\hline 2005 & 0 & 252 & 0 & 5928 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 604 & 0 & 6784 \\
\hline 2006 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1523 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 563 & 0 & 2086 \\
\hline 2007 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3745 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 1 & 2 & 14 & 3762 \\
\hline 2008 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2353 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2355 \\
\hline 2009 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3773 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 70 & 3844 \\
\hline 2010 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3200 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 7 & 537 & 3744 \\
\hline 2011 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3770 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 261 & 507 & 4538 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  &  &  &  &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { त } \\
& \frac{3}{3} \\
& \frac{0}{0}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {.듞 } \\
& \text { in }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{O}} \\
& \stackrel{1}{0}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 2012 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9029 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1688 & 10719 \\
\hline 2013 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4916 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 968 & 5887 \\
\hline 2014 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2852 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1540 & 4392 \\
\hline 2015 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9328 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1060 & 10389 \\
\hline 2016 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4763 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2177 & 6941 \\
\hline 2017 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4318 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1354 & 5672 \\
\hline 2018 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 3580 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3590 \\
\hline 2019 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 13018 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 66 & 1265 & 14353 \\
\hline 2020 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14446 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 724 & 15173 \\
\hline 2021 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 14145 & & & & & 0.35 & 0.078 & 14146 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Sprat biomass by year from the MI Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Year & Biomass (t) \\
\hline Nov/Dec-91 & 36880 \\
\hline Jan-92 & 15420 \\
\hline Jan-92 & 5150 \\
\hline Nov-92 & 27320 \\
\hline Jan-93 & 18420 \\
\hline Nov-93 & 95870 \\
\hline Jan-94 & 8035 \\
\hline Nov-95 & 75440 \\
\hline 2002 & 20600 \\
\hline 2003 & 1395 \\
\hline 2004 & 50810 \\
\hline 2005 & 29019 \\
\hline 2008 & 5493 \\
\hline 2009 & 16229 \\
\hline 2011 & 31593 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline Year & Biomass (t) \\
\hline 2012 & 35114 \\
\hline 2013 & 44685 \\
\hline 2014 & 54826 \\
\hline 2015 & 83779 \\
\hline 2016 & 42694 \\
\hline 2017 & 70745 \\
\hline 2018 & 47806 \\
\hline 2019 & 60608 \\
\hline 2020 & 4523 \\
\hline 2021 & 12376 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 12.3.2. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Annual sprat biomass in ICES Division 7.a (Source: AFBI annual herring acoustic survey).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Sprat \& 0-group herring} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Sprat \\
Biomass ( t )
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Biomass (t) & CV & \% sprat & \\
\hline 1994 & 68600 & 0.1 & 95 & 65,200 \\
\hline 1995 & 348600 & 0.13 & n/a & n/a \\
\hline 1996 & n/a & n/a & n/a & n/a \\
\hline 1997 & 45600 & 0.2 & n/a & n/a \\
\hline 1998 & 228000 & 0.11 & 97 & 221300 \\
\hline 1999 & 272200 & 0.1 & 98 & 265400 \\
\hline 2000 & 234700 & 0.11 & 94 & 221400 \\
\hline 2001 & 299700 & 0.08 & 99 & 295100 \\
\hline 2002 & 413900 & 0.09 & 98 & 405100 \\
\hline 2003 & 265900 & 0.1 & 95 & 253800 \\
\hline 2004 & 281000 & 0.07 & 96 & 270200 \\
\hline 2005 & 141900 & 0.1 & 96 & 136100 \\
\hline 2006 & 143200 & 0.09 & 87 & 125000 \\
\hline 2007 & 204700 & 0.09 & 91 & 187200 \\
\hline 2008 & 252300 & 0.12 & 83 & 209800 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Year} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Sprat \& 0-group herring} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Sprat \\
Biomass ( t )
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Biomass (t) & CV & \% sprat & \\
\hline 2009 & 175200 & 0.08 & 78 & 136200 \\
\hline 2010 & 107400 & 0.1 & 87 & 93700 \\
\hline 2011 & 280000 & 0.11 & 85 & 238400 \\
\hline 2012 & 171200 & 0.11 & 95 & 162600 \\
\hline 2013 & 255300 & 0.09 & 77 & 197500 \\
\hline 2014 & 393000 & 0.1 & 93 & 367100 \\
\hline 2015 & 237000 & 0.09 & 84 & 199,100 \\
\hline 2016 & & & & 236000 \\
\hline 2017 & & & & 222000 \\
\hline 2018 & & & & 219000 \\
\hline 2019 & & & & 146000 \\
\hline 2020 & & & & 117000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 12.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Map showing areas mentioned in the text.


Figure 12.2.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES Division 6.a.


Figure 12.2.2. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES Division 7.aN. Note: Irish landings from 1973-1995 may be from 7.aN or 7.aS.


Figure 12.2.3. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES Division 7.aS.


Figure 12.2.4. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES divisions 7.b-c.


Figure 12.2.6. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES Division 7.f.


Figure 12.2.7. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES divisions 7.g-k.


Figure 12.2.8. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Landings of sprat 1950-2021 ICES subareas 6 and 7 (Celtic Seas Ecoregion).


Figure 12.3.1. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Estimated sprat biomass from the MI Celtic Sea Herring Acoustic Survey 2004-2021 (A4705).


Figure 12.3.2: Extent of Scottish surveys that may provide information about sprat in 6.a. In purple is the extent of the Clyde Herring and Sprat Acoustic Surveys carried out in July between 1985 and 1989 and again in October 2012. In green is the extent of the Sea Lochs Surveys carried out annually in Q1 and Q4 between 2001 and 2005. Red markers indicate all hauls from the Q1 and Q4 Scottish West Coast IBTS between 1985 and 2012 (G7144).


Figure 12.3.3. Length and age of sprat caught in the October 2012 Clyde Herring and Sprat Acoustic Survey. Data from six hauls were combined giving equal weight to the age and length distribution in each haul. 1442 sprat were measured and 182 were aged (G7144).


Figure 12.3.4. Sprat in the Celtic Seas Ecoregion. Annual sprat biomass in ICES Division 7.aN from the AFBI Acoustic Survey (A4075)


Figure 12.3.5. Map of the Irish Sea and North Channel with a post plot showing the distribution of NASC values (size of ellipses is proportional to square root of the NASC value per 15-minute interval) obtained during the 2020 acoustic survey on RV "Corystes". (a) Open blue circles are for herring NASC values (maximum value was 18895 and (b) open red circles are for clupeoid mix NASC, which include juvenile herring and sprat (maximum value was 2714) from the AFBI acoustic survey (A4705).
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\section*{Annex 2: Resolutions}

\section*{Generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups}

2021/2/FRSG01 The following ToRs apply to: AFWG, HAWG, NWWG, NIPAG, WGWIDE, WGBAST, WGBFAS, WGNSSK, WGCSE, WGDEEP, WGBIE, WGEEL, WGEF, WGHANSA and WGNAS.

The working group should focus on:
a) Consider and comment on Ecosystem and Fisheries overviews where available;
b) For the aim of providing input for the Fisheries Overviews, consider and comment on the following for the fisheries relevant to the working group:
i) descriptions of ecosystem impacts on fisheries
ii) descriptions of developments and recent changes to the fisheries
iii) mixed fisheries considerations, and
iv) emerging issues of relevance for management of the fisheries;
c) Conduct an assessment on the stock(s) to be addressed in 2022 using the method (assessment, forecast or trends indicators) as described in the stock annex; - complete and document an audit of the calculations and results; and produce a brief report of the work carried out regarding the stock, providing summaries of the following where relevant:
i) Input data and examination of data quality; in the event of missing or inconsistent survey or catch information refer to the ACOM document for dealing with COVID19 pandemic disruption and the linked template that formulates how deviations from the stock annex are to be reported.
ii) Where misreporting of catches is significant, provide qualitative and where possible quantitative information and describe the methods used to obtain the information;
iii) For relevant stocks (i.e., all stocks with catches in the NEAFC Regulatory Area), estimate the percentage of the total catch that has been taken in the NEAFC Regulatory Area in 2021.
iv) For category 3 and 4 stocks requiring new advice in 2022, implement the methods recommended by WKLIFE X (e.g. SPiCT, rfb, chr, rb rules) to replace the former 2 over 3 advice rule ( 2 over 5 for elasmobranchs). MSY reference points or proxies for the category 3 and 4 stocks
v) Evaluate spawning stock biomass, total stock biomass, fishing mortality, catches (projected landings and discards) using the method described in the stock annex;
1) for category 1 and 2 stocks, in addition to the other relevant model diagnostics, the recommendations and decision tree formulated by WKFORBIAS (see Annex 2 of https://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication\%20Reports/Ex-pert\%20Group\%20Report/Fisheries\%20Resources\%20Steering\%20Group/2020/WKFORBIAS 2019.pdf) should be considered as guidance to determine whether an assessment remains sufficiently robust for providing advice.
2) If the assessment is deemed no longer suitable as basis for advice, consider whether it is possible and feasible to resolve the issue through an interbenchmark. If this is not possible, consider providing advice using an appropriate Category 2 to 5 approach.;
vi) The state of the stocks against relevant reference points;

Consistent with ACOM's 2020 decision, the basis for Fpa should be Fp. 05.
1) 1. Where Fp. 05 for the current set of reference points is reported in the relevant benchmark report, replace the value and basis of Fpa with the information relevant for Fp. 05
2) 2. Where Fp. 05 for the current set of reference points is not reported in the relevant benchmark report, compute the Fp. 05 that is consistent with the current set of reference points and use as Fpa. A review/audit of the computations will be organized.
3) 3. Where Fp. 05 for the current set of reference points is not reported and cannot be computed, retain the existing basis for Fpa.
vii) Catch scenarios for the year(s) beyond the terminal year of the data for the stocks for which ICES has been requested to provide advice on fishing opportunities;
viii)Historical and analytical performance of the assessment and catch options with a succinct description of associated quality issues. For the analytical performance of category 1 and 2 age-structured assessments, report the mean Mohn's rho (assessment retrospective bias analysis) values for time series of recruitment, spawning stock biomass, and fishing mortality rate. The WG report should include a plot of this retrospective analysis. The values should be calculated in accordance with the "Guidance for completing ToR viii) of the Generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups - Retrospective bias in assessment" and reported using the ICES application for this purpose.
d) Produce a first draft of the advice on the stocks under considerations according to ACOM guidelines.
i. In the section 'Basis for the assessment' under input data match the survey names with the relevant "SurveyCode" listed ICES survey naming convention (restricted access) and add the "SurveyCode" to the advice sheet.
e) Review progress on benchmark issues and processes of relevance to the Expert Group.
i) update the benchmark issues lists for the individual stocks in SID;
ii) review progress on benchmark issues and identify potential benchmarks to be initiated in 2023 for conclusion in 2024;
iii) determine the prioritization score for benchmarks proposed for 2023-2024;
iv) as necessary, document generic issues to be addressed by the Benchmark Oversight Group (BOG)
f) Prepare the data calls for the next year's update assessment and for planned data evaluation workshops;
g) Identify research needs of relevance to the work of the Expert Group.
h) Review and update information regarding operational issues and research priorities on the Fisheries Resources Steering Group SharePoint site.
i) If not completed in 2020, complete the audit spread sheet 'Monitor and alert for changes in ecosystem/fisheries productivity' for the new assessments and data used for the stocks. Also note in the benchmark report how productivity, species interactions, habitat and distributional changes, including those related to climate-change, could be considered in the advice.

Information of the stocks to be considered by each Expert Group is available here.

\section*{HAWG - Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of 62ㅇN}

2021/2/FRSG03 The Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of \(\mathbf{6 2}{ }^{\mathbf{\circ}} \mathbf{N}\) (HAWG), chaired by Afra Egan, Ireland, and Cecilie Kvamme, Norway will meet:
Online/hybrid meeting 25-27 January 2022 to:
a ) Compile the catch data of sandeel in assessment areas \(1 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{r}, 3 \mathrm{r}, 4,5 \mathrm{r}, 6\), and 7 r and address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups that are specific to sandeel stocks in the North Sea ecoregion;
and in Copenhagen, Denmark (dates tbc) to:
b ) compile the catch data of North Sea and Western Baltic herring on (dates tbc);
c) address generic ToRs for Regional and Species Working Groups on (dates tbc) for all other stocks assessed by HAWG.

The assessments will be carried out based on the Stock Annex. The assessments must be available for audit on the first day of the meeting.

Material and data relevant for the meeting must be available to the group on the dates specified in the 2022 ICES data call.

HAWG will report by 11 February (sandeel), (dates tbc) (sprat) and (dates tbc) (herring) 2022 for the attention of ACOM.

Only experts appointed by national Delegates or appointed in consultation with the national Delegates of the expert's country can attend this Expert Group

\section*{Annex 3: List of stock annexes}

The table below provides an overview of the HAWG Stock Annexes. Stock Annexes for other stocks are available on the ICES website library under the content type Stock Annexes. Enter the stock code, year, ecoregion, species, and/or acronym of the relevant ICES expert group into the search box, and sort by Publication date to see the results. Follow the need help? link for searching tips.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Stock ID & Stock name & Last updated & Link \\
\hline her.27.20-24 & Herring (Clupea harengus) in subdivisions 20-24, spring spawners (Skagerrak, Kattegat, and western Baltic) & March 2021 & her.27.20-24 SA \\
\hline her.27.3a47d & Herring (Clupea harengus) in Subarea 4 and divisions 3.a and 7.d, autumn spawners (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat, eastern English Channel) & August 2021 & her.27.3a47d SA \\
\hline her.27.6aN & Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 6.a North (North of \(56^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\) and East of \(07^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\) ), autumn spawners (West of Scotland) & February 2022 & her.27.6aN SA \\
\hline her.27.6aS7bc & Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 6.a South (South of \(56^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\) and West of \(07^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\) ) and 7.b-c (northwest and west of Ireland) & May 2022 & \(\underline{\text { her.27.6aS7bc SA }}\) \\
\hline her.27.irls & Herring (Clupea harengus) in divisions 7.a South of \(52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\), 7.g-h, and 7.j-k (Irish Sea, Celtic Sea, and southwest of Ireland) & April 2021 & her.27.irls SA \\
\hline her.27.nirs & Herring (Clupea harengus) in Division 7.a North of \(52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\) (Irish Sea) & June 2017 & her.27.nirs SA \\
\hline san.sa.1r & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in Divisions 4.b and 4.c, Sandeel Area \(1 r\) (central and southern North Sea, Dogger Bank) & Jan 2018 & san.sa.1r SA \\
\hline san.sa. 2 r & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in Divisions 4.b and 4.c, and Subdivision 20, Sandeel Area \(2 r\) (Skagerrak, central and southern North Sea) & Jan 2020 & san.sa. 2 L SA \\
\hline san.sa.3r & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in Divisions 4.a and 4.b, and Subdivision 20, Sandeel Area 3r (Skagerrak, northern and central North Sea) & Jan 2020 & san.sa.3r SA \\
\hline san.sa. 4 & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in divisions 4.a and 4.b, Sandeel Area 4 (northern and central North Sea) & Nov 2016 & san.sa. 4 SA \\
\hline san.sa.5r & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in Division 4.a, Sandeel Area \(5 r\) (northern North Sea, Viking and Bergen banks) & Nov 2016 & san.sa. 5 r SA \\
\hline san.sa. 6 & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in subdivisions 20-22, Sandeel Area 6 (Kattegat) & Nov 2016 & san.sa. 6 r SA \\
\hline san.sa. 7 r & Sandeel (Ammodytes spp.) in Division 4.a, Sandeel Area 7r (northern North Sea, Shetland) & Nov 2016 & san.sa.7r SA \\
\hline spr.27.3a4 & Sprat (Sprattus sprattus) in Division 3.a and Subarea 4 (Skagerrak, Kattegat and North Sea) & March 2019 & spr.27.3a4 SA \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline Stock ID & Stock name & Last updated & Link \\
\hline spr.27.67a-cf-k & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Sprat (Sprattus sprattus) in Subarea 6 and Divisions 7.a-c \\
and 7.f-k (West of Scotland, southern Celtic Seas)
\end{tabular} & 2013 & spr.27.67a-cf-k SA \\
\hline spr.27.7de & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Sprat (Sprattus sprattus) in divisions 7.d and 7.e (English \\
Channel)
\end{tabular} & March 2021 & spr.27.7de SA \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Annex 4: List of Working Documents}

\section*{Working documents HAWG 2022}

WD 01 Polte, P and Gröhsler, T. 2021 Western Baltic spring spawning herring recruitment monitored by the Rügen Herring Larvae Survey

WD 02 Gröhsler, T. German Herring Fisheries and Stock Assessment data in the Western Baltic in 2021.

WD 03 Régnier, T. and Boulcott, P. Marine Scotland Science sandeel dredge survey indices for SA4

WD 04 Cruise report sandeel survey 2021. Arctic Hunter and M/S Reykjanes

WD 05 Pastoors, M.A. and Quirijns, F.A. PFA Self sampling report for North Sea herring Fisheries, Including sprat and plichard, 2016-2021

WD 06 Berg, F., Trijoulet, V., Moesgaard Albertsen, C., Birch Håkansson, K., Bekkevold, D., Mosegaard, H., Gröhsler, T., Bartolino, V., Kvamme, C., Rohlf, N., Pastoors, M. and Bergès, B. Stock splitting of North Sea autumn spawners (NSAS) and western Baltic spring spawners (WBSS) for their 2022 assessments.

WD 07 Trijoulet, V. et al. Roadmap for WBSS herring benchmark

\section*{Annex 5: Audit reports}

\author{
Audit of her.27.20-24 \\ Review of ICES Scientific Report, (HAWG) (2022) (20.05.2022) \\ Reviewers: Norbert Rohlf, Martin Pastoors \\ Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme, Afra Egan \\ Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar
}

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Consistent with last year's advice, continued to be zero catch advice when MSY approach is applied. Stock is well below Blim with a slight upward trend and strong decline in fishing mortalilty in recent years

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: her.27.20-24

The WBSS stock is caught in several management units, in Subdivision 20-24 and in Subarea 4a. Catches consists of a mixture of WBSS and NSAS herring. The stock was last benchmarked in 2018.
1) Assessment type: update
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: accepted
4) Assessment model: multi-fleet SAM
5) Consistency: consistent with last year's assessment. Model was applied as per stock annex. Assumptions on age structure in catches taken in the transfer area differ somewhat from preceding years, but conclusions were analyses, presented and explained at HAWG.
6) Stock status: SSB is below Blim. Recruitment continues to be very low.
7) Management plan: There is no agreed management plan for this stock.

General comments
In 2022, 100\% of herring quotas can be transferred from 3.a into 4.a., compared to \(50 \%\) in recent years. This results in important changes of the proportions of WBSS caught in the different fleets, and predicted catches of WBSS highly depend on the area where the catches will be taken.
The stock is caught in different management unit. Recovery will be impaired if catches are not minimized in all units.

Fleet definitions used in the assessment and forecast have been updated to respond to the recent requenst for explanation for several stakeholders. These definitions have also been used for the North Sea herring advice.

Technical comments
None

Conclusions
The assessment has been performed correctly and considered adequate as the basis for TAC advice. All information is available on Stockassessment.org.

\section*{ICES stock advice}

区 Ensure the basis of the advice used is the correct one i．e Management plan；MSY approach；precau－ tionary approach．The same as stated in the basis of advice table and history of advice table．

凹 The advised value of catches should be the same as presented in the catch options table．
区 Check the years for which the advice is given．

\section*{Stock development over time}

区 Ensure all units used in the plots are correct（compare with previous year advice sheet）．
区 Ensure all titles of the plots are correct i．e caches；landings，recruitment age（0，1，2．．．）；relative index
\(\boxtimes\) Recruitment plot：if the intermediate years is an outcome of a model the value should be unshaded．
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the F and SSB reference points（RP）in the plots are the same as in the reference points table． Also，check the respective labels if they correspond with the RP．

区 Check if the legend of the plots is consistent with what is shown in the plots．
区 Check that the graphs match the data in table of stock assessment results．

\section*{Stock and exploitation status}Compare with the previous year＇s advice sheet．The years in common should have the same status （symbol）．Check if the labels for the years are correct．Compare the status table with the F and SSB plots they should show the same information．Does the stock have a management plan？If yes than the row for the management plan should be filled as well otherwise will read not applicable．

\section*{Catch options}

\section*{Basis of catch options table：}

For each of the rows in the table ensure that：
区 The year is correct，
区 The value is correct，
区 The notes are correct and
区 The sources are correct．

\section*{Catch options table：}The forecast should be re－run to ensure all values are correct．
\(\boxtimes\) Compare the input data with previous year run（previous year should be in the share point under the data folder）

区 The wanted catch and SSB values should be given in tonnes（ t ）；

区 Confirm if the \(F\) values for the options \(\mathrm{Flim}_{\mathrm{lim}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) ；are correct．
\(\boxtimes\) For the options where the value of F will take \(S S B\) of the forecast year to be equal to \(\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lm}} ; \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}} ; \mathrm{MSY}_{\mathrm{Btrigger}}\) confirm if the SSB value for the forecast year is equal or close to the reference points．For the options where a percentage is added or taken（i．e \(+10 \% ; 15 \%\) ，etc．）from the current TAC． Ensure that the calculated values are correct．

区 For all the options given in the table calculate the percentage of change in SSB and TAC．
区 In the first column（Rationale）ensure the rational of the first line is the correct basis for the advice．All other options should be under＂Other options＂．

区 Compare different catch options；higher F should result in lower SSB
\(\boxtimes\) Check if SSB change is in line with F．

\section*{Basis of the advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the basis of the advice is correct and if the same is used in the catch option table and in the ICES stock advice section．Is there a management plan？If there is one it should be stated if it has been evaluated by ICES and considered precautionary or not and also if it has been sign off by the clients（EU；Norway，Faroe Is－ lands，etc．）

\section*{Quality of the assessment}

区 Are the units in plots correct？
区 Are the titles in the plots correct including F（age range）recruitment（age）．
\(\boxtimes\) The red line correspond to the year of assessment（except \(F\) which is year of assessment -1 ）
区 Each plot should have five lines．
区 Ensure the reference points lines（in the SSB and F plots）are correct and match with the values in the reference point table and summary plots．

\section*{Issues relevant for the advice}

区 Along with the spelling and structure in the text ensure that any values referenced in the text match the values or percentages in the tables within the advice sheet

\section*{Reference points}

区 Ensure all the values，technical basis and sources are correct．If new values were not calculated the table should be the same as previous year．

If there is no change from the previous year the table should be the same．
区 Ensure there is no typos wrong acronyms for the surveys
凹 Assessment type－check that the standard text is used．

\section*{Information from stakeholders}If no information is available the standard sentence should be＂There is no additional available infor－ mation＂

\section*{History of advice，and management}

This table should only be updated for the assessment year and forecast year except if there was revi－ sion to the previous years．

区 Ensure that the forecast year＂predicted landings or catch corres．to advice＂column match the advice given in the ICES stock advice section（usually given in thousand tonnes）．

\section*{History of catch and landings}

\section*{Catch distribution by fleet table：}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the legend of the table reflects the year for the data given in the table．
Ensure that the sum of the percentage values in each of the components（landings and discards） amount to 100\％Ensure that the sum of the values for discards and landings are equal to the value in the catch column． However，if only landings or discards components are shown，then total catch should be unknown．

\section*{History of commercial landings table：}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the values for the last row are correct check against the preliminary landings（link to be added）

\section*{Summary of the assessment}

This table is an output from the standard graphs．If there was any errors picked up with any of the plots，then this table should be replaced by a new version once the errors are corrected．Check if the column names are correct mainly recruitment age and age range for \(F\) ．If the stock is category 5 or 6 then it should read＂There is no assessment for this stock＂

\section*{Sources and references}

E Ensure all references are correct．
区 Ensure all references in the advice sheet are referenced in this section

\section*{Audit of Her.6aS7bc}

\author{
Working Group: HAWG Stock Name: her.27.6aS7bc \\ Review of ICES Scientific Report, HAWG 2022 \\ Reviewers: Paul Marchal, Kirsten Birch Håkansson \\ Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme and Afra Egan \\ Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar
}

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}
- Assessment and forecasts up to date with 2022 procedure for Category 3 stocks (constant harvest rate, method 2.2)
- The reasons why MSHAS survey lacks of consistency for a number of age pairs should be further investigated

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: Herring in 6a South and 7b, c
Short description of the assessment as follows:
1) Assessment type: benchmark (carried out in 2022; Category 3 stock)
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: NA
4) Assessment model: Category 3, Constant harvest rule (CHR, WKLIFE method 2.2), based on a survey-based biomass index (Split Malin Shelf Acoustic Surveyand harvest rates. Advised catches building on the MSHAS biomass index, commercial catch length frequencies and relative von Bertalanffy parameters estimates, a biomass safeguard cap and a life-history-based multiplier. A stability clause bound by \(-30 \%\) and \(+20 \%\) of 1999-2021 catch applies.
5) Consistency: the most reliable survey index (MSHAS) has been used.
6) Stock status: Increasing biomass from low level in 2006, but no recent recruitment indices in recent years. Harvest rate \(<\mathrm{F}_{\text {proxyMSY }}\) and SSB \(>\) MSY \(\mathrm{B}_{\text {trigger. }}\) No recent recruitment indices. Advised catch increased by \(20 \%\) compared to 2019-2021 catch average, which is entirely driven by the stability clause in the CHR;
7) Management plan: NA

\section*{Conclusions}

Assessment performed correctly and according to procedure, except the value for the index trigger value seems a bit of in the advice table 1 and 3 . The value is set to \(51,340 \mathrm{t}\), but table 8 (advice) shows that the lowest value is \(36,707 \mathrm{t}\), which should give a value of \(51,390 \mathrm{t}\). Further, it is not clear from the report if this index trigger value will be updated if a lower survey biomass is observed in the future.

The reasons why the MSHAS survey lacks of consistency for a number of age pairs should be further investigated.

\section*{Audit of Her.6aN}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, (HAWG) (2022) (9-12/06/2022)
Reviewers: Vanessa Trijoulet
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme and Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: Autumn-spawners herring in Division 6.a North
Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
1) Assessment type: update following benchmark in 2022, Category 3
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: Not relevant
4) Assessment model: Category 3, Method 2.2 Constant Harvest Rate using indices for 6 aN herring from Malin Shelf Herring Acoustic Survey (MSHAS) and commercial catches above the \(56^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\) line (total catch and length frequencies)
5) Consistency: Method used as agreed at the benchmark
6) Stock status: Fishing pressure on the stock is below FMSYproxy since 2017 and SSB index is above the MSY Btrigger ( \(\mathrm{I}_{\text {trigger }}=1.4^{*}\) Iloss) since 2020.
7) Management plan: Not relevant

\section*{General comments}

The assessment and advice was performed in adequacy with what was decided at the 2022 benchmark. The 2023 advice of 1212 t is entirely driven by the stability clause of the chr rule that constrains the advice to not exceed \(20 \%\) of the previous advice (here the average 3 -year catch). Without the stability clause the advice would have been significantly larger ( 8119 t ). Given that the previous combined stock ( \(6 a \mathrm{~N}+6 \mathrm{aS7bc}\) ) advice was zero in 2022, using the stability clause is deemed appropriate. It has to be noted that both stocks have now a positive catch advice given the downgrade to category 3 , which does not allow zero catch advice if applied. The advice catch for 2023 is below the monitoring TAC that was used to date.

\section*{Technical comments}

The following comments were sent to the stock assessors and edited accordingly:
Advice sheet:
Stock development over time: SSB plot the \(I_{\text {trigger }}\) line is full in the plot but described as dashed in the caption. Catches plot, is the value of 177 t in 2020 missing or it is due to the scale of the plot?

Catch scenarios: Table 1 add "tonnes" after 8119 (CHR calculation) or eventually remove the unit everywhere and add it to the caption. Second footnote \(\left({ }^{* *}\right)\) should maybe be Cy \(+1=1 \mathrm{ly}-1 \times \mathrm{FMSY}\) proxy \(\times \mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{m}\) ? C because it is not last year advice but 3 year average catch and index of year for I .

Issues relevant for the advice: \(4^{\text {th }}\) paragraph "remains"?
History of commercial landings table: should the double asterisk be removed for 2020?
Summary assessment: Table 8, typo in caption: "Lmean refers"
References: References identified by Ellie should be removed if not added somewhere in the sheet.

Stock annex: Reference to multifleet SAM model should be replaced by Nielsen et al. 2021 (https:doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsab078)

Report: Add value of Itriger in the section 4.6. Some problems with values when comparing tables in report and advice sheet (explained in a excel document to stock assessors). This needs to be checked to see which are correct. Also 2 columns for UK in Table 4.1.6 in report.

\section*{Conclusions}

The assessment was performed correctly and according to procedure.

\section*{ICES stock advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the basis of the advice used is the correct one i.e Management plan; MSY approach; precautionary approach. The same as stated in the basis of advice table and history of advice table.The advised value of catches should be the same as presented in the catch options table.
\(\boxtimes\) Check the years for which the advice is given.

\section*{Stock development over time}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all units used in the plots are correct (compare with previous year advice sheet).
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all titles of the plots are correct i.e caches; landings, recruitment age ( \(0,1,2 \ldots\) ); relative indexRecruitment plot: if the intermediate years is an outcome of a model the value should be unshaded.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the F and SSB reference points ( RP ) in the plots are the same as in the reference points table. Also, check the respective labels if they correspond with the RP.
\(\boxtimes\) Check if the legend of the plots is consistent with what is shown in the plots.Check that the graphs match the data in table of stock assessment results.

\section*{Stock and exploitation status}Compare with the previous year's advice sheet. The years in common should have the same status (symbol).Check if the labels for the years are correct.Compare the status table with the F and SSB plots they should show the same information.Does the stock have a management plan? If yes than the row for the management plan should be filled as well otherwise will read not applicable.

\section*{Catch options}

\section*{Basis of catch options table:}

For each of the rows in the table ensure that:
\(\square\) The year is correct,The value is correct,The notes are correct andThe sources are correct.

\section*{Catch options table:}The forecast should be re-run to ensure all values are correct.Compare the input data with previous year run (previous year should be in the share point under the data folder)The wanted catch and SSB values should be given in tonnes \((\mathrm{t})\);Confirm if the F values for the options \(\mathrm{Flim}_{\text {lim }} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\); are correct.For the options where the value of F will take SSB of the forecast year to be equal to \(\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim}}\); \(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}}\); MSY \({ }_{\text {Btrigger }}\) confirm if the SSB value for the forecast year is equal or close to the reference points.For the options where a percentage is added or taken (i.e \(+10 \% ; 15 \%\), etc.) from the current TAC. Ensure that the calculated values are correct.For all the options given in the table calculate the percentage of change in SSB and TAC.In the first column (Rationale) ensure the rational of the first line is the correct basis for the advice. All other options should be under "Other options".Compare different catch options; higher F should result in lower SSBCheck if SSB change is in line with F.

\section*{Basis of the advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the basis of the advice is correct and if the same is used in the catch option table and in the ICES stock advice section.
\(\boxtimes\) Is there a management plan? If there is one it should be stated if it has been evaluated by ICES and considered precautionary or not and also if it has been sign off by the clients(EU; Norway, Faroe Islands, etc.)

\section*{Quality of the assessment}Are the units in plots correct?Are the titles in the plots correct including F (age range) recruitment (age).The red line correspond to the year of assessment (except \(F\) which is year of assessment -1 )Each plot should have five lines.Ensure the reference points lines (in the SSB and F plots) are correct and match with the values in the reference point table and summary plots.

\section*{Issues relevant for the advice}

Along with the spelling and structure in the text ensure that any values referenced in the text match the values or percentages in the tables within the advice sheet.

\section*{Reference points}

Ensure all the values, technical basis and sources are correct. If new values were not calculated the table should be the same as previous year.

\section*{Basis of the assessment}
\(\square\) If there is no change from the previous year the table should be the same.Ensure there is no typos wrong acronyms for the surveys
\(\boxtimes\) Assessment type- check that the standard text is used.

\section*{Information from stakeholders}If no information is available the standard sentence should be "There is no additional available information"

\section*{History of advice, and management}This table should only be updated for the assessment year and forecast year except if there was revision to the previous years.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the forecast year "predicted landings or catch corres. to advice" column match the advice given in the ICES stock advice section (usually given in thousand tonnes).

\section*{History of catch and landings \\ Catch distribution by fleet table:}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the legend of the table reflects the year for the data given in the table.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the sum of the percentage values in each of the components (landings and discards) amount to \(100 \%\)Ensure that the sum of the values for discards and landings are equal to the value in the catch column. However, if only landings or discards components are shown, then total catch should be unknown.

\section*{History of commercial landings table:}Ensure that the values for the last row are correct check against the preliminary landings (link to be added)

\section*{Summary of the assessment}
\(\boxtimes\) This table is an output from the standard graphs. If there was any errors picked up with any of the plots, then this table should be replaced by a new version once the errors are corrected.Check if the column names are correct mainly recruitment age and age range for \(F\).If the stock is category 5 or 6 then it should read "There is no assessment for this stock"

\section*{Sources and references}

Ensure all references are correct.Ensure all references in the advice sheet are referenced in this section

\section*{Audit of Her.27.irls}

\author{
Working Group: HAWG \\ Stock Name: her.27.irls
}

Date: May 2022
Review of ICES Scientific Report, Herring Assessment Working Group (HAWG) 2022, 9-12/05/2022
Reviewers: Neil Campbell
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme (Norway), Afra Egan (Ireland)
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

\section*{Stock Celtic Seas Herring}

Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
1) Assessment type: Update
2) Assessment: Accepted
3) Forecast: Accepted
4) Assessment model: ASAP - as defined at WKWEST (2015) and WKPELA (2018), tuned by the Celtic Sea herring acoustic survey
5) Consistency: the assessment has developed a strong retrospective pattern on SSB (Mohn's rho = 1.34). Because the stock is below Blim, the assessment is still used to provide advice.
6) Stock status: B < Blim with no catch options in 2023 consistent with rebuilding the stock above this level; F under the monitoring TAC below both \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\); uncertainty on R is high in the most recent year
7) Management plan: The long-term management strategy for Celtic Sea herring that was proposed by the Pelagic Advisory Council in 2011 was reevaluated by ICES in 2018. ICES advises that the harvest control rule is no longer consistent with the precautionary approach. The management strategy results in a greater than \(5 \%\) probability of the stock falling below Blim in several years throughout the 20-year simulated period.

\section*{General comments}

The spawning-stock biomass (SSB) has decreased significantly in the last decade and has been below \(\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}\) since 2016. The fishing mortality (F) was above Fmsy since 2014, and above Flim between 2016 and 2019, but in 2020 F fell below \(F_{m s y}\) and remained there in 2021. Recruitment has been below average since 2013 and is uncertain. The assessment had a substantial historical retrospective bias in recent years. Applying the ICES MSY approach results in zero catch for 2023, however, in order to continue to monitor the stock development ICES provides a technical service assuming a continued monitoring TAC of 869 tonnes, the same as last year.

The assessment is well presented and carried out in line with the process described in the stock annex.

Technical comments
Table 8 (advice sheet) and Table 6.1.1.2 (report) Totals column do not correspond to sum of catches in country \& discard columns, differing by up to \(30 \%\).

\section*{Conclusions}

The assessment has been performed correctly in line with the stock annex, and appropriate procedures followed to provide advice and technical services.

\section*{Checklist for audit process}

\section*{General aspects}

Has the EG answered those TORs relevant to providing advice? Yes
Is the assessment according to the stock annex description? Yes
If a management plan is used as the basis of the advice, has been agreed to by the relevant parties and has the plan been evaluated by ICES to be precautionary? Management plan not used.

Have the data been used as specified in the stock annex? Yes
Has the assessment, recruitment and forecast model been applied as specified in the stock annex? Yes

Is there any major reason to deviate from the standard procedure for this stock? No

Does the update assessment give a valid basis for advice? If not, suggested what other basis should be sought for the advice? Yes

It is useful to print previous year advice sheet for comparison purposes it will make it easier to find potential errors and or inconsistencies. Done

Along with the spelling and structure of the text ensure that any values referenced in the text match the values or percentages shown in the tables. Done

All the values presented in the advice sheet should not be rounded at the WG. All rounded will be done at the ADG. OK

\section*{ICES stock advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the basis of the advice used is the correct one i.e Management plan; MSY approach; precautionary approach. The same as stated in the basis of advice table and history of advice table.
\(\boxtimes\) The advised value of catches should be the same as presented in the catch options table.
\(\boxtimes\) Check the years for which the advice is given.

\section*{Stock development over time}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all units used in the plots are correct (compare with previous year advice sheet).
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all titles of the plots are correct i.e caches; landings, recruitment age ( \(0,1,2 \ldots\) ); relative index
\(\boxtimes\) Recruitment plot: if the intermediate years is an outcome of a model the value should be unshaded.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the F and SSB reference points (RP) in the plots are the same as in the reference points table. Also, check the respective labels if they correspond with the RP.
\(\boxtimes\) Check if the legend of the plots is consistent with what is shown in the plots.
\(\boxtimes\) Check that the graphs match the data in table of stock assessment results.

\section*{Catch options}

\section*{Basis of catch options table:}

For each of the rows in the table ensure that:
\(\boxtimes\) The year is correct,
\(\boxtimes\) The value is correct,
\(\boxtimes\) The notes are correct and
\(\boxtimes\) The sources are correct.

\section*{Basis of the advice}

Ensure the basis of the advice is correct and if the same is used in the catch option table and in the ICES stock advice section.
\(\boxtimes\) Is there a management plan? If there is one it should be stated if it has been evaluated by ICES and considered precautionary or not and also if it has been sign off by the clients(EU; Norway, Faroe Islands, etc.)

\section*{Quality of the assessment}
\(\boxtimes\) Are the units in plots correct?
\(\boxtimes\) Are the titles in the plots correct including F (age range) recruitment (age).
\(\boxtimes\) The coloured line correspond to the year of assessment (except F which is year of assessment -1)
\(\boxtimes\) Each plot should have five lines.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the reference points lines (in the SSB and F plots) are correct and match with the values in the reference point table and summary plots.

\section*{Issues relevant for the advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Along with the spelling and structure in the text ensure that any values referenced in the text match the values or percentages in the tables within the advice sheet.

\section*{Reference points}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all the values, technical basis and sources are correct. If new values were not calculated the table should be the same as previous year.

\section*{Basis of the assessment}
\(\boxtimes\) If there is no change from the previous year the table should be the same.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure there is no typos wrong acronyms for the surveys.
\(\boxtimes\) Assessment type- check that the standard text is used.

\section*{History of advice, and management}
\(\boxtimes\) This table should only be updated for the assessment year and forecast year except if there was revision to the previous years.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the forecast year "predicted landings or catch corres. to advice" column match the advice given in the ICES stock advice section (usually given in thousand tonnes).

\section*{History of catch and landings}

\section*{Catch distribution by fleet table:}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the legend of the table reflects the year for the data given in the table.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the sum of the percentage values in each of the components (landings and discards) amount to \(100 \%\)
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the sum of the values for discards and landings are equal to the value in the catch column. However, if only landings or discards components are shown, then total catch should be unknown.

\section*{History of commercial landings table:}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure that the values for the last row are correct check against the preliminary landings (link to be added)

\section*{Summary of the assessment}
\(\boxtimes\) This table is an output from the standard graphs. If there was any errors picked up with any of the plots, then this table should be replaced by a new version once the errors are corrected.
\(\boxtimes\) Check if the column names are correct mainly recruitment age and age range for F .
\(\boxtimes\) If the stock is category 5 or 6 then it should read "There is no assessment for this stock"

\section*{Sources and references}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all references are correct.
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure all references in the advice sheet are referenced in this section
```

Her27.irls
Review of ICES Scientific Report, (HAWG) (2022) (24.05.2022)
Reviewers: Johnathan Bal
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme, Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

```

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group
General
Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

For single-stock summary sheet advice
Stock: Her.irls
1) Assessment type: update
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: accepted
4) Assessment model: ASAP Analytical assessment, using a single acoustic survey ages 2-7 (2002-2021) and catch data (1958-2021)
5) Consistency: The assessment has been accepted last year and this year, but has suffered from retrospective revisions for both SSB and F.
6) Stock status: Biomass remains below Blim, but has seen a slight increase, F at age was above \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) between 2016 and 2019, but in 2020 was brought under \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}\) and remains there in 2021. Recruitment is up from 2020 but remains low and a downwards revision is
seen in the retrospectives. The uncertainty in recruitment has been attributed to a lack of fisheries independent information.
7) Management plan: The long-term management strategy for the stock was evaluated by ICES in 2018 and the harvest control rule was found to be no longer consistent with the precautionary approach. The current TAC (869t) has been agreed following the special request to ICES and the advisement of a monitoring TAC to allow for continued stock assessment in the face of a zero catch advice.

General comments
Well written

Technical comments

No comments
Conclusions
The assessment has been performed correctly

\section*{Audit of Her.27.nirs}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, (expert group/workshop title) (year) (dates)
Reviewers: Campbell Pert and Ed Farrell
Expert group Chair: Afra Egan, \& Cecile Kvamme
Secretariat representative:

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: Herring in Division 7.a North of \(52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} N\) (Irish Sea)
Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
1) Assessment type: Update
2) Assessment: Accepted
3) Forecast: Accepted
4) Assessment model: Age-based analytical assessment (FLSAM; ICES, 2022) that uses catches in the model and in the forecast.
5) Consistency: The advice is consistent with last year's assessment although the historic SSB appears to be sensitive to addition of a new year's data resulting in revision during the recent time period.
6) Stock status: The assessment is performed on a mixed stock (including juveniles from the Celtic Sea), which affects the estimates of the younger ages. Due to the presence of herring from other stocks, the assessment may overestimate
the Irish Sea stock. However, fishing pressure on the stock is below FMSY and spawning-stock size is above MSY Btrigger, Bpa, and Blim.
7) Management plan: There is no agreed management plan for this stock.

\section*{General comments}

This has previously been raised but it seems unusual to be calculating \(F\) on ages \(4-6\), when from the data it's clear that the majority of the catches comprise of \(2-3 \mathrm{wr}\) and therefore this appears to differ from how we deal with other stocks.

A wider issue with this and other adjacent stocks is that trying to forecast the SSB to 2024 given all the uncertainties and also the partial data for 2022 seems like a bit of a stretch and perhaps not very useful for the advice. This is a wider issue than just this stock though it may be worth discussing at the ADG.

The assessment outputs (recruitment, F at age and SSB) seem to have a high level of uncertainty, which has increased annually over the past number of years.

Technical comments
Figure 1 SSB, Table 1, Table 9: The SSB for 2022 in Fig 1 and Table 9 is indicated as 27504t but in Table 1 it is 24716 t. The 2022 SSB in the presentation was the same as Table 1. Also applies to Figure 2 SSB.

Table 2. Can you check the percentage SSB change and advice change values in this table.
Table 4. There is only one 2021 reference so you don't need 2021a
Table 8. The UK catch in 2021 doesn't tally with the catch in slide 23 of the presentation. Was there other UK catch (apart from NI) that wasn't sampled?

On the references 'Groot' is cited in the text but it should be 'de Groot'

\section*{Conclusions}

As an update assessment the assessment appears to have been performed correctly for the purposes of providing updated advice. However given the issues mentioned above in general comments and the pattern on increasing uncertainty it may be time to look at the assessment data and model in more detail.

\section*{Audit of san.sa. 1 r}

Reviewers: Espen Johnsen
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme and Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar
Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: san.sa.1r
1) Assessment type: update
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: accepted
4) Assessment model: Analytical assessment based on SMS 2 season (Jan-Jun and Jul-Dec) model. Age based assuming a relationship between F and fishing effort. 1 fleet and 1 dredge survey,
5) Consistency: Consistent assessment, but with some retrospective pattern in the recruitment and SBB
6) Stock status: spawning-stock size is above below MSY Bescapement and Bpa, but above Blim 1st January 2022. R 2021 is far below average.
7) Management plan: No MP for SA1r

General comments

Technical comments

\section*{Audit of san.sa. 1 r}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, HAWG 2022
Reviewers: Claus R. Sparrevohn
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock

Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
1) Assessment type: update
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: accepted
4) Assessment model: SMS with dredge survey index and commercial effort
5) Consistency: Accepted. Model is consistent with to last year
6) Stock status: \(\mathrm{SSB}>\operatorname{Blim}\) but fluctuates somewhat between years due to the nature of shortlived species. No fishery reference point is defined for this stock
7) Management plan: No agreed management plan

General comments
Due to a low recruitment index (dredge survey), the combination of the incoming 2021 yearclass and the estimated 2022 SSB is not big enough to support any fishery. Because of that, the group support setting a monitoring TAC on 5000 t combined with a sampling procedure ensuring data for next years assessment.

There is retrospecitive bias in the assessment, especially in the SSB and recruitment,

\section*{Conclusions}

An assessment appropriate basis for advice.

\section*{Audit of san.sa.2r}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, HAWG 2022, 3 February
Reviewers: Valerio Bartolino and Christopher Griffiths
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme and Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}
- Assessment and forecasts conform to the procedures
- The retrospective patterns in both R and SSB are known to be problematic for the stock and the Mohn's rho has deteriorated further compared to the last year's assessment ( \(\rho . S S B\) from 0.49 to 0.55 and \(\rho . R\) from 0.29 to 0.37 ). When compared to last year's assessment, SSB has been revised downwards for several years back in time. The reasons for this are not clear from the text in the advice sheet but it must be related to the revision in R.
- The dredge survey found high densities of age 0 in 2021 throughout both the northern and southern grounds. This builds confidence in the good 2021 age 0 survey index
- The estimate of survey catchability (especially for age 0 ) is highly variable among years which is problematic to the assessment of the stock. However, the net effect given by the combination of the catchability parameter and the parameter used in the power model is consistent with last year's assessment.
- The increase in the advice seems in line with the predicted 2021 year class

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: san.sa.2r
Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
8) Assessment type: update
9) Assessment: accepted
10) Forecast: accepted
11) Assessment model: analytical assessment based on SMS assuming a relationship between F and fishing effort - 1 fleet and 1 dredge survey, two timesteps per year (Jan-Jun and Jul-Dec).
12) Consistency:
- The assessment has a strong retrospective pattern. The downward revision of recruitment and SSB is not limited to last year estimates and goes several years back in time. Reasons for this are only partially understood by the group
- There is an important change in the survey catchability especially for age0 (from 0.616 to 0.356 ) but ultimately not in the net effect
once the density-dependent catchability parameter (DD-parameter) of the power model is taken into account. The DD-parameter is estimated to be 1.32 in the 2022 assessment compared to 1.27 from the 2021 assessment
- An increased variance in catchability for all ages in the fishery suggests some deterioration of model fitting to the catch.
- The survey residuals for age 0 are larger in the years 2015, 2016, 2018, 2019 compared to the last year's assessment (see bubble plot residuals for age 0 ).
13) Stock status: SSB in 2022 is estimated below Blim but the good year class estimated for 2021 results in relatively high advice. This might not the best situation given the tendency to overestimate recruitment in this assessment. Increases in the DD-parameter should help but uncertainty around this advice remain high. The overall perception is that the stock has had low productivity for \(>20\) years and it continues to stay low despite signals of occasionally good incoming year classes (only informed by the survey). The good incoming year class for 2021 has produced a considerable increase in the advice for 2022. The Fcap drives the advice for 2022.
14) Management plan: No MP for SA2r.

\section*{Conclusions}

The assessment has been performed correctly and according to procedure. The retrospective pattern is problematic, especially on SSB.

\section*{Audit of San.sa.3r}

Working Group: HAWG Stock Name: san.sa.3r
Review of ICES Scientific Report, (HAWG) (2022) (02.02.2021)
Reviewers: Johnathan Ball
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: san.sa.3r

The stock is separated in seven management areas. Fishing takes place in five of these seven areas (sandeel area 1r-3r, 4 and 6). The stock was last benchmarked in 2016 (Interbenchmark in 2020). Sandeel area 3r mainly consists of fishing grounds in Norwegian EEZ.
15) Assessment type: update
16) Assessment: accepted
17) Forecast: accepted
18) Assessment model: SA3r uses a seasonal SMS-effort model, tuned by dredge and acoustic survey index. The recruitment index of the dredge survey includes a density-dependency, to account for overestimation of large incoming year classes. An update to natural mortality's is available but has not been implemented.
19) Consistency: The advice is consistent with last year's assessment.
20) Stock status: SSB has been above \(B_{p a}\) since 2015. F is lower than last year, however confidence around F has increased compared to recent pre 2020 values and remains above averages. Recruitment is noted to be lower than average for the stock.
21) Management plan: There is no agreed management plan for this stock.

General comments.
This has probably been discussed but should a note be added to the management plan section of the advice mentioning the existence of a Norwegian management plan. I realise this is not universally agreed, but it does affect the stock. It's a bit jarring to find it buried in the report with no mention in the advice.

Will the new natural moralities be looked at the benchmark?
Advice sheet
- SSB legend and lines are different from last year
- blim and bpa values swapped in legend MSYbescape also appears to be 80,000 not 129,000 as in table 4
- same issue in figure 2
- History of catch (table 8) does not match the table 9 provided during advice meeting.

Report
- Report table 9.4.10 is this model values vs actual values as they do not match advice table 9.I also checked the report table also does not match the sag graph data from the sag excel. Is this just because the tables are showing different things? I do note that the report labels table 9.4.10 as report model estimates

Technical comments

\section*{Conclusion}

The report is well written, however given the discussion around the power model should a detailed explanation of how it functions and is applied be added?

\section*{Audit of San.sa.3r}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, (HAWG) (2022) (03.02.2022)
Reviewers: Norbert Rohlf
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme, Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: san.sa.3r

The stock is separated in seven management areas. Fishing takes place in five of these seven areas (sandeel area \(1 r-3 r, 4\) and 6). The stock was last benchmarked in 2016 (Interbenchmark in 2020). Sandeel area 3r mainly consists of fishing grounds in Norwegian EEZ.
1) Assessment type: update
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: accepted
4) Assessment model: Seasonal SMS-effort model, tuned by dredge and acoustic survey index. Density-dependency in the recruitment index of the dredge survey was included to account for overestimation of large incoming year classes. Natural mortalities not updated with latest SMS runs; this would have led to substantial changes of stock's historic perception. HAWG to consider if new reference points should be estimated.
5) Consistency: consistent with last year's assessment. Model was applied as per stock annex. Implementing density dependence on the relationship between recruitment and the dredge survey reduced the retrospective bias in the recruitment and the Mohn's Rho in the current assessment.
6) Stock status: SSB has been above \(B_{\text {pa }}\) since 2015, combined with low \(F\). Above recruitment in period 2018 to 2020. F is actually increasing and peaked in 2020. Thus, SSB has decreased considerably, but is still well above \(B_{\text {pa. }}\).
7) Management plan: There is no agreed management plan for this stock. Since 2011, the Norwegian sandeel fishery in SA3r has been managed according to an area-based management plan for the Norwegian EEZ.

\section*{General comments}

The report is very concise and documents all decisions and settings made in the assessment well.
Technical comments
None

\section*{Conclusions}

The assessment has been performed correctly and considered adequate as the basis for TAC advice. Most of the fishing grounds are in Norwegian EEZ and managed according to a Norwegian area based management plan. However, this management plan has not been evaluated by ICES.

\section*{ICES stock advice}

Ensure the basis of the advice used is the correct one i.e Management plan; MSY approach; precautionary approach. The same as stated in the basis of advice table and history of advice table.

区 The advised value of catches should be the same as presented in the catch options table.
区 Check the years for which the advice is given.

\section*{Stock development over time}

区 Ensure all units used in the plots are correct（compare with previous year advice sheet）．
区 Ensure all titles of the plots are correct i．e caches；landings，recruitment age（ \(0,1,2 \ldots\) ）；relative index
R Recruitment plot：if the intermediate years is an outcome of a model the value should be unshaded．
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the \(F\) and \(\operatorname{SSB}\) reference points（RP）in the plots are the same as in the reference points table． Also，check the respective labels if they correspond with the RP．

区 Check if the legend of the plots is consistent with what is shown in the plots．
区 Check that the graphs match the data in table of stock assessment results．

\section*{Stock and exploitation status}Compare with the previous year＇s advice sheet．The years in common should have the same status （symbol）．Check if the labels for the years are correct．Compare the status table with the F and SSB plots they should show the same information．Does the stock have a management plan？If yes than the row for the management plan should be filled as well otherwise will read not applicable．

\section*{Catch options}

\section*{Basis of catch options table：}

For each of the rows in the table ensure that：
区 The year is correct，
凹 The value is correct，
凹 The notes are correct and
区 The sources are correct．

\section*{Catch options table：}The forecast should be re－run to ensure all values are correct．
© Compare the input data with previous year run（previous year should be in the share point under the data folder）

凹 The wanted catch and SSB values should be given in tonnes（ t ）；
区 Confirm if the F values for the options Flim； \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pa}}\) ；are correct．
区 For the options where the value of F will take SSB of the forecast year to be equal to \(\mathrm{Blim}_{\mathrm{lim}} ; \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{pa}} ; \mathrm{MSY}_{\mathrm{Btrigger}}\) confirm if the SSB value for the forecast year is equal or close to the reference points．
\(\boxtimes\) For the options where a percentage is added or taken（i．e \(+10 \%\) ； \(15 \%\) ，etc．）from the current TAC． Ensure that the calculated values are correct．
\(\boxtimes\) For all the options given in the table calculate the percentage of change in SSB and TAC．

区 In the first column（Rationale）ensure the rational of the first line is the correct basis for the advice．All other options should be under＂Other options＂．Compare different catch options；higher F should result in lower SSB
区 Check if SSB change is in line with F．

\section*{Basis of the advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Ensure the basis of the advice is correct and if the same is used in the catch option table and in the ICES stock advice section．Is there a management plan？If there is one it should be stated if it has been evaluated by ICES and considered precautionary or not and also if it has been sign off by the clients（EU；Norway，Faroe Is－ lands，etc．）

\section*{Quality of the assessment}

区 Are the units in plots correct？
X Are the titles in the plots correct including F （age range）recruitment（age）．
区 The red line correspond to the year of assessment（except F which is year of assessment -1 ）
区 Each plot should have five lines．
区 Ensure the reference points lines（in the SSB and F plots）are correct and match with the values in the reference point table and summary plots．

\section*{Issues relevant for the advice}
\(\boxtimes\) Along with the spelling and structure in the text ensure that any values referenced in the text match the values or percentages in the tables within the advice sheet．

\section*{Reference points}

Ensure all the values，technical basis and sources are correct．If new values were not calculated the table should be the same as previous year．

\section*{Basis of the assessment}

区 If there is no change from the previous year the table should be the same．
区 Ensure there is no typos wrong acronyms for the surveys
区 Assessment type－check that the standard text is used．

\section*{Information from stakeholders}If no information is available the standard sentence should be＂There is no additional available infor－ mation＂

\section*{History of advice，and management}

This table should only be updated for the assessment year and forecast year except if there was revi－ sion to the previous years．

区 Ensure that the forecast year＂predicted landings or catch corres．to advice＂column match the advice given in the ICES stock advice section（usually given in thousand tonnes）．

\section*{History of catch and landings}

\section*{Catch distribution by fleet table：}

区 Ensure the legend of the table reflects the year for the data given in the table．
区 Ensure that the sum of the percentage values in each of the components（landings and discards） amount to 100\％Ensure that the sum of the values for discards and landings are equal to the value in the catch column． However，if only landings or discards components are shown，then total catch should be unknown．

\section*{History of commercial landings table：}

区 Ensure that the values for the last row are correct check against the preliminary landings（link to be added）

\section*{Summary of the assessment}
\(\boxtimes\) This table is an output from the standard graphs．If there was any errors picked up with any of the plots，then this table should be replaced by a new version once the errors are corrected．Check if the column names are correct mainly recruitment age and age range for \(F\) ．If the stock is category 5 or 6 then it should read＂There is no assessment for this stock＂

\section*{Sources and references}

区 Ensure all references are correct．
Ensure all references in the advice sheet are referenced in this section

\section*{Audit of San.sa. 4}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, HAWG 2022, 4 February
Reviewers: Espen Johnsen
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme and Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

The is a concern that TAC is given for an area that includes a closed area without any commercial sandeel fishing. The advice does not consider the potential consequences of this spatial distribution of effort, which may lead to a high catches in the open areas.

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: san.sa. 4
22) Assessment type: update
23) Assessment: accepted
24) Forecast: accepted
25) Assessment model: Analytical assessment based on SMS 2 season (Jan-Jun and Jul-Dec) model. Age based assuming a relationship between F and fishing effort. 1 fleet and 1 dredge survey,
26) Consistency: The 2022 assessment resulted in a marked reduction and downshift in SBB for the full time series (see attached Figure). The reason for this change is not clear, but it may be related to an increase in the assessment CV of the dredge survey that has destabilize the assessment.
27) Stock status: spawning-stock size is above below MSY Bescapement and Bpa, but above Blim 1st January 2022. R 2021 is below average.
28) Management plan: No MP for SA4, but the area off the east coast of Scotland, from Rattray Head to St Abbs have been closed for industrial fishery for sandeel since 2000.

\section*{General comments}

The dredge survey covers the closed area off the coast of Scottland, and does not overlap with the open area with commercial catches. Any spatial structure of recruitment and survival may affect the two time series and lead to some extra uncertainty in the assessment.

Technical comments

\section*{Conclusions}

The assessment has been performed correctly and according to procedure. The retrospective downscaling of the SSB is of concern.

\section*{SSB (thousand tonnes)}


\section*{Audit of San.sa. 4}

Review of ICES Scientific Report, (expert group/workshop title) (year) (dates)
Reviewers: Claus R. Sparrevohn
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

\section*{Stock SA4}

Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
1) Assessment type: update
2) Assessment: accepted
3) Forecast: accepted
4) Assessment model: SMS with dredge survey index
5) Consistency: Accepted. Model is consistent with to last year
6) Stock status: \(\mathrm{SSB}>\) Blim but fluctuates somewhat between years due to the nature of shortlived species. No fishery reference point is defined for this stock
7) Management plan: No agreed management plan

General comments
Due to a low recruitment index (dredge survey), the combination of the incoming 2021 yearclass and the estimated 2022 SSB is not big enough to support any fishery. Because of that, the group support setting a monitoring TAC on 5000 t combined with a sampling procedure ensuring data for next years assessment.

Technical comments
There is a pronounced retrospecitive bias in the assessment, especially in the SSB and recruitment. The 2020 recruitment has been downward revised with \(79 \%\) and a downward revision is seen for most of the timeseries. This is related to changes in catchability in the dredge survey, which also had a higher CV this year.

Conclusions
An assessment appropriate basis for advice, but there is some issues that should be looked at during he upcoming benchmark.

\section*{Audit of Spr.27.7de}

Working Group: HAWG Stock Name: spr.27.7.de
Review of ICES Scientific Report, HAWG 2022, 5 \({ }^{\text {th }}\) April 2022
Reviewers: Eleanor MacLeod, Kristen Birch Hakånsson
Expert group Chair: Afra Egan, Cecile Kvamme
Secretariat representative: Sarah Louise Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}

Recommendations, general remarks for expert groups, etc. (use bullet points and subheadings if needed)

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: Sprat in the English Channel (spr.27.7de)
Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
8) Assessment type: update
9) Assessment: accepted, based on PELTIC survey biomass trends
10) Forecast: \(N A\)
11) Assessment model: There is no assessment model for this stock
12) Consistency: This advice is consistent with last year's assessment, following ICES category 3 rules using an adjusted CHR (8.57\%)
13) Stock status: No reference points for this stock, but large increase in stock biomass. Drop in harvest rate attributed to large abundances of juvenile sprat mixed into the stock hampering fishing.
14) Management plan: There is no agreed management plan for this stock

\section*{General comments}

Assessment has been conducted correctly according to the guidelines set out at the last interbenchmark. Both the draft report and the catch advice are clear and well explained.

There are three matters awaiting secretariat support:
1. SAG graphs in the advice sheet have not yet been completed. Updated plots should replace ones currently there.
2. Two references in the advice sheet need to be updated
3. Secretariat support required to decide whether the Istat value gets updated this year or stays at the level set at the interbenchmark. Will need to be updated in the advice sheet and draft report section.

Drop in harvest rate - second year in a row that this has substantially dropped - concerning that in both years there seems to be an extenuating circumstance to explain the drop. Last year the drop at least resulted in a small decrease in advice due to a lower index, now due to the index there is a substantial increase in advice. This is not an issue with the way the assessment has been performed this year, but should be kept in mind going forward.

\section*{Technical comments}

The assessment appears to be done according to the stock annex.
Conclusions
The assessment seems to have been conducted correctly according to the Stock Annex and the advice was given following the new rules agreed at the 2021 interbenchmark for this category 3 stock

\section*{Audit of Spr.27.3a4}

Working Group: HAWG Stock Name: spr.27.3a4
Review of ICES Scientific Report, HAWG 2022
Reviewers: Paul Marchal
Expert group Chair: Cecilie Kvamme and Afra Egan
Secretariat representative: Sarah Millar

Audience to write for: advice drafting group, ACOM, and next year's expert group

\section*{General}
- Assessment and forecasts conform to the procedures
- Retrospective patterns in both R and SSB persist but have reduced to acceptable levels
- Trends in catch and IBTS-Q3 residuals to be investigated
- All catch options lead to an increase in forecast SSB. This may be driven by the GM-estimated 2022 recruitment value used in short-term forecast ( 120 billion), which is much higher than the 2021 ( 69 billion) and the 2020 ( 85 billion) values

\section*{For single-stock summary sheet advice}

Stock: Sprat in 3a and 4

Short description of the assessment as follows (examples in grey text):
15) Assessment type: update (last benchmark in November 2018)
16) Assessment: accepted
17) Forecast: accepted
18) Assessment model: SMS-based analytical model with quarterly time steps, and tuned by three surveys
19) Consistency:
a. Medium-high CV for catch residuals; small CV for survey residuals;
b. Negative trend in catch residuals since late 1990s and positive trend in IBTS-Q3 residuals since late 2000 s ;
c. Tendency to overestimate R and SSB (although reduced compared to previous assessment);
20) Stock status: \(B_{\lim }<B(2022)<B_{p a} ; 2022\) recruitment below average; \(\mathrm{F}(2021)=2.17\) well above \(\mathrm{F}_{\text {cap }}=0.69\) used in the advice
21) Management plan: NA

\section*{Conclusions}

Assessment performed correctly and according to procedure. The reasons for trends in catch and IBTS-Q3 residuals should be investigated.```
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[^2]:    * Including any bycatches in the industrial fishery.

[^3]:    $19473609393213591337113956414908659677768742816183787658 \quad 20274076166295$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}1948 & 33922411 & 16752169 & 7752809 & 7084872 & 3233361 & 4628207 & 2818194 & 2145713 & 4714114\end{array}$ 1949295978191576320910851381631993138286792079292297661717732984059964 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1950 & 40627635 & 13074607 & 9033028 & 8791989 & 4719749 & 2238395 & 1317643 & 1659497 & 3031759\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1951 & 39440118 & 19345219 & 7081018 & 6115954 & 6427695 & 3347964 & 1417880 & 766015 & 2593261\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1952 & 39202102 & 18112677 & 10821930 & 4179763 & 3606880 & 3701136 & 2042192 & 888887 & 2113747\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}1953 & 43036414 & 17856733 & 9661115 & 5984202 & 2698213 & 2115634 & 2176856 & 1161805 & 1661387\end{array}$ $1954 \quad 4 \mathrm{e}+07 \quad 20315640 \quad 9292090 \quad 5398021 \quad 3233641 \quad 1714175 \quad 124342412474351625343$ $19553461991117932899109135705264622 \quad 276747218390131040662 \quad 6611741369763$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1956 & 25968979 & 16111660 & 8445230 & 6172460 & 2917568 & 1529044 & 1074515 & 579813 & 1367085\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1957 & 62093137 & 10988363 & 8089546 & 3827082 & 3537414 & 1694566 & 947070 & 661837 & 1169426\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1958 & 26115891 & 34116757 & 4770550 & 4441642 & 1928697 & 2181839 & 946780 & 553022 & 1018277\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1959 & 28657528 & 11569021 & 19492580 & 2222328 & 2332883 & 1107674 & 1171629 & 575195 & 1200850\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1960 & 12186191 & 14312061 & 5247995 & 10791132 & 1096748 & 1201637 & 608142 & 623417 & 1101960\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1961 & 55563221 & 4231482 & 7032921 & 2517921 & 7040539 & 677632 & 814066 & 348424 & 887227\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1962 & 28262748 & 28263813 & 1722745 & 3126909 & 1386615 & 4306990 & 422336 & 528385 & 724653\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1963 & 32170955 & 1.3 \mathrm{e}+07 & 16514904 & 1032285 & 1308222 & 707785 & 2298998 & 207437 & 713749\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1964 & 34142629 & 14283094 & 6632125 & 9634320 & 668880 & 759170 & 510074 & 1570891 & 562289\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1965 & 17859019 & 16422385 & 5928575 & 3427110 & 5315554 & 393020 & 428820 & 323572 & 1397370\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1966 & 17969166 & 8123565 & 7531218 & 2142467 & 1384520 & 2265497 & 176603 & 190049 & 850704\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1967 & 23777353 & 7705972 & 3635946 & 3202601 & 840670 & 657642 & 877591 & 102969 & 468618\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1968 & 23298271 & 10652581 & 3019696 & 1703701 & 1164918 & 291128 & 253371 & 275757 & 166455\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1969 & 12696599 & 10354073 & 4296609 & 676195 & 306856 & 347754 & 78215 & 64905 & 95111\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1970 & 23081631 & 5754218 & 4157793 & 1475367 & 204378 & 96889 & 113035 & 16236 & 42981\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1971 & 18967092 & 10535473 & 2390802 & 1240220 & 377970 & 55176 & 29722 & 30400 & 17448\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1972 & 12824572 & 8546123 & 3327981 & 756248 & 307919 & 95343 & 14444 & 1057 & 6489\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1973 & 6901059 & 5492126 & 2628245 & 1153130 & 294057 & 123269 & 45461 & 7653 & 4444\end{array}$

[^4]:    intermediate year $0.18470 .03036 \quad 0.003506$ 0.001744 $\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0.1864 & 0.03559 & 360884 & 6103 & 3330 & 350.6 & 1383486\end{array}$ fmsyAR_transfer $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}0.3143 & 0.05042 & 0.003278 & 0.002043 & 0.3161 & 0.05692 & 529663 & 8653 & 3330 & 350.6 & 1275260 & 1274284\end{array}$ fmsyAR_transfer_Btarget $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0.3144 & 0.04291 & 0.003278 & 0.002034 & 0.3161 & 0.0494 & 529761 & 7396 & 3330 & 350.6 & 1275250 & 1274562\end{array}$ fmsyAR_no_transfer $0.307 \quad 0.050440 .006308 \quad 0.002044$ fmsyAR_no_transfer_Btarget $\quad 0.307 \quad 0.04191 \quad 0.006307$ 0.002034 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll} & 0.31 & 0.05 & 519391 & 7224 & 6405 & 350.6 & 1280819 & 1281919\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}m p A & 0.2173 & 0.03454 & 0.003509 & 0.001735 & 0.2191 & 0.04004 & 378230 & 7613 & 3615 & 301 & 1367895 & 1445014\end{array}$
    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { mpAC } & 0.2173 & 0.03454 & 0.003509 & 0.001735 & 0.2191 & 0.04004 & 378230 & 7613 & 3615 & 301 & 1367895 & 1445014\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { mpAD } & 0.2173 & 0.03454 & 0.003509 & 0.001735 & 0.2191 & 0.04004 & 378230 & 7613 & 3615 & 301 & 1367895 & 1445014\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}m p B & 0.2219 & 0.03693 & 0.003509 & 0.001734 & 0.2236 & 0.04247 & 385226 & 8128 & 3613 & 300.5 & 1363333 & 1436118\end{array}$ fmsy $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.307 & 0.05044 & 0.006308 & 0.002044 & 0.31 & 0.05854 & 519293 & 8653 & 6405 & 350.6 & 1280821 & 1281588\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\mathrm{nf} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1614283 & 1998030\end{array}$
    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { tacro } & 0.1968 & 0.03234 & 0.006206 & 0.002018 & 0.1997 & 0.03943 & 356357 & 5619 & 6405 & 350.6 & 1387630 & 1481508\end{array}$
    $-15 \% ~ 0.16380 .026910 .0061750 .00201 \quad 0.1666$
    
    
    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { fpa } & 0.307 & 0.05044 & 0.006308 & 0.002044 & 0.31 & 0.05854 & 519293 & 8653 & 6405 & 350.6 & 1280821 & 1281588\end{array}$
    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { flim } & 0.3968 & 0.06521 & 0.006391 & 0.002065 & 0.4 & 0.07413 & 636961 & 11072 & 6405 & 350.6 & 1201995 & 1148262\end{array}$
    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { bpa } & 0.7391 & 0.1214 & 0.006701 & 0.002145 & 0.7428 & 0.1335 & 991541 & 19849 & 6405 & 350.6 & 956483 & 797441\end{array}$
    blim $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.8822 & 0.145 & 0.006828 & 0.002179 & 0.8861 & 0.1583 & 1107178 & 23328 & 6405 & 350.6 & 874198 & 698338\end{array}$
    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { MSYBtrigger } & 0.3607 & 0.05927 & 0.006358 & 0.002056 & 0.3638 & 0.06787 & 591183 & 10106 & 6405 & 350.6 & 1232828 & 1199070\end{array}$

[^5]:    1In the R formula syntax, the regression model is $\sim b s(w r, 3)+b s(w r, 3) *$ SubDivision $+b s(w r, 3) * C r u i s e ~+b s(w r, 3) *$ Quarter + wr0Quarter + (1 I TripID), where bs (-,3) is a B-spline with 3 knots, and wr0Quarter is a factor with a level per quarter for 0 wr and a combined level for $1+\mathrm{wr}$. Winter rings were capped at 8 in the analysis.

[^6]:    Fleet $\mathrm{C}=$ Human consumption, Fleet $\mathrm{D}=$ Industrial catch, Fleet $\mathrm{F}=$ All catch from Subdivisions 22-24.

[^7]:    * small revision during HAWG 2010

[^8]:    *Calculated from estimates of weight per box and in some years estimated bycatch in the sprat fishery

