#### **BIOENERGY AND BIOFUELS**



# Methanogenesis in biogas reactors under inhibitory ammonia concentration requires community-wide tolerance

Damien R Finn<sup>1</sup> · Lena Rohe<sup>2</sup> · Sascha Krause<sup>3</sup> · Jabrayil Guliyev<sup>4</sup> · Achim Loewen<sup>4</sup> · Christoph C. Tebbe<sup>1</sup>

Received: 5 June 2023 / Revised: 11 August 2023 / Accepted: 26 August 2023 / Published online: 6 September 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

### Abstract

Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) inhibition represents a major limitation to methane production during anaerobic digestion of organic material in biogas reactors. This process relies on co-operative metabolic interactions between diverse taxa at the community-scale. Despite this, most investigations have focused singularly on how methanogenic Archaea respond to  $NH_3$  stress. With a high- $NH_3$  pre-adapted and un-adapted community, this study investigated responses to  $NH_3$  inhibition both at the community-scale and down to individual taxa. The pre-adapted community performed methanogenesis under inhibitory NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations better than the un-adapted. While many functionally important phyla were shared between the two communities, only taxa from the pre-adapted community were robust to  $NH_3$ . Functionally important phyla were mostly comprised of sensitive taxa ( $\geq$  50%), yet all groups, including methanogens, also possessed tolerant individuals (10-50%) suggesting that potential mechanisms for tolerance are non-specific and widespread. Hidden Markov Model-based phylogenetic analysis of methanogens confirmed that NH<sub>3</sub> tolerance was not restricted to specific taxonomic groups, even at the genus level. By reconstructing covarying growth patterns via network analyses, methanogenesis by the pre-adapted community was best explained by continued metabolic interactions (edges) between tolerant methanogens and other tolerant taxa (nodes). However, under non-inhibitory conditions, sensitive taxa re-emerged to dominate the pre-adapted community, suggesting that mechanisms of NH<sub>3</sub> tolerance can be disadvantageous to fitness without selection pressure. This study demonstrates that methanogenesis under NH<sub>3</sub> inhibition depends on broad-scale tolerance throughout the prokaryotic community. Mechanisms for tolerance seem widespread and non-specific, which has practical significance for the development of robust methanogenic biogas communities.

#### **Key points**

- Ammonia pre-adaptation allows for better methanogenesis under inhibitory conditions.
- All functionally important prokaryote phyla have some ammonia tolerant individuals.
- Methanogenesis was likely dependent on interactions between tolerant individuals.

Keywords Biogas · Anaerobic digestion · Ammonia inhibition · Molecular community ecology · Methanogenic Archaea

Damien R Finn damien.finn@thuenen.de

- <sup>1</sup> Thünen Institute for Biodiversity, Johann Heinrich von Thünen Institute, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Thünen Institute for Climate-Smart Agriculture, Johann Heinrich von Thünen Institute, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China
- <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Resource Management, University of Applied Sciences and Arts (HAWK), 37085 Göttingen, Germany

# Introduction

Biogas is becoming a widespread means for producing heat, electricity and fuel energy from non-fossil fuel sources. It is the end result of prokaryotic anaerobic digestion (AD) of organic matter, with methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) acting as the primary energy-rich component. Currently, most biogas is generated from agricultural waste, manure and energy crops, e.g. maize, straw (Scarlat et al. 2018). Co-digestion of waste and/ or energy crops with manure is favourable for maximising CH<sub>4</sub> yields (Scarlat et al. 2018); however, protein hydrolysis of nitrogen-rich manure results in the accumulation of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and potential inhibition of the AD process (Chen et al. 2008; Venkiteshwaran et al. 2015). An exact mechanism for the inhibitory effect is unknown, although it is speculated that membrane-permeable free NH<sub>3</sub> enters prokaryotic cells and disrupts intracellular pH, intracellular cation exchange and enzyme function (McCarty and McKinney 1961; Whittmann et al. 1995). Total ammonium nitrogen concentrations (TAN) of greater than 1.7 g L<sup>-1</sup> are frequently reported as a threshold for significant inhibition of AD (Yenigün and Demirel 2013). However, as it is specifically the un-ionised NH<sub>3</sub> that is toxic, AD inhibition can occur at concentrations as low as 0.15 g NH<sub>3</sub> L<sup>-1</sup> (McCarty and McKinney 1961). Consequently, a myriad of management and technological approaches have been considered to improve manure co-digestion during AD (Fuchs et al. 2018; Yenigün and Demirel 2013).

The AD process occurs in four distinct stages. The first stage of AD involves the catabolism of polymeric organic matter (e.g. plant material) to monomers by specific microbial taxa that produce extracellular hydrolytic enzymes, such as cellulases, lipases and proteases (Wirth et al. 2012). This stage is commonly referred to as hydrolysis (Venkiteshwaran et al. 2015). Next, primary fermenters reduce monomers (e.g. monosaccharides) to carboxylic acids (Devries et al. 1970; Iannotti et al. 1973; Lovley and Phillips 1989), in a stage referred to as acidogenesis. The taxa that perform this step are particularly diverse, and individual taxa can either produce acetate as a waste metabolite or volatile fatty acids (VFAs) with more than two C atoms  $(> C_2)$  (e.g. butyric, propionic, succinic acids). Where these larger VFAs are produced, secondary fermenters further metabolise this to  $C_1$ – $C_2$  waste products (de Bok et al. 2001; Hobson et al. 1974; Liu et al. 1999; Thauer et al. 1968) in a stage described as acetogenesis. Finally, diverse methanogenic Archaea reduce these  $C_1$ - $C_2$  waste products to  $CH_4$  (Garcia et al. 2000; Thauer et al. 2008) in a stage termed methanogenesis. Importantly, no single taxon is capable of carrying out the four steps of AD (Wirth et al. 2012), and thus anaerobic prokaryotes must work co-operatively to produce the desired end product of  $CH_4$ .

While all prokaryotes in a biogas reactor are likely affected by  $NH_3$  toxicity, previous studies have primarily focused on methanogens (Borja et al. 1996; Fotidis et al. 2013; Lv et al. 2019; Moestedt et al. 2016; Ziganshin et al. 2016). A general trend appears to be a shift in the dominant methanogenic pathway from aceticlastic to hydrogenotrophic taxa under inhibitory levels of  $NH_3$  (Gao et al. 2015; Li et al. 2017). The reason for this remains unclear, particularly as only specific hydrogenotrophic taxa are consistently enriched, e.g. *Methanoculleus*, while others are not, e.g. *Methanobacterium* and *Methanobrevibacter* (Moestedt et al. 2016; Ziganshin et al. 2016). Furthermore, facultative and obligate aceticlasts can still be enriched under  $NH_3$  stress in certain reactors, e.g. *Methanosarcina* 

and *Methanothrix* (Fotidis et al. 2013; Lv et al. 2019). These seemingly contradictory results have prompted studies to consider broader-scale prokaryote community analyses that note decreases in other functional groups, such as secondary fermenters (Lv et al. 2019). However, a comprehensive understanding of how NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity affects the prokaryote community as a whole is still lacking.

As the AD process is inherently community-dependent, this study sought to observe the individual growth responses of all taxa to NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity and, ultimately, how this affected the formation (or loss) of ecological interactions between them. These ecological interactions were used as a proxy to understand the metabolic interactions essential for the continuation of the AD process. This was achieved with a combinatorial experimental design involving (a) two biogas slurry prokaryote communities sourced from either relatively low (un-adapted) or high (pre-adapted) ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>-N) reactors; and (b) two anaerobic growth media with noninhibitory or inhibitory levels of NH<sub>3</sub>. Growth responses of the dominant ca. 50 phyla were followed over time, in addition to deeper analyses of all individual methanogenic taxa. Finally, the sensitivity and tolerance of each taxon to NH<sub>3</sub> was determined, and the ecological relationships between sensitive and tolerant taxa were visualised. It was hypothesised that (1) the pre-adapted reactor community would perform methanogenesis better under NH<sub>3</sub> stress; (2) taxa from all important functional groups, beyond only the methanogens, would be affected by NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity; and (3) methanogenesis under NH<sub>3</sub> stress was dependent on the continuation of stable co-operative interactions between taxa.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sample collection

Prokaryote communities were sourced from two mesophilic (37°C) biogas reactors operating on independent farms in Lower Saxony, Germany. Slurry samples were sealed in wide-mouth LDPE plastic bottles (Kautex, Bonn, Germany) to limit gas diffusion, transported immediately to the lab and stored at -20°C. Chicken manure was sourced from a household with domesticated chickens in Lower Saxony, Germany. Total carbon (%) and nitrogen (%) of slurry and manure samples were determined via dry combustion (LECO Tru-Mac, St. Joseph, MI, USA) on oven-dried (50°C) samples ground to a particle diameter of 50 µm. Total ammonium concentration (g  $NH_4$ - $NL^{-1}$ ) of samples were determined by continuous-flow analysis (San++ Skalar, Breda, The Netherlands) on 0.45  $\mu$ m diameter filtered slurry diluted 10<sup>-1</sup> in distilled water. The pH of both slurries was measured with a HI 2211 pH metre (HANNA Instruments, Vöhringen, Germany). The specific concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> was calculated

as described previously (Fotidis et al. 2013) using a K<sub>a</sub> dissociation constant of  $1.20 \times 10^{-9}$  at 37°C, as:

$$[NH_3] = \frac{[NH_4 - N]}{1 + \frac{10^{-pH}}{K_a}}$$
(1)

The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations were assumed to sum to the TAN measured as per continuous-flow analysis. These properties are listed in Table 1. One slurry was considered un-adapted to NH<sub>3</sub>-N (*ca.* 1.2 g TAN L<sup>-1</sup>) and the other pre-adapted (*ca.* 2.3 g TAN L<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Incubations

Growth incubations were performed in basal anaerobic medium (BAM) prepared as described previously (Angelidaki et al. 1990) in rubber-sealed 125-mL glass serum bottles (Wheaton Industries, Millville, NJ, USA). The pH of media was 6.4 prior to the addition of slurry and manure. Inoculum from either un- or pre-adapted slurries was added to media at a final concentration of 1.5% (w/v) and manure was added at a final concentration of 0.75% (w/v) consistent with VDI-4630 recommendations for batch culture incubations (VDI-4630 2016). The pH after addition of slurry and manure was ca. 7.6. After addition of slurry and manure, the BAM had a final NH<sub>3</sub> concentration of ca. 0.09 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> at 37°C. Excess ammonium chloride (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to a second BAM to achieve a final NH<sub>3</sub> concentration of *ca*. 0.3 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> at 37°C. These were considered relatively low NH<sub>4</sub>-N and relatively high NH<sub>4</sub>-N media, respectively. Incubations were made anaerobic by flushing with pure nitrogen gas at a rate of 160 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for 15 min, then set under shaking conditions (100 rpm) at 37°C (CH-4103 Infors AG, Bottmingen, Switzerland). The final experimental design consisted of the following slurry  $\times$  media combinations: (1) un-adapted slurry in low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium; (2) un-adapted slurry in high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium; (3) pre-adapted slurry in low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium; and (4) preadapted slurry in high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium. Incubations were destructively sampled after 1, 3, 7 and 14 days. Each treatment and timepoint had three independent replicates.

At each time point, 600 µL of gas headspace was sampled with a gas-tight syringe (Trajan Scientific, Ringwood, Australia) and diluted in pure nitrogen gas within sealed 12-mL glass Exetainer vials (Labco, Buckinghamshire, UK). Gas pressure before and after sample addition was measured (GDH 12 AN, Greisinger, Regenstauf, Germany) in order to calculate a dilution factor. Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  and  $CH_4$ concentrations were determined with an 7890A GC System (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany), with a helium ionisation detector, and helium as a carrier gas at a constant flow rate of ca. 12 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Standards for CO<sub>2</sub> included 0, 350, 704, 1500, 1999 and 3012 ppm, and for CH<sub>4</sub> 0, 2.42, 3.43, 4.99, 49.2 and 100.3 ppm (Linde GmbH, Leuna, Germany). Accuracy of GC standard measurements was  $98.6 \pm 0.02\%$ . Both gases were expressed in units of mL per L of total gases within the headspace volume of the glass serum bottle. At the sampling point, the pH of the incubation was measured with a HI 2211 pH metre (HANNA Instruments, Vöhringen, Germany). Finally, 2 mL of culture was filtered at 0.45 µm diameter, diluted  $10^{-1}$  in distilled water and the NH<sub>4</sub>-N concentration determined via continuous-flow analysis as above (please see the "Sample collection" section). The specific concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> was calculated as above.

#### DNA extraction and molecular analyses

After gas sampling, 1.5 mL of culture was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min (Allegra X-15R, Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) and DNA was extracted from pelleted material with a FastDNA spin kit for soil (MP Biomedicals, Eschwege, Germany). Purified DNA was measured with a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Braunschweig, Germany). From 10 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> DNA, *Bacteria*, *Archaea* and total Prokaryotes were quantified as described previously (Yu et al. 2005). Briefly, 338F-805R, 787F-1059R and 515f-Parada-806r-Apprill primers were used to target the 16S rRNA gene of the respective groups using SYBR Green Mix in 25  $\mu$ L reactions with reagent concentrations as per the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad

**Table 1** Chemical and microbial community properties of chicken manure substrate and slurry sources used in this study. Alpha-diversity valuesbased on three replicates.  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NH_3$ -N (g per L) were calculated assuming mesophilic biogas temperature conditions of 37°C

Source	C (%)	N (%)	C:N ratio	TAN (g per L)	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (g per L)	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (g per L)	pН	ASV Richness	Pielou Evenness
Chicken manure	31.4	2	15.7	-	-	-	-	$230 \pm 15$	$0.7 \pm 0$
Un-adapted slurry	41.8	3.1	13.5	1.19	1.11	0.07	7.7	$544 \pm 49$	$0.84 \pm 0$
Pre-adapted slurry	34.8	3	11.6	2.3	2.18	0.11	7.6	$155 \pm 41$	$0.67 \pm 0$

Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Thermocycler conditions consisted of 95°C denaturation for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C denaturation for 15 s and primer annealing/ polymerase extension at 60°C (338F-805R/787F-1059R) or 52°C (515f-Parada-806r-Apprill) for 1 min. Calculations were determined relative to slopes of standard curves (*ca.* 100% efficiency,  $R^2 > 0.99$ ) over 40 cycles. Community sizes were expressed as 16S rRNA gene copies mL<sup>-1</sup>.

Furthermore, PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene V4 hypervariable region was conducted using the 515f-Parada-806r-Apprill primers. This was performed with Q5 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) on a Mastercycler X50s (Eppendorf, Wesseling, Germany). Thermocycler conditions consisted of 95°C denaturation for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C denaturation for 30 s, primer annealing at 52°C for 30 s, polymerase extension at 72°C for 30 s and a final extension at 72°C for 3 min. Products were confirmed visually by gel electrophoresis PowerPro (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) with 1% agarose in 1 × Tris-acetate EDTA buffer and stained with Midori Green (Nippon Genetics, Düren, Germany). Amplicon products were subsequently purified with the OIAquick purification kit (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) and each sample normalised to  $1-2 \text{ ng } \mu L^{-1}$  with a SequalPrep Normalisation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Normalised products were sent to LGC Genomics (Berlin, Germany) for the following steps. Briefly, amplicon pools were further purified with one volume Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Amplicon libraries were prepared with the Ovation Rapid DR Multiplex System (NuGEN Technologies, Redwood City, CA, USA). Illumina adaptors were incorporated into amplicons using PCR (total volume 30  $\mu$ L) with 12 cycles using MyTaq (Bioline, Luckenwalde, Germany). Fragments of 400–800 bp were gel extracted and purified with MinElute columns (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) and quality checked via Fragment Analyser (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Sequencing was then performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform (2 × 300 bp) (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

#### **Bioinformatics**

Upon receiving amplicon reads, an in-house Python script was used to reorient any forward and reverse reads that had been sequenced in the 3' orientation (*ca.* 50%) as a consequence of in-house barcoding and normalisation of amplicons (http://github.com/DamienFinn/MiSeq\_read\_reorientat ion). Reads were quality filtered, dereplicated and denoised with DADA2 using the Qiime2 pipeline (Bolyen et al. 2019; Callahan et al. 2016) yielding an average of 53,000 observations of amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs) per sample. The ASVs were assigned taxonomy based on the Genome Taxonomy Database release 207 via Qiime2 with

the sklearn function under default parameters (Parks et al. 2022). Sequences identified as derived from chloroplasts or mitochondria were filtered from the dataset. Singletons and doubletons were not filtered from the dataset.

Finally, in order to maximise sensitivity and specificity of taxonomic assignment of methanogenic Archaea in the dataset, a novel Hidden Markov Model (HMM) was developed (Eddy 2004). Briefly, the full length 16S rRNA gene of 94 methanogenic taxa from 27 distinct genera (including newly discovered *Candidatus* Methanosuratus, Methanomethylicus and Bathyarchaeota groups) were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) and a HMM built as described (Eddy 2011). This HMM was then parsed over all ASVs in this dataset, and identified 92 unique putative methanogenic taxa.

#### **Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were conducted in R v 4.0.3 (R Core Team 2013). Change in  $NH_3$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  production and total *Bacteria* and *Archaea* populations over time were visualised with ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) with trend lines fitted via local regression (loess). Change over time and high vs. low  $NH_4$ -N medium effects were tested with two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a significance level of 0.05.

ASV abundances were initially rarefied with the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al. 2013) to 15,000 observations per sample based on plateauing of rarefaction curves. After conversion of ASVs to relative abundance (%), percentages were weighted with the 16S rRNA gene copies mL<sup>-1</sup> calculated based on 515f-Parada/806r-Apprill primers in order to derive absolute abundances of each ASV per sample. This was done to avoid mathematical issues related to data proportionality (Gloor et al. 2017). Growth responses of the *ca.* top 50 phyla were visualised as a heatmap (Warnes et al. 2019), with changes over time and high *vs.* low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium effects on each phylum tested via general linear models. Probability values were Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted to account for false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) due to the large number of repetitive tests.

Alpha-diversity indices for ASV Richness and Pielou Evenness were calculated for each sample (Oksanen et al. 2013). Initial values for both slurries and manure are provided in Table 1. Change in alpha-diversity over time and effects of high vs. low  $NH_4$ -N medium were tested with two-way analysis of variance. Beta-diversity of communities was visualised via nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) on Bray-Curtis transformed absolute abundances of individual ASVs per sample. Multivariate Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM) was used to test for a combined effect of change over time in response to high vs. low  $NH_4$ -N medium (Oksanen et al. 2013).

The sensitivity and tolerance to  $NH_3$  was calculated for all taxa within this study. Briefly, this was calculated as Hurlbert's niche breadth in relation to  $NH_3$  (B<sub>N</sub>-Ammonia) as described previously (Finn et al. 2020). Taxa negatively affected by NH<sub>3</sub> have a B<sub>N</sub> approaching 0, while those that are enriched under high concentrations have a B<sub>N</sub> approaching 1. Significant lower and upper thresholds were as follows: taxa with a B<sub>N</sub>-Ammonia less than 0.24 were significantly negatively impacted by NH<sub>3</sub> (i.e. sensitive), while taxa above this threshold were tolerant. Taxa with a B<sub>N</sub>-Ammonia greater than 0.88 were significantly positively associated with NH<sub>3</sub> (i.e. enriched with higher concentrations). These threshold values were calculated based on deriving *p* values from each taxon's B<sub>N</sub> relative to a null model distribution consisting of 999 randomly generated taxa (Finn et al. 2020). As above, *p* values were Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted to account for false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

For accurate identification of methanogens, the ASVs identified via the HMM as being methanogens underwent phylogenetic analysis. Briefly, the 92 unique putative methanogen ASVs and 94 reference 16S rRNA genes were aligned with MUSCLE in R (Edgar 2004), sequence similarity calculated based on identity and visualised based on neighbourjoining (Schliep et al. 2017).

Finally, covarying growth patterns between taxa over time were calculated via network analyses. Four networks were constructed, one for each of the slurry-medium experimental combinations. Each unique sample was included in network construction in order to maximise reliability of covariations between taxa across samples. The number of input taxa per network was normalised to the 1000 most abundant. Taxa were ASVs. Significant positive Spearman correlations between individuals were determined (p < 0.05) (Langfelder and Horvath 2008; Langfelder and Horvath 2012), Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted and visualised with the multidimensional scaling layout in the 'igraph' package (Csárdi and Nepusz 2006). To aid comprehension, taxa (as network nodes) were coloured based on their sensitivity (white) or tolerance (grey) to NH<sub>3</sub>, and their strong covarying growth with sensitive methanogens (light blue) or tolerant methanogens (dark blue)

were highlighted in the network. Chi-square tests were used to compare whether the abundances of sensitive taxa, sensitive methanogens, tolerant taxa and tolerant methanogens differed between the four networks.

# Results

### Methane production was dependent on pre-adaptation to NH<sub>4</sub>-N

The prepared low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium had a specific NH<sub>3</sub> concentration below the lower estimated toxic threshold while the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium exceeded it, at 0.09 and 0.3 mg NH<sub>3</sub> mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1). Over the course of the 14-day incubation period, the specific NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations in both media decreased (ANOVA, p< 0.001) as the pH decreased due to fermentation; however, the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium remained above 0.2 mg NH<sub>3</sub> mL<sup>-1</sup>. Consequently, the following analyses focused upon differential responses of the un-adapted vs. the pre-adapted community to either high or low NH<sub>4</sub>-N media.

The un-adapted prokaryote community under the low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium was able to produce more CH<sub>4</sub> than under high conditions (ca. 54 versus 2 mL  $CH_4 L^{-1}$ , respectively) (ANOVA, p = 0.005) (Fig. 1). While the pre-adapted high NH<sub>4</sub>-N community produced more CH<sub>4</sub> under high NH<sub>4</sub>-N media than its un-adapted counterpart (ca. 25 mL CH  $L^{-1}$ ), methanogenesis was still more efficient in the absence of NH<sub>3</sub> inhibition (ANOVA, p = 0.003). This was reflected in the archaeal population size, with those in the un-adapted community dying over time under high NH<sub>4</sub>-N media (ANOVA, p = 0.01) (Supplementary Figure 2). Preadapted high NH<sub>4</sub>-N slurry archaeal populations remained stable over time. Neither carbon dioxide respiration nor bacterial population sizes were negatively impacted by high NH<sub>4</sub>-N media, regardless of slurry source (Supplementary Figures 3 and 4).

**Fig. 1** Methane in the gas headspace, produced by un- and pre-adapted communities, over time. Trend lines represent best fit of local regression (loess). Analysis of variance results comparing an effect of low *vs.* high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium for each community are shown



# Growth of specific phyla was dependent on pre-adaptation to NH<sub>4</sub>-N

Twenty-nine phyla from the un-adapted slurry were negatively impacted by the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium (Fig. 2, bold), representing over half of the identified phyla. These included bacterial Acidobacteriota, Actinobacteriota, Bacteroidota, Chloroflexota, Fibrobacterota, various phyla split by recent taxonomy from Firmicutes, such as Firmicutes (Bacilli), Firmicutes A (Clostridia), B (Syntrophomonadia), C (Negativicutes) and E (Symbiobacteriia), Spirochaetota, Synergistota and Thermotogota. It also included archaeal Halobacteriota, Methanobacteriota and Methanobacteriota B. In direct contrast, several phyla from the pre-adapted slurry actually grew better in the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium (Fig. 2, bold). These included Actinobacteriota, Firmicutes and Firmicutes F (Halanaerobiia). Consistent with the un-adapted phyla, *Firmicutes* C and *Methanobacteriota* from the pre-adapted slurry preferred low  $NH_4$ -N conditions.

Prokaryote community alpha-diversity followed these general patterns. Firstly, both amplicon sequence variant (ASV) Richness and Pielou Evenness of the un-adapted slurry source were greater than from the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N reactor (Table 1), suggesting greater biodiversity under non-inhibiting NH<sub>3</sub> conditions. Over the course of the in vitro incubation, the relatively high ASV Richness of the un-adapted community decreased regardless of growth medium (ANOVA, p = 0.01) (Supplementary Figure 5). The pre-adapted community Richness remained stable under the incubation conditions, regardless of growth medium. Taxon Evenness was growth medium dependent, whereby the alpha-diversity was stable when the un-adapted community was grown in the low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium,



Fig.2 Heatmap of phyla abundances of un- and pre-adapted communities over time. General linear models were used to test an effect of time (T) and  $NH_4$ -N (N) for each community. Benjamini-Hochberg

adjusted p values are shown as follows: \*p=0.05, \*\*p=0.001, \*\*\*p<0.001. Phyla affected by  $\rm NH_4-N$  are highlighted in bold

and the pre-adapted community was grown in the high NH4-N medium. In contrast, the un-adapted community decreased in the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium (ANOVA, p = 0.002) and the preadapted community decreased over time in the low NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium (ANOVA, p = 0.01) (Supplementary Figure 5). Beta-diversity analyses firstly confirmed that un- and preadapted communities were distinct (Supplementary Figure 6). A simultaneous comparison of all communities showed that differences were primarily driven by un- vs. pre-adaptation, and secondly by low vs. high NH<sub>4</sub>-N medium (ANOSIM, R = 0.86, p < 0.001) (Supplementary Figure 7). Finally, a comparison of how each community responded to the media showed that, while communities at days 1 and 3 were similar irrespective of medium, the community composition from the un-adapted slurry diverged distinctly over time depending on the medium (ANOSIM, R = 0.79, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3). The composition of the pre-adapted community was more similar to its source under the high  $NH_4$ -N medium (ANOSIM, R = 0.56, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3).

#### Ammonia sensitivity and tolerance of taxa

The Hurlbert's niche breadth in response to  $NH_3$ ( $B_N$ -Ammonia) was calculated for each taxon to investigate the proportion of taxa that were sensitive ( $B_N$ -Ammonia < 0.24) vs. tolerant (> 0.24). Figure 4 summarises these distributions for 12 phylogenetic groups important for AD. *Circa* 50% of *Firmicutes*, *Proteobacteria* and *Thermotogota* were tolerant to  $NH_3$ . Only 30–40% of *Bacteroidota*, *Firmicutes* A, B, E, *Spirochaetota*, *Synergistota* and methanogens were tolerant to  $NH_3$ . Members of the *Fibrobacterota* and *Firmicutes* C were particularly sensitive, with only *ca*. 10% of these taxa tolerant to  $NH_3$ . Only very few taxa from these phyla were identified as preferring high  $NH_4$ -N conditions ( $B_N$ -Ammonia > 0.88), as two

Fig. 3 Nonmetric multidimensional scaling of changes in un- and pre-adapted community composition over time. Betadiversity is based on Bray-Curtis transformation of absolute abundances of ASVs. Sample codes are as follows: S = slurrysource; numbers = incubation day of sampling. Colours are based on growth medium or slurry source. Grey dotted lines represent 95% confidence intervals. Analysis of Similarity results testing for changes in community composition over time, between the growth media, are shown. Arrows represent general directionality of compositional shifts

*Firmicutes (Limosilactobacillus* and *Erysipelothrix* sp.), and a proteobacterial *Pseudomonas* sp.

Hidden Markov Model-based analysis specific for methanogen ASVs was used to explore any potential phylogenetic trends for sensitivity vs. tolerance in this functional group. No specific genera were found to be tolerant, but rather select individuals from widespread methanogenic groups demonstrated tolerance (Fig. 5). Tolerant Methanobacteriota included a Methanosphaera and Methanobacterium, in addition to four unknown Methanobacteriota. Tolerant Halobacteriota included a Methanothrix (formerly Methanosaeta), three Methanoculleus, a Methanospirillum, two Methanosarcina and several unknown Halobacteriota. One tolerant ASV was distantly (ca. 90%) related to Methanomassiliicoccus luminyensis B10, and another as an unknown Thermoplasmata. Five tolerant ASVs were unclassifiable Archaea. As mentioned above, the majority of methanogens were sensitive to NH<sub>3</sub>, and no tolerant ASVs were identified from Methanobrevibacter, Methanothermobacter, Methanococcus, Methanothermococcus, Methanocaldococcus, Methanocella, Methanocalculus, Methanocorpusculum, Methanoregula, Methanolinea, Methanosphaerula, Methanofollis, Methanogenium, Methanomicrobium, Methanoplanus, Methanolacinia or Candidatus Methanosuratus, Can. Methanomethylicus or Can. Bathyarchaeota lineages.

# Relationships between tolerant taxa under varying NH<sub>4</sub>-N conditions

Figure 6 compares covarying growth patterns between taxa under the four experimental combinations, over the 2-week incubation period. The majority of taxa from the un-adapted slurry, growing in low  $NH_4$ -N medium, were sensitive to  $NH_3$ (75.9% of all taxa, white nodes). There were more sensitive methanogens than tolerant (75% of methanogens, light blue nodes) and these were associated with two separate network





Fig. 4 Histograms of the distribution of  $NH_3$  tolerant and sensitive taxa within 12 phyla that contribute important functions to anaerobic digestion. Dotted lines represent threshold values for sensitivity against, and preference for,  $NH_3$  based on null model testing. Taxa

clusters. Once put under the pressure of the high  $NH_4$ -N medium, more tolerant taxa appeared in the network (61.4% of all taxa, dark grey nodes) and individual clusters dominated by tolerant taxa emerged. However, only two of these clusters were associated with  $NH_3$  tolerant methanogens (dark blue nodes). The total number of methanogens was reasonably consistent, regardless of low or high  $NH_4$ -N growth medium (seven vs. six, respectively).

When the pre-adapted community was grown under low  $NH_4$ -N conditions, sensitive taxa dominated the network once again, with numbers reflecting that of the un-adapted community (74.3% of all taxa, white nodes). Sensitive methanogens (light blue nodes) formed associations with seven different network clusters and predominated over tolerant methanogens (80% of methanogens). Ammonia tolerant taxa from the pre-adapted community only persisted under high  $NH_4$ -N growth medium, dominating the network (86.5% of all taxa, dark grey nodes). All individual clusters were dominated by  $NH_3$  tolerant taxa, with seven such clusters associated with

below  $B_N\text{-}Ammonia\ 0.24$  are sensitive, while taxa above 0.88 are enriched with  $NH_3.$  Taxa above  $B_N\text{-}Ammonia\ 0.24$  were considered tolerant

their own tolerant methanogen (dark blue nodes). Sensitive methanogens persisted in this network (40% of methanogens, light blue nodes) and also formed tight associations with  $NH_3$  tolerant taxa. The total number of methanogens was once again consistent between networks, regardless of low or high  $NH_4$ -N growth medium (both 15). Chi-square testing indicated that the differences between networks were driven by the total numbers of sensitive *vs*. tolerant taxa (p < 0.001). By comparison, the sensitivity and/or total numbers of methanogens did not vary between the networks (p = 0.12).

## Discussion

As the mechanism of  $NH_3$  toxicity is suspected to be nonspecific, and AD is a community-scale process, this study sought to investigate the broad-scale impact of  $NH_3$  on biogas slurry prokaryotes and the importance of tolerance at the community-scale. It was suspected that a community



**Fig. 5** Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree of methanogen ASVs detected in this study. Reference methanogens are leaves at the end of branches, while detected ASVs are highlighted as circles. These are coloured based on results of the  $B_{N}$ -ammonia analyses, whereby

white taxa were sensitive to  $NH_3$  and grey taxa were tolerant. Genera and phyla names of clusters are highlighted. The scale bar represents 10% variation in sequence identity

sourced from a reactor running under 'high'  $NH_4$ -N conditions would demonstrate some form of pre-adaptation to  $NH_3$ , at least relative to one sourced from 'low' conditions, i.e. community adaptation to environmental legacy effects (Vass and Langenheder 2017). By incubating these two distinct communities under separate non-inhibitory (0.09 mg  $NH_3$  mL<sup>-1</sup>) and inhibitory (0.3 mg  $NH_3$  mL<sup>-1</sup>) growth conditions, it was possible to track each taxon's response to  $NH_3$  over time and to evaluate their sensitivity. Specifically,

it was hypothesised that (1) pre-adaptation would lead to improved methanogenesis under high  $NH_4$ -N conditions; (2) that taxa involved in all functional steps of AD were affected by  $NH_3$ , not just methanogens; and (3) that it is the continuation of co-operative ecological interactions between taxa, at the community-scale, that allows methanogenesis to continue. While the overall incubation time was relatively short (14 days), in practice, a sudden change to a high-protein substrate input can indeed result in rapid

Fig. 6 Network analyses of un- and pre-adapted communities under low NH4-N media (a and b, respectively), and un- and pre-adapted communities under high NH4-N media (c and d, respectively). Nodes represent the following: white = an NH<sub>3</sub> sensitive taxon; grey = a tolerant taxon; light blue = a sensitive methanogen; dark blue = a tolerant methanogen. Edges represent significant positive Spearman correlations over time, indicating strong covarying trends in growth



and prolonged increases in reactor  $NH_3$  concentrations within 1–2 weeks (Yirong et al. 2017). Even so, it should be emphasised that it is very unlikely that any adaptation by the prokaryote communities would occur under the conditions of this experiment. Rather, any such observable adaptations would have occurred in the biogas reactors from which they were sampled.

In line with the first hypothesis, the pre-adapted community performed methanogenesis under inhibitory concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> better than its un-adapted counterpart (Fig. 1). A commonly reported toxicity threshold is ca. 1.7 g TAN  $L^{-1}$  (Yenigün and Demirel 2013), whereby methanogenesis can shut down in un-adapted communities if there is a sudden, sharp increase in TAN above this. In this study, the un-adapted community came from a source below this threshold, while the pre-adapted community came from a reactor above it (Table 1). Many studies have demonstrated that it is possible to adapt mesophilic communities to TAN concentrations of 2 g TAN  $L^{-1}$  (Koster and Lettinga 1988), 3 g TAN L<sup>-1</sup> (Hobson and Shaw 1976; Melbinger and Donnellon 1971), 4.5 g TAN  $L^{-1}$  (Yirong et al. 2017) and even up to 7 g TAN  $L^{-1}$  (Fotidis et al. 2013). The relatively short incubation time of 2 weeks was insufficient to see any potential for adaption (i.e. methanogenesis) in the un-adapted community. The presence of *ca*. 2 mL  $CH_4 L^{-1}$  in the gas headspace at day 14 suggests that a longer incubation may have eventually resulted in improved methanogenesis. For the purposes of this study, the contrast in  $CH_4$  production between un-adapted and pre-adapted communities indicated that  $NH_3$  toxicity was successfully applied and that the following ecological responses could be associated with this.

When exposed to NH<sub>3</sub>-stress, the growth of over half of the un-adapted phyla was negatively impacted (Fig. 2). These impacted phyla are associated with numerous functionally important steps in the AD process, and their decreased abundance suggests dire consequences for CH<sub>4</sub> production. For example, taxa within the Acidobacteriota, Bacteroidota, Chloroflexota, Fibrobacterota, Firmicutes A and Planctomycetota are essential for the anaerobic hydrolysis of cellulose, protein and lipids (Cai et al. 2016; Hug et al. 2013; Nobu et al. 2020) and any decrease in these functions required for the first step of AD will impact downstream process rates. Toward the other end of the AD process, the Spirochaetota, Synergistota and Thermotogota are essential secondary fermenters that oxidise fatty acids and/or form syntrophic interactions with hydrogenotrophic methanogens (i.e. formate or hydrogen transfer) (Nobu et al. 2020; Nobu et al. 2015). As has been well documented previously, methanogenic phyla can also be sensitive to NH<sub>3</sub>-toxicity (Lv et al. 2019; Moestedt et al. 2016; Ziganshin et al. 2016), and the Halobacteriota, Methanobacteriota and Methanobacteriota B from the un-adapted slurry were negatively impacted here.

In contrast, most of the phyla present in the pre-adapted high NH<sub>4</sub>-N slurry were unaffected by inhibitory concentrations of  $NH_3$  (Fig. 2). Some of the aforementioned phyla (e.g. Acidobacteriota, Chloroflexota, Methanobacteriota B, Planctomycetota, Spirochaetota) were either entirely absent from, or in very low abundance within, this community. Earlier pre-adaptation had likely already selected against the majority of NH<sub>3</sub>-sensitive individuals (Vass and Langenheder 2017), resulting in a poorly diverse (Table 1) yet NH<sub>3</sub>-robust community. Indeed, despite an inherently lower biodiversity, the high NH<sub>4</sub>-N community was still able to perform methanogenesis relatively well under noninhibitory levels (Fig. 1). This suggests firstly that methanogenesis and biodiversity are decoupled in AD. Extensive functional redundancy within AD communities has been observed previously (Cai et al. 2016), and such ecological systems are typically robust to disturbance/stressors (Allison and Martiny 2008). It should be noted, however, that the communities in this study were provided a single substrate under batch culture conditions, and that biodiversity could be more valuable in long-term reactors fed varying, chemically-diverse substrates over time. Secondly, survival of NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerant taxa under inhibitory conditions came at the cost of a ca. 70% decrease in methanogenesis. This has also been observed previously within NH<sub>2</sub> pre-adapted communities, and is thought to be due to the specific loss of aceticlastic methanogens and/or syntrophic fatty acid oxidisers (Fotidis et al. 2013; Lv et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2015). As these and other important functional groups from the preadapted community were not negatively affected, alternative explanations for the decreased efficiency in methanogenesis are warranted (discussed further below).

The taxonomic resolution of Fig. 2 is somewhat misleading as a single phylum may contain hundreds or even thousands of unique taxa. Therefore, this study also sought to investigate the distribution of NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerance amongst taxa with a particular focus on phyla that convey important functions for AD (Fig. 4). This was done through niche breadth analysis, whereby the response of each taxon's abundance in relation to NH<sub>3</sub> was calculated as a normalised ratio between 0 and 1 (Finn et al. 2020; Hurlbert 1978). While all phyla were predominantly comprised of sensitive taxa  $(B_N$ -Ammonia < 0.24), they also contained some tolerant individuals (10-50%). This suggests that mechanisms for NH<sub>3</sub> tolerance are widespread and non-specific. Such mechanisms could include removal of excess intracellular NH<sub>3</sub> via increased synthesis and subsequent extracellular export of polyamines, e.g. putrescine and spermidine (Liu et al. 2021), increased glutamine/glutamate synthesis to remove intracellular  $NH_4^+$  (Zimmer et al. 2000) or even simply increased export via transmembrane ammonia transporters, e.g. the ammonia transporter Amt (Li et al. 2007). A previous metagenomics study indicated that cell homeostasis under NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity may be dependent on the combined presence of sodium/proton and potassium membrane transporters, and additional hydrogenase complexes, e.g. ferredoxin-dependent hydrogenase Ech (Yan et al. 2020). One of the very few taxa found to actually prefer high NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations was a Limosilactobacillus sp., known to be strong producers of extracellular polysaccharides (Hossain 2022), and this may be yet another mechanism to protect the cell from diffusion of  $NH_4^+$  via a thick hydrophobic layer. From a practical perspective, widespread, non-specific mechanisms of NH<sub>3</sub> tolerance suggest the potential for adapting diverse, independent biogas reactors to high NH<sub>4</sub>-N. This seems plausible as many independent laboratories have successfully acclimated CH<sub>4</sub> producing slurry communities in the past, even from diverse operating conditions (e.g. thermophilic and mesophilic) and substrate inputs (Fotidis et al. 2013; Hansen et al. 1998; Yirong et al. 2017).

Previous studies into NH<sub>3</sub> inhibition have primarily focused on methanogenic Archaea (Borja et al. 1996; Fotidis et al. 2013; Lv et al. 2019; Moestedt et al. 2016; Ziganshin et al. 2016). Perhaps the only consistently enriched methanogen under NH<sub>3</sub>-stress is Methanoculleus bourgensis MS2, which has been shown to be both NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerant (Wang et al. 2015) and capable of improving  $CH_4$  yields when added to reactors as a bioaugmentation agent (Fotidis et al. 2014). In this study, several *Methanoculleus* spp. were found to be NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerant (100% identity to *M. bourgensis* MS2 and 98% identity to M. palmolei DSM4273, based on the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene). However, multiple other Methanoculleus spp. were found to be NH<sub>3</sub>-sensitive (e.g. M. hydrogenitrophicus HC, M. thermophilus CR-1, M. olentangyi). This inconsistency even at the genus level was reflected across the 92 putative methanogen ASVs identified, indicating that mechanisms for tolerance are not linked to methanogenic phylogeny. Rather, the kinds of physical mechanisms for tolerance described above may be continuously and independently emerging within taxa in response to NH<sub>3</sub>-stress, e.g. increased expression of polyamine synthesis under high NH<sub>3</sub>. Differential gene expression analysis of M. bourgensis MS2 as a model methanogenic taxon for NH<sub>3</sub> tolerance could help to elucidate what specific adaptation(s) are required. In regard to the second hypothesis of this study, the broad-scale taxonomic and methanogen-specific analyses therefore suggested that methanogens were no more sensitive to NH<sub>3</sub> than most other groups and that widespread, non-specific mechanisms for tolerance exist throughout slurry communities.

The final hypothesis sought to investigate an ecological explanation for the continuation of methanogenesis under inhibitory concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub>. Network analyses can give insight into the relationships between microbial taxa within communities, and/or their common response to a set of environmental conditions (Faust 2021). An interesting, yet perhaps

unsurprising, common response was that NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerant taxa emerged to dominate communities under high NH<sub>4</sub>-N, regardless of the original slurry source (Fig. 6). This suggests that even the un-adapted community had the potential to become NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerant if the incubation period was extended, in line with the concept that potential mechanisms for tolerance are widespread and non-specific. Previous acclimation studies have reported that, even after 2-3 months without CH<sub>4</sub> production, methanogenesis can recommence if the system pH is maintained between 7 and 8 (Koster 1986). Perhaps the only true inhibition occurs if acidosis (particularly acetic acid build-up) develops, whereby the entire microbial community dies (Lv et al. 2019). The key difference between the two communities under high NH<sub>4</sub>-N conditions was that the growth of tolerant methanogens was consistent with more tolerant taxa, visualised as connections between dark blue and grey nodes (Fig. 6). As explained above, methanogens perform the fourth step of AD, yet are dependent on other taxa to fulfil the other stages (Wirth et al. 2012). Here, the connections between tolerant methanogens and other tolerant taxa indicate the continuation of strong covarying growth, potentially as a result of maintained co-operative metabolic interactions (Faust and Raes 2016). In agreement with the third hypothesis, this could explain why at least some CH<sub>4</sub> production continued here.

Finally worth noting was that, when the pre-adapted community was placed under low NH<sub>4</sub>-N conditions, the ratio of sensitive to tolerant taxa was actually reversed and the network was once more dominated by sensitive taxa. Such an outcome would be expected if there was a negative fitness associated with NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerance. For example, if increased polyamine synthesis is required for survival under high NH<sub>3</sub>, this may in fact be detrimental for growth under low conditions by simply being an unnecessary burden on general cell maintenance, or a loss of potential nitrogen for protein synthesis. An alternative explanation for the commonly observed decrease in methanogenesis under NH<sub>3</sub>-stress (of ca. 70% in this study) is therefore that tolerant taxa, regardless of their functional role in AD as hydrolysers, methanogens, etc., must invest carbon, nitrogen and energy into combating NH<sub>3</sub>-toxicity. This general reallocation of resources would come at the cost of decreased overall central carbon metabolism, which would ultimately lead to less CH<sub>4</sub> production.

In conclusion, as the AD process requires co-operative metabolic interactions between many diverse taxa, this study sought to investigate effects of  $NH_3$  toxicity at the community-scale in a model laboratory system. By sourcing two distinct slurry communities, one pre-adapted and the other not, it was possible to compare and contrast responses to inhibitory concentrations of  $NH_3$ . As expected,  $NH_4$ -N pre-adaptation was necessary for methanogenesis under  $NH_3$ -stress. While many phyla were shared between the

distinct communities, those taxa from the pre-adapted slurry were more robust to NH<sub>3</sub>. Closer investigation into the distribution of NH<sub>3</sub> tolerant vs. sensitive taxa found functional groups essential for all steps of AD, including methanogens, harboured tolerant individuals. By reconstructing ecological relationships via covarying growth patterns, continued methanogenesis under NH3-stress could be explained by enduring metabolic interactions between tolerant taxa, including methanogens, at the community-scale. While the specific physiological mechanisms that give rise to NH<sub>3</sub> tolerance were not identified in this study, these results suggest that such mechanisms are widespread and unlinked to specific phylogeny. As NH<sub>3</sub> inhibition during digestion of proteinrich substrates is a common problem, widespread tolerance of taxa has practical significance regarding the potential to adapt biogas reactors to NH<sub>3</sub>. Future studies should consider (meta)transcriptomic and (meta)proteomic approaches to confirm the nature of the actual mechanisms underlying NH<sub>3</sub>-tolerance.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-023-12752-5.

Acknowledgements We would like to express our greatest thanks for the expert technical assistance of Karin Trescher (Thünen Institute for Biodiversity), especially with both the pungent anaerobic cultures, and Carina Wittke, Kerstin Gilke, Ute Tambor and Dr. Daniel Zehe (Thünen Institute for Climate-Smart Agriculture) for performing gas chromatography and mineral nitrogen analyses.

Author contribution DRF designed and performed experiments, performed the molecular, bioinformatic and statistical analyses, and wrote the manuscript. LR assisted in performing experiments and manuscript revision. SK, JG and AL assisted in sourcing biogas communities, experimental design and manuscript revision. CCT was responsible for funding acquisition, experimental design and manuscript revision.

**Funding** Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. We would like to thank the Agency for Renewable Raw Materials for funding (FNR Grant Number 2219NR419).

**Data availability** Illumina MiSeq amplicon data has been uploaded to the European Nucleotide Archive as Bioproject: PRJEB61507.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Allison SD, Martiny JBH (2008) Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. PNAS 105:11512–11519. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801925105
- Angelidaki I, Petersen SP, Ahring BK (1990) Effects of lipids on thermophilic anaerobic digestion and reduction of lipid inhibition upon addition of bentonite. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 33:469–472
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R I State Dent Soc 57:289–300
- Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA et al (2019) Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37(8):852–857
- Borja R, Sanchez E, Weiland P (1996) Influence of ammonia concentration on thermophilic anaerobic digestion of cattle manure in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactors. Process Biochem 31(5):477–483
- Cai M, Wilkins D, Chen J, Ng SK, Lu H, Jia Y, Lee PKH (2016) Metagenomic reconstruction of key anaerobic digestion pathways in municipal sludge and industrial wastewater biogas-producing systems. Front Microbiol 7:778
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP (2016) DADA2: high resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13(7):581–583
- Chen Y, Cheng JJ, Creamer KS (2008) Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: a review. Bioresour Technol 99:4044–4064
- Csárdi G, Nepusz T (2006) The igraph software package for complex network research. Int J Complex Syst 1695(5):1–9
- de Bok FA, Stams AJM, Dijkema C, Boone DR (2001) Pathway of propionate oxidation by a syntrophic culture of *Smithella propionica* and *Methanospirillum hungatei*. Appl Environ Microbiol 67(4):1800–1804
- Devries W, Kapteijn WM, Eg V, Stouthamer AH (1970) Molar growth yields and fermentation balances of *Lactobacillus casei* L3 in batch cultures and in continuous cultures. J Gen Microbiol 63:333. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-63-3-333
- Eddy S (2004) What is a Hidden Markov Model? Nat Biotechnol 22:1315–1316
- Eddy SR (2011) Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol 7:e1002195
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32(5):1792–1797
- Faust K (2021) Open challenges for microbial network construction and analysis. ISME J 15:3111–3118
- Faust K, Raes J (2016) CoNet app: inference of biological association networks using Cytoscape. F1000Research 5:1519
- Finn DR, Yu J, Ilhan ZE, Fernandes VMC, Penton CR, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Garcia-Pichel F, Vogel TM (2020) MicroNiche: an R package for assessing microbial niche breadth and overlap from amplicon sequencing data. FEMS Microbiol Eco 96:fiaa131
- Fotidis IA, Karakashev D, Kotsopoulos TA, Martzopoulos GG, Angelidaki I (2013) Effect of ammonium and acetate on methanogenic pathway and methanogenic community composition. FEMS Microbiol Eco 63:38–48

- Fotidis IA, Wang H, Fiedel NR, Luo G, Karakashev DB, Angelidaki I (2014) Bioaugmentation as a solution to increase methane production from an ammonia-rich substrate. Environ Sci Technol 48:7669–7676
- Fuchs W, Wang X, Gabauer W, Ortner M, Li Z (2018) Tackling ammonia inhibition for efficient biogas production from chicken manure: status and technical trends in Europe and China. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 97:186–199
- Gao S, Zhao M, Chen Y, Yu M, Ruan W (2015) Tolerance response to *in situ* ammonia stress in a pilot-scale anaerobic digestion reactor for alleviating ammonia inhibition. Bioresour Technol 198:372–379
- Garcia JL, Patel BKC, Ollivier B (2000) Taxonomic phylogenetic and ecological diversity of methanogenic *Archaea*. Anaerobe 6(4):205–226. https://doi.org/10.1006/anae.2000.0345
- Gloor GB, Macklaim JM, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ (2017) Microbiome datasets are compositional: and this is not optional. Front Microbiol 15(8):2224
- Hansen KH, Angelidaki I, Ahring BK (1998) Anaerobic digestion of swine manure: inhibition by ammonia. Water Res 32(1):5–12
- Hobson PN, Bousfield S, Summers R, Kirsch EJ (1974) Anaerobic digestion of organic matter. Crit Rev Environ Con 4(1-4):131-191
- Hobson PN, Shaw BG (1976) Inhibition of methane production by Methanobacterium formicium. Water Res 10:849–852
- Hossain TJ (2022) Functional genomics of the lactic acid bacterium *Limosilactobacillus fermentum* LAB-1: metabolic, probiotic and biotechnological perspectives. Heliyon 8:1–11
- Hug LA, Castelle CJ, Wrighton KC, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Frischkorn KR, Williams KH, Tringe SG, Banfield JF (2013) Community genomic analyses constrain the distribution of metabolic traits across the *Chloroflexi* phylum and indicate roles in sediment carbon cycling. Microbiome 1. https://doi.org/10. 1186/2049-2618-1-22
- Hurlbert SH (1978) The measurement of niche overlap and some relatives. Ecology 59:67–77
- Iannotti EL, Kafkewitz D, Wolin MJ, Bryant MP (1973) Glucose fermentation products of *Ruminococcus albus* grown in continuous culture with *Vibrio succinogenes* - changes caused by interspecies transfer of H2. J Bacteriol 114(3):1231–1240
- Koster IW (1986) Characteristics of the pH-influenced adaptation of methanogenic sludge to ammonium toxicity. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 36:445–455
- Koster IW, Lettinga G (1988) Anaerobic digestion at extreme ammonia concentrations. Biol Wastes 25:51–59
- Langfelder P, Horvath S (2008) WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinform 9:559
- Langfelder P, Horvath S (2012) Fast R functions for robust correlations and hierarchical clustering. J Stat Softw 46(11):1–17
- Li N, He J, Yan H, Chen S, Dai X (2017) Pathways in bacterial and archaeal communities dictated by ammonium stress in a high solid anaerobic digester with dewatered sludge. Bioresour Technol 241:95–102
- Li X, Jayachandran S, Nguyen HHT, Chan MK (2007) Structure of the *Nitrosomonas europaea* Rh protein. PNAS 104:19279–19284
- Liu L, Liu M, Jiang Y, Lin W, Luo J (2021) Production and excretion of polyamines to tolerate high ammonia, a case study on soil ammonia-oxidizing Archaeon "Candidatus Nitrosocosmicus agrestis". mSystems 6:e01003-20
- Liu Y, Balkwill DL, Aldrich HC, Drake GR, Boone DR (1999) Characterization of the anaerobic propionate-degrading syntrophs *Smithella propionica* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Syntrophobacter wolinii*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49:545–556

- Lovley DR, Phillips EJP (1989) Requirement for a microbial consortium to completely oxidize glucose in Fe(III)-reducing sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 55(12):3234–3236
- Lv Z, Leite AF, Harms H, Glaser K, Liebetrau J, Kleinsteuber S, Nikolausz M (2019) Microbial community shifts in biogas reactors upon complete or partial ammonia inhibition. Bioen Biofuels 103:519–533
- McCarty PL, McKinney RE (1961) Salt toxicity in anaerobic digestion. J Water Pollut Control Fed 33:399–415
- Melbinger NR, Donnellon J (1971) Toxic effects of ammonia-nitrogen in high-rate digestion. J Water Pollut Control Fed 43:1658–1670
- Moestedt J, Müller B, Westerholm M, Schnürer A (2016) Ammonia threshold for inhibition of anaerobic digestion of thin stillage and the importance of organic loading rate. J Microbial Biotechnol 9(2):180–194
- Nobu MK, Narihiro T, Mei R, Kamagata Y, Lee PKH, Lee PH, McInerney MJ, Liu WT (2020) Catabolism and interactions of uncultured organisms shaped by eco-thermodynamics in methanogenic bioprocesses. Microbiome 8:1–16
- Nobu MK, Narihiro T, Rinke C, Kamagata Y, Tringe SG, Woyke T, Liu WT (2015) Microbial dark matter ecogenomics reveals complex synergistic networks in a methanogenic bioreactor. ISME J 9(8):1710–1722. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.256
- Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Simpson G, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Wagner H (2013) Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2 0-10. http://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan
- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Chaumeil PA, Hugenholtz P (2022) GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. Nucleic Acids Res 50:D785–D794
- R Core Team (2013) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria
- Scarlat N, Dallemand JF, Fahl F (2018) Biogas: developments and perspectives in Europe. Renew Energy 129:457–472
- Schliep KP, Potts AJ, Morrison DA, Grimm WA (2017) Intertwining phylogenetic trees and networks. Methods Ecol Evol 8:1212–1220
- Thauer RK, Jungerma K, Henninge H, Wenning J, Decker K (1968) Energy metabolism of *Clostridium kluyveri*. Eur J Biochem 4(2):173. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1968.tb00189.x
- Thauer RK, Kaster AK, Seedorf H, Buckel W, Hedderich R (2008) Methanogenic Archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation. Nat Rev Microbiol 6(8):579–591. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1931
- Vass M, Langenheder S (2017) The legacy of the past: effects of historical processes on microbial metacommunities. Aquat Microb Ecol 79:13–19

- VDI-4630 (2016) Fermentation of organic materials: characterisation of the substrate, sampling, collection of material data, fermentation tests. VDI-Gesellschaft Energie und Umwelt (GEU)
- Venkiteshwaran K, Bocher B, Maki J, Zitomer D (2015) Relating anaerobic digestion microbial community and process function. Microbiol Insights 8(S2):37–44
- Wang H, Fotidis IA, Angelidaki I (2015) Ammonia effect on hydrogenotrophic methanogens and syntrophic acetate-oxidizing bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91(11):fiv130
- Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Liaw WHA, Lumley T, Maechler M, Magnusson A, Moeller S, Schwartz M, Venables B (2019) gplots: various R programming tools for plotting data. https:// cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/index.html.
- Whittmann C, Zeng AP, Deckwer WD (1995) Growth inhibition by ammonia and use of pH-controlled feeding strategy for the effective cultivation of *Mycobacterium chlorophenolicum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 44:519–525
- Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org
- Wirth R, Kovacs E, Maroti G, Bagi Z, Rakhely G, Kovacs K (2012) Characterization of a biogas-producing microbial community by short-read next generation DNA sequencing. Biotechnol Biofuels 5:41
- Yan M, True L, Zhu X, Tian H, Basile A, Fotidis IA, Campanaro S, Angelidaki I (2020) Insights into ammonia adaptation and methanogenic precursor oxidation by genome-centric analysis. Environ Sci Technol 54:12568–12582
- Yenigün O, Demirel B (2013) Ammonia inhibition in anaerobic digestion: a review. Process Biochem 48:901–911
- Yirong C, Zhang W, Heaven S, Banks CJ (2017) Influence of ammonia in the anaerobic digestion of food waste. J Environ Chem Eng 5(5):5131–5142
- Yu Y, Lee C, Kim J, Hwang S (2005) Group-specific primer and probe sets to detect methanogenic communities using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Biotechnol Bioeng 89:670–679
- Ziganshin AM, Ziganshina EE, Kleinsteuber S, Nikolausz M (2016) Comparative analysis of methanogenic communities in different laboratory-scale anaerobic digesters. Archaea. https://doi.org/10. 1155/2016/3401272
- Zimmer DP, Soupene E, Lee HL, Wendisch VF, Khodursky AB, Peter BJ, Bender RA, Kustu S (2000) Nitrogen regulatory protein C-controlled genes of *Escherichia coli*: scavenging as a defense against nitrogen limitation. PNAS 97(26):14674–14679

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.