Journal of Plant Ecology



Research Article Effects of land use on soil microbial community structure and diversity in the Yellow River floodplain

Xiongde Dong^{1,2,†}, Leyun Yang^{3,†}, Laura Sofie Harbo^{4,5,6}, Xinyu Yan^{1,2}, Ji Chen^{5,6,7}, Cancan Zhao¹, Yutong Xiao^{1,2}, Hao Liu^{1,2}, Shilin Wang^{1,2}, Yuan Miao^{1,2,*}, Dong Wang^{1,*} and Shijie Han^{1,2,*}

¹International Joint Research Laboratory for Global Change Ecology, School of Life Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, China, ²Yellow River Floodplain Ecosystems Research Station, Henan University, Xingyang 450100, China, ³College of Animal Science and Technology, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang, Henan 471023, China, ⁴Sino-Danish Center for Education and Research (SDC), University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China, ⁵Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Blichers Allé 20, 8830 Tjele, Denmark, ⁶iCLIMATE Interdisciplinary Centre for Climate Change, Aarhus University, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark, ⁷Aarhus University Centre for Circular Bioeconomy, Aarhus University, 8830 Tjele, Denmark

*Corresponding author. E-mail: miaoyuan0921@126.com (Y.M.); wangdong19882005@163.com (D.W.); hansj@iae.ac.cn (S.H.) [†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Handling Editor: Cameron Wagg

Received: 13 December 2021, First Decision: 13 February 2022, Accepted: 30 June 2022, Online Publication: 26 July 2022

Abstract

Soil microorganisms and their diversity are important bioindicators of soil carbon and nutrient cycling. Land use type is a major determining factor that influences soil microbial community composition in floodplain ecosystems. However, how the structure and diversity of soil microbial communities respond to specific changes in land use, as well as the main drivers of these changes, are still unclear. This study was conducted in the Yellow River floodplain to examine the effects of land use type on soil microbial communities. Four land use types (shrubland, farmland, grassland and forest) were selected, wherein shrubland served as the baseline. We measured soil microbial structure and diversity using phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs). Land use type significantly affected total, bacterial and fungal PLFAs, and the gram-positive/negative bacterial PLFAs. Compared with shrubland, peanut farmland had higher total and bacterial PLFAs and forest had higher fungal PLFAs. Soil pH and phosphorus were the predominate drivers of microbial PLFAs, explaining 37% and 26% of the variability, respectively. Soil total nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen were the main factors increasing microbial community diversity, suggesting that farmland has great potential as a carbon sink. Our findings indicated that peanut farmland in the Yellow River floodplain is critical for maintaining soil microbial communities and soil carbon sequestration.

Keywords bacteria, fungi, Yellow River floodplain, microbial community diversity, soil carbon stock, land use type

土地利用方式对黄河漫滩土壤微生物群落结构和多样性的影响

摘要: 土壤微生物群落及其多样性是土壤碳和养分循环的重要生物指标。土地利用变化是影响漫滩生态系 统土壤微生物群落组成的主要决定因素。然而,土壤微生物群落的结构和多样性如何响应土地利用变化,

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Botanical Society of China. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com 以及这些变化的主要驱动因素是什么,仍不清楚。本研究在黄河漫滩进行,以检验土地利用变化对土壤微 生物群落的影响。选取了4种土地利用类型(灌丛、农田、草地和森林),其中以灌木地为对照,用磷脂脂 肪酸测量了土壤微生物组成和多样性。研究结果表明,土地利用变化显著影响了总细菌、真菌和革兰氏阳 性/阴性细菌。与灌丛相比,农田的总磷脂脂肪酸和细菌较高,森林的真菌较高。土壤pH值和全磷是微生 物组成的最佳预测因子,分别解释了37%和26%的变异性。土壤全氮和硝态氮是影响微生物多样性的主要 因素。花生农田的土壤碳含量、土壤碳储量、磷脂脂肪酸总量和微生物多样性最高,表明漫滩具有巨大的 碳汇潜力。这些研究结果表明,黄河漫滩的农田对于维持土壤微生物群落和土壤碳固存至关重要。

关键词:细菌,真菌,黄河漫滩,微生物多样性,土壤碳储量,土地利用类型

INTRODUCTION

Floodplains are located in ecotones at water-land interfaces, and can influence the local climate, water resource balance and biological diversity (Verones et al. 2013). With human population growth and increases in farming activities, nearly half of the world's floodplains have been modified (Field and Barros 2014). Different land uses in floodplain ecosystems can have numerous ecological consequences, such as shifts in the soil microbial community structure as well as carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling in the soil (Guo and Zhou 2020). Soil microbes are sensitive to environmental changes, which serve as early indicators of land use type (Shao et al. 2019a). In addition, microbial community diversity can influence the soil carbon pools where soil biotic and abiotic properties rapidly respond to environmental shifts (Liu et al. 2020). Clarifying how soil microbial communities respond and adapt to different land use types will be helpful in understanding microbially driven carbon sequestration (Liu et al. 2018; Márton et al. 2017).

Microbes, which directly influence ecosystem carbon turnover and nutrient mineralization, are necessary for primary production and affect overall ecosystem sustainability (Ho et al. 2018; Pan et al. 2021; Shao et al. 2019b). Previous studies have demonstrated that land use significantly affects various ecosystems by changing the compositions of soil microbial communities (Ho et al. 2018; Lu et al. 2019), thereby changing the carbon cycling capacity of the soil (Chen and Sinsabaugh 2021; Ji et al. 2020). Evidence from the Central China Plain region has shown that the transformation of shrubland into farmland alters soil microbial community composition (bacteria and fungi) and soil carbon sequestration capacity (Zhang et al. 2016). Furthermore, old-growth forests converted to human-planted forests or grassland significantly increased the abundance of gram-negative (G⁻) bacteria in Southwest China (Luo et al. 2020). Despite the research demonstrating the connection between land use and microbial communities, little is known about how land use types affect the structure and diversity of soil microbial communities in floodplains. Therefore, an accurate assessment of how land use change effects soil microbial biomass and community composition is critical to better understand soil carbon sequestration in floodplains. However, the fatty acids of soil microbial communities have not yet been fully characterized, which could lead to incomplete results and inaccurate conclusions. The phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs) method, which covers a wide range of microbiota, has been used in similar studies to characterize the structural diversity of microbial communities (Guo et al. 2018; Micaela et al. 2021). Therefore, this study utilized the PLFA method as it is best suited for the overall analysis of microbial communities (Luo et al. 2020; Micaela et al. 2021).

The physical and chemical properties of soil are the main factors affecting microbial community structure and diversity (Liu et al. 2020; Yu et al. 2021; Zeng et al. 2022). When ecosystems transition from natural to disturbed, environmental conditions across all the distinct microbial habitats found in soil can be altered considerably. For example, a change in land use from natural forest to degraded forest led to a decrease in soil carbon and nitrogen, which reduced microbial activity and diversity (Kumar and Ghoshal 2017). Microbial community structure and diversity can be altered by changes in environmental variables such as phosphorus (Zhang et al. 2021), which is used by gram-positive (G⁺) bacteria to synthesize cell walls (Dong et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2020). Similarly, land use change can lead to mycelial growth and alter soil pH and bulk density (BD), which are vital soil parameters that can determine ecosystem function (Almeida et al. 2020; Vitali et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018).

Floodplains are riparian areas where massive amounts of carbon and nitrogen are exchanged, and are highly sensitive to global change (Urbanová et al. 2015). Land use change, particularly the conversion from natural shrub covered floodplains to farmland or forest, has been a common occurrence in many areas (Sala et al. 2000). The Yellow River floodplain has natural and artificial land use types, making it an ideal location to investigate the effects of land use change on soil microbial community structure and diversity. In the present study, we conducted a survey covering four land use types, including shrubland, farmland, grassland and forest, in the floodplain of the Yellow River in Henan Province, China. The objectives of the study were: (i) to examine the structure and diversity of soil microbial communities in relation to land use; and (ii) to evaluate how soil physical-chemical factors drive changes in soil microbial community structure and diversity in the Yellow River floodplain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The study was conducted in a floodplain near the Yellow River, located in Henan Province, China (34°58′26″ N, 113°24′57″ E, 100 m a.s.l., Fig. 1). The area experiences a monsoon climate, with mean annual average temperature of 14 °C and mean annual precipitation of 562 mm (2000–18, local meteorological station). The soil is a sandy loam structure (76% sand, 20% silt and 4% clay in the 0–10 cm of soil layer) and was developed from alluvium parent material of the Yellow River.

In the studied area, shrubland represents the natural undisturbed ecosystem. All the land area was formed from bare riverbeds after the construction of the Xiaolangdi Dam in 2001. However, human activities had repurposed much of the land, transforming it from partial shrubland to farmland, grassland and forest around 2012. The land use history was investigated based on field interviews with the local farmers.

The dominant plant species in the natural shrubland were Tamarix (*Tamarix ramosissima*), Cynodon (*Cynodon dactylon*) and Calamagrostis (*Calamagrostis epigeios*). Grassland was dominated by Cynodon (*C. dactylon*) and Calamagrostis (*C. epigeios*). The primary crop planted on the farmland was peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). The primary plant species in the artificial forest was the common Privet

(*Ligustrum vulgare*, Table 1). The floodplain in the Yellow River experiences 2–3 floods every year due to the Xiaolangdi Dam releasing water from July to October.

Experimental design and sampling

In the studied site, the four land use types (shrubland, farmland, grassland and forest) were sampled in November 2020. In each land use type, five plots were sampled. Each plot had an area of 20 m \times 20 m, with at least 200 m buffer between any two plots to avoid pseudo-replication (Li *et al.* 2020). In each plot, 0–10 cm depth soil samples were collected with a soil auger according to the cross-sampling method, including cores at each of the four corners and the middle of each plot. A total of 25 soil subsamples were obtained from each land use type, and the subsamples from each plot were pooled. Each plot served as a replicate sample, and the five replicate plot samples were kept separate. In total, there were five replicates for each of the four land use types.

To measure aboveground biomass for each land use type, we sampled $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ subplots. All biomass was collected at a height of 1 cm above the ground and placed in a paper bag for transportation to the laboratory. The aboveground vegetation was then dried at 105 °C in an oven, and then dried at 65 °C until constant weight to calculate aboveground biomass. The aboveground biomass of the artificial forest was evaluated using the binary power function by measuring tree diameter at breast height, tree height and crown width (Zi *et al.* 2017):

$BM = a(DBH)^b$

where the independent variable is DBH, which is the diameter at breast height; and *a* and *b* are coefficients.

Each sample was divided into two subsamples, which were placed in self-sealing plastic bags in an icebox and transported to the laboratory for chemical and microbial analyses. After removing all visible roots and stones, one subsample was air-dried and passed through a 0.25-mm mesh in order to measure the chemical composition of the soil, and the other subsample group was stored at -20 °C for PLFA measurements.

Soil analysis

The soil pH was determined in a solution with a soil– water ratio of 1:2.5 using a pH meter (Sartorius PT-21, Shanghai, China). The soil BD was measured with the volumetric ring method. Soil total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN) were measured using a Vario Max



Figure 1: Maps and schematic diagram of land use types in the Yellow River floodplain. Soil TC (g/kg), TN (g/kg), TP (g/kg), NO₃-N (mg/kg), pH, soil BD (g/cm³) and gram-positive (G⁺) bacterial PLFAs. The up arrows indicate significant increase and the down arrows indicate significant decreases in the listed indicators between shrubland and other land use types.

CNS elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). In addition, total phosphorus (TP), nitrate nitrogen (NO_3 -N) and ammonium nitrogen (NH_4 -N) were determined using an Auto Analyzer (AA3, Norderstedt, Germany). The total soil carbon stock (SCS) was calculated according to the following equation (Luo *et al.* 2020):

$$SCS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_i \times BD \times h_i)/10$$

where *n* is the number of soil layers, C_i is the soil total carbon content (g/kg) of the *i*th layer and h_i is the thickness of soil (cm).

	Dominant plant species	Aboveground biomass (g/m ²)
Shrubland	Tamarix ramosissima, Cynodon dactylon, Calamagrostis epigejos	468.9 ± 56.02 C
Farmland	Arachis hypogaea	889.6 ± 15.41 B
Forest	Ligustrum vulgare	5197.7 ± 47.16 A
Grassland	Cynodon dactylon, Calamagrostis epigeios	350.2 ± 44.31 D

 Table 1: Dominant plant species and aboveground biomass in shrubland, farmland, forest and grassland

Values are the means \pm standard error (n = 5). Different capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) among the different land use types.

Analysis of PLFAs

The soil microbial PLFAs were determined by gas chromatography (Agilent Technologies 7890A, Zhao *et al.* 2016). PLFAs were extracted from fresh soil (8 g dry weight equivalent) with a single-phase mixture (1:2:0.8, v/v/v) containing chloroform, methanol and phosphate buffer. Using 19:0 methyl esters as the internal standard. The fatty acid fractions of PLFAs were analyzed separately on a gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector (FID) (GC6890, Agilent Technologies, Bracknell, UK) by automatic injection of samples. Characteristic fatty acids were grouped into biomarkers, as shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Microbial community diversity was calculated using the Shannon–Weiner diversity index (H), the Pielou evenness index (J) and the Margalef richness index (R) (Wang *et al.* 2012; Zak *et al.* 1994).

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i \ln P_i$$

where *s* is the number of characteristic fatty acids and P_i is the measure of *i*th species proportional to the total number of species.

$$J = H/\ln S$$

$$R = (S - 1)/\ln N$$

where *N* is the total number of individuals and *S* is the total number of species in each sample.

Statistical analyses

The soil variable and soil microbial PLFAs were examined for normality and transformed using log10 to satisfy the assumptions of the statistical analyses. The effects of land use type on soil variables (BD, TC, TN, TP, C/N ratio, pH, NH₄-N and NO₃-N) and soil microbial PLFAs (total PLFAs, bacterial and fungal PLFAs, bacteria/fungi ratio, gram-positive and -negative bacterial PLFAs, gram-positive/negative

bacteria ratio, Actinomycetes, Shannon–Weiner index, Pielou index and Margalef index) were examined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The least significant difference (LSD) multiple comparisons (P < 0.05) was used to identify significant effects. The Spearman's correlation coefficient and redundancy analysis were performed to quantify the correlations between the soil microbial structure and diversity and soil variables in the R software environment (version 3.2.2, R Core Team 2015).

RESULTS

Soil physical-chemical characteristics

Land use significantly affected the soil TC, TN, TP, NO₂-N, pH, soil BD and soil carbon density (P < 0.05, Table 2). Compared with shrubland, soil TC content was significantly lower in grassland and significantly higher in farmland (P < 0.05). The SCS in farmland was significantly higher than shrubland, the mean values increased 29% (P < 0.01). The TN and TP contents in farmland were significantly higher than those in shrubland and grassland soils (P < 0.05). Land use change also significantly affected soil NO₂-N content; increases of 712% were observed in farmland compared with shrubland, and values increases 211% for artificial forest compared with shrubland (both P < 0.01). There was no significant difference in NO₂-N content between shrubland and grassland soils (P > 0.05). Additionally, the mean soil pH values of farmland and forest plots were 8.11 and 8.22, which was lower than that in the shrubland (both *P* < 0.05, Table 2).

PLFAs and diversity of soil microbes

Compared with shrubland, farmland had a higher total PLFA content (P < 0.05, Fig. 2a). Furthermore, the amounts of bacterial PLFAs in farmland and grassland soils were 7% and 5% higher than in forest soil (both P < 0.05). The highest amounts of

	TC	IN	TP	C/N	NH4-N	NO ³ -N	нd	BD	SCS
Shrubland	23.71 ± 0.51 B	$0.64 \pm 0.17 \text{ B}$	$0.90 \pm 0.07 \text{ B}$	39.52 ± 15.69 A	$0.11\pm0.06~\mathrm{A}$	$0.70 \pm 0.07 \text{ C}$	$8.33 \pm 0.03 \text{ A}$	$1.11 \pm 0.03 \text{ A}$	$26.43 \pm 0.45 \text{ B}$
Farmland	$27.02 \pm 0.80 \text{ A}$	$1.04 \pm 0.03 \text{ A}$	1.07 ± 0.11 A	$25.98 \pm 1.03 \text{ A}$	$0.15 \pm 0.03 \text{ A}$	$5.69 \pm 0.91 \text{ A}$	8.11 ± 0.02 C	$1.26 \pm 0.10 \text{ A}$	34.11 ± 1.31 A
Forest	$23.08 \pm 1.55 \text{ B}$	$0.93\pm0.08~\mathrm{AB}$	$0.89 \pm 0.12 \text{ B}$	$24.91 \pm 0.74 \text{ A}$	0.20 ± 0.33 A	$2.18\pm0.86~\mathrm{B}$	$8.22 \pm 0.04 \text{ B}$	$1.03 \pm 0.08 \text{ B}$	17.34 ± 0.99 C
Grassland	17.16 ± 3.14 C	$0.67 \pm 0.16 \text{ B}$	$0.89 \pm 0.03 \text{ B}$	27.72 ± 2.99 A	0.09 ± 0.11 A	0.41 ± 0.23 C	$8.33 \pm 0.02 \text{ A}$	$0.98\pm0.14~\mathrm{B}$	23.67 ± 1.23 B
F value	16.47^{***}	3.92*	3.6*	NS	NS	42.08***	10.12^{**}	5.05**	41.96***

***P < 0.001one-way ANOVA. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, fungal PLFAs were observed in forest soil, which was significantly higher than that of shrubland soil (Fig. 2c) by an average of 19% (P < 0.05). The highest soil bacteria/fungi ratio was observed in shrubland, which was significantly higher than that of forest (Fig. 2d).

The amounts of G⁺ bacteria PLFAs in the farmland and forest were significantly higher than that of shrubland by averages of 38% and 35%, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. 2e). The G⁺/ G⁻ bacterial ratio observed in the forest soil was significantly higher than in the shrubland and grassland (Fig. 2g). The amount of Actinomycete PLFAs in the farmland was significantly higher than in the grassland (Fig. 2h). Farmland and artificial forest exhibited high Shannon-Weiner diversity index and Pielou evenness index values, which were significantly higher than for shrubland, but no differences among four land use types were observed in the Margalef index (Fig. 3a-c).

Correlations between microorganisms and environmental factors

The Spearman's correlation analysis showed that the Actinomycetes PLFAs, Shannon-Weiner index and Pielou index were significantly positively correlated with soil TC content (P < 0.05, Table 3). The bacteria, gram-positive bacteria, Shannon-Weiner index and Pielou index were significantly positively correlated with soil TN content (P < 0.05). The total amount of PLFAs, gram-positive bacteria and Pielou index were positively correlated with soil TP content (P < 0.05). The Actinomycetes PLFA, gram-positive bacteria, Shannon-Weiner index and Pielou index had significant positive correlations with NO₂-N content (P < 0.05). The fungal PLFAs were significantly positively correlated with soil BD (P < 0.05). Gram-positive bacteria, gram-positive/ negative ratio and Shannon-Weiner diversity index were negatively correlated with soil pH (P < 0.05).

Redundancy analysis between the compositions of soil microbial communities and soil physical-chemical properties showed that the first axis explained 26% of the total variance in the soil microbial communities, and the second axis explained 24% of the total variance (Fig. 4). The forward selection of the variables in the redundancy analysis showed that soil microbial community composition across these four land use types were primarily affected by pH and TP, which explained 37% and 26% of the variability, respectively.



Figure 2: Soil total PLFAs (**a**), bacterial PLFAs (**b**), fungal PLFAs (**c**), bacteria/fungi ratio (**d**), gram-positive bacterial PLFAs (**e**), gram-negative bacterial PLFAs (**f**), gram-positive/negative ratio (**g**) and Actinomycetes PLFAs (**h**) in shrubland, farmland, grassland and forest. Values are the means \pm standard errors (n = 5). Significant difference among the four land use types is denoted with different capital letters (P < 0.05).



Figure 3: Shannon–Weiner (**a**), Pielou (**b**) and Margalef (**c**) indexes of soil microbial PLFAs in shrubland, farmland, grassland and forest. Different capital letters indicate significant differences among the four land use types (P < 0.05).

Table 3: Spearman's correlation coefficients among microbial PLFAs and environmental variables across the four land use types

	Total PLFA	Gram- negative bacteria	Gram- negative bacteria	Actinomycetes	Bacteria	Fungi	Pielou	Shannon– Weiner	Margale
TC	0.06	-0.48*	0.43	0.55*	0.11	-0.14	0.71**	0.72**	0.02
TN	0.02	-0.28	0.57*	0.29	0.17	-0.10	0.49*	0.63**	0.16
TP	0.58*	-0.10	0.48*	0.36	0.69**	0.18	0.36	0.39	0.19
NH_4^+	0.33	-0.22	0.23	0.43	0.51*	-0.07	0.03	0.04	-0.02
NO ₃ ⁻	0.21	-0.40	0.55*	0.52*	0.15	0.16	0.67**	0.69**	0.05
рН	-0.27	0.32	-0.72**	-0.19	-0.18	-0.31	-0.58*	-0.61**	-0.11
C/N	0.07	0.34	-0.55*	-0.19	0.06	-0.03	-0.51*	-0.58**	-0.03
BD	-0.01	-0.37	0.31	0.38	0.21	-0.55*	0.34	0.34	-0.22

Note: Soil TC (g/kg), TN (g/kg), TP (g/kg), NH₄⁺ (mg/kg), NO₃⁻ (mg/kg), pH, the ratio of TC to TN (C/N) and soil BD (g/cm³). Bold values indicate significant effects. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

DISCUSSION

Soil microbial PLFAs under different land uses

Soil microbial community structure and diversity differed between land use types, indicating that active microbial communities are sensitive to different land uses in the Yellow River floodplain. The differences in soil physical–chemical characteristics may be attributed to different types of vegetation and field management practices (degrees of soil disturbance and nutrient additions), which can alter soil microbial communities and soil nutrient dynamics.

Previous studies have observed decreases in the PLFAs of bacterial, gram-positive bacteria and fungi when natural vegetation transitions into farmland, reducing carbon sequestration capacity (Deng*etal*. 2015;

Katulanda et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2016). We observed that total PLFAs, and bacterial and gram-positive PLFAs, were higher in farmland than in shrubland, which was inconsistent with previous studies (Schroeder et al. 2020; Wei et al. 2020). One explanation could be that fertilizer in farmland led to the increase in microbial biomass (Ma et al. 2022), which fixed more carbon in soils and increased microbial necromass that accounted for a large proportion of the soil carbon pool (Bwa et al. 2021; Ma et al. 2022). A meta-analysis showed that fertilizer has a positive effect on the total PLFA and microbial biomass. which are related to the soil nitrogen and phosphorus increases in the farmland (Alicia et al. 2022). In addition, peanuts are legumes and were cultivated as the main crop in the farmland of our study area; they are known to increase soil organic carbon sequestration potential compared



Figure 4: Redundancy analysis (RDA) of associations among soil microbial community components (as indicated by PLFAs) with environmental factors. Total PLFAs, bacterial PLFAs (Ba), fungal PLFAs (Fu), ratio of bacteria to fungi (B/F), gram-positive bacteria (G^+), gram-negative (G^-) bacteria, ratio of gram-positive to -negative bacteria (G^+/G^-), Actinomycetes (Ac); three microbial indexes (Shannon–Weiner diversity index, Pielou evenness index and Margalef richness index), and eight environmental variables: soil TC, TN, TP, NO₃-N, NH₄-N, ratio of TC to TN (C/N), pH and soil BD. Circles: grassland; diamonds: farmland; squares: shrubland; triangles: forest.

with other cropping systems (Ahmad *et al.* 2022). Moreover, the carbon and nitrogen content of the Yellow River floodplain was lower than in comparable studies (Barreiro *et al.* 2015; Schroeder *et al.* 2020; Tian *et al.* 2010; Wei *et al.* 2020; Yang *et al.* 2020), but these values are context-dependent and initial conditions can have a large influence. Therefore, both fertilizer and crop choice (peanuts) may be the main reasons for the differences observed in the Yellow River floodplain farmland compared with other farmlands.

Another reason for the observed differences between the land use types may have been the soil pH, which has previously been identified as the best predictor of microbial community composition (Qin *et al.* 2017; Wang *et al.* 2020). The difference in soil pH can be due to fertilizer input, which can interfere with a microorganism's habitat in farmland. Indeed, the addition of chemical fertilizer during farming can acidify the soil (Hao *et al.* 2022; Kimmel *et al.* 2020). The pH of bacterial cytoplasm is close to neutral, and if it shifts toward being more alkaline or acidic, there can be a negative effect on intracellular PLFA

(Eldor 2007). In this study, the soil was alkaline, so the decrease in pH brought it closer to neutral, which may have been conducive to microbial growth (Wang *et al.* 2020).

Fungal PLFAs were higher in the forest than in the shrubland, which is consistent with previous studies (Guo et al. 2018). This is likely a consequence of higher nutrient transformation rates and better litter quality and quantity (Collado et al. 2021). In our study, the forest had the highest aboveground biomass (Table 1), which should translate into much more litter that can have a positive effect on microbial growth, especially fungi (Urbanová et al. 2015). The increased fungal PLFAs in the forest compared with the shrubland could have potentially resulted from fungi infecting the roots of the young privet trees (Grayston et al. 2004; Wakelin et al. 2008). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance nutrient availability to plants, particularly phosphorus, due to their extensive mycorrhizal mycelium networks that increase the surface area available for phosphorus acquisition (Eldor 2007). This may be one of the reasons why forest and grassland soils had the lowest phosphorus contents, but relatively high fungal biomass. Compared with shrubland, the higher fungal biomass in forest soil was related to the lower soil BD. This result was consistent with the observations of Wang et al. (2017), who found that soil disturbance had an important effect on fungal biomass. The high total PLFAs and bacterial and gram-positive bacterial biomass in farmland can be an effect of the high soil nitrogen and phosphorus supplied by fertilization. Overall, fertilizer may have enhanced the bacterial abundance in the farmland, especially in nitrogenpoor areas such as the Yellow River floodplain (Chen et al. 2022; Tian et al. 2010).

Microbial diversity under different land uses

Microbial diversity has been shown to contribute to carbon use efficiency (Chen *et al.* 2015; Domeignoz-Horta *et al.* 2020; Wu *et al.* 2022). Differences in soil microbial community diversity due to land use changes may influence the stability of the soil carbon pool (Wang *et al.* 2018; Zheng *et al.* 2020). The changes in physical–chemical properties are strongly related to changes in microbial PLFAs and diversity of floodplain soils (Dhandapani *et al.* 2020). Increasing soil TN, TP and NO₃-N supply, which are sources of nutrients and energy, can stimulate microbial activity (Zhou *et al.* 2019) by providing more sustenance for underground microbial communities (Chu *et al.* 2010; Djighaly *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* 2022).

The high Shannon–Weiner diversity index values for farmland and forest were consistent with previous studies (Cui *et al.* 2021; Yang *et al.* 2020), i.e. the microbial diversities in the modified ecosystems, i.e. farmland, artificial forest and grassland, were significantly higher than the natural ecosystems, i.e. shrubland. In our study, the soil TC and TN were significantly correlated with Shannon–Weiner diversity index. The application of fertilizer in the modified ecosystems can potentially increase microbial diversity. Microbes mainly prevent release of carbon by stabilizing it into a form i.e. not easily decomposed (Liang *et al.* 2017). Therefore, higher microbial diversity plays an important role in carbon sequestration in artificial ecosystems.

Our results were inconsistent with some previous studies that have observed the destruction of soil by mechanized planting and changes in soil pH caused by fertilizer to destroy the microorganism habitat in farmland (Guo et al. 2018; Leeuwen et al. 2017; Oin et al. 2017). This may have been because the cultivation of peanuts in farmland led to increased fixation of rhizobia, which will fix nitrogen from the atmosphere to the soil, resulting in an abundant supply of nutrients for microbes, thereby increasing diversity (Xie et al. 2019). It may also have been because the selection of specific microorganisms by different plant communities, such as legumes', facilitates certain types of bacteria and leads to increased microbial diversity in farmland (Huang et al. 2020). Indeed, the different crops grown on farmland can produce differences in microbial diversity. A meta-analysis showed that cultivating legumes has a higher carbon sequestration potential than other crops, such as wheat and cotton (Jian et al. 2020). These results indicated that there is likely to be significant variability in structural and functional responses of microbial communities to land use change, with potential consequences in terms of soil carbon cycling.

Despite the clear evidence provided here that land use type significantly affects soil microbial community composition, there are several uncertainties and limitations that should be mentioned. First, the fact that the species composition of each land use type was relatively simple dampens the potential of carbon sequestration in Yellow River floodplain. Second, we only investigated the response of microorganisms to shifts in land use over a short-term period (from 2012 to 2020), but the microbial response to land use was time dependent. Therefore, to better clarify the impact of different land use types on soil carbon and to provide reliable evidence, long-term and continuous monitoring of multiple land use types should be carried out.

CONCLUSIONS

Land use significantly influenced the total PLFAs, bacterial PLFAs and Shannon–Weiner diversity index, which were highest in peanut farmland. The peanut farmland had the largest total soil carbon content and SCS (0–10 cm). Soil TN, TP and NO₃-N were positive indicators of microbial biomass and diversity, and pH was a negative indicator. These findings suggested that altering the land use type changed the physicochemical characteristics of the soils, which affected the composition of microbial communities.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material is available at *Journal of Plant Ecology* online.

Table S1: Biomarkers for microbial grope.

Funding

This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41930643). Dr Chen's contributions were supported by grants from European Union H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (839806), Aarhus University Research Foundation (AUFF-E-2019-7-1), Danish Independent Research Foundation (1127-00015B) and Nordic Committee of Agriculture and Food Research.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Guoyong Li and Zhongling Yang guidance during this study, and Haoran Gui for help sampling in the field.

Conflict of interest statement. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad LV, Lin BJ, Kan ZR, *et al.* (2022) Simultaneous effects of legume cultivation on carbon and nitrogen accumulation in soil. *Adv Agron* **171**:76–98.
- Alicia MC, Paula PR, Eliana I, *et al.* (2022) The impact of crop diversification, tillage and fertilization type on soil total microbial, fungal and bacterial abundance: a worldwide meta-analysis of agricultural sites. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* **329**:107867.
- Almeida BK, Ross MS, Stoffella SL, *et al.* (2020) Diversity and structure of soil fungal communities across experimental everglades tree islands. *Diversity* **12**:1–17.

- Barreiro A, Fontúrbel MT, Lombao A, *et al.* (2015) Using phospholipid fatty acid and community level physiological profiling techniques to characterize soil microbial communities following an experimental fire and different stabilization treatments. *Catena* **135**:419–429.
- Bwa B, Saa C, Chao LD, *et al.* (2021) Microbial necromass as the source of soil organic carbon in global ecosystemsscience direct. *Soil Biol Biochem* **162**:108422.
- Chen J, Luo Y, Xia J, *et al.* (2015) Stronger warming effects on microbial abundances in colder regions. *Sci Rep* **5**:18032.
- Chen J, Sinsabaugh RL (2021) Linking microbial functional gene abundance and soil extracellular enzyme activity: implications for soil carbon dynamics. *Glob Change Biol* **27**:1322–1325.
- Chen ZJ, Xiao YT, Dong XD, *et al.* (2022) Effect of sediment deposition on soil stoichiometric ratios in the middle and lower section of the Yellow River. *Chin J Ecol* doi:10.1329 2/j.1000-4890.202206.006
- Chu H, Fierer N, Lauber CL, *et al.* (2010) Soil bacterial diversity in the Arctic is not fundamentally different from that found in other biomes. *Environ Microbiol* **12**:2998–3006.
- Collado E, Bonet JA, Alday JG, *et al.* (2021) Impact of forest thinning on aboveground macrofungal community composition and diversity in Mediterranean pine stands. *Ecol Indic* **133**:108340.
- Cui H, Qu Y, Wang L, *et al.* (2021) Dynamic changes in microbial communities and nutrient stoichiometry associated with soil aggregate structure in restored wetlands. *Catena* **197**:1–9.
- Deng H, Yu YJ, Sun JY, *et al.* (2015) Parent materials have stronger effects than land use types on microbial biomass, activity and diversity in red soil in subtropical China. *Pedobiologia* **58**:73–79.
- Dhandapani S, Ritz K, Evers S, *et al.* (2020) Land-use changes associated with oil palm plantations impact PLFA microbial phenotypic community structure throughout the depth of tropical peats. *Wetlands* **40**:2351–2366.
- Djighaly PI, Ngom D, Diagne N, *et al.* (2020) Effect of *Casuarina* plantations inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Frankia* on the diversity of herbaceous vegetation in saline environments in Senegal. *Diversity* **12**:1–17.
- Domeignoz-Horta LA, Pold G, Liu X, *et al.* (2020) Microbial diversity drives carbon use efficiency in a model soil. *Nat Commun* **11**:3684.
- Dong HY, Kong CH, Wang P, *et al.* (2014) Temporal variation of soil friedelin and microbial community under different land uses in a long-term agroecosystem. *Soil Biol Biochem* **69**:275–281.
- Eldor AP (2007) *Soil Microbiology, Ecology and Biochemistry*. Oxford, UK: Academic Press, 45–47.
- Field CB, Barros VR (2014) IPCC Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Vol. 1. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 35–94.
- Grayston SJ, Campbell CD, Bardgett RD, *et al.* (2004) Assessing shifts in microbial community structure across a range of grasslands of differing management intensity using CLPP, PLFA and community DNA techniques. *Appl Soil Ecol* **25**:63–84.

- Guo J, Li J, Liu K, *et al.* (2018) Analysis of soil microbial dynamics at a cropland-grassland interface in an agropastoral zone in a temperate steppe in northern China. *Catena* **170**:257–265.
- Guo X, Zhou Y (2020) Effects of land use patterns on the bacterial community structure and diversity of wetland soils in the Sanjiang Plain. *J Soil Sci Plant Nut* **21**:1–12.
- Hao TX, Liu XJ, Zhu QC, et al. (2022) Quantifying drivers of soil acidification in three Chinese cropping systems. Soil Till Res 215:105230.
- Ho J, Boughton EH, Jenkins DG, *et al.* (2018) Ranching practices interactively affect soil nutrients in subtropical wetlands. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* **254**:130–137.
- Huang X, Liu S, Liu X, *et al.* (2020) Plant pathological condition is associated with fungal community succession triggered by root exudates in the plant-soil system. *Soil Biol Biochem* **151**:1–10.
- Ji L, Yang YC, Yang LX, *et al.* (2020) Effect of land uses on soil microbial community structures among different soil depths in northeastern China. *Eur J Soil Biol* **99**:103205.
- Jian JS, Du X, Reiter MS, *et al.* (2020) A meta-analysis of global cropland soil carbon changes due to cover cropping. *Soil Biol Biochem* **143**:107735.
- Katulanda PM, Walley FL, Janzen HH, *et al.* (2018) Land use legacy regulates microbial community composition in transplanted Chernozems. *Appl Soil Ecol* **129**:13–23.
- Kimmel K, Furey GN, Hobbie SE, *et al.* (2020) Diversity-dependent soil acidification under nitrogen enrichment constrains biomass productivity. *Glob Change Biol* **26**:6594–6603.
- Kumar CM, Ghoshal N (2017) Impact of land-use change on soil microbial community composition and organic carbon content in the dry tropics. *Pedosphere* **27**:974–977.
- Leeuwen JP, Djukic I, Bloem J, *et al.* (2017) Effects of land use on soil microbial biomass, activity and community structure at different soil depths in the Danube floodplain. *Eur J Soil Biol* **79**:14–20.
- Li YQ, Li JR, Jiao SY, *et al.* (2020) Ecosystem-scale carbon allocation among different land uses: implications for carbon stocks in the Yellow River Delta. *Ecosphere* **11**:e03125.
- Liang C, Schimel JP, Jastrow JD (2017) The importance of anabolism in microbial control over soil carbon storage. *Nat Microbiol* **2**:17105.
- Liu JK, Engel BA, Wang Y, *et al.* (2020) Multi-scale analysis of hydrological connectivity and plant response in the Yellow River delta. *Sci Total Environ* **702**:1–12.
- Liu S, Wang H, Deng Y, *et al.* (2018) Forest conversion induces seasonal variation in microbial β-diversity. *Environ Microbiol* **20**:111–123.
- Lu M, Ren YL, Wang SJ, *et al.* (2019) Contribution of soil variables to bacterial community composition following land use change in Napahai plateau wetlands. *J Environ Manage* **246**:77–84.
- Luo SS, Luo BZ, Wei SJ, *et al.* (2020) Effects of moderate forest fires on soil organic carbon density in secondary forests of *Pinus massoniana. Chin J Plant Ecol* **44**:1073–1086.
- Ma X, Zhang W, Zhang X, *et al.* (2022) Dynamics of microbial necromass in response to reduced fertilizer application mediated by crop residue return. *Soil Biol Biochem* **165**:108512.

- Márton S, Dohrmann AB, Christopher P, *et al.* (2017) Impact of land use change and soil organic carbon quality on microbial diversity in soils across Europe. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **12**:1–12.
- Micaela T, Chludil HD, Correa OS, *et al.* (2021) Long-term legacy of land-use change in soils from a subtropical rainforest: relating microbiological and physicochemical parameters. *Eur J Soil Sci* **72**:1054–1069.
- Pan YM, Yao ZY, Zhang NL, *et al.* (2021) The role of soil-borne fungi in driving the coexistence of pinus massoniana and lithocarpus glaber in a subtropical forest via plant-soil feedback. *J Plant Ecol* **14**:1189–1203.
- Qin H, Li XC, Ren QS (2017) Effects of different land use patterns on soil bacterial and fungal biodiversity in the hydro-fluctuation zone of the three gorges reservoir region. *Acta Ecol Sin* **37**:3494–3504.
- R Core Team (2015) R: *A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/
- Sala OE, Chapin FS, Armesto JJ, *et al.* (2000) Biodiversityglobal biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* **287**:1770–1774.
- Schroeder CS, Halbrook S, Birnbaum C, *et al.* (2020) *Phragmites australis* associates with belowground fungal communities characterized by high diversity and pathogen abundance. *Diversity* **12**:1–16.
- Shao PS, Liang C, Lynch L, *et al.* (2019a) Reforestation accelerates soil organic carbon accumulation: evidence from microbial biomarkers. *Soil Biol Biochem* **131**:182–190.
- Shao PS, Liang C, Rubert-Nason K, *et al.* (2019b) Secondary successional forests undergo tightly-coupled changes in soil microbial community structure and soil organic matter. *Soil Biol Biochem* **128**:56–65.
- Tian HQ, Chen GS, Zhang C, *et al.* (2010) Pattern and variation of C:N:P ratios in China's soils: a synthesis of observational data. *Biogeochemistry* **98**:139–151.
- Urbanová M, Šnajdr J, Baldrian P (2015) Composition of fungal and bacterial communities in forest litter and soil is largely determined by dominant trees. *Soil Biol Biochem* 84:53–64.
- Verones F, Pfister S, Hellweg S (2013) Quantifying area changes of internationally important wetlands due to water consumption in LCA. *Environ Sci Technol* 47:9799–9807.
- Vitali F, Mastromei G, Senatore G, *et al.* (2016) Long lasting effects of the conversion from natural forest to poplar plantation on soil microbial communities. *Microbiol Res* **182**:89–98.
- Wakelin SA, Macdonald LM, Rogers SL, *et al.* (2008) Habitat selective factors influencing the structural composition and functional capacity of microbial communities in agricultural soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* **40**:803–813.
- Wang F, Ge Q, Yu Q, *et al.* (2017) Impacts of land-use and land-cover changes on river runoff in yellow river basin for period of 1956–2012. *Chin Geogr Sci* **27**:13–24.
- Wang X, Yu J, Zhou D, *et al.* (2012) Vegetative ecological characteristics of restored reed (*Phragmites australis*)

wetlands in the Yellow River delta, China. *Environ Manage* **49**:325–333.

- Wang D, Zhang B, Zhu LL, *et al.* (2018) Soil and vegetation development along a 10-year restoration chronosequence in tailing dams in the Xiaoqinling gold region of Central China. *Catena* **167**:250–256.
- Wang Y, Lian J, Shen H, *et al.* (2020) The effects of *Bidens alba* invasion on soil bacterial communities across different coastal ecosystem land-use types in southern China. *PLoS One* **15**:e0238478.
- Wei G, Li M, Shi W, *et al.* (2020) Similar drivers but different effects lead to distinct ecological patterns of soil bacterial and archaeal communities. *Soil Biol Biochem* **144**:1–11.
- Wu G, Gao J, Ou W, *et al.* (2022) Effects of the hummockdepression microhabitat on plant communities of alpine marshy meadows in the Yellow River Source Zone, China. *J Plant Ecol* **15**:111–128.
- Xie XG, Zhang FM, Yang T, *et al.* (2019) Endophytic fungus drives nodulation and N₂ fixation attributable to specific root exudates. *mBio* **10**:e00728–19.
- Yang Y, Zhou Y, Shi Z, *et al.* (2020) Interactive effects of elevation and land use on soil bacterial communities in the Tibetan plateau. *Pedosphere* **30**:817–831.
- Yu Y, Zheng L, Zhou YJ, *et al.* (2021) Changes in soil microbial community structure and function following degradation in a temperate grassland. *J Plant Ecol* **14**:384–397.
- Zak DR, Tilman D, Parmenter RR, *et al.* (1994) Plant production and soil microorganisms in late-successional ecosystems: a continental-scale study. *Ecology* **75**:2333–2347.
- Zeng XM, Feng J, Chen J, *et al.* (2022) Microbial assemblies associated with temperature sensitivity of soil respiration along an altitudinal gradient. *Sci Total Environ* **820**:153257.
- Zhang J, Klinkhamer PGL, Vrieling K, *et al.* (2022) The negative effects of soil microorganisms on plant growth only extend to the first weeks. *J Plant Ecol* **15**:854–863.
- Zhang Q, Wu J, Yang F, *et al.* (2016) Alterations in soil microbial community composition and biomass following agricultural land use change. *Sci Rep* **6**:1–10.
- Zhang Y, Yang GS, Shi FX, *et al.* (2021) Biomass allocation between leaf and stem regulates community-level plant nutrient resorption efficiency response to nitrogen and phosphorus additions in a temperate wetland of northeast China. *J Plant Ecol* **14**:58–66.
- Zhao C, Miao Y, Yu C, *et al.* (2016) Soil microbial community composition and respiration along an experimental precipitation gradient in a semiarid steppe. *Sci Rep* **6**:1–9.
- Zheng TT, Milnter A, Liang C, *et al.* (2020) Turnover of gramnegative bacterial biomass-derived carbon through the microbial food web of an agricultural soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* **152**:1–8.
- Zhou J, Zhang F, Huo Y, *et al.* (2019) Following legume establishment, microbial and chemical associations facilitate improved productivity in degraded grasslands. *Plant Soil* **443**:273–292.
- Zi HB, Xiang ZY, Wang GX, *et al.* (2017) Profile of soil microbial community under different stand types in Qinghai province. *Sci Silv Sin* **53**:21–32.